



# City of Oakland

Office of the City Clerk  
Oakland City Hall,  
1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza,  
Room 201  
Oakland, California 94612

## Legislation Details (With Text)

<b>File #:</b>	22-0932	<b>Version:</b>	2	<b>Name:</b>	
<b>Type:</b>	City Resolution	<b>Status:</b>	Passed		
<b>File created:</b>	11/16/2022	<b>In control:</b>	* Concurrent Meeting of the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency and the City Council		
<b>On agenda:</b>	12/6/2022	<b>Final action:</b>	12/6/2022		
<b>Title:</b>	<p>Subject: City Of Oakland V. Oakland Raiders, Et Al. From: Office Of The City Attorney Recommendation: Adopt A Resolution Authorizing And Directing The City Attorney To Compromise And Settle The Cases Of City Of Oakland V. Oakland Raiders, A California Limited Partnership; Arizona Cardinals Football Club, LLC; Atlanta Falcons Football Club LLC; Baltimore Ravens, LP; Buffalo Bills, LLC; Panthers Football, LLC; Chicago Bears Football Club, Inc.; Cincinnati Bengals, Inc.; Cleveland Browns Football Company, LLC; Dallas Cowboys Football Club, Ltd.; PDB Sports Ltd.; Detroit Lions, Inc.; Green Bay Packers, Inc.; Houston NFL Holdings, LP; Indianapolis Colts, Inc.; Jacksonville Jaguars LLC; Kansas City Chiefs Football Club, Inc.; Chargers Football Company LLC; The Rams Football Company, LLC; Miami Dolphins, Ltd.; Minnesota Vikings Football LLC; New York Football Giants, Inc.; New York Jets, LLC; Philadelphia Eagles LLC; Pittsburgh Steelers LLC; Forty Niners Football Company LLC; Football Northwest LLC; Buccaneers Team LLC; Tennessee Football, Inc.; Pro-Football, Inc.; National Football League; New England Patriots LLC; New Orleans Louisiana Saints, LLC; United States District Court Case No. 3:18-CV-07444-JCS, United States Court Of Appeals For The Ninth Circuit Case No. 20-16075, United States Supreme Court Case No. 21A438; And,</p> <p>City Of Oakland V. The Oakland Raiders, A California Limited Partnership; Las Vegas Raiders LLC; Las Vegas Raiders Football LLC; Arizona Cardinals Football Club LLC; Atlanta Falcons Football Club, LLC; Baltimore Ravens Limited Partnership; Buffalo Bills, LLC; Panthers Football, LLC; The Chicago Bears Football Club, Inc.; Cincinnati Bengals, Inc.; Cleveland Browns Football Company LLC; Dallas Cowboys Football Club, Ltd.; PDB Sports, Ltd.; The Detroit Lions, Inc.; Green Bay Packers, Inc.; Houston NFL Holdings, LP; Indianapolis Colts, Inc.; Jacksonville Jaguars, LLC; Kansas City Chiefs Football Club, Inc.; Chargers Football Company, LLC; The Rams Football Company, LLC; Miami Dolphins, Ltd.; Minnesota Vikings Football, LLC; New England Patriots LLC; New Orleans Louisiana Saints, LLC; New York Football Giants, Inc.; New York Jets LLC; Philadelphia Eagles, LLC; Pittsburgh Steelers LLC; Forty Niners Football Company LLC; Football Northwest LLC; Buccaneers Team LLC; Tennessee Football, Inc; Pro-Football, Inc.; The National Football League; And Does 1 Through 100; Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20stcv20676, Court Of Appeal Of The State Of California, Second Appellate District Case No. B313388;</p> <p>City Attorney's File Nos. CE-04541, CE-04541-1 And CE-04541A; For Defendants' Agreement To Waive Litigation Costs To Which They Are Entitled As Prevailing Parties In Exchange For The City's Agreement To Terminate The Litigation (City Council - Antitrust/Breach Of Contract)</p>				

### Sponsors:

### Indexes:

### Code sections:

**Attachments:** 1. View Report, 2. View Legislation, 3. 89494 CMS

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
12/6/2022	1	* Concurrent Meeting of the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency and the City	Adopted	Pass

11/17/2022      1      Council  
                                 \*Rules & Legislation Committee      Scheduled

Subject:      City Of Oakland V. Oakland Raiders, Et Al.

From:      Office Of The City Attorney

Recommendation: Adopt A Resolution Authorizing And Directing The City Attorney To Compromise And Settle The Cases Of City Of Oakland V. Oakland Raiders, A California Limited Partnership; Arizona Cardinals Football Club, LLC; Atlanta Falcons Football Club LLC; Baltimore Ravens, LP; Buffalo Bills, LLC; Panthers Football, LLC; Chicago Bears Football Club, Inc.; Cincinnati Bengals, Inc.; Cleveland Browns Football Company, LLC; Dallas Cowboys Football Club, Ltd.; PDB Sports Ltd.; Detroit Lions, Inc.; Green Bay Packers, Inc.; Houston NFL Holdings, LP; Indianapolis Colts, Inc.; Jacksonville Jaguars LLC; Kansas City Chiefs Football Club, Inc.; Chargers Football Company LLC; The Rams Football Company, LLC; Miami Dolphins, Ltd.; Minnesota Vikings Football LLC; New York Football Giants, Inc.; New York Jets, LLC; Philadelphia Eagles LLC; Pittsburgh Steelers LLC; Forty Niners Football Company LLC; Football Northwest LLC; Buccaneers Team LLC; Tennessee Football, Inc.; Pro-Football, Inc.; National Football League; New England Patriots LLC; New Orleans Louisiana Saints, LLC.; United States District Court Case No. 3:18-CV-07444-JCS, United States Court Of Appeals For The Ninth Circuit Case No. 20-16075, United States Supreme Court Case No. 21A438; And,

City Of Oakland V. The Oakland Raiders, A California Limited Partnership; Las Vegas Raiders LLC; Las Vegas Raiders Football LLC.; Arizona Cardinals Football Club LLC; Atlanta Falcons Football Club, LLC; Baltimore Ravens Limited Partnership; Buffalo Bills, LLC; Panthers Football, LLC; The Chicago Bears Football Club, Inc.; Cincinnati Bengals, Inc.; Cleveland Browns Football Company LLC; Dallas Cowboys Football Club, Ltd.; PDB Sports, Ltd.; The Detroit Lions, Inc.; Green Bay Packers, Inc.; Houston NFL Holdings, LP; Indianapolis Colts, Inc.; Jacksonville Jaguars, LLC; Kansas City Chiefs Football Club, Inc.; Chargers Football Company, LLC; The Rams Football Company, LLC; Miami Dolphins, Ltd.; Minnesota Vikings Football, LLC; New England Patriots LLC; New Orleans Louisiana Saints, LLC; New York Football Giants, Inc.; New York Jets LLC; Philadelphia Eagles, LLC; Pittsburgh Steelers LLC; Forty Niners Football Company LLC; Football Northwest LLC; Buccaneers Team LLC; Tennessee Football, Inc; Pro-Football, Inc.; The National Football League; And Does 1 Through 100; Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20stcv20676, Court Of Appeal Of The State Of California, Second Appellate District Case No. B313388;

City Attorney's File Nos. CE-04541, CE-04541-1 And CE-04541A; For Defendants' Agreement To Waive Litigation Costs To Which They Are Entitled As Prevailing Parties In Exchange For The City's Agreement To Terminate The Litigation (City Council - Antitrust/Breach Of Contract)