

## City of Oakland

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	21-0	0963	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	City Resolution 12/16/2021				Status:	Passed * Concurrent Meeting of the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency and the City Council	
File created:					In control:		
On agenda:	1/18	3/2022			Final action:	1/18/2022	
Title:	Subject:Negrete V. City Of OaklandFrom:Office Of The City AttorneyRecommendation:Adopt A Resolution Authorizing And Directing The City Attorney To CompromiseAnd Settle The Case Of Francisco Negrete, William Berger, Craig Tanaka, Brandon Hraiz, JosefPhillips, V. City Of Oakland; Police Commission Of The City Of Oakland; Does 1 - 10, Inclusive,Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG20062117, City Attorney's File No. X04860-1, In TheAmount Of Forty Thousand Dollars And Zero Cent (\$40,000.00) (Community Police Review Agency -Administrative Writ Of Mandamus)						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections	6:						
Attachments:	1. V	iew Report,	2. View Le	egisla	tion, 3. 88992 C	MS	
Date	Ver.	Action By			Ac	tion	Result
1/18/2022	1	* Concurre Oakland F Successor Council	Redevelop	ment		opted	Pass
12/21/2021	1	* Concurre Oakland F Successor Council	Redevelop	ment		thdrawn and Rescheduled	Pass
Subject:	Negrete V. City Of Oakland						
From:	Office Of The City Attorney						

Recommendation: Adopt A Resolution Authorizing And Directing The City Attorney To Compromise And Settle The Case Of Francisco Negrete, William Berger, Craig Tanaka, Brandon Hraiz, Josef Phillips, V. City Of Oakland; Police Commission Of The City Of Oakland; Does 1 - 10, Inclusive, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG20062117, City Attorney's File No. X04860-1, In The Amount Of Forty Thousand Dollars And Zero Cent (\$40,000.00) (Community Police Review Agency - Administrative Writ Of Mandamus)