



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 10-0633      **Version:** 1  
**Type:** City Resolution      **Status:** Passed  
**File created:** 5/5/2011      **In control:** Concurrent Meeting of the Oakland Redevelopment Agency / City Council  
**On agenda:**      **Final action:** 5/17/2011  
**Title:** Subject: Coalition Of Advocates For Lake Merrit - Settlement Agreement  
From: Office Of The City Attorney  
Recommendation: Adopt The Following Pieces Of Legislation:

1) A City Resolution Approving Settlement Agreement Regarding Attorney's Fees And Costs In Coalition Of Advocates For Lake Merritt Et Al. V. City Of Oakland Et Al. (Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG10514461, First District Court Of Appeal Case No. A130515), Whereby Developers Oakland Harbor Partners, LLC, Et Al. Will Pay \$450,000 To Plaintiffs On Behalf Of Developers As Well As The City Of Oakland And Oakland Redevelopment Agency, In Exchange For Parties' Respective Dismissals Of Appeals Of Post-Judgment Order Re: Attorney Fees (CEDA; Challenge To Certification Of EIR And Entitlements, Including Development Agreement, For Oak To Ninth Mixed Use Development Project); And

**Sponsors:**

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. View Report.pdf, 2. 83352 CMS.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
5/17/2011	1	Concurrent Meeting of the Oakland Redevelopment Agency / City Council	Adopted	Pass

Subject:Coalition Of Advocates For Lake Merrit - Settlement Agreement  
From: Office Of The City Attorney  
Recommendation: Adopt The Following Pieces Of Legislation:

1) A City Resolution Approving Settlement Agreement Regarding Attorney's Fees And Costs In Coalition Of Advocates For Lake Merritt Et Al. V. City Of Oakland Et Al. (Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG10514461, First District Court Of Appeal Case No. A130515), Whereby Developers Oakland Harbor Partners, LLC, Et Al. Will Pay \$450,000 To Plaintiffs On Behalf Of Developers As Well As The City Of Oakland And Oakland Redevelopment Agency, In Exchange For Parties' Respective Dismissals Of Appeals Of Post-Judgment Order Re: Attorney Fees (CEDA; Challenge To Certification Of EIR And Entitlements, Including Development Agreement, For Oak To Ninth Mixed Use Development Project); And