



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 11-0132      **Version:** 1      **Name:** University Of Michigan Proposal 2 - Amicus Brief  
**Type:** City Resolution      **Status:** Passed  
**File created:** 11/3/2011      **In control:** Concurrent Meeting of the Oakland Redevelopment Agency / City Council  
**On agenda:**      **Final action:** 11/15/2011  
**Title:**      **Subject:** University Of Michigan Proposal 2 - Amicus Brief  
**From:** Office Of The City Attorney  
**Recommendation:** Adopt A Resolution Authorizing The City Attorney, On Behalf Of The City Of Oakland, To Join In An Amicus (Friend Of The Court) Brief In The United States Court Of Appeals For The Sixth Circuit Case Of Coalition To Defend Affirmative Action, Et Al., V. Regents Of University Of Michigan (Case Nos. 08-1387/1389/1534/09-111) Asking The Court To Affirm The Decision Of A Three-Judge Panel Invalidating Michigan's Proposal 2, Which Liked California's Proposition 209 Prohibits Consideration Of Race Or Gender In Public Employment, Education And Contracting

**Sponsors:**

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. View Report.pdf, 2. 83612 CMS.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
11/15/2011	1	Concurrent Meeting of the Oakland Redevelopment Agency / City Council	Adopted	Pass

**Subject:** University Of Michigan Proposal 2 - Amicus Brief  
**From:** Office Of The City Attorney  
**Recommendation:** Adopt A Resolution Authorizing The City Attorney, On Behalf Of The City Of Oakland, To Join In An Amicus (Friend Of The Court) Brief In The United States Court Of Appeals For The Sixth Circuit Case Of Coalition To Defend Affirmative Action, Et Al., V. Regents Of University Of Michigan (Case Nos. 08-1387/1389/1534/09-111) Asking The Court To Affirm The Decision Of A Three-Judge Panel Invalidating Michigan's Proposal 2, Which Liked California's Proposition 209 Prohibits Consideration Of Race Or Gender In Public Employment, Education And Contracting