

AGENDA REPORT

Date: Nov 12, 2021

TO:	Edward D. Reiskin City Administrator	FROM:	Sara Bedford Director, Human Services
SUBJECT:	Head Start New Award Overview and Implementation Update	DATE:	November 9, 2021
City Administ	rator Approval	Date:	Nov 12 2021

RECOMMENDATION

Staff Recommends That City Council Receive an Informational Report on the Head Start New Grant Award for FY2021-26 and An Implementation Update On the Grant and Supplemental Funding.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This informational report provides responses to questions raised at the City Council meeting on September 1, 2021 and includes an update on the Head Start award for FY2021-22 and the funding of \$1,836,000 to reopen three sites (Arroyo Viejo, Tassafaronga and Franklin Centers) with 52 additional slots authorized by the City Council. The Head Start Informational Memo of September 14, 2021, provided initial information and supporting documentation requested at the September 1, 2021 City Council meeting (Attachment A).

BACKGROUND/LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

On July 20, 2021, City Council voted to adopt Resolution No. 88763 C.M.S., accepting and appropriating the Head Start Basic and Head Start Training & Technical Assistance, Early Head Start Basic and Early Head Start Training & Technical Assistance Grants for FY 21-22 from the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Head Start (OHS), in an amount of \$12,252,694, to implement and operate the City of Oakland Head Start/Early Head Start Program, over a 5-year grant cycle, beginning with FY 21-22 (Attachment B).

On September 1, 2021, City Council adopted Resolution No. 88801 C.M.S., amending Resolution No. 88717 C.M.S, which adopted the City of Oakland Biennial Budget for FY 2021-2023, to allocate \$1.836,000 from the General Purpose Fund (GPF) to the Head Start Program and to direct the City Administrator to include relevant enhancements to the Lincoln Square Recreation Renovation Capital Improvement Fund in FY 2022-23 mid-cycle budget adjustments (Attachments C).

On September 1, 2021, the City Council met with Human Services Department (HSD) administration to discuss concerns and questions raised by the community and City Council regarding the loss of needed Head Start/Early Head Start services in Oakland, due the program's new design. The City Council asked to hear and learn more about the new Head Start/Early Head Start grant awards for FY 21-22 and the program design, implementation plan, and rationale for the proposed changes.

During the City Council meeting on September 1, 2021, Council had the opportunity to hear from HSD administration about the current and local early childhood education landscape and the multiple variables impacting service delivery, including increased implementation of Transitional Kindergarten (TK) at Oakland Unified School District for TK eligible 4-year-olds, which directly impacts the number of Head Start eligible 4-year-olds that the program is able to serve.

The Council also had the opportunity to learn about the new program design and the rationale for the program changes proposed, in greater detail, which included Human Services administration speaking to the results of the FY 2019 Head Start Federal Monitoring Review and FY 2020 Follow Up Federal Monitoring Review.

It was noted that due to the City of Oakland Head Start Program clearing deficiencies and noncompliances, found in the FY 2019 Federal Review, following the program's FY 2020 Follow-Up Federal Review, the program was then eligible to re-compete for FY 21-26 Head Start/Early Head Start grant funding.

HSD leadership also spoke to many of the concerns and questions raised by the community and City Council members. However, given that the City Council members had additional questions and wanted time to conduct further analysis, they voted to adopt Resolution No. 88801 C.M.S., restoring the loss of needed Head Start/Early Head Start service delivery in Oakland for FY 21-22, and asked for HSD to provide an update at a later date.

ANALYSIS/POLICY ALTERNATIVES

The following information provides the update requested on the City of Oakland's new Head Start Grant Award for the 5-year grant cycle, FY 21-26, as well as essential details that outline the design, implementation and service delivery model, monitoring, and oversight of the Head Start program. The following information also provides an update on supplemental funding.

NEW AWARD OVERVIEW

A. Grant Cycle

The City of Oakland Head Start program grant cycle covers a 5-year period (07/01/2021-06/30/26). The program is currently in the first year of the new grant award and is in the start-up phase of the award.

B. Program Enrollment

The City Council requested that the 52 slots be served as Early Head Start/Head Start slots. HSD discussed this request with OHS and the City of Oakland Head Start program must submit a request to the OHS to temporarily add the 52 slots to the 622 slots funded by OHS for program year 2021-2022. The City of Oakland, once approved, will have 674 funded slots with 332 Head Start Slots and 342 Early Head Start slots. **Table 1** shows the overall distribution of funded slots between Early Head Start and Head Start.

Table 1: Funded Slots

City of Oakland	OHS Funded Slots	New GPF Funded Slots	Total Slots
Head Start	292	40	332
Early Head Start	330	12	342
Total	622	52	674

The City of Oakland Head Start Program began offering services to families on July 26th, following City Council approval of the new grant on July 20, 2021 (Attachment B). The homebased program option began serving families in July and the center-based program began serving children on September 01, 2021. **Table 2** provides the number of funded slots by site and program option and provides the status of each site.

Table 2: Funded Slots by Site and Program Option

City Operated	Funded	Site Status
85th Avenue HS/EHS		Open. Renovations and relicensing infant/toddler classrooms pending.
Brookfield 9600 HS	32	Open
Lion Creek Crossing HS/EHS	24	Open
San Antonio CDC HS	24	Open
Sungate HS	24	Open
Manzanita HS	16	Open
Franklin HS	16	Public works improvements in process. Opening in November.
Tassafaronga HS	24	Public works improvements in process. Opening in November.
Mobile Classroom (New program) HS/EHS	24	Vehicle renovations underway. Projected date of end of February.
Broadway EHS	24	Open
San Antonio Park EHS	18	Open
West Grand EHS	24	Open
Arroyo Viejo EHS	12	Opening November 8 th .
Home-Based Program EHS	69	Open. Looking for new location.

Partner Operated		
Bananas – Family Child Care Partnership	59	Phase I begins in November
Brighter Beginnings – HS/EHS	140	Open
Laney College	48	Open
St. Vincent Day Home	46	Open
Pending Partner (HS)	16	Outreach to potential partners underway.
GRAND TOTALS	674	

C. PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES DELIVERY UPDATE

Current Program Options and Services

The City of Oakland Head Start Program offers, both Home-based and Center-Based services. The program started Home-Based services in July and Center-Based services in September 2021.

Home-Based Program

In collaboration with Brighter Beginnings, the Oakland Head Start offers Home-Based services year-round. A team of home-based visitors skilled in both early childhood development and family services provide Head Start programming to families including pregnant families.

Home Based programming which is provided by both the City of Oakland and partner, Brighter Beginnings, are open and serving families and pregnant mothers. The program is working to identify a new location for the home-based program services as Arroyo, Tassafaronga and Franklin will be re-opening for center-based programming.

Home visitors meet at families' homes once a week and work with parents and their children. Together, the home visitor and parents observe and learn about their child. They plan ways to help the child learn using parent-child interactions, daily routines, and household materials. Parents are also provided with support for their specific needs and with coaching around their parenting needs as well as prepared to be their child's lifelong advocate in the educational system. A small group of Home-Based families and their home visitor meet monthly for group socializations which also serves as a support group for parents. The City of Oakland Head Start staff use a research-based, home visiting curriculum, *Parents as Teachers*, for the home-based program.

The new mobile classroom will be a modified home-based program that will serve families at shelters and link them to other program options as needed. The mobile classroom will serve 24 children and will begin in February once the vehicle renovations are complete.

Center- Based Program

The City and its partners --Laney College Children's Center and Saint Vincent's Day Home-offer full-day, center-based services, at multiple locations throughout the City of Oakland. Wellbalanced and nutritious breakfast, lunch, and snacks are also provided daily.

Nine centers are currently open and serving children. The additional three sites, Arroyo Viejo, Tassafaronga and Franklin will open in mid to late November following completion of public works maintenance and classroom set-up.

The new award brings back the family child-care partnerships program option. Licensed, family child-care homes in an individual's private home becomes trained in Head Start requirements and wrap around services and thus function like a small Head Start center. This small business model helps support family childcare providers to be high quality educators of young children and provides more comprehensive support to the families they serve. Children tend to be cared for in a family-like setting with all the daily activities usually associated with home. Bananas is the City's contracted partner who as the local childcare Resource and Referral Agency will identify specific family child-care homes to participate.

This model provides a twofold benefit both supporting local businesses, typically women and BIPOC owned, and provides a home-like setting with more flexible hours that many families prefer and need. This model will also allow the ability to seek family childcare businesses in neighborhoods where the need is greatest, but the center-based infrastructure may be lacking.

In alignment with specific Head Start School Readiness goals, the program offers a researchbased early childhood education curriculum, *Creative Curriculum for Infants & Toddlers* and *Creative Curriculum for Preschoolers* at all sites, conducts one initial and one end-of-year Home Visit per family as well as at least two parent teacher conferences each year.

Comprehensive Services

In addition to the home-based, center based and family child-care educational services, the Head Start program also provides, monitors, and supports comprehensive services at grantee and partner sites. These services include behavioral and social-emotional screenings, systematic child observations three-times per year, ongoing health, dental, and nutrition assessments and services for all enrolled children.

Family services include family assessment to identify strengths and needs, a family partnership agreement, specific to individual family's needs and goals, as well as family engagement opportunities, such as multi-lingual parent leadership workshops, financial literacy workshops, and resources and referrals to social services, economic, utility, housing, and other basic needs resources. In the pregnant mother program services include a post-partum depression screening, and newborn home visits.

As a reference, **Table 3** reflects a snapshot of program services through the end of September 2021 that we monitor, support and/or implement as requirements of the Head Start grant. There are specific Head Start, State and program deadlines for many of the requirements. The initial priority is supporting families with ensuring up to date immunizations and physical exams or well-baby checks prior to enrollment in centers.

Health	Head Start	Early Head Start	Current Total
Medical Home	71%	84%	80%
Health Insurance	71%	81%	77%
Current Physical exam/Well-baby check	91%	62%	72%
Up-to-Date Immunizations	91%	85%	87%
Dental Home	59%	65%	63%
Current Dental Exam	60%	49%	53%
Education and Disability			
Developmental screening (ASQ)	75%	71%	72%
Behavioral screening – (ASQ-SE)	9%	72%	49%
Concern Identified/ Need Follow-up/	44%	30%	34%
Rescreening			
Initial Home Visits	70%	76%	74%
Children enrolled with Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) or Individualized Education Plan (IEP)	9.3%	5%	7.4%

Table 3: Comprehensive Service as of September 30, 2021

D. Program Governance

Head Start Policy and Regulations require the grant recipient to establish and maintain a formal structure for program governance that includes a governing body, a policy council, and a parent committee. Governing bodies have a legal and fiscal responsibility to administer and oversee the agency's Head Start and Early Head Start programs. Policy councils are responsible for the direction of the agency's Head Start and Early Head Start programs.

The governance structure of the City of Oakland Head Start program consists of an <u>Advisory</u> <u>Board</u>, which provides advice and recommendations to the City Council as set forth in Ordinance No. 13230 C.M.S. The Head Start Advisory Board works in partnership with the Head Start Parent Policy Council, a program governance also mandated by the Head Start Act, to share in the oversight of program management, operations, fiduciary requirements, and program approvals, in accordance with the Head Start Act and Head Start Performance Standards. Each center and program option has a parent committee that elects a parent to the Parent Policy Council. Meetings for the parent committees, parent policy council and the advisory board are held monthly. The parent policy council typically meets on the third Tuesday of each month and the Advisory Board, on the third Thursday of each month. All action items on the agenda must first be approved by the Parent Policy Council prior to being presented to the Advisory Board for approval **(Attachment D).**

The Life Enrichment Chair or their representative, participates in the Advisory Board meetings in a non-voting capacity. The Advisory Board through the Parent Policy Council presents a bi-annual report to the Life Enrichment Committee.

E. Federal Administrative Procedures

The Public Law 110-134 "Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007" (Head Start Act) requires the OHS of the United States Department of Health and Human Services,

Administration for Children and Families, to monitor whether a grantee meets program governance, program operations, and financial and administrative standards described in regulations and to identify areas for improvements and areas of strength as part of the grantee's ongoing self-assessment process. **Table 4** outlines the general start dates for the FY 2022 Monitoring Reviews. To date the City of Oakland has not received a monitoring notice from OHS.

Review Type	FY 2022 Implementation	Start Date
Focus Area 1 (FA1)	FA1 reviews will be conducted, as usual, through a virtual format.	October 2021
Focus Area 2 (FA2)	FA2 reviews will resume in-person for the 2021–2022 program year.	January 2022
CLASS®	CLASS® reviews will resume in-person for the 2021–2022 program year.	January 2022
Other	OHS reserves the right to conduct special off-site or on-site reviews.	TBD, as needed

Table 4: FY 2022 Monitoring Reviews

The FA1 and FA2 reviews include the following content areas:

- Program Design and Management
- Education and Child Development
- Health Program Services
- Family and Community Engagement
- Eligibility, Recruitment, Selection, Enrollment, and Attendance (ERSEA)
- Fiscal Infrastructure

During the FA1 and FA2 review processes, grantees will be asked questions about the use of funds received from the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) and Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations (CRRSA) Acts, as well from the American Rescue Plan.

CLASS®

As referenced in Table 4, CLASS monitoring will return in January 2022, following a pause due to COVID-19. Effective November 2020, OHS published a final rule with three major changes. First, the final rule removed the lowest 10% criterion. Second, it raised the CLASS[®] competitive thresholds as follows: 5 for Emotional Support, 5 for Classroom Organization, and 2.3 for Instructional Support. Any grant that receives an average score from a CLASS[®] review below one or more of these thresholds will be required to compete at the end of their current five-year grant period.

OHS also established quality thresholds for each domain of the CLASS[®] as follows: 6 for Emotional Support, 6 for Classroom Organization, and 3 for Instructional Support.

The new CLASS[®] quality thresholds represent the expectations of OHS for the quality of teacher-child interactions and the learning environment in every Head Start classroom.

The CLASS[®] quality thresholds reflect an opportunity for grantees to focus on quality improvement in teacher-child interactions.

The OHS also conducts bi-weekly review sessions with the City's Head Start management team.

F. Head Start Personnel Update

Following the approval of Resolution No. 88801 C.M.S. by City Council on September 1, 2021, (Attachment C) the Finance department confirmed the addition of 14 FTE's. **Table 5** outlines the added positions:

Table 5: Additional Staffing

Personnel	FTE
Center Director	3.0
EHS Teacher	3.0
HS Teacher	5.0
HS Associate Teacher	1.0
Family Services Specialist	2.0
Total Staff	14.0

Staffing Impacts Status

These additional 14 FTE bring the total Head Start FTE to 120.03. As part of the new grant requirements, many classifications are being updated and developed to meet Head Start performance standards, particularly in those areas found to be deficient in the review. The process to update and develop new classifications includes review by Human Resources, Human Services, and affected unions. Also, the Civil Service Board will approve classification content and the City Council will approve any salary changes. We anticipate this process to take several months as there are 19 classifications in this process. The new classifications are a priority as they will provide new opportunities for current staff.

Human Services, Human Resources and Locals 21 and 1021 have been meeting regularly to discuss staff impacts and to revise and create new job specifications. All Unions and staff have been provided with lists showing:

- Changes that will bring the Head Start program into compliance;
- Specific positions that will be impacted;
- New positions and classifications being developed including draft job specifications; and,
- Seniority lists, de-identified using employee ID numbers only thus enabling staff to clearly see their seniority status within a classification.

All staff have been notified in writing and in an all staff meeting on October 22, 2021, that there will be *no impacts in this current calendar year*. City of Oakland Human Services Department(HSD) has also shared with staff Council's direction to bring any remaining impacts and associated costs to City Council *before* any actions are taken.

Once positions are developed, adopted, and posted, HSD will encourage staff to apply as the City prioritizes hiring from within the organization. The creation of new classifications and hiring of staff is a complex process and will influence the budget required to ensure zero impacts as directed by City Council. It is anticipated that any remaining staff impacts and the required resources to negate these impacts will be available for review by City Council in early Spring 2022.

FISCAL IMPACT

A. Budget

The City of Oakland Head Start program is funded by an Office of Head Start grant award in the amount \$12,252,694, and Oakland General-Purpose Funds in the amount of \$5,833,451 (including \$2,595,876 to cover the Human Services Department's Central Service Overhead costs which are ineligible for reimbursement due to an administrative cap). On September 1, 2021, the City Council appropriated an additional \$1,836,000 in General Purpose funds to fund the re-opening of the Arroyo, Franklin and Tassafaronga centers.

In addition to Head Start and General-Purpose funding, the program receives State funding to supplement the program. The program is a grantee of the California State Preschool Program (CSPP) through the California Department of Education. The program is also a sub-recipient of Oakland Unified School District (OUSD) for the General Child Care and Development Programs (CCTR) grant through the California Department of Social Services. The CSPP and CCTR grants are reimbursed funding grants based on enrollment and attendance. The CSPP grant has a maximum reimbursable amount of \$2,032,838. The program submitted a proposal to OUSD to continue the CCTR grant funding of \$250,000 with a request for increased funding based on additional early head start slots in the new grant award. The program was awarded a reduced grant award of \$200,000 for FY 21-22.

The program also receives reimbursement based on number of meals served to students (linked to attendance) from the Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP) funded by the US Department of Agriculture (USDA). The Office of Head Start requires the program to use funds from USDA as the primary source of payment for meal services. Reimbursement is based on attendance for specific snacks and meals each day.

The City is required to submit a "non-competing" continuation funding application to the Office of Head Start, annually, on or before April 1 to receive the grant for the program year. The annual grant application is comprised of 5 primary components: Project narrative; Progress on Program Goals; Budget narrative & justification; Training & Technical Assistance Budget narrative & justification; Self-Assessment Summary; Selection Criteria; and Governing Board and Policy Council Decisions. State and CACFP grants also have annual application requirements.

PUBLIC OUTREACH / INTEREST

This item did not require any additional public outreach other than the required posting on the City's website.

COORDINATION

The City Attorney's Office and the Controller's Bureau were consulted in preparation of this report and resolution.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: The grant creates more than 100 jobs, including people directly employed in centers, plus an unknown number of jobs through its economic multiplier effect. Most of these jobs are held by Oakland residents. In addition, Head Start and Early Head Start buys most of its goods and services from Oakland firms or individuals to support its programs.

Environmental: There are currently no direct environmental benefits or impacts from the Head Start and Early Head Start program to the City of Oakland. The program uses recyclable paper products.

Race & Equity: The Oakland Head Start and Early Head Start program will deliver comprehensive and high-quality services to 600- 1,000 children and families. In the past program year, the children and families were approximately 95% Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC), of which approximately 29% are Black or African American and 32% are Hispanic or Latino, 59% of family's primary language is non-English. Over 60% of families are low-income (by federal poverty guidelines) or on public assistance and over 16% of families served are homeless.

Improving educational and family outcomes and eliminating racial disparities for this community is an explicit goal of the program. The range of services is responsive and appropriate to each child and family's developmental, ethnic, cultural, and linguistic heritage and experience.

Designed to foster healthy cognitive, physical and social-emotional development, individualized services, in the areas of education and early childhood development, medical, dental, and mental health services, as well as nutrition, parent involvement/engagement, and family literacy, will be provided for each child and their family.

Recent scientific research has verified what early childhood educators have known for years, which is that how children are nurtured and educated in their youngest years has a significant impact on development, growth, ongoing academic performance and later academic and life success.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff Recommends That City Council Receive an Informational Report on the Head Start New Grant Award for FY 21-26 and An Implementation Update On the Grant and Supplemental Funding.

For questions regarding this report, please contact Diveena Cooppan, Human Services Division Manager, Early Childhood and Family Services, at (510) 238-7186.

Respectfully submitted,

SARA BEDFORD ^γ Director, Human Services Department

Reviewed by: Diveena Cooppan, Manager Early Childhood & Family Services Division

Prepared by: Tracey Black, HHS Planner Early Childhood & Family Services Division

Attachments (4): A, Informational Memo Dated September 14, 2021

- B. Resolution No. 88763 C.M.S.
- C. Resolution No. 88801 C.M.S.
- D. Ordinance No. 13230 C.M.S.



MEMORANDUM

TO:	HONORABLE MAYOR &
	CITY COUNCIL

Mych

SUBJECT: Head Start Informational Memo

City Administrator Approval FROM: Sara Bedford, Human Services DirectorDATE: September 08, 2021

Date Sep 14, 2021

INFORMATION

This informational memo provides background information regarding the City of Oakland's Head Start grant, both the most recently awarded grant for FY 2021-26 but also history on the program's most recent federal review findings and corrective action plan. This memo also includes the Head Start governance history and guiding legislation. Additional information was requested at the September 1, 2021, City Council meeting on this topic which is being compiled and will be presented later.

Current Grant Award

On June 30, 2021, the City of Oakland Head Start Program was awarded \$12,252,694 annually by the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Administration for Children and Families, Head Start for the next five years, fiscal years (FY) 2021-26, to serve 622 Oakland families with young children and pregnant women who have the highest need for support. In addition to the City's award, the Unity Council received an independent grant award of approximately \$5,574,000 to serve 368 children and pregnant women in Oakland. Overall, between the two agencies, Oakland will receive approximately \$17,827,000 to serve 990 children and pregnant women citywide. Last year the grant funded 1006 slots. The 16-slot difference is attributed to an increase in Early Head Start slots (smaller classrooms) and full-day services. In the previous 5-year cycle, the Head Start grant was awarded to the City of Oakland and the Unity Council served a similar number of children but as a delegate agency to the City's grant.

During the reapplication process, the Unity Council, a long-standing partner, and delegate agency of the City, made the determination that given its size and current standing as an independent grantee of Head Start (in Contra Costa County) it desired to apply separately. This decision was made with a commitment to continue to work with the City of Oakland to strengthen early childhood programming in Oakland, regardless of the award outcome. The City

of Oakland Head Start Program applied for the full funding available to the Oakland region which totaled \$17,826,886.

Three reports (attached) relate specifically to this application process and the online links are below:

- November 10, 2020 Requesting Council Authority to apply for the full amount of funding available to Oakland \$17.8 million (Attachment A); <u>https://oakland.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4687908&GUID=B6576596-</u> 9A68-46BC-9A73-57A741BBE572&Options=ID|Text|&Search=head+start
- July 1, 2021 Info Memo that indicated on June 30, 2021 the City was informed it was awarded \$12.2 million (Attachment B); <u>https://cao-</u> 94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/HS-New-Grant-Info-Memo 7.1.2021.pdf
- 3) July 20, 2021, Council report (Attachment C); outlining the new grant's program options and centers that would be closed as classrooms and re purposed for other program options such as the home-based program and the inclusion classroom. <u>https://oakland.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=5032672&GUID=9FA9DE22-</u>B749-4A4D-BA5C-AF8A29BA64F4&Options=ID|Text|&Search=head+start

Federal Review Background

In 2019, a federal review was conducted that resulted in two deficiency findings that triggered a need to re-compete for the City's next five-year grant for FY 2021-2026. The report for this review was received in September 2019 (Attachment D. The online link to the report on the Office of Head Start is currently not working but can usually be found here: <u>https://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/federal-monitoring/article/monitoring-review-reports?city=Oakland&county=Alameda%20County&state=CA</u>). The deficiencies were primarily related to the overall management of the program systems and use of data to drive quality program outcomes.

To address the deficiencies, City of Oakland Head Start embarked on a yearlong Quality Improvement Plan (**Attachment E**) revamping and instituting new management systems that included data collection and professional development across all review areas including data integrity and analysis. After a year of intensive work, the Federal Head Start Regional Office rereviewed the program in 2020 and all issues were found to be corrected which allowed the City to reapply for the new grant (**Attachment F**).

On January 28, 2020, the Head Start Advisory Board Chair presented the findings and the Quality Improvement Plan to the City Council's Life Enrichment Committee. (See Attachment G and the link to report following Council approval on February 4, 2020: https://oakland.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=3952314&GUID=9DDBC1D4-D28B-4D8D-836E-9E3A7E1305FA)

In Fall of 2020, the Department of Health and Human Services released a Head Start funding opportunity for the interested entities in Oakland under a Request for Proposals open to competitive bidding. Since there were already three grantees in the region, they indicated their willingness to fund "up to" three (3) entities: <u>https://ami.grantsolutions.gov/files/HHS-2021-ACF-OHS-CH-R09-1860_0.htm</u>

The City of Oakland applied for the full grant available that would have allowed for all City centers to remain open as well as investing in the innovative models to meet specific community needs.

Governance Background

The Advisory Board and the Parent Policy Council are official bodies recognized by the federal government as having governance roles over the Head Start program. Both bodies meet monthly, review, and approve monthly program reports, fiscal reports, and any critical policy and procedure changes. They also review the annual needs assessment, approve school readiness goals and other strategic documents. They provide a feedback loop from parents (each site also has a Parent Committee that has a representative on the Parent Policy Council). The Advisory Board membership

(<u>https://oakland.granicus.com/boards/w/8552f8c4c0e15460/boards/6689</u>) provide critical expertise and community coordination in early childhood development and includes two Head Start alumni.

The City Council has ultimate authority over the Head Start program, but the structure of the Advisory Board is designed to provide the Council with a structure that allows for monthly oversight of the program as required by Performance Standards. See attached legislation. Members are approved by the City Council. The Life Enrichment Chair has historically been the official representative, going back to Desley Brooks, Annie Campbell-Washington, Lynette McElhaney, and now Loren Taylor. (See **attachment H** and online link: https://oakland.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=77761&GUID=B9048126-579B-459C-953A-87348523ADCC&Options=ID|Text|Attachments|Other|&Search=83079)

The Advisory Board presented the Head Start review findings and Quality Improvement Plan in detail at LEC on January 28, 2020. The Board presented its Annual Report earlier on September 10, 2019 (Attachment I and link:

https://oakland.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=3952314&GUID=9DDBC1D4-D28B-4D8D-836E-9E3A7E1305FA). The Advisory Board and Parent Policy Chairs typically present annually to LEC.

Notification Timeline

The City of Oakland was notified on April 9, 2021, that the Office of Head Start wanted to discuss our competitive application, with no further details provided. The first meeting took place on April 26, 2021, to discuss a potential award of \$12.2 million. All City participants signed the confidentiality agreement to participate in the meeting and included: Jason Mitchell,

Assistant City Administrator, and HSD Director Sara Bedford, Head Start Manager Diveena Cooppan, and Christine Rolan, HSD Fiscal Manager. This meeting was still part of the competitive process and the Office of Head Start reserves the right to withdraw the tentative proposal. The City was aware that multiple entities bid on the grant.

This is the same process that was followed five years ago under similar circumstances. Given the reduction in funding leading to staff and service impacts key City staff including City Administrator's Office, Human Resources, and Budget were involved. As the Regional Office was more specific about requirements (the amount of funding was not negotiable) additional staff to support assessment of impact were included through the month of May. The Mayor was also notified as well as the City Administrator's Office: Jason Mitchell, Ed Reiskin, LaTonda Simmons. Human Services Department staff included Sara Bedford, Diveena Cooppan, Christine Rolan, Dylan Schubert, and Lucia Palacios (consultant/grant writer), Martina Bouey. Human Resources staff included Ian Appleyard, Greg Preece, and Jamie Pritchett.

The federal DC Office of Head Start did not approve and issue a confirmed and final offer until June 30, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

SARA BEDFORD ¹ Director, Human Services Department

For questions, please contact Diveena Cooppan, Human Services Manager – Head Start, at (510) 520-2926.

Attachments

- Attachment A: Oakland HS.EHS Program FY 21-26 Grant Application Nov 2020
- Attachment B: Grant Award Informational Memo, July 2021
- Attachment C: HS. EHS Program FY21-26 Grant Application July 2021
- Attachment D: OHS Monitoring Review Report 09CH010399
- Attachment E: City of Oakland Quality Improvement Plan
- Attachment F: OHS Monitoring Return Review Report 09CH010399
- Attachment G: Head Start Advisory Board Supplemental Report Jan 2020
- Attachment H: Head Start Advisory Panel, 83079 CMS
- Attachment I: Head Start Annual Report, September 2019

Grantee Name	City of Oakland Head Start	Plan Start Date	9/12/2019
Grant Award Number	09CH010399	Plan End Date	1/10/2020

1. Area of Deficiency: Program Management

10 111000120110101030	1 Togram Management	-	
Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes Why do we think this happened?	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
1302.101	During interviews, file	• City Hiring has a long timeframe to fill key	• Over the past year, the Advisory
Management	reviews and a review of data	program vacancies with qualified staff which	Board and Human Services
system. (a)	the management staff was	impacted consistent and effective program	Director have taken a strategic
Implementation.	unable to provide	monitoring and oversight.	role in the leadership of Head
	information regarding		Start. The Human Services
A program must	program performance.	• During the first 2.5 years of program	Director attends weekly
implement a		implementation, the program focused on	management and other key
management system	Management staff lacked the	reorganization of staffing. For example, the	strategic meetings in addition to
that:	knowledge and	long-time HS Director retired, and the City	the ongoing supervision of the
	understanding of how to use	had interim directors for two years.	Head Start Director. A new
(1) Ensures a	ChildPlus to effectively		interim director has been
program, fiscal, and	provide oversight.	• In addition to the leadership vacancy, the	appointed in July 2019, who has a
human resource		program experienced teaching shortages, like	strategic and operational planning
management	The management team was	many HS programs across the State. This	and implementation background.
structure that	not able to provide records of	resulted in management staff, including	
provides effective	training opportunities in the use of ChildPlus to	service area coordinators, to dedicate time to	• The Human Services Director
management and oversight of all	effectively monitor all	staff centers so services to children and	worked with the City's personnel
program areas and	service areas. This negatively	families would not be disrupted. Thus, the	and human resources department to fast track hiring of direct
fiduciary	impacted service delivery in	focus on developing and implementing	service teaching staff. This was
responsibilities to	health, disabilities, and	comprehensive management systems was	accomplished in PY 2018-19. This
enable delivery of	Eligibility Recruitment	delayed.	allowed management staff to turn

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
high-quality services in all of the program services described in subparts C, D, E, F, G, and H of this part;	Selection Enrollment and Attendance (ERSEA). The grantee did not implement Child Plus to ensure effective management and oversight of program services. Managers did not provide ongoing supervision and professional development to support individual staff. 1302.101(a)(2); 1302.92(b)	 The City hiring process is a multistep system and can add a significant delay to hiring new staff. On average the hiring of permanent staff takes 6 to 12 months. The program structure shifted from primarily a double-session, directly operated program with one delegate, to a program with multiple partners and mostly full-day sessions braided with State Preschool. During this period, the program was in its infancy stage of developing comprehensive management systems that incorporated monitoring and tracking performance data of collaborative partners. While management staff received training on data management, collection, and analysis, they were in the process of learning how to implement the system during Year 3 of the program. 	 their focus to management systems. Currently assessing and reorganizing the organizational structure to ensure that all key roles are staffed. Currently, working with ChildPlus to integrate the delegate and grantee database systems. Currently working with the delegate to facilitate interim changes to Child Plus while moving to a fully integrated system.

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key	y Element:	Program Perfo	ormance and Ma	anagement Information S	ystems - Data Collection	, Usability, and Analysis	
	ended tcome:	To gain greate of program se	-	nd understanding of Mana	gement Information syst	ems to provide managemen	t and oversigh
Imp	plementation Act	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Pro	ogram Perfo	rmance					
1.	Re-training on s operational plan processes for all staff - provide a foundation to fo execute strategic meet program ge objectives.	nning management solid ormulate and c plans to	By November 22, 2019	Program Director, Human Services Director		Training Agenda, Sign-in sheets, Training Manual, Training Evaluation Form.	
2.	Advisory Board Planning Works Strategic Planni and outline cale Strategic Plan D	hop to revisit ng Process ndar for	By January 08, 2019	Program Director, Human Services Director		Workshop Agenda, Workshop minutes, Strategic Planning Calendar, Sign-in sheets.	
3.	Develop and tra Strategic and Pr Planning policy procedure that is the Advisory Bo	ogram and s approved by	By January 08, 2019	Program Director, Human Services Director		Approved Strategic Planning Policy and Procedure, Training Agenda, Sign-in Sheets.	
1.	Begin implemen Strategic and Pr Planning Policy Procedure by up program plannin	ogram and odating a	Beginning January 06, 2019	Program Director, Management Team		Program planning calendar approved by the Advisory Board.	
5.	Update Ongoing and Continuous policy and proce train staff on upo	Improvement edure and	By December 06, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Updated policy and procedure, Training Agenda, sign-in sheets.	

Key Element:	Program Perfo	rmance and Ma	nagement Information S	ystems - Data Collection	, Usability, and Analysis	
Intended	To gain greate	r knowledge an	d understanding of Mana	agement Information syst	ems to provide managemen	t and oversight
Outcome:	of program ser	rvices.				
Implementation Ac	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
6. Enhance trainin management sta of data from pro performance me evaluation (inte advanced level	aff in the use ogram easurement to ermediate and	By October 31, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Sign-in Sheets and Training Agenda, Training evaluation form.	
7. Intermediate leve staff in understa data collected sl in program man	anding how hould be used	By October 31, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Sign-in Sheets and Training Agenda.	
8. Implement Ongo Monitoring and Improvement p developing an O Monitoring and Improvement W	Continuous olicy by Ongoing Continuous	By December 20, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Ongoing Monitoring and Continuous Improvement Workplan.	
9. Develop user-frie performance In Dashboards bas ChildPlus that a Executive Lead Council and the Board on a mor	endly data dicator sed on are shared with ership, Policy HS Advisory	By November 22, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Monthly Data Performance Indicator Dashboards	
10. Present a quarter Information Reg on data from Cl informs the stat compliance that Executive Lead Council and the Board.	port that draws hildPlus and tus of program t is shared with ership, Policy	By December 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Quarterly Program Information Report	

Key Element:	Program Perfe	ormance and Ma	nagement Information S	Systems - Data Collection	, Usability, and Analysis	
Intended			d understanding of Man	agement Information syst	ems to provide management	t and oversight
Outcome:	of program se					
Implementation Ac	ctivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Management I	nformation	Systems				
1. Revisit organizat ensure staff nee out operations a	eded to carry	By October 2019	Human Services Director, Program Director		New organizational structure	
2. Evaluate existing system and iden needed improve	tify areas of	By October 25, 2019	ERSEA/Data Coordinator, Program Director		Child Plus System Evaluation Report.	
 Update existing database system grantee and dele Align event service area Transfer da delegate to base – so th CP system. Train both g delegate sta aligned syst collection a workflow. 	g ChildPlus n to merge the egate systems: ts in each ta from grantee data nat there is one grantee and aff on new tem, data and entry	Begins – By October 28, 2019 and completion by January 2020.	ERSEA/Data Coordinator and Management Staff		 ChildPlus Specialist reports on merger and data integration Assessment Report Plan for Merger of Systems Regular Reports on Merger 	
 Review and upda and procedures areas to ensure Child Plus use f oversight. Train revised policies procedures. 	for all service inclusion of for program n staff on	By November 30, 2019	Management Staff, Program Director		Finalized Policies and Procedures that include Child Plus for program oversight.	

Key Element:	Program Perfe	ormance and Ma	nagement Information	Systems - Data Collection,	Usability, and Analysis	
Intended			d understanding of Mar	nagement Information syste	ms to provide managemen	t and oversigh
Outcome:	of program se	rvices.				
Implementation A	ctivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
5. Modify ChildPlu specific service include detailed on who is respo enter data, type need to be colle frequency of m data, and which reviewed on a re	areas that l information nsible, how to s of data that exted, onitoring of a reports are	By December 13, 2019	ERSEA/Data Coordinator		Modified ChildPlus manuals	
6. Assess Child Plu all management according to be intermediate an level and Child assessment tool trainer conducts trainings in grou to skill level (B Intermediate and	s skill set of t staff ginner, d advanced Plus skill . Child Plus s Child Plus ups according eginners,	By November 30, 2019	Data Coordinator, Coach Coordinator, Program Director		Child Plus Assessment Report for each management staff. Sign-in Sheets and Training Agenda. Improved data/analysis in monthly reports to policy groups.	
7. Implement updat procedure by procedure by procedure by proceeding of the process of the proce	resenting lus reports at eetings that ts for program	By December 1, 2019	All Management staff, Program Director	ChildPlus Management Reports To Do List in ChildPlus	Weekly Child Plus Reports in all Service Areas, Meeting Minutes.	
8. Assess Child Plus reports and file weekly, during	review bi-	Ongoing beginning October 21, 2019	Supervisors, Program Director		Supervision Record Form	

Key Element:					, Usability, and Analysis			
Intended Outcome:	To gain greate of program set	r knowledge and understanding of Management Information systems to provide management and oversight						
Implementation Activities		Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities		
 Include Child Plu of growth and de all management professional dev plans, as well as specific expecta strategies for ma staff to attain the mastery. 	evelopment in staff velopment s include ations and anagement	By November 08, 2019	Management Staff, Program Director		Signed Professional Development Plans			
10. Include the expe advanced Child knowledge and to effectively m provide progran key role and fun management sta	Plus understanding onitor and n oversight as a action of all	By October 11, 2019	Program Director		Management Staff Role and Function Document			
11. Get approval fro a new position of Data Specialist ongoing Child F implementation management to Monitors quality use of reports, s program oversig plus trouble sho grantee, delegat sites.	om the City for of Child Plus to ensure Plus skill and from field staff. y of data entry, upports ght and child poting at	By January 08, 2019	Human Services Director, Program Director		City Resolution approving new position.			

Key Element:	Program Perfo	ormance and Ma	anagement Information S	ystems - Data Collection	, Usability, and Analysis	
Intended			nd understanding of Mana	gement Information syst	ems to provide managemen	t and oversight
Outcome:	of program se					
Implementation Ac	ctivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Ongoing Super	rvision to inc	lividual sta	ff			
1. Update City polic procedure on su specify the step supervision, fre tasks for discus train supervisor implementing po	ipervision to s for equency, and sion, as well as rs on	By October 25, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Supervision policy and procedure, sign- in sheets, Training agenda, supervision documentation forms.	
2. Conduct quarterly random audit of supervisor records to ensure supervision is occurring.		By November 30, 2019	HS Planner, and Program Director, Program Supervisor of Business Services.		Audit report	
Ongoing profe	ssional deve	lopment to :	individual staff			
1. Assign the profes development sy Coach Coordina be responsible f policy and proc professional dev plans, training s supervisors on i policy, and trac ChildPlus.	estem to the ator who will for updating the edure on velopment staff and implementing king PD in	By October 15, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Program Director		Updated policy and procedure, sign-in sheets, Training agenda, professional development plans for all staff.	
2. Update the profest development por procedure.		By October 25, 2019	Coach Coordinator			
3. Implement the up by developing a professional dev	n program wide	By November 1, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Program Director		HS Professional Development and	

Key Element:	Program Performance and Management Information Systems - Data Collection, Usability, and Analysis						
Intended Outcome:		To gain greater knowledge and understanding of Management Information systems to provide management and oversight of program services.					
Implementation Act	ivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities	
strategy and imp plan including a professional deve priorities and acti year, mandatory a monitoring too monitoring of PL	calendar of elopment vities for the trainings and l for ongoing				Training Strategic Plan.		
4. Monitor implement performance dev plans through ind supervision and performance eval	elopment lividual mid-year	Ongoing beginning December 2, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Supervisors, Program Director		Supervision documentation forms, Audit report of performance plans.		

2. Area of Deficiency: Ongoing Monitoring and Continuous Improvement

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes Why do we think this happened?	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
 1302.102 Achieving program goals. (c) Using data for continuous improvement. (2) This process must:(iv) Use information from ongoing monitoring 	The grantee did not ensure data is aggregated, analyzed and compared in such a way to assist the delegate and partners in identifying risks and informing strategies for	• The City of Oakland Hiring Process is a lengthy and time-consuming process that makes filling any new vacancy a challenge. New Hires can take anywhere from 6 months to 1 year depending on competing City priorities. The City's hiring process follows a rigorous 32 step process to hire a full-time employee	• The Human Services Director worked with the City's personnel and human resources department to fast track hiring of direct service teaching staff. This was accomplished in PY 2018- 19 and the following activities continue: weekly meetings with the Head Start HR Supervisor and the

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
and the annual self- assessment, and program data on teaching practice, staffing and professional development, child- level assessments, family needs assessments, and comprehensive services, to identify program needs, and develop and implement plans for program improvement; and,	continuous improvement in all program service areas. The grantee was not collecting program performance data for delegate and partners and was not tracking outcomes in Child Plus; therefore the grantee was unable to demonstrate or use data to aggregate, analyze, and use the data to support the delegate and partners in improving teaching practices, making adjustments in services to help families achieve their goals, improving the child health services or any other comprehensive services provided.	 During the initial two years of the program, grantee staff focused on providing direct services due to staffing shortages so as not to affect children and families; therefore, management, monitoring and oversight of delegate and partners was delayed. In the re-organization of the program, a new Program Supervisor position was created to manage the delegate and collaborative partners. The specific functions and duties of this position as it relates to ongoing monitoring and the overall role of service area managers was not clearly defined. Due to all the changes over the last 5 years the program was in an infancy stage of developing comprehensive management systems that incorporated monitoring and tracking performance data of collaborative partners. 	 City HR Team to prioritize vacancies and keep processes moving. Assessment of individual and collective knowledge of management staff to fully understand data to assess goals, continuous improvement, and supportive operational plans. Work functionality statements were written for the various positions of the organization to include monitoring of partners and delegate. The various levels are as follows: Level 1 – Program Director Level 2 – Program Supervisors Level 3 – Program Coordinators (Content and School Readiness) Level 4 – Field-Based and Other Staff (Center Directors and Family Advocates) Level 5 – Direct Services Non-Supervisory Staff Re-organization of the program structure is in process, as mentioned in the first Area of Deficiency.

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key Element:		-	a Evaluation of Delegate				
Intended Outcome:	To assess regular performance and compliance of delegate and partners on a regular basis and use data support in improving teaching practices, making adjustments in services to help families achieve their goals, improving the health services or any other comprehensive services provided.						
Implementation Ac	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities	
Data Aggregat	ion, Analysi	s and Comp	arison				
1. Re-train managen the use of data fro performance mea evaluation.	om program	By October 31, 2019	HS Planner		Sign-in Sheets and Training Agenda.		
2. Assess the quality performance data and partners base Performance Indi monthly.	for delegate	Beginning November 2019 and ongoing throughout the year	Program Supervisor of Collaboration, HS Planner, Program Director, Management Staff		Key Performance Indicator Reports		
 Enhance compreh Ongoing Monitor Continuous Impre- includes regular (monthly, quarterl and evaluation ac analysis and repor analysis and evaluation Program Planning policies and proce 	ring and ovement that (weekly, y) monitoring ctivities, rting, program uation. (See g Section for edures)	By Oct. 31, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, monitoring reports, Analysis and evaluation reports.		
4. Use information f ongoing monitori annual self-assess program data on t practice, staffing professional deve child-level assess	ing and the sment, and teaching and lopment,	By November 30, 2019	Program Supervisor of Collaboration, HS Planner, Program Director, Management Staff		Monitoring Reports, annual self- assessment, child- level assessments, family needs assessments.		

Key Element:	Ongoing Mon	itoring and Dat	a Evaluation of Delegate	e and Partners		
Intended					gular basis and use data su	
Outcome:			making adjustments in s comprehensive services		chieve their goals, improvin	ng the child
Implementation A		Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
family needs ass and comprehensi- to identify progra and develop and plans for program improvement. In monitoring syste delegate and part inclusive of issui monitoring repor sent to the delegat partners executive team with expect plans for correcti	ive services, am needs, implement n plement the m to include thers ing rts that are ate or re leadership tations for ion.					
 Update ChildPlua including mergin delegate CP to gr system to allow t data to track outo Child Plus for all areas. 	ng of rantee the use of comes in	By January 2020	Program Director, ChildPlus Specialist, ERSEA/Data Coordinator and Management Staff		Merged Child Plus System	
 Include delegate performance data monthly Data Pe Indicator Dashbo quarterly trend a Highlight progre delegate and part reports that are p the Policy Counc 	a in the prformance pards, nalysis. ss of each tner in the provided to	By December 30, 2019	Program Supervisor of HR and Business, HS Planner, Supervisor of Comprehensive services, Program Director		Monthly, Data Performance Indicator Dashboards, Quarterly Monitoring Report	

Key Element:	Ongoing Mon	itoring and Dat	a Evaluation of Delegate	and Partners				
Intended Outcome:	improving tea	To assess regular performance and compliance of delegate and partners on a regular basis and use data support in improving teaching practices, making adjustments in services to help families achieve their goals, improving the child health services or any other comprehensive services provided.						
Implementation Ac	ctivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities		
Advisory Board. analysis to suppo and partners to ir teaching practice services to help f achieve their goa child health servi other comprehens provided. 7. Develop the fram methodology for	ort delegate nprove s, adjust in families ils, improve ices and all sive services nework and a	By January 08, 2020	Program Supervisor of Collaboration,		Framework for Annual Evaluation of			
comprehensive a evaluation of del partners using ag from monitoring identify risks and strategies for com improvement in a service areas whi part of the annual special condition	egate and gregate data reports to l inform attinuous all program ich become l contract with		HS Planner, Program Director		Delegate and Partners			
 Monthly meeting management tear ongoing monitor to make improve adjustments as no 	n to discuss ing reports and ments and	Beginning October 25, 2020	Program Supervisor of Collaboration, HS Planner, Program Director		Sign-in sheets, meeting agendas.			
9.Child Plus Data S Monitoring and H Analyst hiring re submitted to HR.	Evaluation quisition.	By January 08, 2020	Human Services Director, Program Director		Approval to hire Limited Duration Employee.			

Key Element:	Ongoing Mon	Ongoing Monitoring and Data Evaluation of Delegate and Partners					
Intended		o assess regular performance and compliance of delegate and partners on a regular basis and use data support in					
Outcome:				services to help families ac	hieve their goals, improv	ing the child	
	health services	ealth services or any other comprehensive services provided.					
Implementation Act	ctivities Timeline Staff responsible Resources/ TTA Documentation Status of Activities						
will hold lead Chi	ld Plus and						
Ongoing Monitoring and							
Continuous Impro responsibility.	ovement						

3. Area of Non-Compliance: Program Management

Performance Standard	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
1302.91 Staff qualifications and competency requirements. (a) Purpose. A program must ensure all staff, consultants, and contractors engaged in the delivery of program services have sufficient knowledge, training and experience, and competencies to fulfill the roles and responsibilities of their positions and to ensure high-quality service delivery in accordance with the program performance standards. A program must provide ongoing training and professional development to support staff in fulfilling their roles and responsibilities.	The grantee did not ensure that all of the program managers had an effective system to utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to ensure high- quality service delivery. The grantee did not demonstrate program oversight to ensure that all staff, consultants, and contractors had sufficient knowledge and training to implement program services as evident in health services, ERSEA, and staff qualifications.	 During the first 2.5 years of program implementation, the program focused on reorganization of staffing. For example, the long-time HS Director retired, and the City had interim directors for two years. The program also re-organized to support a smaller number of directly operated, managed centers and an increased number of children served through partnerships. In addition to the leadership vacancy, the program experienced teaching shortages, like many HS programs across the State. This resulted in management staff, including service area coordinators, to dedicate time to staff centers so services to children and families would not be disrupted. Thus, the focus on developing and implementing comprehensive management systems, inclusive of program oversight, was delayed until Year 3. 	 Management systems that incorporate program supervision are being developed thus creating greater accountability. In the current re-organization, the Coach Coordinator's duties will be refined to include overall responsibility for training and professional development.

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key Element:	Knowledge and Training of Program Staff to Implement Program Services					
Intended Outcome:	The successful implementation of program services that are in compliance with applicable HSPPS, as well as meet best practice standards.					
Implementation Activities		Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Staff Knowledg	ge, Training	and Experience	· ·		·	
1. Re-train all manag in the Performan		By November 25, 2019.	Coach Coordinator, Program Director		Sign-in sheet and training agenda.	
2. Re-train manager the use of data fi performance mea evaluation.	rom program	By October 31, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Sign-in Sheets and Training Agenda.	
3. Add a performance the annual performance appraisal on main knowledge and the service areas.	rmance ntaining	By January 8, 2020	Program Supervisor of Business Services		Performance Appraisals	
4. Monitor implement performance dev plans quarterly.		Ongoing beginning October 31, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Supervisors, Program Director		Supervision documentation forms, Audit report of performance plans.	

Performance Standard	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
1302.92 Training and professional development. (b) A program must establish and implement a systematic approach to staff training and professional development designed to assist staff in acquiring or increasing the knowledge and skills needed to provide high- quality, comprehensive services within the scope of their job responsibilities, and attached to academic credit as appropriate. At a minimum, the system must include: (1) Staff completing a minimum of 15 clock hours of professional development per year. For teaching staff, such professional development must meet the requirements described in section 648A(a)(5) of the Act. (2) Training on methods to handle suspected or known child	The grantee did not ensure the program had established and implemented a systematic approach to staff training and professional development designed to assist staff in acquiring or increasing the knowledge and skills needed to provide high-quality, comprehensive services within the scope of their job responsibilities, and attached to academic credit as appropriate. In interviews with the Head Start/Early Head Start Director, Human Resource/Fiscal Coordinator, Health/Nutrition Coordinator, and two ERSEA support staff, they were not able to provide documentation of staff training. The management team stated they were still relying on expertise from consultants due to their lack of knowledge in their newly assigned content areas. The Director and management team were not able to provide professional development plans	 Training and professional development activities were distributed among all service area Coordinators and the four Program Supervisors. The responsibility for managing, coordinating, and tracking ongoing staff training and professional development was not specifically assigned to any one person. The first 2.5 years of the program were dedicated to staffing the centers, resulting in service area coordinators having to fill in vacancies at the centers so as not to affect children and families. This resulted in the delay of the creation of management systems and policies/procedures for service areas, inclusive of professional development plans. 	• The Coach Coordinator's job responsibilities have been expanded to include oversight of managing, coordinating, and tracking ongoing staff training and professional development.

abuse and neglect cases, that comply withto support the staff's growth and development.	
applicable federal, state, local, and tribal laws; (3) Training for child and family services staff on best practices for implementing family engagement strategies in a systemic way, as described throughout this part; (4) Training for child and family services staff, including staff that work on family services, health, and disabilities, that builds their knowledge, experience, and competencies to improve child and family outcomes; and, (5) Research-based approaches to professional development for education staff, that are focused on effective curricula implementation, knowledge of the content in Head Start Early Learning Outcomes Framework: Ages Birth to Five, partnering with	

Performance Standard	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
families, supporting children with disabilities and their families, providing effective and nurturing adult-child interactions, supporting dual language learners as appropriate, addressing challenging behaviors, preparing children and families for transitions (as described in subpart G of this part), and use of data to individualize learning experiences to improve outcomes for all children.			

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key Element:	Training and professional development.					
Intended	The successful implementation of program services that are in compliance with applicable HSPPS, as well as meet best					
Outcome: practice standards.						
Implementation A	ctivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Training and p	orofessional	developmen	nt:			
1. Refresher trainin management sta Performance Sta	aff in the	By November 25, 2019.	Coach Coordinator, Program Director		Sign-in sheet and training agenda.	
2. Train manageme use of data from performance me evaluation.	n program	By October 31, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Sign-in Sheets and Training Agenda.	
3. Add a performan the annual perfo appraisal on ma knowledge and service areas.	ormance iintaining	By January 8, 2020	Program Supervisor of Business Services		Performance Appraisals of staff's participation in at least 15 clock hours of professional development (1302.92)	
 Update policy an on professional plans and traini Train and monit supervisors on i policy. 	development ng protocol. tor (quarterly) mplementing	By October 31, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Program Director, HR and Business Supervisor		Updated policy and procedure, sign-in sheets, Training agenda, professional development plans for all staff.	
5. Program Wide P Development S Implementation	trategy and Plan	By October 25, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Program Director		Professional Development Strategy and Implementation Plan.	
6. Monitor impleme performance de plans quarterly.	velopment	Ongoing beginning November 18, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Supervisors, Program Director		Supervision documentation forms, Audit report of performance plans.	

5. Area of Non-Compliance: Ongoing Monitoring and Continuous Improvement						
Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?			
1302.102 Achieving program goals. (c) Using data for continuous improvement. (2) This process must: (i) Ensure data is aggregated, analyzed and compared in such a way to assist agencies in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas;	The grantee did not ensure data is aggregated, analyzed, and compared in such a way to assist agencies in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas. The grantee was not collecting program performance data for delegate and partners and was not tracking outcomes in Child Plus. During the data tour, data entry was incomplete or inaccurate. During the review of policies and procedures, many inconsistent versions were provided by the management team and support staff. The inconsistent data, policies, and procedures made it difficult for managers to identify risks and inform strategies for continuous	 The program's policies and procedures for data entry into ChildPlus, monitoring of data integrity in ChildPlus, and analyzing data for continuous improvement was in the process of being created in Year 3 of the program. Regular compliance and accountability reports using data from ChildPlus were not required in the first 2.5 years of the program, given the program's priorities to fill center staff vacancies. 	Policies and procedures are being updated for all content areas.			

5. Area of Non-Compliance: Ongoing Monitoring and Continuous Improvement
Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
	program improvement. During staff interviews, data tours, review of child files, and a review of the Child Plus database had inaccurate data for 60 out of 85 ERSEA child files reviewed. The Disabilities Coordinator identified 36 children in the various stages of referral to the Local Education Agency (LEA) for Individual Education Plans (IEP) and Individual Family Service Plans (IFSP) and assumed the program had met the 10 percent disabilities requirement. The Disabilities Coordinator inaccurately counted children in the evaluation process as children with identified disabilities when in fact was not yet determined. The grantee is not using data for decision-making or to make program improvements in all service areas.		

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
	As a result, the grantee did not ensure data is aggregated, analyzed and compared in such a way to assist the delegate and partners in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas; therefore, it is not in compliance with the regulation.		
	Information was not used for ongoing monitoring and improvement of teaching practices, child- level assessments, family outcomes, health and safety practices, and other comprehensive services. 1302.102(c)(2)(iv)		

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key Element:		Ongoing Monitoring and Data Evaluation of All Program Services				
Intended Outcome:	improving te	assess regular performance and compliance of all program services on a regular basis and use data support in proving teaching practices, making adjustments in services to help families achieve their goals, improving the ild health services or any other comprehensive services provided.				
Implementation Ac	ctivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Data Aggregat	ion, Analys	is and Con	iparison			
1. Train management use of data from p performance meat evaluation.	nt staff in the program	By October 31, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Sign-in Sheets and Training Agenda.	
2. Implement Ongoi Monitoring and C Improvement pol- developing an On Monitoring and C Improvement Wo	Continuous icy by ngoing Continuous	By December 20, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Ongoing Monitoring and Continuous Improvement Workplan.	
3. Implement the mo system, inclusive monitoring report shared with the Po and Head Start Ao Board on a quarte	onitoring of issuing ts that are olicy Council dvisory	By January 08, 2019	Program Supervisor of Collaboration, HS Planner, Program Director, Management Staff		Monitoring Reports	
4. Enhance ChildPlu merge delegate da grantee Child Plu allow the use of d outcomes in Child service areas.	ata into is system to lata to track	By January 2020	Program Director, ChildPlus Specialist, ERSEA/Data Coordinator and Management Staff		Merged Child Plus System	
5. Develop a month Performance Indi Dashboard that in delegate and parti performance data	cator ncludes ner	By October 31, 2019	Program Supervisor of Collaboration, HS Planner, Program Director		Monthly, Data Performance Indicator Dashboards	

Key Element:	Ongoing Monitoring and Data Evaluation of All Program Services					
Intended	To assess regular performance and compliance of all program services on a regular basis and use data support in					
Outcome:		mproving teaching practices, making adjustments in services to help families achieve their goals, improving the hild health services or any other comprehensive services provided.				
Implementation Act		Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
monthly dashboard Policy Council and Advisory Board.						
6. Use monitoring da conducting quarter analysis that culmi quarterly monitori support staff to im teaching practices services to help fa achieve their goals child health service other comprehensis provided. The qua monitoring report shared with Execu Leadership, Policy and HS Advisory	rly trend inates into a ing report to aprove , adjust milies s, improve ces or all ive services arterly will be tive y Council	By December 2019	Program Supervisor of Collaboration, HS Planner, Program Director		Quarterly Monitoring Report	
7. Develop Data Perr Indicator Dashboa ChildPlus that is s Executive Leaders Council and the H Board on a month	ards based on shared with ship, Policy IS Advisory	By October 31, 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Monthly Data Performance Indicator Dashboards	
8. Develop a quarter Information Report on data from Child informs the status compliance that is	rt that draws dPlus and of program	By December 2019	HS Planner, Program Director		Quarterly Program Information Report	

Key Element:	Ongoing Mo	Ongoing Monitoring and Data Evaluation of All Program Services				
Intended	To assess reg	gular performa	nce and compliance of	all program services on a	regular basis and use dat	ta support in
Outcome:				s in services to help familie	es achieve their goals, in	nproving the
	child health	child health services or any other comprehensive services provided.				
Implementation Activities		Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Executive Leadership, Policy Council and the HS Advisory Board.						

	. Area of Non-Compliance: Supporting Teachers in Promoting School Readiness							
Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes Why do we think this happened?	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?					
 1302.91 Staff qualifications and competency requirements. (e) Child and family services staff. (2) Head Start center-based teacher qualification requirements. (ii) As prescribed in section 648A(a)(3)(B) of the Act, a program must ensure all center-based teachers have at least an associate's or bachelor's degree in child development or early childhood education, equivalent coursework, or otherwise meet the requirements of section 648A(a)(3)(B) of the Act. 	The grantee did not ensure all delegate and partner Head Start teachers, Early Head Start teachers, and home visitors met the qualification requirements. A review of the delegate and partner's staff qualification tracking sheet indicated 21 out of 80 Head Start teachers did not have an associate's or bachelor's degree and 16 out of 54 Early Head Start teachers did not have a Child Development Associate credential (CDA). In addition, 4 out of 17 Early Head Start home visitors did not have the minimum of a home- based CDA. Additionally, the delegate and partner staff files did not contain an Individual Professional Development Plans to pursue the appropriate qualifications.	 In the re-organization of the program, a new Program Supervisor position was created to manage the delegate and partners. The specific functions and duties of this position as it relates to ongoing monitoring and the overall role of service area managers was not clearly defined. The program's ongoing monitoring system for delegates and partners was in the infancy stage of development. After year one of the grant it became apparent that a better staffing approach was needed to support partners and delegates. The Program Supervisor position was created and finally implemented in late year-two of the five-year grant. 	 Revised partner and delegate scope of work and agreed on clear expectations for all partners to align all systems and they will be regularly monitored regarding qualifications requirements. Provided additional funds in Year 4 contracts to ensure partners and delegates are able to achieve professional development towards qualifications training of staff. 					

6. Area of Non-Compliance: Supporting Teachers in Promoting School Readiness

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes Why do we think this happened?	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
	The grantee did not ensure all delegate and partner Head Start teachers, Early Head Start teachers, and home visitors met the qualification requirements; therefore, it was not in compliance with the regulation.		

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key Element:	Staff qualifications and competency requirements.					
Intended Outcome:	All delegate and partner Head Start teachers, Early Head Start teachers, and home visitors meet the qualification requirements.					
Implementation Act	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Qualification &	c Competen	cy requiren	nents:			
1. Review delegate a staff qualification the beginning of year. Ensure on-g submission of tra information as no hired.	ns checklist at the program going ucking	By October 30, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Supervisor for Delegate and partners		Completed and Signed staff qualifications checklist, background checks, transcripts, from partners and delegates. HR Staff qualifications monitoring reports.	
2. Review individua development pla who do not meet	ns for all staff	By October 30, 2019	Coach Coordinator		Signed copies of performance development plans.	

Key Element:	Staff qualifications and competency requirements.					
Intended Outcome:	All delegate a requirements.	All delegate and partner Head Start teachers, Early Head Start teachers, and home visitors meet the qualification requirements.				
Implementation Act	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
qualifications rec the start of the pr	quirement at ogram year.					
3. Biannual audit of partner staff by o staff files to asse with performance plans related to q	classroom and ss progress e development	By December 13, 2019	Coach Coordinator		Performance Plan audit report.	
 plans related to qualifications. 4. Update qualifications policy and procedure to include monitoring of partner and delegate qualifications and performance development plans. 		By November 25, 2019	Coach Coordinator, HS Planner		Updated qualifications and competency policy and procedure.	

7. Area of Non-Compliance: Child Health Status and Care							
Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?				
1302.42 Child health status and care (b) Ensuring up-to-date child health status. (1) Within 90 calendar days after the child first attends the program or, for the home- based program option, receives a home visit, with the exceptions noted in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, a program must: (i) Obtain determinations from health care and oral health care professionals as to whether or not the child is up-to-date on a schedule of age appropriate preventive and primary medical and oral health care, based on: the well- child visits and dental periodicity schedules as prescribed by the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) program of the Medicaid agency of the state in which they operate,	The grantee did not ensure up-to-date health care status for children attending the program by obtaining determinations from health care and oral health care professionals as to whether or not the child is up-to-date on a schedule of age- appropriate preventive and primary medical and oral health care, based on the well-child visits and dental periodicity schedules as prescribed by the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) within 90 days. The grantee did not have a system to accurately ensure children were up- to-date on age-appropriate medical and oral health as required by EPSDT. During the health data tour, the Health/Nutrition Coordinator provided	 As centers were short-staffed during the first 2.5 years of the program, service area coordinators and family advocates were required to staff classrooms to ensure services to children and families were not disrupted. The Family Services Coordinator position was initially vacant and was filled in August 2018. The Program Supervisor for Partners and Delegates was hired in March 2018. The role and responsibilities of the Health/Nutrition Coordinator include oversight of the central kitchen, which impedes regular monitoring and follow-up activities. 	• The program has modified a newly vacant Family Advocate role to serve as a Family Advocate – health specialist who will be responsible for following up with all families who are not meeting health or oral health requirements. Program is in the process of hiring a temporary employee to this role while the City recruitment process is underway.				

7. Area of Non-Compliance: Child Health Status and Care

Performance Standards			Actions taken to address this specific
	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
immunization recommendations issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and any additional recommendations from the local Health Services Advisory Committee that are based on prevalent community health problems;	child files and ChildPlus data reports which showed at the time of the review out of 1006 children,141 physical exams were not completed, 241 immunizations were not up-to-date, and 121 dental screenings were not completed. The Health/Nutrition Coordinator confirmed that all required screenings had not been completed. The grantee did not ensure up-to-date health care status for children attending the program by obtaining determinations from health care and oral health care professionals as to whether or not the child is up-to-date on a schedule of age- appropriate preventive and primary medical and oral health care, based on the well-child visits and dental periodicity schedules as prescribed by		

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
	the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) within 90 days; therefore, it was not in compliance with the regulation.		

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key Element:	Child health status and care					
Intended	Ensure up-to-o	Ensure up-to-date health care status for children attending the program,				
Outcome:						
Implementation Act	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
Medical and O	ral Health R	Requiremen	ts:			
1. Active recruitme and Nutrition Co Health Specialist	oordinator and	By January 08, 2020	HR Supervisor, Comprehensive Services Supervisor, Program Director		Approved requisition	
2. Train temporary H Specialist and Co Services supervi Health Status and requirements and through Child Pl	omprehensive sor on Child d Care 1 monitoring	By October 30, 2019	Coach Coordinator, Program Director		Training Agenda and Sign-in sheets.	
3. Weekly Health Sta Plus reports pres management me	ented at	Beginning October 2019	All Management staff, Program Director		Weekly Child Plus Reports, Meeting Minutes.	

Key Element:	Child health status and care					
Intended Outcome:	Ensure up-to-	Ensure up-to-date health care status for children attending the program,				
Implementation Activities		Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
demonstrates interest of the reports for program performance.						
4. Assess Child Plus and file review of supervision.	•	Beginning October 2019	Supervisors, Program Director		Supervision Record Form	
5. Quarterly Child Pl Child Health Sta		beginning November 2019	Data Coordinator, HS Planner, Program Director		Child Health Status Audit Report. Child File audit form.	

8. Area of Non-Compliance: Eligibility

8. Area of Non-Compliance:	Englointy		
Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes Why do we think this happened?	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
1302.12 Determining, verifying, and documenting eligibility. (k) Records. (1) A program must keep eligibility determination records for each participant and ongoing records of the eligibility training for staff required by paragraph (m) of this section. A program may keep these records electronically. (2) Each eligibility determination record must include: (i) Copies of any documents or statements, including declarations, that are deemed necessary to verify eligibility under paragraphs (h) and (i) of this section; (ii) A statement that program staff has made reasonable efforts to verify information by: (A) Conducting either an in- person, or a telephone interview with the family	The grantee did not maintain child files with an eligibility record that included the child's eligibility category, documentation that staff completed an in-person or phone interview with the family, and the documents used to determine eligibility for each child or pregnant woman. At the time of the review, 60 out of the 85 child files sampled did not contain the required documentation. When comparing the child files with the ChildPlus system, documentation was inconsistent regarding family size calculation, eligibility categories, and incorrect income verification. A review of child file applications found several did not have the required signature of a grantee staff member	 The long-time ERSEA Coordinator left before receipt of the new grant. The Business Services Supervisor was also the ERSEA/Data Coordinator from July 2016 to the new hire in May 2017. Supervision and oversight for the ERSEA system changed three times during the first three years, which led to limitations in institutional knowledge. 	 The ERSEA service area was moved under the Program Director to provide more effective oversight. ERSEA policies and procedures are being revised to ensure consistency of data entry, record collection and review, as well as the electronic retention of source documentation.

Performance Standards			Actions taken to address this specific
	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
as described under	responsible for		
paragraph $(a)(1)(i)$ or	determining eligibility.		
(a)(2) of this section; and,	Family Advocates		
(B) Describing efforts	confirmed not all		
made to verify eligibility,	applications were signed		
as required under	and documentation was		
paragraphs (h) through (i)	omitted from child files.		
of this section; and,	In addition, child files		
collecting documents	sampled revealed the		
required for third party	following 10 files did not		
verification that includes	utilize the appropriate		
the family's written	year-to-date eligibility		
consent to contact each	calculation when verifying		
third party, the third	income; 21 files contained		
parties' names, titles, and	incomplete documentation		
affiliations, and	of third-party verification		
information from third	of income; 31 files had		
parties regarding the	application dates after the		
family's eligibility. (iii) A	eligibility date.		
statement that identifies			
whether: (A) The family's	The grantee did not		
income is below income	maintain child files with		
guidelines for its size, and	an eligibility record that		
lists the family's size; (B)	included the child's		
The family is eligible for	eligibility category,		
or, in the absence of child	documentation that staff		
care, potentially eligible	completed an in-person or		
for public assistance; (C)	phone interview with the		
The child is a homeless	family, and the documents		
child or the child is in	used to determine		
foster care; (D) The	eligibility for each child or		

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
family was determined to be eligible under the criterion in paragraph (c)(2) of this section; or, (E) The family was determined to be eligible under the criterion in paragraph (d)(1) of this section. (3) A program must keep eligibility determination records for those currently enrolled, as long as they are enrolled, and, for one year after they have either stopped receiving services; or are no longer enrolled.	pregnant woman; therefore, it was not in compliance with the regulation.		

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key Element:	Determining,	verifying, and c	locumenting eligibility.			
Intended Outcome:	Program keep	s eligibility dete	ermination records for eac	ch participant.		
Implementation Ac	ctivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
1. Update all ERSE and procedures.		By October 31, 2019	ERSEA/Data Coordinator, HS Program Planner		Finalized ERSEA policies and procedures	
2. Re-train manage ERSEA, and fa staff on ERSEA standards and do of eligibility.	mily advocate performance	By November 22, 2019	ERSEA/Data Coordinator, Coach Coordinator		Sign-in sheets, agenda, evaluation forms	
3. Enhance existing database system grantee and dele as well as to ref data is collected within ChildPlu	n to merge the egate systems, format how d and reported lss.	Begins – October 2019 and completion by January 2020	Program Director, ChildPlus Specialist, ERSEA/Data Coordinator and Management Staff		 ChildPlus Specialist reports on merger and data integration Assessment Report Plan for Merger of Systems Regular Reports on Merger 	
 Modify ChildPlu ERSEA to incluinformation on data, types of da be collected, free monitoring of d reports are revie regular basis. 	ide detailed how to enter ata that need to equency of ata, and which	By December 2019	Program Director, ChildPlus Specialist, ERSEA/Data Coordinator and Management Staff		ChildPlus ERSEA Manual	
5. Audit 100% of Files for grante partners.		Quarterly Audit Beginning	Program Director, ChildPlus Specialist, ERSEA/Data		ERSEA audit report and correction action plans	

Key Element:	Determining, verifying, and documenting eligibility.					
Intended	Program keeps eligibility determination records for each participant.					
Outcome:						
Implementation Act	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
		November, 2019	Coordinator and Management Staff			
6. Conduct Monthly of ERSEA child grantee, partner a	files of	Beginning November, 2019	Program Director, ChildPlus Specialist, ERSEA/Data Coordinator and Management Staff		ERSEA Monitoring Report, correction action plans, Weekly Enrollment Reports. Child File audit form.	

9. Area of Non-Compliance: Eligibility

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
1302.14 Selection process. (b) Children eligible for services under IDEA. (1) A program must ensure at least 10 percent of its total funded enrollment is filled by children eligible for services under IDEA, unless the responsible HHS official grants a waiver.	The program did not ensure at least 10 percent of its total funded enrollment is filled by children eligible for services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). A review of child files found only 5 percent out of 1,006 enrolled children were receiving services under IDEA. During an interview with the Disabilities Coordinator and Senior Data Operator they confirmed they were inaccurately counting 36 children referred to the Local Educational Agency as a part of the disabilities enrollment and these children were still waiting for determination, at the	 The program was aware that the 36 children referred to the LEA for evaluation were not part of the official 10% count; however, the program expected that these children would be evaluated prior to January. While the program informed the Regional Program Specialist of the lack of compliance with the 10% mandate, the program did not properly document this report, nor did it seek a Disabilities Waiver. 	 The program increased the income level for families that have existing IEP/IFSP's. The Selection Policy and Procedure was updated to reflect this change and was approved by the Advisory Board and Policy Council.

Performance Standards	Description of Incident	Underlying/ Root Causes <i>Why do we think this happened?</i>	Actions taken to address this specific incident What were some immediate actions taken? What did we do that specifically addressed this incident?
	time of the review. The program did not ensure at least 10 percent of its total funded enrollment is filled by children eligible for services under Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA); therefore, it was not in compliance with regulation.		

Actions Taken to Strengthen Systems Program-wide

Key Element:	Selection proc	Selection process - Children eligible for services under IDEA.				
Intended	Ensure at least	Ensure at least 10 percent of its total funded enrollment is filled by children eligible for services under the				
Outcome:	Individuals w	ith Disabilities	Education Act (IDEA).			
Implementation Act	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of
-						Activities
1. Train staff on and	d implement	By October	ERSEA/Data		Training Agenda,	
the updated Sele	the updated Selection Policy		Coordinator,		Sign-in sheets,	
and Procedure.	and Procedure.		Mental Health and			
			Disabilities			
			Coordinator			
2. Include Children e	ligible for	By	Mental Health and		Ongoing Monitoring	
services under II	DEA in	December	Disabilities		Policy and Procedure.	
ongoing monitor	ing protocols.	30, 2019	Coordinator,			
			ERSEA and Data			
			Coordinator.			

Key Element:			ligible for services unde			
Intended	Ensure at least 10 percent of its total funded enrollment is filled by children eligible for services under the					
Outcome:			Education Act (IDEA).			
Implementation Ac	tivities	Timeline	Staff responsible	Resources/ TTA	Documentation	Status of Activities
3. Weekly monitorin enrollment of ch IEP's/IFSP's.		Beginning October 2019	Mental Health and Disabilities Coordinator, ERSEA and Data Coordinator.		Disability and Mental Health Monitoring Report.	
4. Develop strategies parent advocacy evaluations from 'speed-up' the ev diagnosis proces	in requesting n OUSD to valuation and	Beginning in October 2019	Mental Health and Disabilities Coordinator, Family Engagement Coordinator,		Parent Engagement Strategies	
 Modify mental he disabilities polic procedures with timelines for ref response to the k with processing 	ey and regards to errals as a mown delays	By November 08, 2019	Mental Health and Disabilities Coordinator,		Updated Mental Health and Disabilities Referral policy and procedure.	
 Monitor referrals delegate and par monitoring ASQ and working wit Disabilities/Men Coordinator. 	rtners by) screenings th delegate's ntal Health	Beginning in October 2019	Mental Health/Disabilities Coordinator Program Supervisor for Collaborative Partners		ASQ Screenings and Tracking Sheets for Referrals.	
 Include a special delegate and par to fill 10% of va children with ac IEPs/IFSPs 	rtner contracts cancies with	By January 08, 2020	Program Supervisor for Collaborative Partners		Scope of Work Adjustment approval by Advisory Board.	





Office of Head Start | 4th Floor - Switzer Memorial Building, 330 C Street SW, Washington DC 20024 eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov

Program Performance Summary Report

To: Authorizing Official/Board Chairperson Mr. Edward Reiskin Oakland, City of 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5352

Oakland, CA 94612 - 2093

From: Responsible HHS Official

Date: 10/13/2020 Dr. Deborah Bergeron Director, Office of Head Start

From September 28, 2020 to October 2, 2020, the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) conducted a monitoring review of Oakland, City of Head Start and Early Head Start programs to determine whether the previously identified findings had been corrected. The Office of Head Start (OHS) would like thank your governing body, policy council, staff, and parents of your program for their cooperation and assistance during the review. This monitoring report has been issued to Mr. Edward Reiskin, Authorizing Official/Board Chair, as legal notice to your agency of the results of the program review.

Based on the information gathered during this review, we have closed the previously identified findings which are included in this report. For any previous findings that are not included in this report and remain open, the grantee will receive a future follow-up review to determine the compliance status of those findings.

Please contact your Regional Office for guidance should you have any questions or concerns.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE REPORT

Copies of this report will be distributed to the following recipients: Ms. Cynthia Yao, Regional Program Manager Ms. Sara Bedford, Chief Executive Officer/Executive Director Ms. Diveena Cooppan, Head Start Director Ms. Diveena Cooppan, Early Head Start Director

Glossary of Terms

Compliant	No findings. Meets requirements of Head Start Program Performance Standard.
Area of Concern	An area for which the agency needs to improve performance. These issues should be discussed with the granteeÿ Regional Office of Head Start for possible technical assistance.
Area of Noncompliance	An area for which the agency is out of compliance with Federal requirements (including but not limited to the Head Start Act or one or more of the regulations) in one or more area of performance. This status requires a written timeline of correction and possible technical assistance or guidance from the grantee's program specialist. If not corrected within the specified timeline, this status becomes a deficiency.
Deficiency	 As defined in the Head Start Act, the term "deficiency" means: (A) a systemic or substantial material failure of an agency in an area of performance that the Secretary determines involves: (i) a threat to the health, safety, or civil rights of children or staff; (ii) a denial to parents of the exercise of their full roles and responsibilities related to program operations; (iii) a failure to comply with standards related to early childhood development and health services, family and community partnerships, or program design and management; (iv) the misuse of funds received under this subchapter; (v) loss of legal status (as determined by the Secretary) or financial viability, loss of permits, debarment from receiving Federal grants or contracts, or the improper use of Federal funds; or (vi) failure to meet any other Federal or State requirement that the agency has shown an unwillingness or inability to correct, after notice from the Secretary, within the period specified; (B) systemic or material failure of the governing body of an agency to fully exercise its legal and fiduciary responsibilities; or (C) an unresolved area of noncompliance.

Page 2 of 15

Service Area	Applicable Standards	Grant Number(s)	Primary Review Finding Status(s)	Timeframe for Correction	Follow-Up Compliance Level
Program Management and Quality Improvement	1302.101(a)(1)	09CH010399	DEF	N/A	Corrected
Program Management and Quality Improvement	1302.102(c)(2)(i)	09CH010399	ANC	N/A	Corrected
Program Management and Quality Improvement	1302.102(c)(2)(iv)	09CH010399	DEF	N/A	Corrected
Monitoring ERSEA: Eligibility, Attendance and Enrollment	1302.12(k)	09CH010399	ANC	N/A	Corrected
Monitoring ERSEA: Eligibility, Attendance and Enrollment	1302.14(b)(1)	09CH010399	ANC	N/A	Corrected
Monitoring and Implementing Quality Health Services	1302.42(b)(1)(i)	09CH010399	ANC	N/A	Corrected
Program Management and Quality Improvement	1302.91(a)	09CH010399	ANC	N/A	Corrected
Monitoring and Implementing Quality Education and Child Development Services	1302.91(e)	09CH010399	ANC	N/A	Corrected
Program Management and Quality	1302.92(b)	09CH010399	ANC	N/A	Corrected

Performance Summary

	Improvement			
L				



Program Management and Quality Improvement

Program Management

Does the grantee establish a management structure consisting of staff, consultants, or contractors who ensure high-quality service delivery; have sufficient knowledge, training, experience, and competencies to fulfill the roles and responsibilities of their positions; and provide regular supervision and support to staff?

Monitoring Results:

• The management/organizational structure did not provide effective management and oversight of all program areas. 1302.101(a)(1)

DEF 1302.101(a)(1)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.101 Management system. (a) Implementation. A program must implement a management system that: (1) Ensures a program, fiscal, and human resource management structure that provides effective management and oversight of all program areas and fiduciary responsibilities to enable delivery of high-quality services in all of the program services described in subparts C, D, E, F, G, and H of this part.

The grantee did not ensure a program's fiscal, and human resource management structure provided effective management and oversight of all program areas and fiduciary responsibilities to enable the delivery of high-quality services in all of the program services.

During interviews, file reviews and a review of data the management staff was unable to provide information regarding program performance. Management staff lacked the knowledge and understanding of how to use ChildPlus to effectively provide oversight. The management team was not able to provide records of training opportunities in the use of ChildPlus to effectively monitor all service areas. This negatively impacted service delivery in health, disabilities, and Eligibility Recruitment Selection Enrollment and Attendance (ERSEA). The grantee did not implement Child Plus to ensure effective management and oversight of program services.

The grantee did not ensure a program's fiscal, and human resource management structure provided effective management and oversight of all program areas and fiduciary responsibilities to enable the delivery of high-quality services in all of the program services; therefore, it was not in compliance with the regulation.

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee ensured its fiscal and human resource management structure provided effective management and oversight of all program areas and fiduciary responsibilities to enable the delivery of high-quality services in all of the program services.

In an interview, the Head Start Director stated leadership staff analyzed the monitoring report, identified root causes, and initiated activities for correction. The program held meetings with its governing bodies, the Oakland City Council, Head Start Advisory Board (subcommittee), and the policy council to inform them of the findings. The program also incorporated its delegate agency, Unity Council, and its partners, St. Vincents, Brighter Beginnings, and Laney College, to develop a multi-layered Quality Improvement Plan (QIP). The Head Start Director also stated the program began assessing its management system and identified key modifications to improve program oversight and ongoing monitoring of its delegate and partner agencies. For example, the Head Start Director stated the Data/Eligibility, Recruitment, Selection, Enrollment, and Attendance (ERSEA) Coordinator position was separated into two positions, Data Coordinator and ERSEA Coordinator, to allow for more focused oversight of each content area.

To strengthen ongoing monitoring across program-operated, delegate, and partner services, the program implemented a web-based data management system, ChildPlus, to collect, aggregate, analyze, and utilize data for program oversight and monitoring. In interviews, staff, including program, delegate, and partner staff, confirmed multiple ChildPlus trainings were held. A review of ChildPlus training documentation from November 2019 and January, July, and August 2020 found training was provided to staff on ChildPlus related to data collection and analysis, ongoing monitoring, and use of data to support ongoing program improvement. In interviews, program management staff, including the Head Start Director, shared the program's collaborative approach with the delegate and partner agencies to establish systematic documentation and recording of events and activities in a consistent and timely manner. In addition to training specific to ChildPlus, a review of training documentation found training on the use of data for performance, evaluation, and continuous quality improvement was held on October 30, 2019, and March 11, 2020.

The program tracked and monitored data entry and documentation within ChildPlus. In an interview, the program's Health Specialist/Family Advocate shared she conducted weekly meetings with home visitors and Family Advocates to discuss data entry, documentation, and follow-up activities in ChildPlus. A review of the sample Health Specialist Monitoring and Follow-Up and the ChildPlus 3320 Grantee and Partners Monitoring and Follow-Up reports found child health status tracking was up to date. Additionally, interviews with the delegate and partner agencies confirmed a close collaboration with the program. For example, during an interview, the ERSEA/Disabilities Manager for the delegate--Unity Council--described weekly meetings with her ERSEA counterpart at the program to review the Monitoring Report Summary. The delegate Head Start Director confirmed the same practice for other management staff. In interviews, program, delegate, and partner staff described a system of support. Program content-area managers provided one-on-one assistance on data collection and monitoring in ChildPlus, processes to identify risks and address concerns, and follow-up actions to take with their staff to meet families' needs. The delegate and partner agencies also received weekly follow-up communication to ensure completion of the documentation in ChildPlus and follow-up with staff to complete any outstanding activities. In an interview, delegate and partner staff described involvement in group training on newly approved policies and procedures and individualized professional development training to strengthen their skills in utilizing ChildPlus for data input, report generation, and support their provision of services. A review of program policies and procedures on ChildPlus Training by Content Area

found it described the expected monitoring schedule and monitoring process, incorporating the use of ChildPlus as its primary ongoing monitoring tool.

A review of revised policies and procedures on ongoing monitoring, approved by the policy council and the governing body in January 2020, found they outlined roles and responsibilities, monitoring procedures, documentation, and timelines. The revised policy also included expectations for the use of the ChildPlus system and outlined specific reports to support monitoring and oversight. The program also revised its Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with its delegate and each partner agency to define responsibilities and monitor and report expectations in each content area to support the scope of work.

Further, the programs governing body and policy council were involved in the corrective action process and the development of the QIP. In an interview with an Oakland City Council member, Head Start Advisory Board members, and the Policy Council Chairperson, all confirmed detailed program reports were provided monthly and were reviewed during monthly meetings with the programs Executive Director and Head start Director. A review of the Head Start Advisory Board meeting agenda from January 23, 2020, showed the integration of the QIP and the Head Start Monthly Program Report. The FY2019-2020 Calendar for Policy Council and Advisory Board confirmed scheduled training in specific content areas and due dates for Program Progress Reports to the governing bodies monthly. The City Council member and Policy Council Chair both stated information shared during those meetings allowed the governing body to provide feedback and make informed decisions on updated policies to support continuous program improvement and prevent the recurrence of previous issues.

The grantee ensured its fiscal and human resource management structure provided effective management and oversight of all program areas and fiduciary responsibilities to enable the delivery of high-quality services in all of the program services. This area of deficiency is corrected.
The management team did not use their knowledge, training, experience, and competencies to ensure high-quality service delivery. 1302.91(a)

ANC 1302.91(a)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.91 Staff qualifications and competency requirements. (a) Purpose. A program must ensure all staff, consultants, and contractors engaged in the delivery of program services have sufficient knowledge, training and experience, and competencies to fulfill the roles and responsibilities of their positions and to ensure high-quality service delivery in accordance with the program performance standards. A program must provide ongoing training and professional development to support staff in fulfilling their roles and responsibilities.

The grantee did not ensure that all of the program managers had an effective system to utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to ensure high-quality service delivery.

The grantee did not demonstrate program oversight to ensure that all staff, consultants, and contractors had sufficient knowledge and training to implement program services as evident in health services, ERSEA, and staff qualifications.

See Citation 1302.101(a)(1); 1302.12(k); 1302.14(b)(1); 1302.42(b)(1)(i); 1302.91(e)

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee ensured all program managers had an effective system to utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to ensure high-quality services. The program revised its Head Start organizational structure, policies and procedures, and manager job descriptions to include management and oversight of staff, delegate, and partners, and enhanced monitoring through a systemic approach, driven by data.

In an interview, the Head Start Director stated the program revised its Human Resource policies and procedures on August 14, 2020, to ensure its management structure reflected the knowledge and skillsets needed to deliver high-quality services. A review of the program's revised New Hire Orientation and Onboarding Procedure found the program implemented a research-based professional development system to support staff and leadership. A review of ChildPlus tracking reports and personnel documentation found leadership staff supported and trained staff by providing professional development and training opportunities.

In an interview, the Head Start Director stated the program revised the Head Start program's organizational structure and its management team role and functions, effective September 29, 2019. For example, the Data/Eligibility, Recruitment, Selection, Enrollment, and Attendance (ERSEA) Coordinator position was separated into the ERSEA Coordinator and Data Coordinator positions. In an interview, the Data Coordinator stated she could now devote her time to the specific responsibilities of data management and oversight. The program also created the Health Specialist/Family Advocate position responsible for monitoring and direct case management to families in health support and tracking. A review of the programs Head Start Organizational Chart and revised job descriptions found leadership staff provided supervisory and oversight responsibilities of program staff and program-operated services, as well as delegate and partner services.

In interviews, program leadership staff described weekly coordinator meetings that included each content-area manager sharing ChildPlus reports, which prompted discussions and questions. The program, delegate, and partner managers and staff demonstrated through interviews, documents, data tours, and child file review the culture shift to ongoing monitoring and use of data for program planning and continuous improvement. A review of the July 2020 Monthly Progress Report found each content area was discussed in detail with supporting data points. For example, the enrollment report described the reopening of Head Start and Early Head Start services at the programs partner, Brighter Beginnings, and resumption of program-operated home-based services. The enrollment data showed 132 children were enrolled out of 188 slots for both program options.

In an interview, the City Council member, Head Start Advisory Board members, and the Policy Council Chairperson stated program leadership kept them apprised of program operations. All participants in the interview shared the governing body and the policy council played an active

role in developing the Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) and was informed of the progress on each action item. For example, a review of the Head Start Advisory Board agenda from January 23, 2020, found an update on the QIP and action items were shared, as well as a disabilities waiver request and the second set of revised policies and procedures. In an interview, the City Council member described various program documents he reviewed during meetings and was able to describe the program's system for monitoring its delegate and partners.

The grantee ensured all program managers had an effective system to utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to ensure high-quality services. This area of noncompliance is corrected.

Managers did not provide ongoing supervision and professional development to support individual staff. 1302.101(a)(2); 1302.92(b)

ANC 1302.92(b)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.92 Training and professional development. (b) A program must establish and implement a systematic approach to staff training and professional development designed to assist staff in acquiring or increasing the knowledge and skills needed to provide high-quality, comprehensive services within the scope of their job responsibilities, and attached to academic credit as appropriate. At a minimum, the system must include: (1) Staff completing a minimum of 15 clock hours of professional development per year. For teaching staff, such professional development must meet the requirements described in section 648A(a)(5) of the Act. (2) Training on methods to handle suspected or known child abuse and neglect cases, that comply with applicable federal, state, local, and tribal laws; (3) Training for child and family services staff on best practices for implementing family engagement strategies in a systemic way, as described throughout this part; (4) Training for child and family services, health, and disabilities, that builds their knowledge, experience, and competencies to improve child and family outcomes; and, (5) Research-based approaches to professional development for education staff, that are focused on effective curricula implementation, knowledge of the content in Head Start Early Learning Outcomes Framework: Ages Birth to Five, partnering with families, supporting children with disabilities and their families, providing effective and nurturing adult-child interactions, supporting dual language learners as appropriate, addressing challenging behaviors, preparing children and families for transitions (as described in subpart G of this part), and use of data to individualize learning experiences to improve outcomes for all children.

The grantee did not ensure the program had established and implemented a systematic approach to staff training and professional development designed to assist staff in acquiring or increasing the knowledge and skills needed to provide high-quality, comprehensive services within the scope of their job responsibilities, and attached to academic credit as appropriate.

In interviews with the Head Start/Early Head Start Director, Human Resource/Fiscal Coordinator, Health/Nutrition Coordinator, and two ERSEA support staff, they were not able to provide documentation of staff training. The management team stated they were still relying on expertise from consultants due to their lack of knowledge in their newly assigned content areas. The Director and management team were not able to provide professional development plans to support the staff's growth and development. Further evidence of the lack of professional development and training is documented in the noncompliances listed below.

See Citation 1302.101(a)(1); 1302.12(k); 1302.14(b)(1); 1302.42(b)(1)(i); 1302.91(e)

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee established and implemented a systematic approach to staff training and professional development designed to assist staff in acquiring or increasing skills needed to provide high-quality, comprehensive services within the scope of their job responsibilities. The program revised policies and procedures and job descriptions, created professional development plans (PDPs), and provided staff training opportunities.

The program revised its New Hire Orientation and Onboarding policies and procedures, professional development planning processes, and staff supervision. A review of the New Hire Orientation and Onboarding Policy, revised on August 14, 2020, found the supervisor was to provide content-specific training within 1 month of hire for all new staff and document the training in ChildPlus. The policy outlined the required program orientation, plus 15 hours of training aligned with the staff's individual PDP. The revised procedures outlined the required initial training, including job roles, responsibilities, and expectations; use of ChildPlus; and completing the programs required forms and paperwork. New Eligibility, Recruitment, Selection, Enrollment, and Attendance (ERSEA) staff were provided training on eligibility determinations within the first 90 days of hire.

In addition, a review of the Individual and Annual Program Professional Development Plans policy found employees completed a Staff Skill Profile. During a data tour, the Education/Home-Based Coordinator stated how the program tracked personnel information in ChildPlus. A

review of four personnel files found professional development goals and training opportunities were aligned in the PDPs. In interviews, leadership staff stated they met with their staff at least twice a month to discuss progress toward professional development goals, training opportunities, and challenges. In an interview, the Coach Coordinator stated she tracked PDPs submission using a Quality Control Report from ChildPlus. The Coach Coordinator used the report to identify staff who did not have a PDP submitted and contacted the immediate supervisor to follow up.

In an interview, the program's Site Manager stated the program used ChildPlus to track professional development and completed individual staff training. The program created a master training calendar that included mandatory, pre- and continual in-service training developed based on program staffs' needs. During a data tour, the program shared the ChildPlus training screen that displayed a list of training provided to home visiting staff at the Brighter Beginnings partner site and the staff's immediate supervisor's monthly follow-up. The program's Site Manager also stated site supervisors could upload staff training certificates and other professional development documents to individual staff profiles in ChildPlus.

A review of training documentation and sign-in sheets from February 5, 2020, found the program, delegate, and partner staff was trained on

revised policies and procedures, including new staff supervision and professional development planning procedures. A review of the training agenda for the Unity Council--the delegate--found detailed training on the revised professional development system was conducted on July 22, 2020. Agenda items included Professional Development and the Continuous Improvement Cycle and Using Individual Staff Skill Data to Inform Reflective Supervision and Professional Development.

The grantee established and implemented a systematic approach to staff training and professional development designed to assist staff in acquiring or increasing skills needed to provide high-quality, comprehensive services within the scope of their job responsibilities. This area of noncompliance is corrected.

Ongoing Monitoring and Continuous Improvement

Does the grantee use data to identify program strengths, needs, and areas needing improvement; evaluate progress toward achieving program goals and compliance with the program performance standards; and assess the effectiveness of professional development? Monitoring Results:

• Data were not aggregated, analyzed, and compared to inform strategies for continuous improvement in all service areas and to identify risk. 1302.102(c)(2)(i)

ANC 1302.102(c)(2)(i)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.102 Achieving program goals. (c) Using data for continuous improvement. (2) This process must: (i) Ensure data is aggregated, analyzed and compared in such a way to assist agencies in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas.

The grantee did not ensure data is aggregated, analyzed, and compared in such a way to assist agencies in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas.

The grantee was not collecting program performance data for delegate and partners and was not tracking outcomes in Child Plus. During the data tour, data entry was incomplete or inaccurate. During the review of policies and procedures, many inconsistent versions were provided by the management team and support staff. The inconsistent data, policies, and procedures made it difficult for managers to identify risks and inform strategies for continuous program improvement.

During staff interviews, data tours, review of child files, and a review of the Child Plus database had inaccurate data for 60 out of 85 ERSEA child files reviewed. The Disabilities Coordinator identified 36 children in the various stages of referral to the Local Education Agency (LEA) for Individual Education Plans (IEP) and Individual Family Service Plans (IFSP) and assumed the program had met the 10 percent disabilities requirement. The Disabilities Coordinator inaccurately counted children in the evaluation process as children with identified disabilities when in fact was not yet determined. The grantee is not using data for decision-making or to make program improvements in all service areas.

As a result, the grantee did not ensure data is aggregated, analyzed and compared in such a way to assist the delegate and partners in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas; therefore, it is not in compliance with the regulation.

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee ensured data was aggregated, analyzed, and compared in such a way to assist the agency in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas. The program implemented monitoring procedures for regular review of data by the management team, improved data management, provided training, and changed the organizational structure where weaknesses were identified.

The program strengthened ongoing monitoring and oversight procedures internally, with partners, and its delegate. In an interview, the Head Start Director stated the program implemented weekly management team meetings to review data from each service area. Each content area coordinator submitted a weekly monitoring report that included data from assessing weekly ChildPlus reports. A review of weekly Eligibility, Recruitment, Selection, Enrollment, and Attendance (ERSEA) reports dated February 11 and 21, 2020, included key start dates, enrollment summary, eligibility categories summary, enrolled children with disabilities, and recruitment summary. A review of weekly disability monitoring reports dated September 11 and 20, 2020, included data from ChildPlus reports, including disability enrollment, children with identified concerns, and the number of children with active referrals to the Local Education Agency (LEA) and Part C agency. A review of the monthly program report provided to the management team, dated July 2020, found information on enrollment, attendance, health, disabilities and mental health, education, school readiness, family community engagement, human resources, fiscal, and facilities.

The revised monitoring practices resulted in changes in ERSEA and Disability practices. In an interview, the ERSEA Coordinator shared he completed an audit of all 1,001 eligibility files during the corrective action period and found the programs Eligibility Specialists were consistently miscalculating income. As a result, the program updated its ERSEA procedures to include clarity around eligibility requirements defined processes for verifying and calculating eligibility. A review of 81 child eligibility files demonstrated the implementation of the new policies. One hundred percent of the files reviewed following the policy change found each had the correct income calculation. Through weekly monitoring of developmental screenings, the Disability/Mental Health Coordinator identified concerns with teachers accuracy and timeliness in completing developmental screenings, which impacted the number of children identified with potential developmental delays. A review of training records dated February 5, 2020, confirmed program, partner, and delegate teaching staff received additional training on the screening

process.

The program strengthened delegate and partner monitoring by adding weekly meetings with content managers, adding language to contracts to ensure the delegate and partners met the 10 percent requirement for children with disabilities and updating policies to include ongoing monitoring and unified data management through ChildPlus. In an interview, the Head Start Director shared the program added the requirement for program content-area coordinators to meet weekly with the content-area staff from the delegate and partners, including a weekly review of ChildPlus reports. A review of the Ongoing Monitoring of Program Policy, revised January 10, 2020, and approved by the governing body on January 23, 2020, found it required site visits, file audits, weekly monitoring reports, and monthly monitoring reports for the program, delegate, and partners. The policy also detailed the requirement for weekly meetings and review of ChildPlus data with program and delegate coordinators. A review of the partner and delegate contracts dated June 24, July 15, July 16, and July 22, 2020, confirmed each included the mandate for serving at least 10 percent of children with disabilities and expectations for monitoring and continuous improvement. A review of the Data Management and Record-Keeping Policy, revised February 4, 2020, and approved on August 20, 2020, found it outlined the provisions for a unified data management system and required the delegate and partners to utilize ChildPlus and produce weekly ongoing monitoring reports.

Additionally, the program provided ChildPlus training for staff, delegates, and partners. A review of training records dated November 19, 2019, January 23, and August 17, 2020, found ChildPlus training was provided to the program, delegate, and partner staff and was individualized based on the content area. For example, on January 23, 2020, the program mandated ChildPlus training for program and delegate content area coordinators and supervisors for ERSEA, education, school readiness, and human resources. The training agenda detailed ChildPlus alignment for those specific content areas. The program also provided a Data Boot Camp and Ongoing Monitoring training on October 10 and 30, 2019, March 11, and July 14, 2020.

In an interview, the Head Start Director stated the program identified weaknesses in the organizational structure based on reviewing the data and concerns with delegate and partner oversight. As a result, the program dedicated separate positions for ERSEA and overall data management. Those positions were previously combined, resulting in weaknesses and systematic errors in the eligibility determinations and a weak data monitoring system. The Data Coordinator position was revised to ensure dedication to the oversight of ChildPlus, including coaching from ChildPlus and data management training. The ERSEA Coordinator position was revised to include dedicated oversight of all eligibility processes and monitoring 100 percent of the eligibility files. The Head Start Director confirmed adding those positions resulted in a significant improvement in data management and program planning for continuous improvement.

The grantee ensured data was aggregated, analyzed, and compared in such a way to assist the agency in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas. This area of noncompliance is corrected.

Information was not used for ongoing monitoring and improvement of teaching practices, child-level assessments, family outcomes, health and safety practices, and other comprehensive services. 1302.102(c)(2)(iv)

DEF 1302.102(c)(2)(iv)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.102 Achieving program goals. (c) Using data for continuous improvement. (2) This process must:(iv) Use information from ongoing monitoring and the annual self-assessment, and program data on teaching practice, staffing and professional development, child-level assessments, family needs assessments, and comprehensive services, to identify program needs, and develop and implement plans for program improvement.

The grantee did not ensure data is aggregated, analyzed and compared in such a way to assist the delegate and partners in identifying risks and informing strategies for continuous improvement in all program service areas.

The grantee was not collecting program performance data for delegate and partners and was not tracking outcomes in Child Plus; therefore the grantee was unable to demonstrate or use data to aggregate, analyze, and use the data to support the delegate and partners in improving teaching practices, making adjustments in services to help families achieve their goals, improving the child health services or any other comprehensive services provided.

See citation: 1302.102(c)(2)(i)

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee used ongoing monitoring and program data information to identify program needs and develop and implement plans for program improvement.

In an interview, the Head Start Director described the implementation and integration of the ChildPlus web-based data management platform across the program, the delegate, and partners. The program used ChildPlus to collect, aggregate, analyze, and compare data across all content areas. The Head Start Director also stated that the shift to using a single system allowed the program to identify potential risks and plan support with families to achieve their goals, improve child health services, and support comprehensive services among delegate and partner agencies. The implementation of ChildPlus also allowed the program to monitor program services and human resources data, such as supervision logs and professional development updates in real-time.

In an interview, the Head Start Human Services Department Planner-Health stated the program completed an internal audit of all child files and found inefficiencies in the previous system. As the program reviewed data-entry practices among Family Advocates and home visitors, the need to streamline the process was identified. As a result, the program designated a position to manage data entry and oversight, the Health

Specialist/Family Advocate. In an interview, the Health Specialist/Family Advocate stated she conducted weekly meetings with home visitors and Family Advocates to discuss data entry, documentation, and follow-up activities related to health and dental services. A review of updated policies and procedures on Data Management and Collecting, Documenting, and Using Data, and Ongoing Monitoring found the program integrated its data-entry system with its delegate and partners. A review of training documents found the program conducted formal group training with delegate and partner staff and provided one-on-one training clinics to ensure all staff members were well versed in using ChildPlus to collect, analyze, and review data.

The program revised its policies and procedures and received approvals from the Head Start Advisory Board and the policy council to strengthen the responsibilities, monitoring, procedures, documentation, and timelines in areas of health services; Eligibility, Recruitment, Selection, Enrollment, and Attendance (ERSEA); Human Resources; and comprehensive data collection. A review of training documentation found the program trained the program, delegate, and partner staff on revised policies and procedures for Human Resources, ERSEA, Health and Nutrition, Education, Disabilities, Family Community Engagement, and Program Management and Quality Improvement on February 5, 2020. Additional training on the ChildPlus platform and system administration was held on November 19, 2019, and January 23, July 27, and August 17, 2020. The program conducted a six-session data boot camp and continuous quality improvement training in July 2019. Additional training on data use for performance, evaluation, and continuous quality improvement was held on October 30, 2019, and March 11, 2020. Besides formal training by content-area consultants, the program also held less formal weekly meetings to review the Weekly Monitoring Report with the content specialists to conduct case management meetings. A review of training agendas and sign-in sheets found the program, delegate, and partner staff attended.

In an interview, delegate and partner management staff described how data were used to predict and mitigate risks and inform their strategies in strengthening the provision of services. The Senior Program Manager from Brighter Beginnings--a program partner--described how ChildPlus data reports were used to inform staff professional development needs. Specifically, assessment data, such as data from the Home Visitor Rating Scale (HOVRS), informed individual professional development plans (PDPs), incorporating individualized training to support best practices. Additionally, in an interview, the Delegate Director shared the delegate used weekly and monthly health services reports from ChildPlus to plan for upcoming health-related events. The delegates review of enrollment and attendance data informed planning for services with families and adjusted its approach to meet family needs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

During a review of child files, the Health Specialist/Family Advocate demonstrated the program's process for reviewing the program, delegate, and partner data to note follow-up activities with staff and families using ChildPlus. For example, in one child file, the program staff noted a pending action of identifying a child's dental home. The pending alert allowed the Health Specialist/Family Advocate or home visitor to be aware of upcoming due dates and develop an action plan with the family to receive care. A review of the Health Specialist Monitoring and Follow-Up and the 3320 Grantee and Partners Monitoring and Follow-Up documents showed a sample of ChildPlus report data and tools used in their briefing with home visitors and Family Advocates. As part of their oversight, program management staff also followed up with home visitors and Family Advocates to update any missing information promptly.

In an interview with a City Council member, members from the Head Start Advisory Board, and the Policy Council Chairperson stated the program shared detailed program information in monthly reports. A review of the July 2020 Monthly Program Progress Report found it included a summary of the aggregation and data analysis across the program, delegate, and partner agencies. The summary highlighted challenges, improvements, accomplishments, and upcoming activities in each content area. Members of the governing body and the Policy Council Chairperson confirmed the summary reports were detailed and informed their decision-making process in allocating resources to improve program services.

The grantee used ongoing monitoring and program data information to identify program needs and develop and implement plans for program improvement. This area of deficiency is corrected.

Page 9 of 15

Monitoring and Implementing Quality Education and Child Development Services

Supporting Teachers in Promoting School Readiness

Does the grantee prepare teachers to implement the curriculum and support children's progress toward school readiness? Monitoring Results:

• The grantee did not hire teachers with the appropriate qualifications. 1302.91(e)(1-2)

ANC 1302.91(e)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.91 Staff qualifications and competency requirements. (e) Child and family services staff. (2) Head Start center-based teacher qualification requirements. (ii) As prescribed in section 648A(a)(3)(B) of the Act, a program must ensure all center-based teachers have at least an associate's or bachelor's degree in child development or early childhood education, equivalent coursework, or otherwise meet the requirements of section 648A(a)(3)(B) of the Act.

The grantee did not ensure all delegate and partner Head Start teachers, Early Head Start teachers, and home visitors met the qualification requirements.

A review of the delegate and partner's staff qualification tracking sheet indicated 21 out of 80 Head Start teachers did not have an associate's or bachelor's degree and 16 out of 54 Early Head Start teachers did not have a Child Development Associate credential (CDA). In addition, 4 out of 17 Early Head Start home visitors did not have the minimum of a home-based CDA. Additionally, the delegate and partner staff files did not contain an Individual Professional Development Plans to pursue the appropriate qualifications.

The grantee did not ensure all delegate and partner Head Start teachers, Early Head Start teachers, and home visitors met the qualification requirements; therefore, it was not in compliance with the regulation.

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee ensured all delegate and partner Head Start teachers, Early Head Start (EHS) teachers, and home visitors met the minimum qualification requirements. The program revised its delegate and partner contracts and improved its oversight and ongoing monitoring system to ensure all staff met minimum education qualifications.

In an interview, the Head Start Director stated the unqualified staff hired by its delegate and partners reflected needed improvements in program oversight and monitoring of those agencies. As a result, the program revised each partner and delegate contract containing the requirement to hire teachers and home visitors with the minimum qualifications as defined by the Head Start Program Performance Standards (HSPPS). Also, a new provision was added to the contract allowing the program to take adverse action for violating terms of the contract. A review of the delegate and partner contracts dated June 24, July 15, July 16, and July 22, 2020, found the delegate and partners must provide documentation to the program's Human Resource staff before hiring any staff member, providing direct services to children, to document education and competency requirements.

Additionally, the program improved its system for monitoring delegate and partner staff qualifications. The Human Resources Assistant to the Human Services Director shared all staff qualifications were previously monitored in an excel spreadsheet; however, the program transitioned to the web-based ChildPlus system. The program, delegate, and partners all used the same system, which allowed the program to pull weekly reports on staff qualification and PDP progress. During a data tour of the programs ChildPlus system, data from four personnel files and ChildPlus Report AD001 confirmed the program monitored qualification requirements and individual progress for all program, delegate, and partner staff, and individual documents such as transcripts, teaching permits, education plans, and credentials. An additional review of ChildPlus Report AD001 confirmed all Head Start and EHS teachers and home visitors had the minimum required qualifications or an approved waiver to obtain the qualifications. The program requested a waiver for one Head Start teacher who was in the process of obtaining a bachelor's degree to meet Californias credentialing requirements. A review of the waiver found the Regional Office approved it on August 17, 2020.

The grantee ensured all delegate and partner Head Start teachers, EHS teachers, and home visitors met the minimum qualification requirements.

This area of noncompliance is corrected.



Monitoring and Implementing Quality Health Services

Child Health Status and Care

Does the grantee effectively monitor and maintain timely information on children's health status and care including ongoing sources of health care, preventive care, and follow-up? Monitoring Results:

• The grantee did not ensure that children were up to date on a schedule of age-appropriate medical and oral health care (EPSDT). 1302.42(b)(1)(i)

ANC 1302.42(b)(1)(i)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.42 Child health status and care (b) Ensuring up-to-date child health status. (1) Within 90 calendar days after the child first attends the program or, for the home-based program option, receives a home visit, with the exceptions noted in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, a program must: (i) Obtain determinations from health care and oral health care professionals as to whether or not the child is up-to-date on a schedule of age appropriate preventive and primary medical and oral health care, based on: the well-child visits and dental periodicity schedules as prescribed by the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) program of the Medicaid agency of the state in which they operate, immunization recommendations issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and any additional recommendations from the local Health Services Advisory Committee that are based on prevalent community health problems.

The grantee did not ensure up-to-date health care status for children attending the program by obtaining determinations from health care and oral health care professionals as to whether or not the child is up-to-date on a schedule of age-appropriate preventive and primary medical and oral health care, based on the well-child visits and dental periodicity schedules as prescribed by the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) within 90 days.

The grantee did not have a system to accurately ensure children were up-to-date on age-appropriate medical and oral health as required by EPSDT. During the health data tour, the Health/Nutrition Coordinator provided child files and ChildPlus data reports which showed at the time of the review out of 1006 children,141 physical exams were not completed, 241 immunizations were not up-to-date, and 121 dental screenings were not completed. The Health/Nutrition Coordinator confirmed that all required screenings had not been completed.

The grantee did not ensure up-to-date health care status for children attending the program by obtaining determinations from health care and oral health care professionals as to whether or not the child is up-to-date on a schedule of age-appropriate preventive and primary medical and oral health care, based on the well-child visits and dental periodicity schedules as prescribed by the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) within 90 days; therefore, it was not in compliance with the regulation.

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee obtained determinations for enrolled children from the appropriate primary medical and oral health care professionals to ensure they were up to date on a schedule of age-appropriate preventive health care. The program enhanced its tracking and monitoring system, revised policies and procedures, and provided staff training.

The program strengthened its monitoring of child health files and follow-up activities with families to obtain determinations of child health status. In an interview, the Human Services Department Planner-Health stated ChildPlus reports were run at least weekly to monitor all enrolled children's health status, including the delegate and partners. Once a week, the management team met to review data, discuss missing items, and identify follow-up to resolve concerns. A review of Weekly Monitoring Report Summaries from August 28 and September 4, and 11, 2020, found the management team detailed discussions on supporting children with missing health events. Additionally, the summary for August 28, 2020, demonstrated the program hired a Health Specialist/Family Advocate position whose primary responsibility was coordinating with families to get children up-to-date with Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) requirements. During a data tour, the Health Specialist/Family Advocate described the ChildPlus Report 3320-Immunization Record and how she tracked and monitored missing immunizations. The Health Specialist/Family Advocate demonstrated how the information was shared with Family Advocates and home visitors to ensure they obtained documentation or provided case notes detailing families' support.

A review of the Weekly Monitoring Data Report-Health that included information from various health-related ChildPlus reports found the program tracked in-person and virtual learning for all health events. The report for the week ending September 11, 2020, showed the total number of completed health events for all enrolled children, including the delegate and partners. A total of 94 percent of children completed all required immunizations, 47 percent completed physicals, and 59 percent completed dental screenings. A review of a sample of 81 child files found all included documentation of EPSDT requirements and/or case notes on ongoing communication and support with families to obtain the required determinations. The program was within the 90-day timeframe to collect the required documentation, and the Health Specialist/Family Advocate stated the program would continue to monitor and support families in navigating health resources during the COVID-19 pandemic. Health services staff demonstrated the monitoring systems implementation by collecting and tracking child health data, child file reviews, and the systemic approach to ensuring children and pregnant women received required health events.

The program revised its health policies and procedures to include additional information on the relevant health Head Start Program Performance Standards (HSPPS), the process for collecting information from families, and expectations for documentation. A review of health services policies and procedures showed a revision date of March 12, 2020, and approvals from the governing body and the policy council. The revisions included defined responsibilities and monitoring of each service. A review of the Health Determination Status policy and procedure found

weekly monitoring of the program, delegate, and partners using ChildPlus Reports 3015, 3016, 3020, and 3320 to track and monitor health outcomes.

The program held training on October 22 and 23, 2019, on health services roles and responsibilities. A review of the training agenda and signin-sheets showed all staff, including partner and delegate staff, were trained on health HSPPS and the program completed audits of all child health files. Additionally, program, delegate, and partner staff received training on using the ChildPlus platform from ChildPlus in November 2019. A review of training documentation and sign-in sheets confirmed staff received training on January 8, 2020, on health HSPPS and policies and procedures. Additional training was held on February 5, 2020, for details on each policy and procedure relating to health content. During the program's 2020-21 Pre-service training on August 21, 2020, training was provided to the program, delegate, and partner staff. A review of training agendas and sign-in sheets found training included the programs revised policies and procedures on health status determination, dental exam, medical exam requirement, and immunization policy.

The grantee obtained determinations for enrolled children from the appropriate primary medical and oral health care professionals to ensure they were up to date on a schedule of age-appropriate preventive health care. This area of noncompliance is corrected.

Page 12 of 15



Monitoring ERSEA: Eligibility, Selection, Enrollment and Attendance

Determining, Verifying, and Documenting Eligibility

Does the grantee enroll children or pregnant women who are categorically eligible or who meet defined income-eligibility requirements? Monitoring Results:

• The grantee did not maintain child files with an eligibility record that includes the child's eligibility category, documentation that staff completed an in-person or telephone interview with the family, and the documents used to determine eligibility for each child or pregnant woman. 1302.12(k)

ANC 1302.12(k)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.12 Determining, verifying, and documenting eligibility. (k) Records. (1) A program must keep eligibility determination records for each participant and ongoing records of the eligibility training for staff required by paragraph (m) of this section. A program may keep these records electronically. (2) Each eligibility determination record must include: (i) Copies of any documents or statements, including declarations, that are deemed necessary to verify eligibility under paragraphs (h) and (i) of this section; (ii) A statement that program staff has made reasonable efforts to verify information by: (A) Conducting either an in-person, or a telephone interview with the family as described under paragraph (a)(1)(i) or (a)(2) of this section; and, (B) Describing efforts made to verify eligibility, as required under paragraphs (h) through (i) of this section; and, collecting documents required for third party verification that includes the family's written consent to contact each third party, the third parties' names, titles, and affiliations, and information from third parties regarding the family's eligibility. (iii) A statement that identifies whether: (A) The family's income is below income guidelines for its size, and lists the family's size; (B) The family is eligible for or, in the absence of child care, potentially eligible for public assistance; (C) The child is a homeless child or the child is in foster care; (D) The family was determined to be eligible under the criterion in paragraph (c)(2) of this section; or, (E) The family was determined to be eligible under the criterion in paragraph (c)(2) of this section; or, are no longer enrolled.

The grantee did not maintain child files with an eligibility record that included the child's eligibility category, documentation that staff completed an in-person or phone interview with the family, and the documents used to determine eligibility for each child or pregnant woman.

At the time of the review, 60 out of the 85 child files sampled did not contain the required documentation. When comparing the child files with the ChildPlus system, documentation was inconsistent regarding family size calculation, eligibility categories, and incorrect income verification. A review of child file applications found several did not have the required signature of a grantee staff member responsible for determining eligibility. Family Advocates confirmed not all applications were signed and documentation was omitted from child files. In addition, child files sampled revealed the following 10 files did not utilize the appropriate year-to-date eligibility calculation when verifying income; 21 files contained incomplete documentation of third-party verification of income; 31 files had application dates after the eligibility date.

The grantee did not maintain child files with an eligibility record that included the child's eligibility category, documentation that staff completed an in-person or phone interview with the family, and the documents used to determine eligibility for each child or pregnant woman; therefore, it was not in compliance with the regulation.

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee implemented a system of ongoing monitoring to ensure it maintained child files with an eligibility record that included the child's eligibility category, documentation that staff completed an in-person or phone interview with the family, and the documents used to determine eligibility for each child or pregnant women. The program revised its Eligibility, Recruitment, Selection, Enrollment, and Attendance (ERSEA) policies, trained staff, and implemented a system to monitor compliance with ERSEA regulations.

In an interview, the Head Start Director and ERSEA Coordinator stated the program created ERSEA policies that required an in-person or telephone interview, calculation of income and eligibility determination based on regulations, and verification of the initial eligibility determination by the ERSEA Coordinator. In an interview, the ERSEA Coordinator stated the policy required his verification of all partner and delegate eligibility files prior to selection for the program.

A review of the revised ERSEA Policies and Procedures: Eligibility-Determining and Verifying, dated September 1, 2019, and approved by the governing body on December 4, 2019, confirmed the policies detailed the process, including documenting an in-person or telephone interview, creating the eligibility determination record, calculating income, and determining eligibility. The process also included the requirement for verification of all eligibility files by the ERSEA Coordinator. Additionally, a review of the partner and delegate contracts dated June 24, July 15, July 16, and July 22, 2020, confirmed each included the provisions for verifying all child eligibility records.

The ERSEA Coordinator also shared the program retrained all staff on intake and eligibility procedures. A review of the training agenda found it covered eligibility, selection, and recruitment. A review of training documentation and attendance records, dated February 5, 2020, confirmed program, delegate, and partner staff attended the ERSEA training.

The program implemented procedures to ensure ongoing monitoring for eligibility determination to identify errors and implement corrections. During the timeframe for correction, the ERSEA Coordinator completed an audit on 100 percent of the eligibility files and determined the program made errors in income calculations. The audit results were used to inform changes in the program's ERSEA policies and approach to the training described above. The ERSEA Coordinator continued to audit every eligibility file to identify trends and errors. During the interview, the ERSEA Coordinator shared the audit led to identifying concerns with the self-declaration forms and resulted in a new form with more detailed questions to eliminate errors.

A review of a sample of 81 child files cross-referenced with ChildPlus records found each file included staff verification, family sizes, eligibility categories, and documentation. A crosswalk of the programs ChildPlus data with the documentation provided by families found each file was complete with an eligibility determination record that confirmed an in-person or telephone interview, eligibility category, and source documentation. A review of eligibility redeterminations for each of the 81 child files found the program correctly assigned the appropriate eligibility category on 80 of 81, or 99 percent of files.

The grantee implemented a system of ongoing monitoring to ensure it maintained child files with an eligibility record that included the child's eligibility category, documentation that staff completed an in-person or phone interview with the family, and the documents used to determine eligibility for each child or pregnant women. This area of noncompliance is corrected.

At least 10 percent of the grantee's total funded enrollment was not filled by children eligible for services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 1302.14(b)

ANC 1302.14(b)(1)

Timeframe for Correction: 120 days

1302.14 Selection process. (b) Children eligible for services under IDEA. (1) A program must ensure at least 10 percent of its total funded enrollment is filled by children eligible for services under IDEA, unless the responsible HHS official grants a waiver.

The program did not ensure at least 10 percent of its total funded enrollment is filled by children eligible for services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

A review of child files found only 5 percent out of 1,006 enrolled children were receiving services under IDEA. During an interview with the Disabilities Coordinator and Senior Data Operator they confirmed they were inaccurately counting 36 children referred to the Local Educational Agency as a part of the disabilities enrollment and these children were still waiting for determination, at the time of the review.

The program did not ensure at least 10 percent of its total funded enrollment is filled by children eligible for services under Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA); therefore, it was not in compliance with regulation.

Follow-up

Corrected

Timeframe for Correction: N/A

The grantee implemented a process to ensure at least 10 percent of its actual enrollment was filled by children eligible for services under the Individuals with Disabilities Act (IDEA). The program revised its Eligibility, Recruitment, Selection, Enrollment, and Attendance (ERSEA) policies; created a disabilities services planning calendar; updated contracts with its delegate and partners; trained staff; and monitored disability identification and enrollment to ensure compliance with regulations.

The program revised its ERSEA policies to include the identification of children with disabilities. In an interview, the Head Start Director and Disabilities/Mental Health Coordinator described revised policies and procedures with the requirement to fill 10 percent of the program's actual enrollment with children eligible for services under IDEA. The Disabilities/Mental Health Coordinator stated she also oversaw the selection of children with disabilities, reviewed documentation, and verified children met the definition of a child with a disability before counting the enrollment toward the 10 percent requirement. A review of the Enrollment Policies and Procedures: Enrollment Process, dated January 31, 2020, and approved by the governing body on February 13, 2020, reflected changes to the disability enrollment procedures. A review of the Services for Children with Disabilities Policy confirmed the Disability/Mental Health Coordinator updated the child's disability status in ChildPlus and counted the child in the programs 10 percent disabilities enrollment following confirmation the child was on an Individualized Education Program or Individualized Family Service Plan.

The program requested and received approval for a waiver of the 10 percent requirement for enrolling children with disabilities for the 2019-2020 program year. A review of the approval letter from the Regional Office found it was signed on February 7, 2020. To facilitate enrollment of children with disabilities, the program created a disability services planning calendar to guide activities and ensure recruitment and enrollment of children with disabilities. The calendar also included a timeline for submitting a waiver by January 15th each year if the program created not meet its 10 percent requirement. A review of the 2020-21 Planning Calendar-Disability found a comprehensive document outlining requirements, training expectations, and timelines. During the timeframe for correction, the program requested and was granted a waiver for the last program year.

The Head Start Director also stated the program updated the contracts with its delegate and partners to require that 10 percent of their enrollment was filled by children eligible for services under IDEA. A review of the delegate and partner contracts dated June 24, July 15, July 16, and July 22, 2020, found each contract included the mandate to enroll at least 10 percent of children identified for services under IDEA.

A review of training documentation found the program provided training on disability services, including referrals for disability services to the Local Education Agency (LEA), Oakland Unified School District, or the Part C provider, the Regional Center of the East Bay. A review of the training record and sign-in sheets, dated February 5, 2020, found program, delegate, and partner staff attended the training.

The Disabilities/Mental Health Coordinator stated she monitored all disability activity, including enrollment, identified concerns, and referred children and families to the LEA and Part C Agency. Specifically, monitoring included running weekly reports in ChildPlus with a breakdown of currently enrolled children with a disability, children identified with concerns, developmental screenings, and active referrals. A review of ChildPlus Reports 3501, 3502, 2520, and 3530, dated September 18, 2020, indicated the program served 7.2 percent of children with

disabilities, had an additional 146 children identified with concerns, and 11 children with referrals. The information was compiled in a weekly disability monitoring report and shared weekly with the management team.

The grantee implemented a process to ensure at least 10 percent of its actual enrollment was filled by children eligible for services under the IDEA. This area of noncompliance is corrected.

----- End of Report ------

Page 15 of 15
filed FFICE of the city clerk OAKLAND

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY

21 JUb. | **5 PM '4dt3**

CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

OtKLAND CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO. <u>88763</u> C.M.S.

RESOLUTION:

1. ACCEPTING AND APPROPRIATING HEAD START BASIC AND HEAD START TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, EARLY HEAD START BASIC AND EARLY HEAD START TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022 FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, OFFICE OF HEAD START (DHHS/ACF), IN AN ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF TWELVE MILLION TWO HUNDRED FIFTY-TWO THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED NINETY-FOUR DOLLARS (\$12,252,694); AND

2. AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS FOR THE CITY TO RECEIVE THE HEAD START BASIC, AND TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, AND EARLY HEAD START BASIC, AND TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS; AND

3. AWARDING GRANT AGREEMENTS TO FUND COMPREHENSIVE EARLY CARE, EDUCATION, AND FAMILY SERVICES FOR THE HEAD START PROGRAM FROM JULY 1, 2021 TO JUNE 30, 2022 FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED TWO MILLION FIVE HUNDRED NINETY-FIVE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED SIX DOLLARS (\$2,595,306) TO SERVICE PROVIDERS IDENTIFIED IN TABLE 3; AND

4. ACCEPT AND APPROPRIATE ADDITIONAL FED.ERAL GRANT FUNDS IF THEY BECOME AVAILABLE WITHIN THIS GRANT TERM TO FUND THE HEAD START/EARLY HEAD START PROGRAM AND AMEND THE GRANT AGREEMENTS WITHOUT RETURNING TO COUNCIL.

WHEREAS, on November 10, 2020, Oakland City Council approved a Resolution No. 88375 C.M.S., authorizing the City Administrator to apply for funding for the Head Start/Early Head Start grantee, City of Oakland, through the funding opportunity number, HHS-2021-ACF-OHS-CH-R09-1860, in an estimated amount of \$17,826,886 per year for a five-year-period from 2021 through 2026, from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families (DHHS/ACF), Office of Head Start; and

WHEREAS, on June 30, 2021, the Office of Head Start responded to the City of Oakland's Head Start proposal with a funding amount equivalent to \$12,252,694; and

WHEREAS, the City of Oakland desires to accept and appropriate the Head Start/Early Head Start grant in an estimated amount of \$12,252,694 from the DHHS/ACF, Office of Head Start, to fund a Head Start Program and Early Head Start Program from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022; and

WHEREAS, the total federal amount of \$12,252,694 is comprised of the following project accounts as stipulated in the DHHS/ACF funding guidelines: Head Start Basic, Head Start Training/Technical Assistance, Early Head Start Basic, and Early Head Start Training/Technical Assistance Grants, which includes the Early Head Start Expansion Grant; and

WHEREAS, the FY 2021-2023 Adopted Budget allocated a total of \$22,653,029 in expenditures for FY 2021-2022 through Head Start FY21-22 Basic Project (1005569), Head Start FY21-22 TTA Project (1005570), Head Start FY21-22 2X Session Project (1005573), Early Head Start FY21-22 Basic Project (1005575), Early Head Start FY21-22 TTA Project (1005576); and

WHEREAS, the Head Start/Early Head Start grants require a match of local inkind contributions equal to twenty-five percent (25%) of the total program costs; and

WHEREAS, the FY2021-2023 Adopted Budget allocated a total of \$17,179,680 in anticipated grant revenue funding from DHHS/ACF, Office of Head Start, for FY2021-2022 operations based on prior award allocations; and

WHEREAS, the requested federal award of \$17,179,680 was reduced by the DHHS/ACF to \$12,252,694; and

WHEREAS, the FY 2021-2023 Adopted Budget appropriated General Purpose Funds- (GPF) in the amount of \$5,833,451 to support the continued operations, and federal match of Head Start/Early Start services in FY 2021-2022 and supports increasing cost of living and fringe benefit costs; \$2,595,876 of this funding covers the Department's Central Service Overhead which is ineligible for reimbursement due to an administrative cap; and

WHEREAS, the Department received \$12,252,694 in funding from the DHHS/ACF, Office of the Head Start, after the passage of the FY 2021-23 Adopted Budget, which is \$4,926,986 less in grant revenue than the \$17,179,680 that City Council approved in the FY 2021-2023 Adopted Budget; and

WHEREAS, the Head Start Performance Standard continue to promote standards of best practice in supporting the optimal development of the whole child; preschool/kindergarten/school readiness of children, birth - five; and parent, family & community engagement; and

WHEREAS, the Oakland Head Start program design proposed herein responds to the California commitment to universal Transitional Kindergarten in public schools by increasing Early Head Start slots in the program; and WHEREAS, the Head Start and Early Head Start program will provide centerbased and home-based service delivery of grantee and partner agencies combined, offering 330 full-year Early Head Start slots and 292 full year Head Start slots, as reflected in Table 1, below; and

Table 1			
Early Head Start/Head Start Grantee & Partners	Early Head Start Enrollment Proposed	Head Start Enrollment Proposed	Total Program Enrollment Proposed
Grantee			
City of Oakland	169	144	313
Partner Agencies			
Brighter Beginnings Home Based Program	120	20	140
St. Vincent's Day Home		46	46
Laney College Children's Center		48	48
Bananas, Inc.	41	18	59
TBD Preschool		16	16
Total Program Enrollment Proposed	330	292	622

WHEREAS, the Head Start and Early Head Start funding will provide comprehensive child development services in accord with said grants to 622 Head Start and Early Head Start eligible children and families, who have the most economic need, with ten percent (10%) of the enrollment consisting of children with special needs and, by new provisions enacted by the federal Office of Head Start, will expand enhanced outreach efforts to include homeless families and underserved populations; and

WHEREAS, Sixteen (16) Head Start slots remain to be negotiated with a partnership provider to be determined at a later date; and

WHEREAS, the City wishes to also enter into the following Early Head Start/Head Start partnership grant agreements with local early care and education providers to provide comprehensive childcare and family services in the amounts specified below from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022:

Table 2 Partner Agencies			
Early Head Start/Head Start Partners	Early Head Start Enrollment	Head Start Enrollment	Total Grant Agreement
Brighter Beginnings Home Based Proqram	120	20	\$1,092,420
St Vincent's Dav Home		46	\$280,600
Laney College Children's Fund		48	\$292,800
Bananas, Inc	41	18	\$928,486
Total Partner Program Enrollment	161	132	\$2,595,306

;now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby accepts the Head Start Basic, Head Start Training and Technical Assistance, Early Head Start Basic, and Early Head Start Training and Technical Assistance grants from the United States DHHS/ACF in the estimated amount of Twelve Million Two Hundred Fifty-Two Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Four dollars (\$12,252,694) for funding of a Head Start Program and Early Head Start Program from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator is authorized to take all necessary actions for the City to receive the grant funds; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the estimated sum of Twelve Million Two Hundred Fifty-Two Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Four dollars (\$12,252,694) shall be appropriated to Human Services Department Fund (2128), Head Start Organization (78231), and, Head Start Project (10055(;,9), Expansion Early Head Start Project (1005575); and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council awards grant agreements with the service providers identified in Table 4 below for the amounts specified therein to provide comprehensive, coordinated Early Childhood Education Services from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022:

Agency Name	Grant Amount
Briahter Beoinnings	\$1,092,420
Saint Vincent's Day Home	\$280,600
Laney College Children's Center	\$292,800
Bananas, Inc.	\$929,486
TOTAL	
	\$2,595,306

Table 3 Ear11y Ch"1ldh00 d Educaf10n Serv1ces Grantees

; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator or his designee is hereby. authorized to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including but not limited to applications, agreements, amendments, modifications, payment requests, and related actions which may be necessary for the aforementioned grants in accordance with their basic purposes; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That if additional grant funding becomes available for the same purpose from the United States Department of Health and Human Services, within the existing grant term, the City Administrator or his designee is authorized to accept and appropriate these funds to fund the Head Start/Early Head Start Program and amend the grant agreements, without returning to Council; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreements authorized under this resolution shall be reviewed as to form and legality by the Office of the City Attorney and copies filed with the Office of the City Clerk.

3084579v7

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,

JUL 20 2021

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES- FIFE, GALLO, KALB, KAPLAN, REID, TAYLOR, IAND PRESIDENT FORTUNATO BAS - =)-NOES -fj

ABSENT- (J' ABSTENTION - /;!)'

__ 1

ATTEST: ASHA REED

City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California

Attachment C



- TO: Edward D, Reiskin City Administrator
- SUBJECT: SUPPLEMENTAL Budget Amendment to the Head Start Program

AGENDA REPORT

FROM: Sara Bedford Director, Human Services

DATE: August 26, 2021

City Administrator Approval-

Date: Aug 30, 2021

RECOMMENDATION

Staff Recommends That The City Council Adopt A Resolution Amending Resolution No. 88717 C.M.S., Which Adopted The City Of Oakland Biennial Budget For Fiscal Years 2021-23, To Allocate \$1.836 Million From The General Purpose Fund To The Head Start Program As Set Forth In Exhibit 1 To This Resolution And To Direct The City Administrator To Include Relevant Enhancements To The Lincoln Square Recreation Center Renovation Capital Improvement Fund In The Fiscal Year 2022-23 Midcycle Budget Adjustment.

REASON FOR SUPPLEMENTAL

This supplemental report and resolution provide enabling legislation for the City of Oakland, Human Services Department, to enhance the Head Start program with \$1,836,000 to ensure three (3) licensed Head Start Centers can remain open to serve approximately 52 children and their families with comprehensive early childhood education, health, nutrition, and family support services. The specific centers to remain open center are Arroyo Viejo Early Head Start, Tassafaronga Head Start and Franklin Head Start for FY 2021-22.

The resolution also includes direction to the City Administrator to include relevant enhancements to the Lincoln Square Recreation Center Renovation Capital Improvement Fund to include Early Head Start and Head Start classrooms to serve the Downtown/Chinatown community which has high levels of unmet need.

The proposed range of slots for participants is in addition to the 622 slots funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families (DHHS/ACF) Office of Head Start grant for \$12,252,694, as of July 1, 2021 and authorized by City Council Resolution No. 88763 C.M.S. to serve pregnant women and low income children, ages 0-5, and their families throughout the City of Oakland, for a five-year period, FY 2021-2026, renewable annually. (See *Attachments A, B* and *C*: July 26, 2021 City Council report and resolution, and July 1, 2021 Information Memo)

The three (3) sites to receive this funding were identified for closure due to the reduction in the Office of Head Start Grant to the City of Oakland Head Start by over \$5,000,000. Arroyo Viejo,

Tassafaronga and Franklin Head Start Centers have been serving children and families for over 20 years. The funding outlined in this report will ensure that the Oakland Head Start Program can continue to provide full-day center-based program options at these sites along with the nine (9) federally funded, licensed centers and 2 home based program locations. The additional funding of \$1,836,000 will keep 12 existing locations as licensed centers, as well as provide a location for the home-based program to serve families across Oakland.

BACKGROUND / LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

The City of Oakland has been a grantee of the Head Start Program since January 1971. In 2007, Congress enacted legislation that required greater competition within communities for Head Start funding. This legislation ensures that Federal Head Start funding is directed to the organizations most capable of delivering high-quality early education that puts children on a pathway to improved outcomes, school success, and opportunity.

In Fall of 2020, Office of Head Start issued a Request for Proposals under a competitive process to serve the City of Oakland for a total of \$17.8 million with a notice that indicated up to three (3) agencies could be funded. Grant applicants were strongly encouraged to bring new and innovative evidence-based or evidence- informed ideas to maximize the extent to which Early Head Start and Head Start, in collaboration with other partners and early childhood education providers, can prepare children and their families for school. The City applied for the full amount available that would have included keeping all Centers operational. On June 30, 2021, the City of Oakland received a notice of award for a grant of \$12,252,694 to serve 622 children and pregnant women in specific program options. The Unity Council, formerly a delegate agency of the City of Oakland, received the balance of the award to serve 368 children and families.

Program Services in FY 2021-22

In FY 2021-22, the Oakland Head Start/Early Head Start program proposes to operate the following program options:

a) **Full-day, Center-Based** Head Start and Early Head Start services, operated by the City of Oakland - the Grantee and Partner Agencies.

b) **Home-Based** Head Start and Early Head Start services operated by the Grantee and Partner Agency providing education, developmental and family services at homes.

c) **Pregnant Women's Program** that offers health and nutrition, in addition to pre/postnatal and early parenting classes, newborn home visits and transition of infant to the Early Head Start Program by the Grantee and Partner Agency.

d) **Family Child Care Partnership (FCC) Program** in partnership with BANANAS, Inc. Resource and Referral Agency, Oakland Head Start will work with licensed family childcare providers throughout the City. This strategy supports small, often BIPOC, woman owned agencies and can provide Head Start services in areas of the City that do not have center based options. e) **Mobile Classroom Program** that offers early learning education, child development, and family services in a mobile vehicle classroom setting at family shelters and other locations where unhoused families can be served.

Children enrolled in the center-based program option will continue to receive full-day services from 8:30 to 4:30pm, five days per week. All children enrolled in a center-based program option will receive two educational home visits per year conducted by the teaching staff and two parent-teacher conferences per year. Each center will have a designated Center Manager that manages center operations, provide additional support to staff, monitor for safety and supervision, as well as for curriculum fidelity.

Building on Community Resilience to Address Needs

Housing insecurity and homelessness requires unique and expanded service models to address the rising challenges for families in the community. Similarly, COVID-19 has disproportionately affected Head Start families who are more likely to be or have a family member who is an essential worker, live in crowded conditions, and/or to have been impacted economically by reduced hours or job loss. The funding will expand on family support in the areas of job skills and income growth through the support of career ladders, as well as continuing its work on supporting the basic needs of families and children, including food security and health services, including mental health supports.

The Oakland Head Start program will also continue its support of families and teachers in ensuring trauma-informed and healing-centered practices are infused and supported, both in the program's curriculum and in its ongoing wellness training and workshop opportunities for teaching staff, as well as families.

ANALYSIS AND POLICY ALTERNATIVES

The City's Head Start program provides comprehensive early education services to low-income children and families, to help ensure children starting school are ready to succeed. Positive learning environments help guide social and emotional development. Oakland Head Start also supports families with their child's physical health and development, approaches toward learning, communication, language, literacy, and cognition. Head Start and Early Head Start teachers are required to have Bachelor and Associate degrees with specific training in early child development and infant/toddler coursework.

The Oakland Head Start/Early Head Start program is evidence-based, data driven, and designed to provide high-quality comprehensive, coordinated services that include child development & education, health, nutrition, disabilities, and family services support.

Family goals, both related to economic well-being and education, are critical components of the partnership agreement with all families. The needs of families served by the City of Oakland Head Start program are varied, based on the specific communities in which they live.

Access to quality and affordable early care and education opportunities are important for healthy development and kindergarten readiness for children but also for a healthy economy. According

to the Alameda County Early Care and Education program, more than 115,000 Alameda County children lack access to formal child care and early education. Only 31 percent of Alameda County children with working parents have a licensed child care and early education space available to them. Full-time child care or preschool in Alameda County costs an estimated average of \$23,000 per year. In Oakland, only 23 percent of families eligible for subsidized child care are receiving a subsidy. The COVID-19 crisis has taken a toll on child care in Oakland and Alameda County.

Table 1 shows the child care capacity as of October 2020. Both family child care sites and centers are at half the capacity as pre-COVID. The impact of the COVID-19 crisis on child care is predicted to be long lasting and could impact the cost of child care if centers and providers are in higher demand.

Location	No. Family Child Care Sites	No. Child Care Centers	No. Currently Closed Family Child Care Sites	No. Currently Closed Child Care Centers	Pre- COVID Capacity for Children 0-5	Current Capacity of Children 0-5	Percent of Current Capacity Compared to Pre- COVID Capacity
Oakland	314	159	161	110	9,664	5,732	59 percent
Alameda County	1,429	694	774	448	40,588	22,569	56 percent

Table 1: Child Care Capacity in Oakland and Alameda County
--

Research has shown that inequality starts at birth, and the negative impacts can last a lifetime, unless children have access to quality early childhood programs that can change the trajectory of their life. With this as a foundation, Oakland HS believes that every young child must have the opportunity to learn, explore and develop to their full potential, irrespective of where they live and their background. To accomplish this, Oakland HS works closely with parents and families to empower and promote them as the first and primary teacher of their children.

All services are inclusive of all children and pregnant women, including children with disabilities, respectful of differences in gender, culture, language, ethnicity, immigration status/citizenship and family composition, and are welcoming to parents. The core beliefs of the program are integrated as follows:

- Oakland HS teaching staff recognize that children grow and develop in the context of relationships.
- Learning environments include developmentally appropriate learning centers full of interesting, open-ended materials that provide opportunities for children to explore, create and problem-solve.
- Children have a right to equitable education practices and staff are supported in understanding implicit biases that may impact practice.
- Family engagement is recognized as critical to assist children in sustaining school readiness gains and is embedded throughout services to promote healthy development of children.

At the present time, there are no policy alternatives that will bring about a similar return on investment, while also successfully providing comprehensive, coordinated services that support school readiness and family self-sufficiency and that are designed to assist Oakland's most vulnerable families with children, ages birth through five years old.

The following table (**Table 2**) presents the overall program enrollment that is proposed for the City of Oakland directly operated sites, to provide comprehensive, coordinated, evidence-based center-based, home-based, and family child care Early Head Start/Head Start services in FY 2021-22.

Directly Operated Sites	Head Start	Early Head Start
85 th Avenue	16	18
Brookfield (City)	32	0
Lion Creek Crossing (HS)	16	8
New Home Based Site/s	0	69
Manzanita	16	0
San Antonio CDC	24	0
Sungate	24	0
Mobile Classroom (Homeless Program)	16	8
Broadway	0	24
West Grand	0	24
San Antonio Park	0	18
Arroyo Viejo (Restored Site)	0	12
Tassafaronga (Restored Site)	24	0
Franklin (Restored Site)	16	0
Total	184	181

Table 2: Proposed Program Enrollment for City of Oakland Operated Sites

The City of Oakland will directly serve 365 children, pregnant women and families with the restoration of these three sites and will fund an additional 14.0 FTE to provide comprehensive services to children and families enrolled at the three sites.

The enhancements to the Lincoln Square Recreation Center Renovation Capital Improvement Fund to include Early Head Start and Head Start Classrooms to serve the Downtown/Chinatown community impacted by the Frank G. Mar Head Start site closure would provide Head Start services to approximately 24 to 34 children (0 to 5 years old) and their families in an area that has always had a high demand and need for Head Start programming. The Frank G. Mar Head Start site is no longer a viable option as the center has undergone renovation by the owners and the program no longer has access to an outdoor area that is required for the center to be a licensed facility. The Lincoln Recreation Center has included the addition of an early head start and head start classrooms in the project design and requires funding to renovate the center to meet the requirements for a licensed center. Once complete, the Downtown/Chinatown families will have a high quality and welcoming center for children and families.

FISCAL IMPACT

The funding of \$1,836,000 will provide 52 children and families with comprehensive early childhood services at three sites with 14 FTE staff. The additional \$1,000,000 will be allocated towards future enhancements to the Lincoln Square Recreation Center Renovation Capital Improvement Fund to include Early Head Start and Head Start Classrooms.

Funds will be budgeted to provide all required comprehensive services in a high-quality, costeffective manner.

The funding request represents a total average cost per child of \$35,308. The budget will go towards personnel required to manage the sites, as well as contractual and operating costs. The cost per child represents full-day services for a minimum of 180 days in classrooms and comprehensive support services including healthcare, mental health and parent engagement. Full-day, full-year services for all children allows Oakland to address the unmet demand for quality, center-based early care and education services for working families or those pursuing educational and career training goals. **Table 3** below details the proposed budget allocations for this programing.

Cost Category	Budget
Personnel & Fringe	\$ 1,397,000
Contracts	\$ 110,000
O&M	\$ 304,000
ISF	\$ 25,000
TOTAL	\$ 1,836,000

Table 3: Proposed Budget Allocations

Cost Summary/Implications

The funding for this \$1.836 million restoration has been achieved through one-time General Purpose Fund (1010) reallocations. The FY 2021-23 Biennial Budget, in Resolution 88717 C.M.S., has been amended as set forth in Exhibit 1 to reallocate existing funding to the Head Start Program. This funding was realized through salary savings from vacancies and longer hiring timelines and through additional savings using state funds for homelessness programs. See Exhibit 1 attached to this report for a detailed list of the savings used for this budget reallocation.

A. Cost of Head Start Program for FY 2021-2022: \$19,922,145.

Table 4: Head Start Budget with Updated Award Amounts and Budget Restoration

FY 21-22 Head Start Budget Changes	Adopted Budget	Updated Budget	Variance
Revenue Sources	Amount	Amount	Amount
Federal Grant	\$17,179,680	\$12,252,694	\$4,926,986
GPF Contribution (including ISF)	\$3,237,575	\$3,237,575	\$0

FY 21-22 Head Start Budget Changes	Adopted Budget	Updated Budget	Variance
Full CSO Waiver (estimated amount)	\$2,595,876	\$2,595,876	\$0
Total Revenue Amount	\$23,013,131	\$18,086,145	\$4,926,986
Budget Restoration with GPF reallocations		\$1,836,000	
Total Budgeted Expenditures*	\$22,653,029	\$19,922,145	\$2,730,884

*The difference between revenue and expenditures in the Adopted Budget is due in part to the COLA increase added during the Errata, which was balanced across the overall fund but not at the programmatic level.

With this action, the program's total adjusted budget will become an estimated \$19,922,145. The federal grant of \$12, 252,694 will cover 105.5 FTEs and the City will cover an additional 14 FTEs, which will increase total FTEs to 119.5 FTEs for FY 2021-22.

The reduction of \$4,926,986 in grant revenue identified in the staff report in July 20, 2021, has been addressed in part through this budget restoration. The remaining operating shortfall of \$2,730,884 for FY 2021-22 will be reduced through a combination of changes in program options and some corresponding staffing impacts.

Fiscal impact: This \$1,836,000 million budget restoration is a one-time use of General Purpose Fund that is in addition to the federal grant of \$12,252,694 million that the City received through Resolution 88763 C.M.S. This additional funding will increase the City's contribution to the Head Start program from \$5,833,451 to \$7,669,451 for FY 2021-22. This restoration of services is happening in tandem with the City continuing to meet federal requirements for the Head Start grant, including undertaking a restructuring of staffing and program delivery. The long-term operations of the City's Head Start program and its fiscal impact will depend on the upcoming federal grant cycle and the City's ongoing budgetary process.

PUBLIC OUTREACH / INTEREST

Given timing of the report, the item did not have additional public outreach other than the required posting on the City's website. However, the Head Start program has a Parent Policy Council and Advisory Board that play governing roles over the program and they will be notified of this agenda item and will convene with appropriate notice at a later date to review the augmentation.

COORDINATION

The City Attorney's Office and the Budget Bureau were consulted in preparation of this report and resolution.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: The funding creates 14 jobs, including people directly employed in centers, plus an unknown number of jobs through its economic multiplier effect. Most of these jobs are held by Oakland residents. In addition, Head Start and Early Head Start buys most of its goods and services from Oakland firms or individuals to support its programs.

Environmental: There are currently no direct environmental benefits or impacts from the Head Start and Early Head Start program to the City of Oakland.

Race & Equity: The Oakland Head Start and Early Head Start program will deliver comprehensive and high-quality services to an additional 52 children and families through FY 2021-2026. Approximately 37 percent of families served at these three sites were Black, 24 percent Asian and 26 percent Hispanic children. Staff at these three sites closely reflect the demographic make-up of the families served. In FY20-21 about 45 percent of staff were black, 27 percent Asian and 27 percent Hispanic.

Improving educational and family outcomes and eliminating racial disparities for this community is an explicit goal of the program. The range of services is responsive and appropriate to each child and family's developmental, ethnic, cultural, and linguistic heritage and experience. Designed to foster healthy cognitive, physical and social-emotional development, individualized services in the areas of education and early childhood development, medical, dental, and mental health services, as well as nutrition, parent involvement/engagement, and family literacy, will be provided for each child and their family.

Recent scientific research has verified what early childhood educators have known for years, which is that how children are nurtured and educated in their youngest years has a significant impact on development, growth, ongoing academic performance and later academic and life success.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff Recommends That The City Council Adopt A Resolution Amending Resolution 88717 C.M.S., Which Adopted The City Of Oakland Biennial Budget For Fiscal Years 2021-23, To Allocate \$1.836 Million From The General Purpose Fund To The Head Start Program As Set Forth In Exhibit 1 To This Resolution And To Direct The City Administrator To Include Relevant Enhancements To The Lincoln Square Recreation Center Renovation Capital Improvement Fund In The Fiscal Year 2022-23 Midcycle Budget Adjustment.

For questions regarding this report, please contact Diveena Cooppan, Human Services Division Manager, Early Childhood and Family Services, at 510-238-3165.

Respectfully submitted,

SARA BEDFORD Director, Human Services Department

Reviewed by*:* Diveena Cooppan, Head Start Manager Human Services Department

Attachments (3) A: Head Start Grant Award Info Memo 7.1.2021 B: Head Start FY 21 – 26 Grant Application Report to Council July 20, 2021 C: Resolution N0. 88763 CMS

MISSING EXECUTED LEGISLATION FORM·

Resolution / Ordinance Number:

City Council Meeting Date

Agenda Item No.

Recorded Vote

888 Cl1 SEP 0 1 2(2) FXULLEN-

Status of Resolution/Ordinance:

- red without signature
- if Council amended legislation
- D No signed version will be filed
- ${\bf D}$ No signed version will be filed

Author ContactInformado.n.:

Department

Contact Person/Ext.

Notes (if any)

Toe Council Committee approved recommendations as amended to include the following:

Addinga 2nd FURTHER RESOLVED: That The City Administrator Is Directed To Return To Council WithA Recommendation TO Fund Capital Improvements Rent, other Facility And Program Costs At Ano_ther L:ocation In Chinatown, TO Be Determined, For A Head start Program In The Event That **A** Head.Start Program Is.Not Placed At The Lincoln Square Recreation Center.

4..fl.e:zi'it>.!(3 tH' /i.1

| 724{a



21 AUO 30 AH10: 12

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO------ C.M.S.

INTRODUCED BY VICE MAYOR KAPLAN, COUNCIL PRESIDENT FORTUNATO BAS, COUNCIL MEMBER FIFE, AND PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE THAO

RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 88717 C.M.S., WHICH ADOPTED THE CITY OF OAKLAND BIENNIAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEARS 2021-23, TO ALLOCATE \$1.836 MILLION FROM THE GENERAL PURPOSE FUND TO THE HEAD. START PROGRAM AS SET FORTH IN EXHIBIT 1 TO THIS RESOLUTION AND TO DIRECT THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO INCLUDE RELEVANT ENHANCEMENTS TO THE LINCOLN SQUARE RECREATION CENTER RENOVATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND IN THE FISCAL YEAR 2022-23 MIDCYCLE BUDGET ADJUSTMENT

WHEREAS, on June 24, 2021, the Oakland City Council passed Resolution No. 88717 C.M.S, which adopted the City of Oakland Fiscal Year 2021-23 Biennial Budget; and

WHEREAS, Head Start/Early Head Start is a federally and state funded early childhood education program that promotes schopl readiness for children from birth to age five and offers free early childhood education and family services to low-income Oakland residents; and

WHEREAS, these services include individualized early childhood education, health services, family services, disability services, and nutrition services; and

WHEREAS, Head Start and Early Head Start programs have well documented benefits in telms of early education that play out in a child's later educational preparedness; and

WHEREAS, Head Start also provides health and economic benefits to the children and their families; and

WHEREAS, on June 30, 2021, the Head Start/Early Head Start program was awarded \$12.2 million from the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Head Start, which is \$5.5 million less than the \$17.8 million that was requested; and

WHEREAS, due to the funding reduction, Oakland will serve fewer children than it served in FY 20-2 I; and

WHEREAS, the Tassafaronga and Arroyo Viejo Head Stal1/Early Head Start sites are primarily staffed by workers who are Black and serving Black children and families, and the Franklin Center Head Start/Early Head Start site is primarily staffed by workers of color serving children and families of color, particularly from the Asian American and immigrant communities; and

WHEREAS, the Tassafaronga, Arroyo Viejo, and Franklin Center Head Start/Early Head Start sites will no longer be able to provide on-site services to children due to these funding cuts; and

WHEREAS, \$ I .836 million dollars are needed in the short tenn to keep these sites open, restore instructor positions, and prevent families from being forced to travel to another location or lose services altogether; and

WHEREAS, it is vital that the City of Oakland continue to offer early childhood education and services at locations that are easily accessible to those who walk and use public transpol lation; and

WHEREAS, Lincoln Square Recreation Center is currently funded in the Capital Improvement Projects for FY 2022-2023 and requires additional capital construction in order to be compliant with Head Start/Early Head Start facility standards; and

WHEREAS, the Chinatown area is currently underserved by the Head Start/Early Head Start progra1n and is in need of a location that can serve families in that area; and

WHEREAS, the budgetary allocations in this resolution come from salary savings from vacancies and longer timelines to fill positions; and

WHEREAS, these funds can be allocated to support the Head Start/Early Head Start program in the City of Oakland, restore the slots for children that would have been cut, and prevent the layoff of frontline staff at the sites that are being restored, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That Resolution No. 88717 C.M.S, which adopted the Fiscal Year 2021-23 Biennial Budget, is hereby amended to reallocate up to\$ I .836 million from the General Purpose Fund to the Head Start program as set forth in Exhibit I; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator is directed to include relevant enhancements to the capital improvement fund for the Lincoln Square Recreation Center renovation project in the fiscal year 2022-23 midcycle budget adjustments.

3101877vl

JN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES -FIFE, GALLO, KALB, KAPLAN, REID, TAYLOR, THAO AND PRESIDENT FORTUNATO BAS

NOES-ABSENT-ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

ASHA REED City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California

OFFICE OF THE CIT + CLER+	
2014 APR 11 PM 3: 11. INTRODUCED BY COUNCILMEMBER	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY V COUNCIL
22	13
ORDINANCE No	C.M.S.

AN ORDINANCE RESCINDING RESOLUTION NO. 76996 C.M.S. AND ESTABLISHING THE HEAD START ADVISORY BOARD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CITY CHARTER SECTION 601

WHEREAS, the City of Oakland has been the local grantee for the Head Start Program (hereinafter "Program") since 1972; and

WHEREAS, the Oakland City Council is the goverrung body of the Program; and

WHEREAS, the Federal regulations governing the Program require that the local grantee establish a Policy Council comprised of Head Start parents and community members that share governance responsibilities of the Program with the governing body; and

WHEREAS, the governing body must play an active role in the management and monitoring of the Program and is responsible for the legal and fiscal management of the Program; and

WHEREAS, on March 12, 2002, the City Council passed Resolution No. 76996 C.M.S. confirming the creation of the Head Start Advisory Panel to assist the Council in its role as the Head Start governing board; and

WHEREAS, section 601 of the City of Oakland Charter authorizes the City Council to create by ordinance such advisory boards as may be required for the proper operation of any function or agency of the City and prescribe the board's function, duties, powers, jurisdiction, number of members, and membership terms; now therefore

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF OAKLAND DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Resolution No. 76996 hereby is rescinded.

Section 2. Creation of the Head Start Advisory Board

Pursuant to Section 601 of the Charter of the City of Oakland there hereby is created a Head Start Advisory Board (hereinafter "Board"). It shall be the duty of the Board to provide advice and recommendations to the City Council in the following areas: Program planning, how the Program can best address the needs of Head Start eligible families, Program multi-year and annual goals and objectives, selection of new delegate agencies, funding applications for the Program, the composition of the Head Start Policy Council and procedures for Policy Council membership, procedures to resolve community complaints about the Program, procedures to implement activities that are shared functions between the Governing Body and the Policy Council, and any other areas related to the efficient administration of the Program.

Section 3. Membership of the Board

A. Composition of the Board

The Board shall be composed of nine (9) members.

B. Board Member Qualifications

Members must reside or work within the City of Oakland and be directly involved in at least one of the following areas:

- 1. Public education: knowledge of issues in early education and kindergarten transition and services to children with disabilities.
- 2. Public health: knowledge of issues and services in health, including general health, nutrition, mental health, and dental health.
- 3. Family services: knowledge of issues relating to low-income families and general approaches to services for families.
- 4. Childcare/child development: knowledge of issues related to early care and education transitions to focus on families involved in welfare reform.
- 5. Management and administration: knowledge of business practices, budgeting and accountability systems.
- 6. Human resources: knowledge of training, organizational structure and design.
- 7. State and Federal government: knowledge of, and relationship to, State and Federal officials representing the City of Oakland.

C. Appointment of Board Members

New Board members may be recommended by Program staff and existing Board members, and shall be appointed by the Mayor, and confirmed by the City Council.

D. Term of Board Members

- 1. All appointments shall be for a term period of three (3) years.
- 2. No person shall be appointed for more than four (4) consecutive terms as a member of the Board.
- 3. In the event an appointment to fill a vacancy has not occurred by the conclusion of a Board member's term, that member may continue to serve as a member of the Board during the subsequent term in a holdover capacity for a period not to exceed one year to allow for the appointment of a Board member to serve the remainder of said subsequent term.

E. Election of Officers

The Board shall elect a chairperson and vice chairperson from its members at the first regular meeting in September of each year.

F. <u>Removal of Board Members</u>

A member may be removed pursuant to Section 601 of the City Charter, for, among other things, a conviction of a felony, misconduct, incompetence, or inattention to or inability to perform duties. Absence from three consecutive regular meetings shall constitute cause for removal.

G. Vacancies

A vacancy on the Board will exist whenever a member reaches the term limit, dies, resigns, or is removed, or whenever an appointee fails to be confirmed by the Council within thirty (30) days of recommendation.

Section 4. Board Meetings

Regular meetings of the Board shall be held at the time and place designated by the Board each year at its first meeting in September. Meetings called for special purposes to meet critical deadlines and scheduled at a time and/or place different from regular meetings shall be designated special meetings.

Section 5. <u>Rules of Procedure</u>

A. <u>Adoption of Bylaws</u>

The Board, in consultation with the City Administrator and the City Attorney, shall establish bylaws, consistent with this ordinance, for the conduct of its business. The bylaws shall be reviewed by the Board annually, or more frequently if necessary.

B. Voting Requirements

A quorum is needed to conduct a meeting of the Board. A quorum for the conduct of meetings shall be a majority (50 percent plus one) of currently filled Board positions.

Section 6. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the Chapter. The City Council hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance and each section, subsection, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that one or more other sections, subsections, clauses or phrases may be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Section 7. **Effective Date.** This ordinance shall become effective immediately on final adoption if it receives six or more affirmative votes; otherwise it shall become effective upon the seventh day after final adoption.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

M A Y 2 0 2 0 1 4

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES- BROOKS, GALLO, GIBSON MCELHANEY, KALB, KAPLAN, REID, SCHAAF, and PRESIDENT ${\bf KERNIGHAN}$,-

NOES- **ft!:**__

ABSENT-r

ABSTENTION-1

ATTEST LaTonda Simmons City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California

DATE OF ATTESTATION: