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| Date: | October 2, 2019 |
| To: | Members of the City Council and Members of the Public |
| From: | Councilmember Loren Taylor |
| Subject: | . Supplemental Report re: Ordinance Amending Cannabis Business Tax Rates |

Colleagues on the City Council and Members of the Public:
Included in this Supplemental Report is a PowerPoint presentation summarizing key findings from various stakeholder meetings the District 6 office has conducted over the past 3 months. On pages 7 and 8 of the slide deck you will find 2 proposals (A \& B) that incorporate these learnings into potential cannabis tax policy.
I look forward to discussing these findings in the upcoming 10/8/19 Finance Committee Meeting.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Loren Taylor

## CANNABISTAX RELIEF FOCUSED ON EQUITY AND SMALL BUSINESSES

## IMPROVING CANNABIS TAX POLICY IN OAKLAND



## I. Key Learnings

## I. TAXES ARE JUST ONE OF SEVERALTOOLSWE HAVE AVAILABLE

## I. What are Oakland's goals with respect to cannabis industry?

- Revenue to the city (near term... longerterm)
- Job creation (Total jobs, Jobs for at-risk/ Under-employed populations)
- Catalyze creation/ growth of other Oakland businesses (equity and non-equity)
- Oakland as destination for residents of other Bay Area cities
- Increased neighborhood improvement and provision of services to under-resourced communities
- Other

2. What are Oakland's levers it has available to achieve these goals?

- Business Assistance
- Licensing/Permitting (of businesses and events)
- Zoning
- Taxes and Fees
- Grants/ investments
- Policy/ legislation
- Effective enforcement of legislation/ codes
- Building and Fire (critically helpful and/or an impediment)
- Other


## 2. EQUITY MUST BE AT THE HEART OF MARIJUANA LEGALIZATION



WE MUST MAINTAIN THIS FOCUS WITH OUR TAX POLICY

Equality = sameness
Equity = fairness

## I. Key Learnings

## 3. EACHVALUE CHAIN SEGMENTS HAS UNIQUE CHARACTERISTICS

|  | Cultivation | Manufacturing | Distribution | Labs/ Testing | Retail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of business <br> permits in OAK (195 <br> licensed businesses <br> holding 291 permits) | 43(indoor) <br> /5(outdoor) | 21 (volatile)/ 53 (non-volatile) | 73 (distribution)/ <br> 8 (Transporter | I | 87 permits |
| Sales Tax Revenue to City | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | YES |
| Margins (H, M, L) | L | L-M | L | L | H |
| Jobs per sq. ft | L | M | M-H | M | H |
| Skill/ Education Level (non-mgmt) | L | L-H | L-M | L-H | L |
| Likely to be commoditized? | Y | N | N | N | TBD? |

## I. Key Learnings

## 4. OAKLAND'S EFFECTIVE TAX RATE IS LOWER THAN THE PUBLISHED RATE

|  | NonMedical Tax Rate | Medical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultivation Rate | 10.0\% | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Cultivation Rate | 10.0\% | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ------------------- Non-Cultivation --------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Cultivation Business |  | Manufacturing Business |  | Distribution Business |  | Retail Business |  |
|  |  | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical |
| Gross receipts |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Raw Materials |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Oakland Tax Basis |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Oakland Tax Amount |  | 50,000 | 25,000 | 60,000 | 30,000 | 100,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Effective Oakland Tax Rate |  | 5.0\% | 2.5\% | 6.0\% | 3.0\% | 10.0\% | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 5.0\% |

Operating margin \& Raw Materials by Segment

|  | Typical <br> Margins* | Typical Raw <br> Materials* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cultivation | $L$ | $50 \% \quad$ *as percent of revenue |
| 2. Manufacturing | $M$ | $40 \% \quad$ a |
| 3. Distribution | $L$ | $0 \%$ |
| 4. Laboratory Testing | $L$ | $0 \%$ |
| 5. Retail | $H$ | $0 \%$ |

> ASSUMING THAT RAW MATERIALS COST ARE 50\% OF REVENUE FOR CULTIVATORS AND 40\% OF REVENUE FOR MANUFACTURING,THE EFFECTIVE TAX RATE FOR CULTIVATION IS 5\%,AND FOR MANUFACTURING IS 6\%.

SOURCE: https://www.odc.gov.au/sites/default/files/modelling-cost-medicinal-cannabis-dae-1609.pdf
I. Key Learnings

## 5. LAB/TEST COMPANIES - NOT DIRECTLY PART OF CANNABISVALUE

 CHAIN

HOW ARE THEY DIFFERENT FROM OTHER SERVICE PROVIDERS, E.G.,AN ACCOUNTANT, OR A JANITOR, OR A SECURITY SERVICE THAT PAYS 0.I 2\% TAX RATE??

## PROPOSED CANNABIS EQUITYTAX INCENTIVE PROGRAM

|  |  | Tax Rate | Tax Deduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline Tax Rate |  |  |  |
| 1 | Manufacturing, Distribution, and Retail | 8\% NonMedical / 5\% Medical |  |
| 2 | Cultivation | I0\% NonMedical / 5\% Medical |  |
| 3 | Laboratory \& Testing | 0.12\% |  |
| Equity Business Tax Relief |  |  |  |
| 4 | Equity businesses with revenue up to $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ | 0.12\% |  |
| 5 | Non-Equity businesses with revenue up to $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ | 0.12\% |  |
| Local Equity Hiring Incentive |  |  |  |
| 6 | Equity Businesses with revenue greater than \$1.5M |  | \%-age calculation: I\% tax deduction for every I0\% of workforce that comes from police beats (TBD) in Oakland with the highest levels of cannabis enforcement (minimum tax rate of 2\%) |
| 7 | Nonequity businesses with minimum of 40 total employees |  | \%-age calculation: I\% tax deduction for every I0\% of workforce that comes from police beats (TBD) in Oakland with the highest levels of cannabis enforcement (minimum tax rate of 2\%) |

## II. Proposal - B

## PROPOSED CANNABIS EOUITY TAX INCENTIVE PROGRAM

| Baseline Tax Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Manufacturing, and Retail | 8\% NonMed/ 5\% Med |  |
| 2 | Cultivation | 10\% NonMed/ 5\% Med |  |
| 3 | Distribution \& Lab/Testing | 5\% |  |
| Equity Business Tax Relief |  |  |  |
| 4 | Equity businesses with revenue up to $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ | 0.12\% |  |
| 5 | Non-Equity businesses with revenue up to $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ | 0.12\% |  |
| Local Equity Hiring Incentive (3\% Max Rebate) |  |  |  |
| 6 | Local Hiring Incentive A |  | 1\% Tax Rebate - 51\% of workforce consists of verified members of equity target population |
| 7 | Local Hiring Incentive B |  | 1\% Tax Rebate - 30\% of essential jobs of the permit performed by verified members of equity target population (e.g, mfg jobs for a manufacturer) |
| 8 | Local Hiring Incentive C |  | 1\% Tax Rebate - 30\% of managerial staff are verified members of equity target population |
| Equity Program Supply Chain Support (2\% Max Rebate) |  |  |  |
| 6 | Local Supply Chain Discount A |  | 1\% Tax Rebate - 51\% of product comes from equity distributor |
| 7 | Local Supply Chain Discount B |  | 1\% Tax Rebate - 51\% of product on shelves are equity brand |
| Workforce Quality of Life Incentive (2\% Max Rebate) |  |  |  |
| 6 | Living Wage Discount |  | 1\% Rebate - No staff earns less than \$20/hr* (COLA adjustment each year) |
| 7 | Full-time Hire Discount |  | 1\% Tax Rebate - 80\% of staff is full time |

Tax Rate Summary for Proposals A and B for each industry subsegment

| Proposal A | Original Tax Rate |  | Stated Tax Rate |  | Effective Tax Rate (w/o Rebates) |  | Lowest Possible Tax Rate (w/ Rebates) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical |
| Cultivation | 10\% | 5\% | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2.5\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Manufacturing | 10\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4.8\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Distribution | 10\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Lab/Test | 10\% | 5\% | 0.12\% | 0.12\% | 0.12\% | 0.12\% | 0.12\% | 0.12\% |
| Retail | 10\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% |


| Proposal B | Original Tax Rate |  | Stated Tax Rate |  | Effective Tax Rate (w/o Rebates) |  | Lowest Possible Tax Rate (w/ Rebates) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical | NonMedical | Medical |
| Cultivation | 10\% | 5\% | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2.5\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Manufacturing | 10\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4.8\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Distribution | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Lab/Test | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Retail | 10\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% |

## CANNABIS EQUITY TAX INCENTIVE PROGRAM

I. How much will these proposed reductions affect our budget?

RESPONSE - Finance Department to provide response
2. Where will the budget offset come from?

RESPONSE - Finance Department to provide response
3. Which police beats should be included b/c of having higher levels of cannabis enforcement?

RESPONSE - The same police beats and criteria for equity program participation as described in Ordinance I3464
4. Are there other incentives we should consider tying to a tax rebate?

RESPONSE - As captured in proposal $B$, we have included incentives related to (a) local equity hiring, (b) equity supply chain, and (c) workforce quality of life

