PRESIDENT KAPLAN PROPOSES MORE HARMONIZED SOLUTION FOR OakDOT

In 2015, the City Council approved the proposal to reorganize city government to create a new Department of Transportation (OakDOT) for the City of Oakland. The Ordinance stated that: "The management and operation of the Department of Transportation shall be the responsibility of the Director of Transportation, subject to the direction of the City Administrator" to effectively deliver capital projects and mobility programs, accelerate the repaving of our streets/sidewalks, and to improve pedestrian bike, driver, and transit safety.

Many dedicated workers are doing vital and important work to help improve our infrastructure, including paving our streets and fixing our sidewalks, and much more. We want to acknowledge all the amazing work at OakDOT, Public Works, and Planning, and other Departments and we want the workforce to know their work is deeply appreciated.

However, for multiple years, community members, residents, and local business owners have expressed concerns, regarding the lack of clarity of duties among departments, the duplication of processes, and the sometimes-conflicting interpretation of rules by OakDOT, OPW, and other departments. These problems have created delay for implementation of important projects and wasted time and money for stakeholders and city staff. As Oakland sits at the center of many regional transportation projects and agencies, from BART and AC Transit to CalTrans and more, much of what we need to accomplish to improve our transportation system requires effective collaboration.

For our economy, our environment, and our quality of life, it is important for Oakland to have well-coordinated efforts for our infrastructure and transportation.

This proposal never contained any threat to lay off any OakDOT union workers.

I would like to thank the many community members and stakeholders who have reached out and have expressed their interests and suggestions regarding transportation in Oakland.

Also, I would like to particularly thank Councilmembers Sheng Thao, Loren Taylor, and Nikki Bas in seeking a solution which does not create any cuts, or fear of cuts. In order to provide for a proposal which can help solve issues, without creating any additional strife, I propose:

In seeking good governance practices, to provide clarity, while removing the request for any related budget adjustment or staff allotment change, I am asking the City Administrator to provide a report and clarify handling and resolving issues of duplication, and assignment of duties, for project approvals and other issues, relative to the DOT and other departments.

I am requesting that the report include:

- **Clear delineation of work:** Which transportation and streetscape-related duties are now in OakDOT, and which are in OPW, or other departments? What items require both OakDOT and OPW approval? (For example, do upper story window permits require OakDOT approval and why?)
- **Consistency:** How do we ensure consistency in the interpretation of rules and regulations among all the departments involved in permit issuances?
- User Friendly Communication: Who is responsible for regional collaboration with other transportation partners and transit agencies? Who is the Liaison from OakDOT to the community? To other transportation agencies who provide transportation in and through Oakland? How is the flow of work communicated to the public? Are there flow charts/organizational charts available for Council and the Public?
- **Conflict Resolution:** If there is lack of clarity on duties or interpretation of rules, who in the Administration is supposed to resolve that?

COUNCIL PRESIDENT KAPLAN'S BUDGET AMENDMENTS FY 2019-21



Presentation Oakland City Council June 10, 2019



"The City Council President, on behalf of the City Council, shall prepare a proposed budget for Council consideration to be heard at a Special City Council Budget Hearing occurring on or before June 17th."



- It's Balanced.
- The majority of the Mayor's proposed budget FY 2019-21 remains unchanged.
- If an item is not addressed by the Council President's budget amendments, it remains as described in the Budget book.



WF ARF HERE TO PASS GE 4 E N BUD REN 5 ES. I SFRVIC BUD SS A DDRESSES FALL NEEDS RESIDENTS.

COUNCIL PRESIDENT KAPLAN'S PROPOSAL ALIGNS WITH SURVEYED NEEDS OF RESIDENTS

Homelessness and housing are clearly the top issues residents want to see prioritized.

In the upcoming two-year budget, what are the <u>two</u> most important issues facing Oakland residents <u>that you would like to see prioritized in the City government budget</u>?



HIGHLIGHTS OF COUNCIL PRESIDENT KAPLAN'S BUDGET

- Housing and Homelessness
 - o Creates a Permanent Affordability Fund for small site acquisition
 - Funds for a self-governing encampment
 - Allocates funds to Navigation Center, mobile showers, bathrooms, etc.
 - Establishes a Homeless Commission and Public Lands Commission
 - o Tiny Homes Project for youth
- Community Safety & Violence Prevention
 - Online resource center, family support for those impacted by violent crime
 - o Adds homicide detectives
 - Adds 911 dispatchers for faster response times
 - Audit of OPD
 - Cahoots Study -Use mental health professionals instead of police officers for mental health issue response
 - · Reduce incarceration by grisrity

HIGHLIGHTS OF COUNCIL PRESIDENT KAPLAN'S BUDGET

- Illegal Dumping
 - o Creates a zone based cleanup with a new crew to cover citywide
 - Expands rewards and security cameras for illegal dumping enforcement

• Workforce

- Job development and vocational training
- Jobs for the Homeless
- Department of Workplace and Employment Standards

Education

- Restorative Justice program
- Healthy food for our Youth including after-school supper program
- o OUSD absenteeism remedies

HIGHLIGHTS OF COUNCIL PRESIDENT KAPLAN'S BUDGET

- Restores Proposed Cuts to Parks Maintenance (which would have inequitably ended maintenance of over 30 community parks)
- Creates evening hours Permit Counter for ADUs and other needs of small property owners
- Restores Cuts to LGBT Family programing
- Cost of Living adjustment for our underpaid City Workers
- Traffic lights at dangerous intersections

HARVEY ROSE ASSOCIATES, OUR NEUTRAL THIRD PARTY ANALYST STATED THAT: "The City Council could consider more aggressive revenue assumptions for the Business License Tax and Transient Occupancy Tax."

-HMR Budget Review Proposed FY 2019.21. Final Report, pg 61



 According to audited actuals for the General-Purpose Fund (GPF), the City of Oakland has underprojected local tax revenues each year for the past 7 years.

The City's own FY 2018-19 third quarter projections show Oakland receiving at least \$37 million more in General-Purpose Fund revenue than previously projected FY 2018-2019 GENERAL FUND REVENUE WAS \$37,120,000 OVER PROJECTIONS IN THE 3RD QUARTER!

Description	FY 2018-19 Revenue
FY 2018-19 Adjusted Revenue Budget	\$
Increases/(Decreases):	
Property Tex	S 2.77
Selas Tax	\$ 2.31
Business License Tex	\$ 10.81
Real Estate Transfer Tax	\$ 25.43
Finos & Penalties	\$ (1.84
Transient Occupancy Tax	\$ 1.80
Miscallansous	\$ (4.09
All Other	\$ (0.07
Not Projected GPF Revenue Growth	\$ 37.12
Total FY 2018-19 Projected Revenue	\$ 639.83

Table 3: Summary of GPF Revenue Variance to FY 2018-19 Adjusted Budget:

Source: Sabrina B. Landreth, City Administrator Subject: FY 2018-19 Q3 R&E Report Date: May 6, 2019 Page 4

THIS IS A PATTERN... Variance of Projected Revenue Versus Actuals-2013-2018 - General Funds

	\$739,258,000
	\$765,504,000
	\$650,446,000 \$704,343,000
	\$623,826,000
	\$694.110,000 \$\$76,853,000 \$623,593,000
	\$549,500,000 \$574,790,000
\$50	0,739,000 \$5\$9,632,000
Şt	518,599,000 \$559,236,000

THIS IS A PATTERN... Variance of Projected Revenue Versus Actuals-2013-2018 - Special Funds



Average Variance	Variance	Actuals (and Q Projections used for FY 2018-2019)	Adopted Budget	Bushes Lignse	
\$777,749	\$7,830,720	\$58,700,000	\$50,869,280	FY 2011-12	
	\$9,434,082	\$60,200,000	\$51,365,918	FY 2012-13	
	\$3,550,000	\$62,900,000	\$\$9,240,000	FY 2013-14	
	\$5,783,000	\$66,800,000	\$61,017,000	N 2014-15	
	\$5,552,500	\$75,600,000	\$70,047,500	FY 2015-16	COME PARANCE STOLEN AND A MARKED AND A MARKED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
		\$75,840,294	\$71,721,300	FY 2016-17	news which the real real ways to a provide the real ways and the real ways and the real ways and the real ways
	\$5,403,531	\$84,984,481	\$71,721,300 \$79,580,950	FY 2017-18	
			SAME AND A	FY 2012-19	

FROM 20112019



May 2017 Revise



Exhibit 1

GENERAL PURPOSE FUND REVENUE MAY REVISE										
<u> Antonio</u> sia					يەر ئىرى ئىلىمى قىر					
Property Tax	158,692,829	170,483,923	182,707,896	187,270,093	2,823,6					
Sales Tax	55,234,590	54,105,000	55,998,537	57,678,493						
Vehide License Fee	165,671									
Business License Tax	75,504,456	72,241,300	79,580,950	81,834,879						
Utility Consumption Tax	50,966,465	- 50,700,000	50,700,000	51,000,000	· (300,00					
Real Estate Transfer Tax	89,594,472	77,670,630	75,822,012	77,962,496						
Transient Occupancy Tax	19,814,310	21,994,000	22,653,820	21,546,654	1,786,7					
Parking Tax	10,219,541	10,579,420	11,130,600	11,157,695	279,0					
Local Tax	40,013	ativation de la contraction Alexandre de la contraction	5,900,000	5,900,000						
Licenses & Permits	1,590,174	1,891,000	2,060,303	2,064,974						
Fines & Penalties	21,741,255	22,086,700	22,178,254	21,965,973	434,0					
Interest Income	924,898	740,482	740,482	740,482						
Service Charges	.52,938,469	54,426,424	59,186,455	60,894,058	224,3					
Grants & Subsidies	1,524,122	416,337	119,435	119,435	25					
Miscellaneous	5,3%,634	3,300,000	2,088,857	5,455,577						
interfund Transfers	14,922,885	2,554,207	3,964,207	4,774,207						
Transfers from Fund Balance	28,070,	087 28,070,087	- 7,960,726	2,550,000	(1,819,79					

REVISED

COUNCIL PRESIDENT'S FY 2019-20 PROJECTIONS COMPARED TO 2018-19 Q3 ACTUALS

Council President's FY 19-20 Projections Compared to Q3 2018-2019 Projections											
			Council								
			President's								
	Council President's		Assumed Growth	Average Growth							
Revenue Source	Projections	Q3 2018-2019	Rate	Rate: 8 year average							
Property Tax	\$ 216.00	\$ 199.24	8.4%	6.0%							
Sales Tax	\$ 60.14	\$	0.3%	4.0%							
TOT (hotel) tax	\$ 28.31	\$ 25.47	11.1%	13.0%							
Business Tax	\$ 102.68	\$ 97.43	5.4%	8.0%							
RETT	\$ 92.80	\$	-6,8%	20.0%							
Ucility Tax	\$ 55.16	\$ 52.30	5.5%	0.0%							
Parking tax	\$ 12.96	\$ 11.44	13.3%	4.0%							
Total GPF			-								
Unrestricted Tax	\$ 568.05	\$	4.1%	7.0%							

Ma	yor's FY 19-20 Pro	ections Compared	to Q3 2018-2019 Pro	ections
	Mayor's Revenue		Mayor's Assumed	Average Growth
Revenue Source	Projections	03 2018-2019	Growth Rate	Rate: 8 year average
Property Tax	\$ 215.00	\$ 199.24	7.9%	6.0%
Sales Tax	\$ 59.14	\$ 59.99	-1.4%	4.0%
TOT (hotel) tax	\$ 26.49	\$ 25.47	4.0%	13.0%
Business Tex	\$ 99.68	\$ 97.43	2.3%	8.0%
RETT	\$ 82.80	\$ 99.61	-16.9%	20.0%
Utility Tax	\$ 55.16	\$ 52.30	5.5%	0.0%
Parking tax	\$ 11.46	\$ 11.44	0.2%	4.0%
Total GPF				
Unrestricted Tax	\$ 549.73	\$ 545.48	0.8%	7.0%

MAYOR'S FY 2019-20 PROJECTIONS COMPARED TO 2018-19 Q3 ACTUALS

OTHER REVENUE GENERATING SOLUTIONS AND UPDATES

- Focused on Non-General Fund sources and best practices
- Council President's discretionary fund for paving plan (\$500,000) moved to be used for other purposes
- Multiple funding sources not in budget are added such as unexpected Warriors playoff revenue.
- New state law for online sales should increase sales tax.

OTHER FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS

- MARCH 2020 Elections
 - Park Ballot Measure
 - Fire Prevention Measure
 - To align with tax assessment in June
 - This would bring money in time for Year 2 of this Budget
- Reduce the number of people we take into jail. Currently 7, 000
 people are incarcerated per year, costing us extra overtime and
 removing officers from serving their beat



FUND 2415 - DEVELOPMENT SERVICE FUND

Exhibit 28: Ending Fund Balance for 2415 Development Service Fund, FY 2016-17 through FY 2018-19 (estimate)

Fiscal Year

Fund Balance

\$73:409.498

\$123,816,826

S92,985,619

FY 2017-18

FY 2016-17

FY 2018-19 (estimated)

FY 2020-21 (estimated ending balance)

\$93,935,620

Source: Oakland City Administrator's Office (FY 2019-21 Proposed Budget)

IMPACT FEES

\$21, 402, 549 HOUSING FEES ASSESSED BUT NOTYET COLLECTED FROM 2018.

		Impact Fees Collect Permit Application			•
Impact Fee Fund		id Fiscal Year (FY)	Amount Collected/Paid	Revenue Assessed but Not Due Yet	Total Impac Fees Assessed
Affordable	Affordable	AHIF, FY 16 - 17	\$477,824	\$5,723,991	\$6,201,815
Housing Trust	Housing	AHIF, FY 17 - 18	\$3,206,036	\$11,510,815	\$14,716,85
Fund	Impact Fees (AHIF)	Total AHIF FYs 2016 - 2018	\$3,683,860	\$17,234,806	\$20,918,66
	Jobs/Housing	JHIF, FY 15 - 16	\$526,861 ^b	\$0	\$
·	Impact Fee	JHIF, FY 16 - 17	\$303,360	\$2,758,235	\$3,061,595
	(JHIF)	JHIF, FY 17 - 18	\$1,637,551	\$1,409,508	\$3,047,05
		Total JHIF FYs 2015 - 2018	\$2,467,772	\$3,640,882	\$8,350,19
,	AHIG & JHIF	Total of AHIF & JHIF FY 15 - 16	\$526,8 6 1 ^b	\$0	\$
· .		Total of AHIF & JHIF FY 16 - 17	\$781,184	\$8,482,226	\$9,263, 41
		Total of AHIF & JHIF FY 17 - 18	\$4,843,587	\$12, 9 20, 3 23	\$17,763,91
		Total of AHIF & JHIF FYs 2015 - 2018	\$6,151,632	\$21,402,549	\$27,268,85
Transportation	Transportation	TIF, FY 16 - 17	\$483,269	\$1,138,114	\$1,621,383
Impact Fee	Impact Fee	TIF, FY 17 - 18	\$1,867,348	\$890,132	\$2,757,48
Trust Fund	(TIF)	Total TIF FYs 2016 - 2018	\$2,350,617	\$2,028,246	\$4,378,86
Capital	Capital	CIIF, FY 16 - 17	\$141,547	\$993,310	\$1,134,857
Improvements	Improvements	CIIF, FY 17 - 18	\$1,598,476	\$89,069	\$1,687,54
Impact Fee Trust Fund	Impact Fee (CIIF)	Total CIIF FYs 2016 - 2018	\$1,740,023	\$1,082,379	\$2,822,402

POLICE	- Fiscal Year	Adopted Budget	Actual	Amount Invoiced	Non- Reimbursed Overtime	(Over) / Under Adopted Budget*
OVERTIME	2012-13	\$13,435,458	\$23,491,096	\$2,849,014	\$20,642,082	(7,206,624)
	2013-14	\$13,435,548	\$26,112,356	\$2,884,679	\$23,227,677	(9,792,129)
	2014-15	\$15,571,768	\$31,690,464	\$3,927,914	\$27,762,550	(12, 190, 782)
	2015-16	\$12,935,458	\$27,779,646	\$4,449,479	\$23,330,167	(10,394,709)
n an the stand of the second stand and the second stand and the second stands and stand and second stands to be	2016-17	\$12,935,458	\$28,265,038	\$4,976,304	\$23,288,734	(10,353,278)
File No. 18-1854	2017-18	\$12,435,458	\$28,515,402	\$7,373,866	\$21,141,536	(8,706,078)
Quarterly Report on Police Overtime	2018-19**	\$12,335,458	\$36,166,883	\$8,628,414	\$27,538,469	(15,203,011)

Supplemental #3

*Does not include the adjusted overtime budget **Projected as of 05 APR 19

Row Labels Actual Reimburgements		18-19 Midcycle FY 1 Jopied Budget	9-20 Proposed FY/2 Budget	0-21 Proposed Budget
	2 505 5ã3	2 154 5 5 5 F	C 000 000	P AAA AAA
45718 - Other Syc Charges: Personnel Services	6,559,561	4,497,937	WWW,C	5,000,000

Oakland's Budget

ward die

COUNCIL PRESIDENT QUESTIONS THAT ARE STILL UNANSWERED

Budget Questions 2019 - Council President Kaplan - V3 - 3/21

I. How much does it cost for OPD to take someone into custody/Santa Rita (time of officers' work including drive time there and back and total dollar value of that time)?

2. What are the total number of people being taken into custody per year for the most recent few years divided by category of offense for which they were taken in (e.g. How many for drug dealing, how many for shooting, how many for burglary, etc.)?

Budget Questions 2019 - Council President Kaplan - V4 - 3/24

1. Please provide the full list of Impact Fee categories, with current fund balance, current revenue and expenditure, and projected revenue for 2019-2021. Please provide the total money received for each fee up until now, the destination fund, and the amount of money that is encumbered, and the remainder amount.

- 2. Can you give us an accounting of all the building permits issued, since the inception of impact fees, # of units, which fee zone they are in. How many fees were assessed? For those who did not pay what was basis of exemption? How much \$ of fees are anticipated and when?
- 3. In the Housing Affordability Trust Fund, what is encumbered, what has been allotted, and what is the remaining amount of monies not encumbered or allotted? Please provide a list of projects that are using encumbered and/or allotted Housing Affordability Trust Fund monies.

COUNCIL PRESIDENT QUESTIONS THAT ARE STILL UNANSWERED

Budget Questions 2019 - Council President Kaplan - V4 - 3/24 (continued)

- 4. Please provide the amount of money currently in fund 2419 Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) and out of that what is encumbered? The actuals are not listed on page 305 of the FY19-21 Proposed Budget.
- 5. The Mayor has publicly stated that the amount budgeted for police overtime in the Mayor/Administrator's budget is not the amount which you actually expect to spend. What is the amount of police overtime that you would realistically estimate for FY19-21?
- 6. In the CIP budget, which priority projects require matching monies that have not yet been secured? Any projects in this round of CIP that won't be using monies this year? Or for 2019-2020.
- 7. Please provide the following information or direct us to retrieve information for the following funds, the amount of unencumbered monies remaining in the fund, the available uses for each fund: a.

Development Service Fund; b. Housing and Community Development Fund; c. California Park and Rec. Fund; d. California DOT Fund; e. Transportation Impact Fee; f. Sewer Service Fund; g. HUD-Home; h. Measure KK: 2017A -2; i. Measure KK: 2017A-1; j. Multipurpose Reserve; k. Capital Improvement Impact Fee Funds; I. Measure B See, for example, the Administration's description of use for the Vehicle License Fee in comparison to the actual rule of fund source uses (ACTC)

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

Subject: Response to Council President's Proposed Budget Amendments Date: June 6, 2019

Page 9

- Staff does not understand intent of the "one-time permit backlog clearance surge funds" (Item No. 7). PBD has existing contingency appropriations that can be used for these purposes.
- Measure F Vehicle Registration Fee (Fund 2215)
 - Allocating \$1.0 million from Fund Balance in Fund 2215 for the installation of two (2) traffic lights would reduce the available balance for both capital and personnel for local streets and roads. ACTC (the origin of these funds) has a goal to maintain a fund balance at 40% of annual revenues. For this fund, that would be approximately \$700,000. Use of \$1.0 million would bring the estimated ending fund balance to \$293,888 in FY 2019-20, substantially below the recommended target.



LET'S ADOPT A BUDGET THAT STRENGTHENS THE FUTURE FOR ALL OF OUR COMMUNITY

• To Contact us: <u>atlarge@oaklandca.gov</u>

• Next Council Meeting: June 18th



Our youngest residents at Fairyland, Oakland Museum, and at a Library branch

Improving Revenue Forecasting for the City of Oakland

Introduction

According to audited actuals for the General-Purpose Fund (GPF), the City of Oakland has systematically under-projected local tax revenues each year for the past 7 years. Third quarter projections indicate that this trend is set to continue for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, with forecasts showing that the City of Oakland will end the year with surplus GPF revenues of almost \$40 million. Council President Kaplan's budget adds an additional 3% growth in unrestricted tax revenues in the first year (\$18.3 million) and 3% in the second year (\$19.05 million) as compared to the Mayor's budget --this amount compensates for a longstanding trend of under projecting revenues, while remaining conservative compared to historical budget variance trends. Harvey Rose has also recommended that Council explore more aggressive revenue projections for some local taxes, in particular the transient occupancy tax and the business license tax, both of which have been adjusted upward in the Council President's Budget.

Comparison of the Mayor's Budget and Council President's Budget Compared to Third Quarter Revenues

The City's budget transparency ordinance requires the development of a Five-Year Forecast in February. This forecast is developed prior to the third fiscal quarter, when most tax revenues are collected. In the baseline budget development stage, finance staff stated that forecasts would be adjusted once third quarter revenues materialized. However, the Mayor's budgeted revenues have changed little from the initial forecasts included in the baseline stage and the Five-Year Forecast. The Mayor's budget assumes a first-year growth rate of 1% for General Purpose Fund unrestricted tax revenues as compared to third quarter revenues. This growth rate is far below the historical trend of 7% annual average growth over the past 8 years, and long-term growth averaging 5.8% annually for the past 13 years. Notably, this long-term average includes the Great Recession (data provided in the City's Preliminary Baseline Revenue and Expenditures Report, provided to Council on February 25, 2019).

Ma	yar's FY 19-20 Proje	ections Compared	to Q3 2018-2019 Pro	ections	Coun	cli President's FY 19-20	Projections Compare	d to Q3 2018 2019	Projections
				Average Growth		Council President's		Council	
								President's	
	Mayor's Revenue		Mayor's Assumed	Average Growth		Council President's		Assumed Growth	To Baseline to I Grand and I Wants and an an an and the second second second second second second second second
Revenue Source	Projections	Q3 2018-2019	Growth Rate	Rate: 8 year average.	Revenue Source	Projections	03 2018 2019	Bate	Rate: Byean average
Property Tax	\$ 215.00	\$ 199.24	8%	6%	Property Tax	\$ 216.00	\$ 199.24	8%	6%
Sales Tax	\$ 59.14	\$ 59.99	-1%	4%	Sales Tax	\$ 60.14	\$ 59.99	0%	4%
TOT (hotel) tax	\$ 26.49	\$ 25.47	4%	13%	TOT (hotel) tax	\$ 28.31	\$ 25.47	11%	13%
Business Tax	\$ 99.68	\$ 97.43	2%	8%	Business Tax	\$ 102.68	\$ 97.43	5%	8%
RETT	\$ 82.80	\$ 99.61	-17%	20%	RET	\$ 92.80	\$ 99.61	-7%	20%
Utility Tax	\$ 55.16	\$ 52.30	5%	0%	Utility Tax	\$ 55.16	\$ 52.30	. 5%	0%
Parking tax	\$ 11.46	\$ 11.44	0%	4%	Parking tax	\$ 12.96	\$ 11.44	13%	4%
Total GPF. Unrestricted Tox	\$ 549.73	\$ 545.48	1%	. 7%	Total GPF Unrestricted Tax	\$ 568.05	\$ 545.48	4%	7%

Historical Trends: Budgeted Revenues vs Actuals

GPFlocal tex

Average Variance %

According to annual audited reports, the City has ended the past seven fiscal years with, on average, \$36 million in additional GPF unrestricted tax revenues. This amounts to an average budget to actual variance rate of 10%. Variance levels have ranged between 3% to 22%, depending on more volatile revenue sources such as the Real Estate Transfer Tax. Council President Kaplan's budget assumes that the Mayor's projections should be adjusted upwards by 3%, which falls far below average historical budget variance trends.

		FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	🔆 FY 2018-19
	FY 2011-12 Adopted	Adopted						
	Budget	Budget	Budget	8udget	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget
ADOPTED BUDGETS	\$125,166,501	\$125,166,501	\$144,468,000	\$151,358,000	\$164,907,424	\$172,469,311		Signan page c
Property Tax	\$38,794,400	\$39,524,477	\$48,893,000	\$50,360,000	\$55,425,093	\$54,433,806	\$55,998,537	557 (728,29
Sales Tax	\$50,869,280	\$51,365,918	\$59,240,000	\$61,017,000	\$70,047,500	\$71,721,300	\$79,580,950	100 BC
Business License Tax								
Utility Consumption		\$51,199,282	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000	\$50,700,000	5.5550 2005 DO
Тах	\$51,176,611							
Real Estate Transfer	\$28,490,000	\$28,774,900	\$40,365,000	\$41,980,000	\$61,176,000	\$63,182,900	\$75,822,812	5/7,952/09
Тах	\$28,490,000							
Transient Occupancy	CO 779 270	\$8,902,937	\$12,620,000	\$12,936,000	\$16,900,000	\$17,556,000	\$22,653,820	
Tax	\$8,728,370	\$7,822,736	\$9,235,000	\$9,466,000	\$10,211,274	\$10,492,084	\$11,130,600	$(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \mathbb{R}^{n}$
Parking Tax	\$7,669,349	\$1,822,730						
Total GPF	Contraction and the second		2007 Sec. 19					
unrestricted tax			COC 2023 000	\$377,117,000	\$428.667.291	\$439;855,401	\$478,594,615	\$493,039,78
revenue	\$310,894,511	\$312,756,751						

		and the second states of the second states and the				A CONTRACTOR		FY 18.19:03
		FY12-13	FY13-14	FY14-15	FY15-16	FY16-17		Projections
AUDITED ACTUALS	FY11-12		\$142,800,000	Contraction of the other states and the	\$158,700,000	\$171,475,372	\$187,172,190	Server Guo
Property Tax	\$138,800,000	\$154,100,000			\$55,200,000		\$57,465,177	
Sales Tax	\$44,700,000	\$48,800,000	\$49,800,000		\$75,600,000		\$84,984,481	Service one
Business License Tax	\$58,700,000	\$60,800,000	\$62,900,000	\$66,800,000	\$75,000,000			
Utility Consumption Tax	\$51,400,000	\$50,800,000	\$50,400,000	\$50,600,000	\$51,000,000	\$52,618,316	\$52,047,385	
Real Estate Transfter		\$47,300,000	\$59,100,000	\$62,700,000	\$89,600,000	\$79,069,794	\$77,521,838	5599,610,000
Tax	\$30,700,000	347,300,000	<i><i><i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>)<u>2</u><i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>₂<i>g</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i>,<i>q</i></i></i>					
Transient Occupancy	\$10,700,000	\$12,300,000	\$14,300,000	\$16,800,000	\$19,800,000			Service Vielant
Tax	\$8,600,000		\$8,400,000		\$10,200,000	\$10,636,779	\$10,803,103	SE1,440,00
Parking Tax	56,000,000	NAMES OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF	ca di cinada di cinad	2	and a second second		Contraction of the second	attention in the second second
Total GPF unrestricted tax revenue	\$343,600;000	5362 000 000	\$387,700,000	\$417,400,000	\$460,100,000	\$465 709 987	\$493,577,260	\$545,480,00
Variance Budget to Actual S	532,705;489	559,243,249	<u>\$77,879,000</u>		\$31,432,709	<u>\$25:852,586</u>	\$19,987,645	\$52,400,25
Variance Budget to Actual %	119%	- 22%	6%	11%	<u>.</u>	6%	3%	
Average additional			1					

Council President's				
Budget, added GPF				
Revenues	FY	2019-2020	FY	2020-2021
Property Tax	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000
Sales Tax	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000
Business License Tax	\$	3,000,000	\$	3,000,000
Utility Consumption				
Tax				
Real Estate Transfter				
Tax	\$	10,000,000	\$	10,000,000
Transient Occupancy				
Tax	\$	1,817,000		\$2, 0 54,000
Parking Tax	\$	1,500,000	\$	2,000,000
Total added				
unrestricted local tar-		1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -		
revenue	6	18,317,000	S.	19,054,000

Historical and Projected Trends by Revenue Source

Property Tax

Projections for the Property Tax Base

Beacon Economics projects that growth in assessed value driven by increasing home prices and strong construction activity will continue to expand Oakland's property tax base. Both the City's Five-Year Forecast and Beacon Economics are in agreement that the outlook for property tax growth is solid. While the Mayor's budget makes projections slightly above historical trends in the first year, the second year projected growth rate is 6.2%—1.2% below the long-term year average growth of of 7.4% over the past 19 years (see the Five-Year Financial Forecast, page 25).

Historical Revenue Trends

The city's property tax projection trends are consistently low compared to actuals by an average of \$6.9 million each year. The Kaplan budget adds \$1 million in projected revenue for property taxes each year.

GPF Property								
Taxes	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18 FY 201	8-19
Adopted Budget	\$125,166,501	\$125,166,501	\$144,468,000	\$151,358,000	\$164,907,424	\$172,469,311	\$182,707,896 \$190,0	107700
Actuals (3rd Q					:			
Projections used								
for FY 2018-2019)	\$138,800,000	\$154,100,000	\$142,800,000	\$159,400,000	\$158,700,000	\$171,475,372	\$187,172,190 \$199,24	0,000
Variance	\$13,633,499	\$28,933,499	-\$1,668,000	\$8,042,000	-\$6,207,424	-\$993,939	\$4,464,294 \$9,14	96 261
Average, Variance	\$6,918,776		- <u></u>					

Real Estate Transfer Tax (RETT)

Projections for the Real Estate Transfer Tax Base

The Mayor's budget includes a decrease in RETT revenues as compared to third quarter projections for fiscal year 2018-2019 of \$16.7 million or 17%. Council president Kaplan's budget also assumes a decrease in RETT revenues, however, it assumes a less severe decrease of 7%.

The city is projected to end this year with \$99.6 million in RETT revenues, largely driven by the sale of large properties which are now taxed at a higher rate under voter approved Measure X, approved in November of 2018. While this high rate of revenue generation may be partly anomalous and driven by the sale of multiple large properties, it is also an indication of Measure X's impacts on improving revenue generation.

According to the Preliminary Baseline Revenue and Expenditure presentation delivered on February 25, 2019 to City Council, initial projections for RETT revenues prior to the collection of increased taxes under Measure X were \$82.2 million in the first year and \$85.4 million in the second year. In her presentation to Council, the finance director stated that these projections would be adjusted based on third quarter experience and the availability of additional data on Measure X impacts. The projections included in the mayor's budget have not been adjusted significantly from this preliminary baseline. Third quarter revenue data is now available, and RETT revenues are expected to be \$21.6 million higher than initially budgeted for Fiscal Year 2018-2019. Council President Kaplan's budget makes a modest adjustment of \$10 million in the first year and \$12.5 million in the second year to account for these trends and newly available data after the passage of Measure X.

Historical Revenue Trends

The city's Real Estate Transfer Tax projection trends are consistently low compared to actuals by an average of \$16 million. In addition to this historical trend, the City has not yet meaningfully incorporated added revenues from Measure X based on third quarter revenue and expenditure data.

Real Estate Transfer Tax	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19
Adopted Budget	\$28,490,000	\$28,774,900	\$40,365,000	\$41,980,000	\$61,176,000	\$63,182,900	\$75,822,812	\$77,962,496
Actuals (3rd Q Projections used for FY 2018-2019)	\$30,700,000	\$47,300,000	\$59,100,000	\$62,700,000	\$89,600,000	\$79,069,794	\$77,521,838	399,610,000
Variance	\$2,210,000	\$18,525,100	\$18,735,000	\$20,720,000	\$28,424,000	\$15,886,894	\$1,699,026	571 647 506
Average Variance	\$15,980,941		۰. ۴			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	

Sales Tax

Projections for the Sales Tax Base

The City's projections for sales tax growth are relatively sluggish, remaining below the overall rate of inflation (the city assumes inflation of 3% for forecasting purposes, sales tax growth rates are expected to be 2.5% and 2.8% respectively for fiscal year 2019-2020 and 2020-2021). However, growth trends for consumer sales have been high, and healthy growth slightly above the rate of inflation is anticipated.

Historical Revenue Trends

The city's sales tax projection trends are consistently low compared to actuals by an average of \$2.5 million per year. The Kaplan budget adds \$1 million to this revenue category per year.

GPF Sales Taxes	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19
Adopted Budget	\$38,794,400	\$39,524,477	\$48,893,000	\$50,360,000	\$55,425,093	\$54,433,806	\$55,998,537	\$53,678,493
Actuals (3rd Q Projections used								
for FY 2018-2019)	\$44,700,000	\$48,800,000	\$49,800,000	\$51,800,000	\$55,200,000	\$53,701,770	\$57,465,177	\$59,990,000
Variance	\$5,905,600	\$9,275,523	\$907,000	\$1,440,000	-\$225,093	-\$732,036	\$1,466,640	\$2;314,507
Average Variance	\$7503.603							

Business License Tax

Projections for the Business License Tax Base

Positive growth trends for the business license tax are expected to continue by both the City and Beacon Economics, largely driven by new construction and expansion of the real estate rental market. Despite positive forecasts and consistently strong growth rates averaging 8%, the City's projections for the business license tax represent a 2% growth rate over third quarter projections for Fiscal Year 2018-2019.

Historical Revenue Trends

The city's business license tax projection trends are remarkably and consistently low compared to actuals by an average of \$7 million per year. The Kaplan budget adds \$3 million to this revenue category in each year. This tax has also been highlighted by Harvey Rose as having particularly low budget projections for the past four years. It's also worth noting that Harvey Rose recommended reforming the business license tax to tax businesses based on size and to rationalize industry tax rates.

Business License Taxes	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19
Adopted Budget	\$50,869,280	\$51,365,918	\$59,240,000	\$61,017,000	\$70,047,500	\$71,721,300	\$79,580,950	\$81,834,879
Actuals (3rd Q Projections used						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
for FY 2018-2019)	\$58,700,000	\$60,800,000	\$62,900,000	\$66,800,000	\$75,600,000	\$75,840,294	\$84,984,481	\$97,430,000
Variance	\$7,830,720	\$9,434,082	\$3,660,000	\$5,783,000	\$5,552,500	\$4,118,994	\$5,403,531	SI 5 595 121
Average Variance.	\$7,172,244			···	<u></u>			

Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT)

Projections for the Transient Occupancy Tax Base

The City's Five-year Forecast anticipates modest growth in hotel revenues for the next two years of 4% in the first year and 4% in the second year. Long term growth trends for the TOT have averaged 13% for the past 7 years. Beacon expects that strong growth trends remaining below the 7-year average will continue, and the City has stated in their forecasts that additional hotel construction has been discussed in recent development plans.

Historical Revenue Trends

The city's TOT tax projection trends are consistently low compared to actuals by an average of \$2.7 million per year. The Kaplan budget adds \$1.817 million to this revenue category per year and \$2.054 in the second year. The consistent under projection of TOT revenues was also highlighted by Harvey Rose as an area for improvement.

Transient Occupancy Tax	FY11-12	FV12-13	FY13-14	FY14-15	FY15-16	FY16-17	FY 17-18	FY 2018-19
Adopted Budget	\$8,728,370	\$8,902,937	\$12,620,000	\$12,936,000	\$16,900,000	\$17,556,000	\$22,653,820	\$23,333,635
Actuals (3rd Q Projections used for FY 2018-2019)	\$10,700,000	\$12,300,000	\$14,300,000	\$16,800,000	\$19,800,000	\$22,367,662	\$23,583,086	\$25,470,000
Variance	\$1,971,630	\$3,397,063	\$1,680,000	\$3,864,000	\$2,900,000	\$4,811,662	\$929,266	52/136,565
Average Variance	\$2,711,273		s.					