

AGENDA REPORT

TO:

Sabrina B. Landreth

City Administrator

FROM: Katano Kasaine

Director of Finance

SUBJECT:

Other Post-Employment Benefit

Actuarial Valuation Report

DATE: October 8, 2018

City Administrator Approval

Date:

RECOMMENDATION

Staff Recommends That The City Council Receive An Informational Report On The City's Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) Unfunded Actuarial Liability.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Oakland ("City") provides its employees with Other Post-Employment Benefits ("OPEB"), also known as retiree medical benefits. The City is required under governmental accounting standards to perform an actuarial valuation every two years, the results of which get published in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report ("CAFR").

The City's most recent OPEB actuarial valuation was completed in June 2018 pursuant to Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statements 74 and 75, which replaced GASB Statements 43 and 45 beginning with the year ending June 30, 2018. These new standards significantly change how the City is required to report its unfunded OPEB liabilities. As of June 30, 2018, the City will report a net OPEB liability of \$849.47 million, tipping the City's net position further into the negative. When both the net OPEB liability and deferred inflows are fully accounted for, the total impact on the City's Statement of Net Position will exceed \$1.06 billion.

This report is intended to provide the City Council with key results of the actuarial valuation in the interim. Complete actuarial reports are included as Attachment A and Attachment B.

BACKGROUND / LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

The City participates in a cost-sharing healthcare plan with Miscellaneous, Fire (Sworn), and Police (Sworn) retirees. The City provides its active and retiree medical benefits through the California Public Employees' Retirement System ("CalPERS") under the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act ("PEMHCA"). Table 1 provides membership data as of July 1, 2017.

İ	tem:
Finance and Manag	ement Committee
_	October 23, 2018

Date: October 8, 2018 Page 2

Table 1. Active and Retiree Membership as of July 1, 2017

	Miscellaneous	Fire	Police	Total
Actives	2,286	467	767	3,520
Retirees & Beneficiaries	1,653	910	1,149	3,712
Total	3,939	1,377	1,916	7,232

The healthcare benefits provided to the different classes are structured pursuant to labor agreements between the City and employee labor unions. Certain minimum benefit requirements under PEMHCA must also be maintained (minimum benefit as of 2018 is \$133 per month). **Table 2** below shows the 2018 pre-Medicare eligible monthly premium cost sharing with retirees by employee group for the Kaiser Bay Area HMO plan.

Table 2. Employee and Employer Monthly Contributions Toward Retiree Healthcare
Pre-Medicare Eligible Monthly Premiums – Kaiser Bay Area 2018

	M	iscellaneou	ıs	Fire			Police			
	Retiree Monthly	City Monthly	Total Monthly	Retiree Monthly	City Monthly	Total Monthly	Retiree Monthly	City Monthly	Total Monthly	
1 Party	\$222	\$558	\$780	-	\$780	\$780	-	\$780	\$780	
2 Party	\$1,002	\$558	\$1,560	\$25	\$1,535	\$1,560	\$89	\$1,471	\$1,560	
3 Party	\$1,470	\$558	\$2,028	\$364	\$1,664	\$2,028	\$444	\$1,584	\$2,028	

The City has historically paid for retiree healthcare benefits on a pay-as-you-go basis, meaning payments are made annually for that year's premium expense. The City paid approximately \$20.42 million in FY 2016-17 for retiree healthcare benefits. The City has begun to pre-fund future costs by contributing to the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) sponsored by CalPERS.

The CERBT Fund is a Section 115 trust fund dedicated to prefunding OPEB costs, and is open to all participating California public agencies. As of August 28, 2018, assets in the CERBT were \$26,432,487, which includes a \$10 million contribution made in FY 2017-18 and an additional \$10 million contribution made in FY 2018-19, as authorized by the City Council in the FY 2017-19 Adopted Budget on a one-time basis. The pre-funding of future OPEB costs will require a long-term strategy in order to preserve the financial health of the City.

In May 2018 the City Council adopted Resolution 87208 C.M.S. authorizing the City Administrator to return with a recommended funding policy for OPEB. The FY 2018-19 Midcycle Budget appropriated \$150,000 for an independent study of the OPEB liability, benchmarking, and funding strategies and recommendations. This independent evaluation is currently underway and is expected to be complete in January 2019.

	Item:
Finance ar	nd Management Committee
	October 23, 2018

Date: October 8, 2018

Page 3

ANALYSIS / POLICY ALTERNATIVES

GASB 74 and 75

Pursuant to GASB 75, the City is now required to report the net OPEB liability for the first time in its audited financials as of June 30, 2018, along with more extensive note disclosures and required supplementary information. The City engaged an actuary (Cheiron) to perform an actuarial valuation and an analysis of the total OPEB liability as of July 1, 2017, that will be included in the City's CAFR for FY2017-18.

Per the actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2017, the City will report a **net unfunded OPEB liability of \$849.47 million** on its June 30, 2018, statement of net position. Prior to GASB 75, the City was only required to report a portion of the OPEB obligation as a liability. For the year ending June 30, 2017, the OPEB liability was \$359.98 million. This alone represents a **\$489.49 million increase** in OPEB liabilities year-over-year. Because of additional GASB 75 reporting requirements, the impact to the City's net position is **\$1,061,110,099** as illustrated in **Table 3** below. This \$1.06 billion liability will be reported in the City's June 30, 2018, CAFR.

Table 3. GASB 74/75 Summary of Key Results

6. Net Impact on Statement of Net Position (45a5b5c.)	\$ 1,061,110,099
5c. Trust Contributions Subsequent to Measurement Date	10,000,000
5b. Implicit Contributions Subsequent to Measurement Date	6,067,681
5a. Explicit Contributions Subsequent to Measurement Date	21,157,109
4. Net Impact on Statement of Net Position (1 2. + 3.)	\$ 1,104,334,889
3. Deferred Inflows	254,863,650
2. Deferred Outflows	
1. Net OPEB Liability	\$ 849,471,239

Funded Level

The funding ratio of a plan – which compares the assets available against to the liabilities – can be a benchmark for a plan's overall financial health.

As shown in **Table 4**, as of July 1, 2017, the City's combined Actuarial Value of Assets was \$4.32 million compared to Actuarial Liabilities of \$853.80 million, resulting in an Unfunded Actuarial Liability of \$849.47 million and a funding ratio of **0.5 percent**. Inclusive of the \$20 million contribution authorized by Council in the FY 2017-19 Adopted Budget, the City's funding ratio is **3.1 percent**.

¹ GASB 43/45 required the City each year to report as a liability the cumulative difference between the annual OPEB cost and the City's contribution to the plan since 2008. As of June 30, 2017, the OPEB liability was \$359.98 million.

Sabrina B. Landreth, City Administrator

Subject: Other Post-Employment Benefit Actuarial Valuation Report

Date: October 8, 2018 Page 4

Table 4. OPEB Funded Levels

	As of July 1, 2017	As of August 28, 2018
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$4,324,822	\$26,432,487
Actuarial Liability	\$853,796,061	\$853,796,061
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$849,471,239	\$827,363,574
Funded Ratio	0.5%	3.1%

Annual Required Contribution

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) reflects the amount of funding needed to fund the long-term costs of benefits promised to plan participants over time. The ARC consists of both a normal cost (i.e., the cost of the benefits earned by active employees over a year) and the amortization payment toward the unfunded liabilities (i.e., the cost of the benefits earned historically for which no assets have been set aside). The City is not required to pay the ARC each year under governmental accounting standards.

The FY 2017-18 and expected FY 2018-19 contributions are included in **Table 5**. As shown in the table, the City's actual contribution is substantially less than the Annual Required Contribution in each year, resulting in growing unfunded OPEB liabilities.

Table 5. Annual Required Contribution (All Funds)

Fiscal Year	Annual Required Contribution	Actual Contribution	Variance
FY 2017-18	\$72,480,363	\$37,224,790	(\$35,255,573)
FY 2018-19	\$75,068,761	\$39,573,193	(\$35,495,568)

Future Benefit Payments

The City primarily funds its OPEB benefits on a pay-as-you-go basis, meaning that the City makes benefit payments as premiums are do. This method covers the cost of premiums contributions for current retirees only. **Table 6** below shows the expected benefit payments through Fiscal Year 2027. This is the projected payment each year if the City continues to only fund OPEB on a pay-as-you-go basis. For comparison purposes only, inflation in the Bay Area has historically averaged between 2-3 percent per year.

Date: October 8, 2018

Table 6. Expected Benefit Payments Through Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2017

Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	Total Expected Net Benefit Payments	Year Over Year Growth	% Growth Compared to FY2018
2018	\$27,224,790	-	
2019	\$29,573,193	9%	9%
2020	\$31,418,647	6%	15%
2021	\$33,577,379	7%	23%
2022	\$35,411,154	5%	30%
2023	\$38,433,663	9%	41%
2024	\$41,413,271	8%	52%
2025	\$44,011,034	6%	62%
2026	\$47,019,623	7%	73%
2027	\$50,260,507	7%	85%

In 2019, the City's contribution is expected to be \$39.57 million which includes a benefit payment of \$29.57 million and a trust fund contribution of \$10 million. According to **Table 5**, the City would need to contribute another \$35.50 million to reach the \$75.07 million ARC.

Even if the City were to continue to pay for OPEB benefits on a pay-as-you-go-basis, the City would still need a long-term plan, as benefit costs are escalating and are expected to grow 85% over the next 10 years. Furthermore, this does not address any of the unfunded liability that would also continue to grow.

FISCAL IMPACT

This item is for informational purposes only and does not have a direct fiscal impact or cost.

PUBLIC OUTREACH / INTEREST

This item did not require additional public outreach, other than posting on the City's website.

COORDINATION

This report was prepared by the Finance Department.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: There are no economic opportunities associated with this item.

Environmental: There are no environmental opportunities associated with this item.

Social Equity: There are no social equity opportunities associated with this item.

Item:
Finance and Management Committee
October 23, 2018

Page 5

Date: October 8, 2018

Page 6

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff Recommends That The City Council Receive An Informational Report On The City's Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) Liability.

For questions regarding this report, please contact Adam Benson, Budget Administrator, at (510) 238-2026.

Respectfully submitted,

Katano Kasaine

Director of Finance, Finance Department

Prepared by:

Adam Benson, Budget Administrator

Budget Bureau

Bernadette de Leon, Financial Analyst

Budget Bureau

Attachments (2):

Attachment A: Postretirement Health Insurance Plan GASB 43/45 Actuarial Valuation Report

Attachment B: Postretirement Health Insurance Plan GASB Statement 74/75 Report

	Item:
Finance and	Management Committee
•	October 23, 2018

City of Oakland Postretirement Health Insurance Plan

GASB 43/45 Actuarial Valuation Report as of July 1, 2017

Produced by Cheiron June 2018

www.cheiron.us 1.877.CHEIRON (243,4766)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Sectio</u>	<u>on</u>		<u>Page</u>
Letter	of Transmitt	al	i
Total	Section I Section II Section IV Section V	Summary Assets Valuation Results Sensitivity Accounting Disclosures	3 4 7
Police	Section I Section III Section IV Section V	Summary Assets Valuation Results Sensitivity Accounting Disclosures	13 14 17
Fire	Section I Section II Section IV Section V	Summary	23 24 27
Misce	Section I Section II Section III Section IV Section V	Summary Assets Valuation Results Sensitivity Accounting Disclosures	33 34 37
<u>Apper</u>	<u>ndices</u>		
Appen	idix A Parti	cicipant Data, Assumptions and Methods	41
Appen	dix B Subs	stantive Plan Provisions	66
Appen	dix C Glos	ssary of Terms	80
Appen	dix D Abb	oreviation List	82





June 14, 2018

Ms. Katano Kasaine, Treasurer City of Oakland Finance and Management Agency Lionel J. Wilson Building 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5330 Oakland, CA 94612-2093

Re: Employees' Postretirement Health Insurance Plan July 1, 2017 GASB Actuarial Valuation Results

Dear Katano:

As requested by the City, we have performed an actuarial valuation of the postretirement benefits provided by the City of Oakland Postretirement Health Insurance Plan (Plan). This report is for the use of the City of Oakland and its auditors in setting their contributions and preparing financial reports in accordance with applicable law and accounting requirements. The results of this report are only applicable to the City's contribution for the plan year ending June 30, 2018 and rely on future Plan experience conforming to the underlying assumptions. To the extent that actual Plan experience deviates from the underlying assumptions, the results would vary accordingly. Actuarial computations are calculated based on our understanding of GASB Statements 74 and 75.

The City of Oakland is first required to disclose under GASB Statement 74 accounting standards for fiscal year ending June 30, 2017. The City's June 30, 2018, disclosure will also incorporate GASB Statement 75. The prior valuation results were based on GASB Statements 43 and 45 accounting standards. This report contains financial disclosures to be included in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) in order to receive recognition for excellence in financial reporting. Please see Section V. Additional accounting disclosures for fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 related to GASB Statements 74 and 75 will be provided in a separate report after the close of the fiscal year end.

Appendix A describes the participant data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating the figures throughout the report. In preparing our report, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the Plan's staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, the plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23. The demographic assumptions used in this report are the same as those adopted by the CalPERS Board in February 2014. The economic assumptions are the same as those used in the July 1, 2012 OPEB report prepared by AON, with the exception of the per capita claim costs and healthcare trends. This is the second report in which the implicit subsidy was recognized.

Ms. Katano Kasaine City of Oakland June 14, 2018

Appendix B contains our understanding of the substantive plan provisions based on the information provided by your office.

Future results may differ significantly from the current results presented in this valuation report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the assumptions; changes in assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

This report does not reflect future changes in benefits, penalties, or administrative costs that may be required as a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, related legislation, or regulations.

To the best of our knowledge, this actuarial valuation report and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this report. This report does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

This actuarial valuation report was prepared exclusively for the City of Oakland for the purpose described herein. Other users of this valuation report are not intended users as defined in the Actuarial Standards of Practice, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to any other user.

Sincerely, Cheiron, Inc.

Margaret Tempkin, FSA, EA, MAAA

Principal Consulting Actuary

Kathleen Weaver, FSA, EA, MAAA

Consulting Actuary

Michael Schionning, FSA, FCA, MAAA

Principal Consulting Actuary



TOTAL – SECTION I – SUMMARY

The City of Oakland, California engaged Cheiron to provide an analysis of the Employees' Postretirement Health Insurance Plan's liabilities as of July 1, 2017. The primary purposes of performing this actuarial valuation are to:

- Estimate the Actuarially Required Contribution (ARC) and the Actuarial Liability (AL) to be used to calculate the Total OPEB Liability (TOL) using GASB 74/75 methodology under the current funding strategy;
- Provide projections for the actuarial liabilities, the ARC, and the assets; and
- **Provide sensitivities** for the actuarial liabilities and the ARC by using a 1% increase and a 1% decrease in both healthcare trend and discount rates; and
- Provide disclosures for financial statements.

We have determined costs, liabilities and trends for the substantive Plan using actuarial assumptions and methods that we consider reasonable.

GASB's OPEB Requirements

GASB's Statement 74 refers to the financial reporting for postemployment benefit plans other than pension plans and Statement 75 refers to the employer accounting for these plans. Statement 74 is generally applicable where an entity has a separate trust or fund for OPEB benefits. We understand that the City has a trust used to fund future OPEB obligations. Statement 75, which was adopted in the fiscal year ending (FYE) June 30, 2018, requires the plan sponsor to book the Net OPEB Liability on the balance sheet. The employer's OPEB Expense is based upon the change in the Net OPEB Liability adjusted for unrecognized portions of gains and losses. Additional disclosures include a description of the substantive plan, summary of significant accounting policies (not included in this report), contributions, and a statement of funding progress, along with the methods and assumptions used for those disclosures.

Funding Policy

The City's funding policy is to partially pre-fund the actuarially determined Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) costs, which include both normal costs and amortization of unfunded actuarial liability, by contributing to the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) sponsored by CalPERS. The CERBT Fund is a Section 115 trust fund dedicated to prefunding Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) for all eligible California public agencies. The City expects to contribute \$10 million per year to the CERBT for the next two years in addition to the benefit payments for retirees currently with medical coverage.



TOTAL – SECTION I – SUMMARY

Valuation Results

The table below presents the key results of the July 1, 2017 valuation compared to those of the last actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2015.

Table I-1 TOTAL				
Summary of Key Valua	ation R	esults		A TELEVISION CONTRACTOR
		July 1, 2015		July 1, 2017
Actuarial Liability (AL)	\$	862,891,642	\$	853,796,061
Assets		2,901,346		4,324,822
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$	859,990,296	\$	849,471,239
Fiscal Year Ending	J	une 30, 2016	J	une 30, 2018
Annual Required Contribution	\$	74,094,179	\$	72,480,363
Actual / Expected Contribution *	\$	20,481,457	\$	37,224,790
Expected Net Explicit Benefit Payments	\$	19,494,447	\$	21,157,109
Expected Net Implicit Benefit Payments		4,765,353		6,067,681
Expected Net Total Benefit Payments	\$	24,259,800	\$	27,224,790
Actual / Expected Net OPEB Obligation at				
End of Fiscal Year	\$	305,024,089		N/A
Discount Rate		4.00%		3.58%

^{*} Includes \$10 million in additional contributions above expected net total benefit payments.

This report reflects claims, premiums and expenses determined as of July 1, 2017. There have been no significant changes in experience, population or plan design since the last valuation. However, there were changes in assumptions since the prior valuation which had an effect on the costs of the Plan. The assumption changes resulted in lower claim curves, lower medical trends, and a lower discount rate. These assumption changes, together with other experience during the year, resulted in an additional decrease in Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) of approximately \$105 million. More detail on the causes of this change can be found in the valuation results section of this report.

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC), as calculated under GASB 45, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 decreased by \$9.1 million over the expected ARC due to the following: increase of \$2.1 million due to the covered population, increase of \$3.5 million due to a change in the discount rate, and a decrease of \$14.7 million due to changes in anticipated health care costs and their future increases.

The figures provided in this report are highly sensitive to the assumptions used.



TOTAL - SECTION II - ASSETS

The Plan's preceding valuation of liabilities was performed as of July 1, 2015. Table II-1 below shows the reconciliation of assets for the fiscal year ending July 1, 2017 that were used to develop the FYE 2018 ARC. The market value of assets returned 10.6% during July 1, 2016 and July 1, 2017. Benefit payments are net of the retiree premiums payable for coverage. The City is expected to contribute \$10 million to the CERBT in each of the next two years.

Table II-1 TOTAL Reconciliation of Assets		
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2015	\$	2,901,346
Contributions - to CERBT		929,395
Contributions - net benefit payments		19,552,062
Net Benefit Payments		(19,552,062)
Administrative Expenses		(1,530)
Net Investment Earnings		84,111
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2016	\$	3,913,322
Contributions - to CERBT	ı	0
Contributions - net benefit payments		20,424,243
Net Benefit Payments		(20,424,243)
Administrative Expenses		(2,010)
Net Investment Earnings		413,510
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2017	\$	4,324,822



TOTAL - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

This section of the report calculates the current and expected future contribution requirements under the City's funding policy. Table III-1 below shows the actuarial liabilities for the Plan as of July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017, as well as expected amounts as of July 1, 2018. The expected results were calculated using standard roll-forward techniques. Asset projections were calculated based on an assumed 7.28% rate of return and assuming expected benefits along with an additional \$10.0 million contribution to the CERBT will be paid in the year ending June 30, 2018.

Table TO Unfunded Act	ΓA	L			
		July 1, 2015		July 1, 2017	Projected to July 1, 2018*
Present Value of Future Benefits		July 1, 2015		July 1, 2017	July 1, 2018
Active Employees	\$	857,153,483	\$	870,146,029	\$ 901,297,257
Retirees and Beneficiaries		444,754,469		453,022,015	441,532,374
Total	\$	1,301,907,952	\$	1,323,168,044	\$ 1,342,829,631
Actuarial Liability					
Active Employees	\$	418,137,173	\$	400,774,046	\$ 453,598,716
Retirees and Beneficiaries		444,754,469		453,022,015	441,532,374
Total	\$	862,891,642	\$	853,796,061	\$ 895,131,090
Assets	_	2,901,346	_	4,324,822	 14,639,669
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$	859,990,296	\$	849,471,239	\$ 880,491,421
Funded Ratio		0%		1%	2%
Discount Rate		4.00%		3.58%	3.58%
Covered Payroll	\$	360,857,850	\$	360,308,628	\$ 369,316,343
UAL as percentage of Covered Payroll		238%		236%	238%

^{*} Projection to July 1, 2018 can only be used if the discount rate remains at 3.58%, otherwise these liabilities will need to be recalculated for GASB 75 results.

Please note that prior to June 30, 2017, the City reported their actuarial liability under GASB 43/45. Under GASB 43/45 requirements, the disclosure of the above actuarial liability was provided in the notes to financial statements and was not immediately recorded on the balance sheet. Starting July 1, 2107, the City's GASB requirement falls under GASB 74/75 and the entire liability would be booked on the balance sheet. The above liability is shown for funding purposes only, the GASB 74/75 liability will be a roll forward of this liability to June 30, 2018 using actual benefit payments and assets as of that date and will be provided in a separate report.

The ARC, under GASB 45, consists of two parts: (1) the *normal cost*, which represents the annual cost attributable to service earned in a given year and (2) the 30-year open amortization of the UAL as a level percentage of payroll. Under the City's current funding policy, the City intends to contribute \$10.0 million to the CERBT for the next two years and pay benefit payments outside of the CERBT.



TOTAL - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

In Table III-2 below, we show the FYE 2016, FYE 2018, and the expected FYE 2019 Annual Required Contribution under the City's funding policy and GASB 45. The assumed discount rate was 4.0% for the fiscal year end 2016 and 3.58% for the fiscal year end 2018 and 2019. It is assumed the City's funding policy is to pay \$10.0 million to the CERBT for the next two years in addition to benefits paid outside of the CERBT. The UAL amortization is based on an open 30-year amortization period. This 30-year open period is not in compliance with the actuarial standards of practice, as such, we have shown the GASB ARC instead of the ADC. The ARC will not be provided in the schedules of actuarial determined contributions (ADC), under GASB 75.

	Table III TOTAL GASB AF				
Fiscal Year Ending	J	une 30, 2016	Ji	une 30, 2018	Projected to me 30, 2019
Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	36,134,303	\$	37,147,093	\$ 38,447,241
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		35,110,100		32,828,156	34,026,944
Interest to end of year		2,849,776		2,505,114	2,594,576
Total ARC	\$	74,094,179	\$	72,480,363	\$ 75,068,761

Table III-3 shows the expected benefit payments through the fiscal year ending June 30, 2027. In calculating the liabilities, we project these figures for the life of each existing participant. This projects the anticipated eligible retirees and the change in both claims and premiums. These benefit payments include the explicit and implicit benefit payments and exclude payments made by retirees towards their premiums.

		Table III-3 TOTAL		
Fiscal Year	Expected Net Implicit Benefit	Expected Net Explicit Benefit	Expected Net ACA Benefit	Total Expected Net Benefit
Ending June 30,	Payments	Payments Payments	Payments -	Payments
2018	\$ 6,067,681	\$ 21,157,109	\$ -	\$ 27,224,790
2019	6,715,481	22,857,711	-	29,573,193
2020	7,099,490	24,319,157	-	31,418,647
2021	7,675,267	25,902,112	-	33,577,379
2022	7,985,285	27,425,868	-	35,411,154
2023	8,847,919	29,127,930	457,814	38,433,663
2024	9,859,824	31,009,960	543,487	41,413,271
2025	10,535,216	32,859,837	615,981	44,011,034
2026	11,465,149	34,849,961	704,512	47,019,623
2027	12,623,881	36,841,239	795,387	50,260,507



TOTAL - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

Reconciliation

Table III-4 provides an estimate of the major factors contributing to the change in liability since the last actuarial valuation.

Table III-4 TOTAL	
Reconciliation of Actuarial Liability	
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2015	\$ 862,891,642
Normal Cost	36,134,303
Expected Benefit Payments paid throughout the year	(24,259,800)
Interest	 35,480,599
Expected Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2016	\$ 910,246,745
Normal Cost	37,760,346
Expected Benefit Payments paid throughout the year	(26,347,788)
Interest	 37,398,495
Expected Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2017	\$ 959,057,797
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2017	853,796,061
Gain or (Loss)	\$ 105,261,736
Gain or (Loss) due to:	
Census changes	\$ 2,013,103
Change in discount rate	(48,571,933)
Change in claims and trend assumptions	151,820,566
Total changes	\$ 105,261,736

Below is a brief description of each of the above components:

- Expected Values refer to the change that would have occurred had experience matched all the assumptions between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017.
- Census Changes refer to the impact of population changes between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017.
- Change in Discount Rate refers to the impact that a change in discount rate had on the liability between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017. The discount rate was lowered from 4.0% to 3.58%, reflecting the new GASB 74/75 requirements for plan discount rate selection.
- Change in Claims and Trend Assumptions refers to the change in expected current and future healthcare claims and expense costs. The claim curves were updated to reflect the current market experience. They resulted in lower claims than previously used. The medical trends were updated as well. These trends were lower than assumed, producing a gain in the liability.



TOTAL - SECTION IV - SENSITIVITY

The liabilities and ARC produced in this report are sensitive to the assumptions used. The tables below show the impact of a 1% increase or decrease in the healthcare trend rates on the GASB actuarial liability and the ARC to provide some measure of sensitivity. The ARC is based on the GASB 45 methodology, normal cost plus 30-year open amortization of the unfunded liability with interest. These figures do not represent the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) under GASB 75.

Tabl TO Sensitivity to Health Care Trend I	TAI		X cfu	arial Liability	
Health Care Trend Rate		-1%		Base	+1%
Actuarial Liability					
Active Employees	\$	337,737,313	\$	400,774,046	\$ 479,579,846
Retirees and Beneficiaries		406,804,350		453,022,015	 507,413,509
Total	\$	744,541,663	\$	853,796,061	\$ 986,993,355
Assets		4,324,822		4,324,822	4,324,822
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	740,216,841	\$	849,471,239	\$ 982,668,533

Table IV-2 TOTAL						
Sensitivity to Health Care Trend	Rate	s - GASB AR	C fo	r FYE 2018		
Health Care Trend Rate		-1%		Base		+1%
Total Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	30,454,465	\$	37,147,093	\$	45,877,850
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		28,605,977		32,828,156		37,975,620
Interest to End of Year		2,114,364		2,505,114		3,001,954
Total ARC	\$	61,174,806	\$	72,480,363	\$	86,855,424



TOTAL – SECTION IV – SENSITIVITY

The tables below show the impact of a 1% increase or decrease in the discount rates on the GASB actuarial liability and the ARC to provide some measure of sensitivity. The ARC is based on the GASB 45 methodology, normal cost plus 30 year open amortization of the unfunded liability with interest. These figures do not represent the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) under GASB 75.

Table TO						
Sensitivity to Discount Rates	- U	nfunded Actua	rial	Liability		
Discount Rate		2.58%		3.58%		4.58%
Actuarial Liability				•		
Active Employees	\$	474,135,415	\$	400,774,046	\$	342,120,540
Retirees and Beneficiaries		515,006,984		453,022,015		402,713,650
Total	\$	989,142,399	\$	853,796,061	\$	744,834,190
Assets		4,324,822		4,324,822	_	4,324,822
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	984,817,577	\$	849,471,239	\$	740,509,368

Table IV-4 TOTAL Sensitivity to Discount Rates - GASB ARC for FYE 2018					
Discount Rate		2.58%		3.58%	4.58%
Total Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	47,299,671	\$	37,147,093	\$ 29,473,699
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		33,199,977		32,828,156	32,536,705
Interest to End of Year		2,076,891		2,505,114	2,840,077
Total ARC	\$	82,576,539	\$	72,480,363	\$ 64,850,481



TOTAL - SECTION V - ACCOUNTING DISCLOSURES

Statements No. 43 and 45 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) established standards for accounting and financial reporting of Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) information by governmental employers and plans. In accordance with those statements, we have prepared the following disclosures.

Schedule of Funding Progress

The schedule of funding progress compares the assets used for funding purposes to the comparable liabilities to determine how well the Plan is funded and how this status has changed over the past several years. The actuarial liability is compared to the actuarial value of assets to determine the funding ratio. The actuarial liability under GASB is determined assuming that the Plan is ongoing and participants continue to terminate employment, retire, etc., in accordance with the actuarial assumptions.

			in T			Table V-1 TOTAL		L IV		
				Schedu	le o	f Funding Prog	ress *			
						Unfunded				UAL as
Actuarial	Act	uarial Value		Actuarial		Actuarial		Ar	mual Covered	Percentage of
Valuation		of Assets		Liability	Li	ability (UAL)	Funded Ratio		Payroll	Covered Payroll
Date		(a)		(b)		(b-a)	(a/b)		(¢)	((b-a)/c)
7/1/2017	\$	4,324,822	\$	853,796,061	\$	849,471,239	1%	\$	360,308,628	235.8%
7/1/2015		2,901,346		862,891,642		859,990,296	0%		360,857,850	238.3%
7/1/2013		0		463,850,944		463,850,944	0%		322,169,793	144.0%
7/1/2012		0		553,530,074		553,530,074	0%		304,373,447	181.9%

^{*} Figures prior to July 1, 2015 calculated by prior actuary

Schedule of Employer Contributions

The schedule of employer contributions shows whether the employer has made contributions that are consistent with the parameters established by GASB for calculating the ARC and the Annual OPEB Cost.

	Table V-2							
	TOTAL							
		Schedule	of E	mployer Contri	ibutions *			
					Percentage of			
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Annual OPEB City AOC Net OPEB							
Ending	(Cost (AOC)	C	ontributions	Contributed		Obligation	
2018	\$	70,958,018	\$	37,224,790	52%		N/A	
2017		75,385,815		20,424,243	27%	\$	359,985,660	
2016		68,584,039		20,481,457	30%		305,024,089	
2015		41,584,680		19,757,993	48%		256,921,507	
2014	*******	40,475,483		20,632,950	51%		235,094,820	

^{*} Figures prior to FYE June 30, 2016 calculated by the prior actuary.



TOTAL - SECTION V - ACCOUNTING DISCLOSURES

We have also provided a *Note to Required Supplementary Information* for the financial statements in Table V-3.

Table V-3 TOTAL NOTE TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows.

	aluation follows.	Additional information as of the latest actuarial
V	aluation Date	July 1, 2017
A	ctuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal
A	mortization Method	Level Percentage of Pay, Open Period
R	emaining Amortization Period	30 years
A	sset Valuation Method	Market Value
Α	ctuarial Assumptions:	
l	Blended Discount Rate	3.58%
	Investment Rate of Return	7.28%
	Expected Return on City Assets	3.80%
	Rate of Salary Increases used for amortiza	tion 2.50%
	of the UAL	2.5070
	Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation	3.50%
	Years to Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation	20 years
	Inflation	2.50%



POLICE - SECTION I - SUMMARY

Funding Policy

The City's funding policy is to partially pre-fund the actuarially determined Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) costs, which include both normal costs and amortization of unfunded actuarial liability, by contributing to the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) sponsored by CalPERS. The CERBT Fund is a Section 115 trust fund dedicated to prefunding Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) for all eligible California public agencies. The City expects to contribute \$4.1 million per year to the CERBT for the next two years in addition to the benefit payments for retirees currently with medical coverage.

Valuation Results

The table below presents the key results of the July 1, 2017 valuation compared to those of the last actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2015.

Table I-1 POLICE				
Summary of Key Valt	iation R	esults		
	•	July 1, 2015		July 1, 2017
Actuarial Liability (AL)	\$	366,025,819	\$	359,347,092
Assets		1,163,070		1,766,999
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$	364,862,749	\$	357,580,093
Fiscal Year Ending	J	une 30, 2016	J	une 30, 2018
Annual Required Contribution	\$	33,262,412	\$	31,481,897
Actual / Expected Contribution *	\$	8,258,795	\$	14,768,135
Expected Net Explicit Benefit Payments	\$	8,038,029	\$	9,071,218
Expected Net Implicit Benefit Payments	·	1,086,710		1,596,917
Expected Net Total Benefit Payments	\$	9,124,739	\$	10,668,135
Actual / Expected Net OPEB Obligation at				
End of Fiscal Year	\$	132,536,497		N/A
Discount Rate		4.00%		3.58%

^{*} Includes \$4.1 million in additional contributions above expected net total benefit payments.

This report reflects claims, premiums and expenses determined as of July 1, 2017. There have been no significant changes in experience, population or plan design since the last valuation. However, there were changes in assumptions since the prior valuation which had an effect on the costs of the Plan. The assumption changes resulted in lower claim curves, lower medical trends, and a lower discount rate. These assumption changes, together with other experience during the year, resulted in an additional decrease in Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) of approximately



POLICE - SECTION I - SUMMARY

\$54 million. More detail on the causes of this change can be found in the valuation results section of this report.

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 decreased by \$5.4 million over the expected ARC due to the following: increase of \$1.4 million due to the covered population, increase of \$1.6 million due to a change in the discount rate, and a decrease of \$8.4 million due to changes in anticipated health care costs and their increases.

The figures provided in this report are highly sensitive to the assumptions used.



POLICE – SECTION II – ASSETS

The Plan's preceding valuation of liabilities was performed as of July 1, 2015. Table II-1 below shows the reconciliation of assets for the fiscal year ending July 1, 2017 that were used to develop the FYE 2018 ARC. Assets were allocated based on the percentage of the Actuarial Liability associated with the Police members. The market value of assets returned 11.0% during the year. Benefit payments are net of the retiree premiums payable for coverage. The City is expected to contribute \$4.1 million to the CERBT in each of the next two years.

Table II-1 POLICE Reconciliation of Assets		
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2015	\$	1,163,070
Contributions - to CERBT		394,309
Contributions - net benefit payments		7,864,486
Net Benefit Payments		(7,864,486)
Administrative Expenses		(649)
Net Investment Earnings	·	35,685
Valuation Assets as of June 30, 2016	\$	1,592,415
Contributions - to CERBT		0
Contributions - net benefit payments		8,493,670
Net Benefit Payments		(8,493,670)
Administrative Expenses		(853)
Net Investment Earnings		175,437
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2017	\$	1,766,999



POLICE - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

This section of the report calculates the current and expected future contribution requirements under the City's funding policy. Table III-1 below shows the actuarial liabilities for the Plan as of July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017, as well as expected amounts as of July 1, 2018. The expected results were calculated using standard roll-forward techniques. Asset projections were calculated based on an assumed 7.28% rate of return and assuming the expected benefits along with an additional \$4.1 million contribution to the CERBT will be paid in the year ending June 30, 2018.

Unfunded	Table III POLIC I Actuarial I						
	July 1, 2015 July 1, 2017						
Present Value of Future Benefits	an a control of more are a control to the	·				VIII.	
Active Employees	\$	395,694,114	\$	382,728,559	\$	396,430,241	
Retirees and Beneficiaries		210,994,409		221,112,735		218,171,156	
Total	\$	606,688,523	\$	603,841,294	\$	614,601,397	
Actuarial Liability							
Active Employees	\$	155,031,410	\$	138,234,357	\$	160,351,504	
Retirees and Beneficiaries		210,994,409		221,112,735		218,171,156	
Total	\$	366,025,819	\$	359,347,092	\$	378,522,660	
Assets		1,163,070		1,766,999		5,995,637	
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$	364,862,749	\$	357,580,093	\$	372,527,023	
Funded Ratio		0%		0%		2%	
Discount Rate		4.00%		3.58%		3.58%	
Covered Payroll	\$	114,085,254	\$	116,043,775	\$	118,944,870	
UAL as percentage of Covered Payroll		320%		308%		313%	

^{*} Projection to July 1, 2018 can only be used if the discount rate remains at 3.58%, otherwise these liabilities will need to be recalculated for GASB 75 results.

Please note that, prior to June 30, 2017, the City reported their actuarial liability under GASB 43/45. Under GASB 43/45 requirements, the disclosure of the above actuarial liability was provided in the notes to financial statements and was not immediately recorded on the balance sheet. Starting July 1, 2107, the City's GASB requirement falls under GASB 74/75 and the entire liability would be booked on the balance sheet. The above liability is shown for funding purposes only, the GASB 74/75 liability will be a roll forward of this liability to June 30, 2018 using actual benefit payments and assets as of that date and will be provided in a separate report.

The ARC, under GASB 45, consists of two parts: (1) the *normal cost*, which represents the annual cost attributable to service earned in a given year and (2) the 30-year open amortization of the UAL as a level percentage of payroll. Under the City's current funding policy, the City intends to contribute \$4.1 million to the CERBT and pay benefit payments outside of the CERBT.



POLICE - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

In Table III-2 below, we show the FYE 2016, FYE 2018, and the expected FYE 2019 Annual Required Contribution under the City's funding policy. The assumed discount rate was 4.0% for the fiscal year end 2016 and 3.58% for the fiscal year end 2018 and 2019. It is assumed the City's funding policy is to pay \$4.1 million to the CERBT annually in addition to benefits paid outside of the CERBT. The UAL amortization is based on an open 30-year amortization period. This 30-year open period is not in compliance with the actuarial standards of practice, as such, we have shown the GASB ARC instead of the ADC. The ARC will not be provided in the schedules of actuarial determined contributions (ADC), under GASB 75.

	Table I POLIC GASB /	CE				
			30 2012		20.2010	Projected to
Fiscal Year Ending			me 30, 2016	Ť	ine 30, 2018	
Normal Cost at beginning of year	3	\$	17,087,144	\$	16,574,973	\$ 17,155,097
UAL Amortization at beginning of year			14,895,944		13,818,826	14,396,456
Interest to end of year	_		1,279,324		1,088,098	 1,129,546
Total ARC	9	\$	33,262,412	\$	31,481,897	\$ 32,681,099

Table III-3 shows the expected benefit payments and retiree contributions through the fiscal year ending June 30, 2027. In calculating the liabilities, we project these figures for the life of each existing participant. This projects the anticipated eligible retirees and the change in both claims and premiums. These benefit payments include the explicit and implicit benefit payments and exclude payments made by retirees towards their premiums.

				able III-3 POLICE				
Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	Imp	pected Net licit Benefit Payments	Exi	pected Net blicit Benefit Payments	ACA	eted Net Benefit ments	ľ	al Expected let Benefit Payments
2018	\$	1,596,917	\$	9,071,218	\$	_	\$	10,668,135
2019		1,772,266		9,653,164		-		11,425,430
2020		1,798,926		10,197,456		-		11,996,381
2021		1,984,661		10,858,667		-		12,843,328
2022		2,325,884		11,558,715		-		13,884,599
2023		2,708,273		12,256,315		275,720		15,240,307
2024		3,201,978		13,106,887		330,450		16,639,314
2025		3,668,831		14,006,621		378,051		18,053,502
2026		4,159,645		14,912,645		431,760		19,504,050
2027		4,645,443		15,771,236		476,901		20,893,579



POLICE - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

Reconciliation

Table III-4 provides an estimate of the major factors contributing to the change in liability since the last actuarial valuation.

Table III-4 POLICE										
Reconciliation of Actuarial Liability										
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2015	\$	366,025,819								
Normal Cost		17,087,144								
Expected Benefit Payments paid throughout the year		(9,124,739)								
Interest		15,143,813								
Expected Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2016	\$	389,132,037								
Normal Cost		17,856,065								
Expected Benefit Payments paid throughout the year		(9,872,067)								
Interest		16,084,019								
Expected Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2017	\$	413,200,054								
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2017		359,347,092								
Gain or (Loss)	\$	53,852,962								
Gain or (Loss) due to:										
Census changes	\$	(4,540,472)								
Change in discount rate		(21,226,044)								
Change in claims and trend assumptions		79,619,478								
Total changes	\$	53,852,962								

Below is a brief description of each of the above components:

- Expected Values refer to the change that would have occurred had experience matched all the assumptions between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017.
- Census Changes refer to the impact of population changes between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017.
- Change in Discount Rate refers to the impact that a change in discount rate had on the liability between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017. The discount rate was lowered from 4.0% to 3.58%, reflecting the new GASB 74/75 requirements for plan discount rate selection.
- Change in Claims and Trend Assumptions refers to the change in expected current and future healthcare claims and expense costs. The claim curves were updated to reflect the current market experience. They resulted in lower claims than previously used. The medical trends were updated as well. These trends were lower than assumed, producing a gain in the liability.



POLICE - SECTION IV - SENSITIVITY

The liabilities and ARC produced in this report are sensitive to the assumptions used. The tables below show the impact of a 1% increase or decrease in the healthcare trend rates on the GASB actuarial liability and the ARC to provide some measure of sensitivity. The ARC is based on the GASB 45 methodology, normal cost plus 30-year open amortization of the unfunded liability with interest. These figures do not represent the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) under GASB 75.

Table IV-1 POLICE Sensitivity to Health Care Trend Rates - Unfunded Actuarial Liability										
Health Care Trend Rate		-1%		Base		+1%				
Actuarial Liability										
Active Employees	\$	112,068,071	\$	138,234,357	\$	171,705,321				
Retirees and Beneficiaries		196,018,837		221,112,735		251,849,921				
Total	\$	308,086,908	\$	359,347,092	\$	423,555,242				
Assets		1,766,999		1,766,999		1,766,999				
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	306,319,909	\$	357,580,093	\$	421,788,243				

Tabl PO	e IV- Lice				
Sensitivity to Health Care Trend	Rate	s - GASB AR	C fo	or FYE 2018	
Health Care Trend Rate		-1%		Base	+1%
Total Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	13,057,421	\$	16,574,973	\$ 21,238,560
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		11,837,856		13,818,826	16,300,176
Interest to End of Year		891,251		1,088,098	1,343,887
Total ARC	\$	25,786,528	\$	31,481,897	\$ 38,882,623



POLICE – SECTION IV – SENSITIVITY

The tables below show the impact of a 1% increase or decrease in the discount rates on the GASB actuarial liability and the ARC to provide some measure of sensitivity. The ARC is based on the GASB 45 methodology, normal cost plus 30-year open amortization of the unfunded liability with interest. These figures do not represent the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) under GASB 75.

Sensitivity to Discou	Table IV POLICI nt Rates - U	0	rial	Liability	
Discount Rate		2.58%		3.58%	4.58%
Actuarial Liability					 1
Active Employees	\$	164,931,728	\$	138,234,357	\$ 117,008,371
Retirees and Beneficiaries		253,936,082		221,112,735	194,823,085
Total	\$	418,867,810	\$	359,347,092	\$ 311,831,456
Assets		1,766,999		1,766,999	1,766,999
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	417,100,811	\$	357,580,093	\$ 310,064,457

	able IV-	4			
the barrier of the company of the contraction of th	POLICE				
Sensitivity to Discount F	Rates - G	ASB ARC for	r FY	E 2018	
Discount Rate	4.58%				
Total Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	21,165,252	\$	16,574,973	\$ 13,115,859
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		14,061,221		13,818,826	13,623,698
Interest to End of Year		908,843		1,088,098	 1,224,672
Total ARC	\$	36,135,316	\$	31,481,897	\$ 27,964,229



POLICE – SECTION V – ACCOUNTING DISCLOSURES

Statements No. 43 and 45 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) established standards for accounting and financial reporting of Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) information by governmental employers and plans. In accordance with those statements, we have prepared the following disclosures.

Schedule of Funding Progress

The schedule of funding progress compares the assets used for funding purposes to the comparable liabilities to determine how well the Plan is funded and how this status has changed over the past several years. The actuarial liability is compared to the actuarial value of assets to determine the funding ratio. The actuarial liability under GASB is determined assuming that the Plan is ongoing and participants continue to terminate employment, retire, etc., in accordance with the actuarial assumptions.

			Schedu	le a	Table V-1 POLICE of Funding Prog	ress *			
					Unfunded				UAL as
Actuarial	Act	uarial Value	Actuarial		Actuarial		Aı	inual Covered	Percentage of
Valuation		of Assets	Liability	L	ability (UAL)	Funded Ratio		Payroll	Covered Payroll
Date		(a)	(b)		(b-a)	(a/b)		(c)	((b-a)/c)
7/1/2017	\$	1,766,999	\$ 359,347,092	\$	357,580,093	0%	\$	116,043,775	308.1%
7/1/2015		1,163,070	366,025,819		364,862,749	0%		114,085,254	319.8%
7/1/2013		0	191,685,144		191,685,144	0%		100,628,250	190.5%
7/1/2012		0	231,558,435		231,558,435	0%		98,703,132	234.6%

^{*} Figures prior to July 1, 2015 calculated by prior actuary

Schedule of Employer Contributions

The schedule of employer contributions shows whether the employer has made contributions that are consistent with the parameters established by GASB for calculating the ARC and the Annual OPEB Cost.

	Table V-2 POLICE											
	Schedule of Employer Contributions *											
	Percentage of											
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Annual OPEB		City		AOC		Net OPEB					
Ending	(Cost (AOC)	C	ontributions	Contributed		Obligation					
2018	\$	30,813,550	\$	14,768,135	48%		N/A					
2017		33,999,553		8,493,670	25%	\$	158,042,380					
2016		30,905,632		8,258,795	27%		132,536,497					
2015	-	18,274,953		7,860,747	43%		109,889,660					
2014		17,711,232		7,626,776	43%		99,475,455					

^{*} Figures prior to FYE 6/30/2016 calculated by the prior actuary.



POLICE - SECTION V - ACCOUNTING DISCLOSURES

We have also provided a *Note to Required Supplementary Information* for the financial statements in Table V-3.

Table V-3 POLICE NOTE TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows.

valuation follows.	,
Valuation Date	July 1, 2017
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal
Amortization Method	Level Percentage of Pay, Open Period
Remaining Amortization Period	30 years
Asset Valuation Method	Market Value
Actuarial Assumptions: Blended Discount Rate Investment Rate of Return Expected Return on City Assets	3.58% 7.28% 3.80%
Rate of Salary Increases used for amortization of the UAL	2.50%
Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation Years to Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation Inflation	3.50% 20 years 2.50%



FIRE - SECTION I - SUMMARY

Funding Policy

The City's funding policy is to partially pre-fund the actuarially determined Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) costs, which include both normal costs and amortization of unfunded actuarial liability, by contributing to the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) sponsored by CalPERS. The CERBT Fund is a Section 115 trust fund dedicated to prefunding Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) for all eligible California public agencies. The City expects to contribute \$2.7 million per year to the CERBT for the next two years in addition to the benefit payments for retirees currently with medical coverage.

Valuation Results

The table below presents the key results of the July 1, 2017 valuation compared to those of the last actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2015.

Table I- FIRE	1							
Summary of Key Valuation Results								
,	July 1, 2015			July 1, 2017				
Actuarial Liability (AL)	\$	232,600,579	\$	218,601,169				
Assets		779,594		1,163,309				
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$	231,820,985	\$	217,437,860				
Fiscal Year Ending	J	June 30, 2016		June 30, 2018				
Annual Required Contribution	\$	19,586,655	\$	18,610,045				
Actual / Expected Contribution *	\$	5,486,867	\$	10,149,785				
Expected Net Explicit Benefit Payments	\$	5,279,045	\$	5,697,293				
Expected Net Implicit Benefit Payments		1,421,219		1,752,492				
Expected Net Total Benefit Payments	\$	6,700,263	\$	7,449,785				
Actual / Expected Net OPEB Obligation at								
End of Fiscal Year	\$	78,033,632		N/A				
Discount Rate		4.00%		3.58%				

^{*} Includes \$2.7 million in additional contributions above expected net total benefit payments.

This report reflects claims, premiums and expenses determined as of July 1, 2017. There have been no significant changes in experience, population or plan design since the last valuation. However, there were changes in assumptions since the prior valuation which had an effect on the costs of the Plan. The assumption changes resulted in lower claim curves, lower medical trends, and a lower discount rate. These assumption changes, together with other experience during the year, resulted in an additional decrease in Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) of approximately \$39 million. More detail on the causes of this change can be found in the valuation results section of this report.



FIRE - SECTION I - SUMMARY

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 decreased by \$2.9 million over the expected ARC due to the following: increase of \$1.3 million due to the covered population, increase of \$0.9 million due to a change in the discount rate, and a decrease of \$5.1 million due to changes in anticipated health care costs and their increases.

The figures provided in this report are highly sensitive to the assumptions used.



FIRE - SECTION II - ASSETS

The Plan's preceding valuation of liabilities was performed as of July 1, 2015. Table II-1 below shows the reconciliation of assets for the fiscal year ending July 1, 2017 that were used to develop the FYE 2018 ARC. Assets were allocated based on the percentage of the Actuarial Liability associated with the Fire members. The market value of assets returned 10.6% during the year. Benefit payments are net of the retiree premiums payable for coverage. The City is expected to contribute \$2.7 million to the CERBT in each of the next two years.

Table II-1 FIRE		
Reconciliation of Asso	ets	
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2015	\$	779,594
Contributions - to CERBT		250,530
Contributions - net benefit payments		5,236,337
Net Benefit Payments		(5,236,337)
Administrative Expenses		(413)
Net Investment Earnings		22,673
Valuation Assets as of June 30, 2016	\$	1,052,384
Contributions - to CERBT		0
Contributions - net benefit payments		5,441,554
Net Benefit Payments		(5,441,554)
Administrative Expenses		(542)
Net Investment Earnings	<u> </u>	111,467
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2017	\$	1,163,309



FIRE - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

This section of the report calculates the current and expected future contribution requirements under the City's funding policy. Table III-1 below shows the actuarial liabilities for the Plan as of July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017, as well as expected amounts as of July 1, 2018. The expected results were calculated using standard roll-forward techniques. Asset projections were calculated based on an assumed 7.28% rate of return and assuming the expected benefits along with an additional \$2.7 million contribution to the CERBT will be paid in the year ending June 30, 2018.

		lable III FIRE	-1		J Ganta e sa	
	Unfunded A		Liability Fire			
			July 1, 2015	July 1, 2017		Projected to July 1, 2018
Present Value of Future Benefits				Marie Carlo Company (1997)		
Active Employees	Y	\$	233,988,105	\$ 235,685,024	\$	244,122,548
Retirees and Beneficiaries			111,209,256	 105,876,701		102,085,123
Total		\$	345,197,361	\$ 341,561,725	\$	346,207,671
Actuarial Liability						
Active Employees		\$	121,391,323	\$ 112,724,468	\$	126,666,249
Retirees and Beneficiaries			111,209,256	105,876,701		102,085,123
Total		\$	232,600,579	\$ 218,601,169	\$	228,751,372
Assets			779,594	1,163,309	_	3,947,998
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)		\$	231,820,985	\$ 217,437,860	\$	224,803,374
Funded Ratio			0%	1%		2%
Discount Rate			4.00%	3.58%		3.58%
Covered Payroll		\$	74,501,036	\$ 73,239,751	\$	75,070,745
UAL as percentage of Covered Payroll			311%	297%		299%

^{*} Projection to July 1, 2018 can only be used if the discount rate remains at 3.58%, otherwise these liabilities will need to be recalculated for GASB 75 results.

Please note, prior to June 30, 2017, the City reported their actuarial liability under GASB 43/45. Under GASB 43/45 requirements, the disclosure of the above actuarial liability was provided in the notes to financial statements and was not immediately recorded on the balance sheet. Starting July 1, 2107, the City's GASB requirement falls under GASB 74/75 and the entire liability would be booked on the balance sheet. The above liability is shown for funding purposes only, the GASB 74/75 liability will be a roll forward of this liability to June 30, 2018 using actual benefit payments and assets as of that date and will be provided in a separate report.

The ARC consists of two parts: (1) the *normal cost*, which represents the annual cost attributable to service earned in a given year and (2) the 30-year open amortization of the UAL as a level percentage of payroll. Under the City's current funding policy, the City intends to contribute \$2.7 million to the CERBT and pay benefit payments outside of the CERBT.



FIRE - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

In Table III-2 below, we show the FYE 2016, FYE 2018, and the expected FYE 2019 Annual Required Contribution under the City's funding policy. The assumed discount rate was 4.0% for the fiscal year end 2016 and 3.58% for the fiscal year end 2018 and 2019. It is assumed the City's funding policy is to pay \$2.7 million to the CERBT annually in addition to benefits paid outside of the CERBT. The UAL amortization is based on an open 30-year amortization period. This 30-year open period is not in compliance with the actuarial standards of practice, as such, we have shown the GASB ARC instead of the ADC. The ARC will not be provided in the schedules of actuarial determined contributions (ADC), under GASB 75.

	Table III FIRE GASB AI				
Fiscal Year Ending	J	une 30, 2016	Jı	ane 30, 2018	Projected to me 30, 2019
Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	9,368,962	\$	9,563,859	\$ 9,898,594
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		9,464,360		8,402,973	8,687,617
Interest to end of year	- 	753,333		643,213	 665,386
Total ARC	\$	19,586,655	\$	18,610,045	\$ 19,251,597

Table III-3 shows the expected benefit payments and retiree contributions through the fiscal year ending June 30, 2027. In calculating the liabilities, we project these figures for the life of each existing participant. This projects the anticipated eligible retirees and the change in both claims and premiums. These benefit payments include the explicit and implicit benefit payments and exclude payments made by retirees towards their premiums.

		Table III-3 FIRE		
Fiscal Year	Expected Net Implicit Benefit	Expected Net Explicit Benefit	Expected Net ACA Benefit	Total Expected Net Benefit
Ending June 30,	Payments	Payments	Payments	Payments
2018	\$ 1,752,492	\$ 5,697,293	\$ -	\$ 7,449,785
2019	1,954,845	6,151,237	-	8,106,083
2020	2,062,731	6,463,949		8,526,680
2021	2,091,964	6,777,200	-	8,869,165
2022	1,954,139	7,037,197	-	8,991,336
2023	2,155,765	7,456,051	70,374	9,682,189
2024	2,395,962	7,911,651	86,424	10,394,037
2025	2,459,152	8,293,324	96,717	10,849,193
2026	2,743,079	8,800,380	117,369	11,660,828
2027	3,101,238	9,349,747	141,793	12,592,778



FIRE - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

Reconciliation

Table III-4 provides an estimate of the major factors contributing to the change in liability since the last actuarial valuation.

Table III-4 FIRE	
Reconciliation of Actuarial Liability	
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2015	\$ 232,600,579
Normal Cost	9,368,962
Expected Benefit Payments paid throughout the year	(6,700,263)
Interest	 9,546,090
Expected Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2016	\$ 244,815,368
Normal Cost	9,790,565
Expected Benefit Payments paid throughout the year	(7,158,895)
Interest	10,042,463
Expected Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2017	\$ 257,489,500
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2017	218,601,169
Gain or (Loss)	\$ 38,888,331
Gain or (Loss) due to:	
Census changes	\$ (4,254,848)
Change in discount rate	(12,251,425)
Change in claims and trend assumptions	55,394,604
Total changes	\$ 38,888,331

Below is a brief description of each of the above components:

- Expected Values refer to the change that would have occurred had experience matched all the assumptions between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017.
- Census Changes refer to the impact of population changes between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017.
- Change in Discount Rate refers to the impact that a change in discount rate had on the liability between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017. The discount rate was lowered from 4.0% to 3.58%, reflecting the new GASB 74/75 requirements for plan discount rate selection.
- Change in Claims and Trend Assumptions refers to the change in expected current and future healthcare claims and expense costs. The claim curves were updated to reflect the current market experience. They resulted in lower claims than previously used. The medical trends were updated as well. These trends were lower than assumed, producing a gain in the liability.



FIRE - SECTION IV - SENSITIVITY

The liabilities and ARC produced in this report are sensitive to the assumptions used. The tables below show the impact of a 1% increase or decrease in the healthcare trend rates on the GASB actuarial liability and the ARC to provide some measure of sensitivity. The ARC is based on the GASB 45 methodology, normal cost plus 30-year open amortization of the unfunded liability with interest. These figures do not represent the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) under GASB 75.

Tab F	e IV IRE				
Sensitivity to Health Care Trend	Rate	s - Unfunded A	Actu	arial Liability	
Health Care Trend Rate		-1%		Base	+1%
Actuarial Liability					
Active Employees	\$	92,786,992	\$	112,724,468	\$ 137,696,154
Retirees and Beneficiaries	_	94,895,016		105,876,701	 119,097,250
Total	\$	187,682,008	\$	218,601,169	\$ 256,793,404
Assets		1,163,309		1,163,309	 1,163,309
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	186,518,699	\$	217,437,860	\$ 255,630,095

Table FI	e IV- RE	2							
Sensitivity to Health Care Trend Rates - GASB ARC for FYE 2018									
Health Care Trend Rate	Health Care Trend Rate -1% Base +1%								
Total Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	7,703,089	\$	9,563,859	\$	11,959,905			
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		7,208,090		8,402,973		9,878,928			
Interest to End of Year		533,820		643,213		781,830			
Total ARC	\$	15,444,999	\$	18,610,045	\$	22,620,663			



FIRE - SECTION IV - SENSITIVITY

The tables below show the impact of a 1% increase or decrease in the discount rates on the GASB actuarial liability and the ARC to provide some measure of sensitivity. The ARC is based on the GASB 45 methodology, normal cost plus 30-year open amortization of the unfunded liability with interest. These figures do not represent the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) under GASB 75.

	Table IV FIRE								
Sensitivity to Disco	Sensitivity to Discount Rates - Unfunded Actuarial Liability								
Discount Rate		2.58%		3.58%		4.58%			
Actuarial Liability									
Active Employees	\$	133,326,240	\$	112,724,468	\$	96,253,784			
Retirees and Beneficiaries	·	119,411,033		105,876,701		94,859,297			
Total	\$	252,737,273	\$	218,601,169	\$	191,113,081			
Assets		1,163,309		1,163,309		1,163,309			
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	<u>\$</u>	251,573,964	\$	217,437,860	\$	189,949,772			

Table IV-4 FIRE									
Sensitivity to Discount Rate	s - C	SASB ARC for	r FY	E 2018					
Discount Rate		2.58%		3.58%		4.58%			
Total Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	12,219,147	\$	9,563,859	\$	7,557,122			
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		8,481,012		8,402,973		8,346,065			
Interest to End of Year		534,064		643,213		728,366			
Total ARC	\$	21,234,223	\$	18,610,045	\$	16,631,553			



FIRE - SECTION V - ACCOUNTING DISCLOSURES

Statements No. 43 and 45 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) established standards for accounting and financial reporting of Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) information by governmental employers and plans. In accordance with those statements, we have prepared the following disclosures.

Schedule of Funding Progress

The schedule of funding progress compares the assets used for funding purposes to the comparable liabilities to determine how well the Plan is funded and how this status has changed over the past several years. The actuarial liability is compared to the actuarial value of assets to determine the funding ratio. The actuarial liability under GASB is determined assuming that the Plan is ongoing and participants continue to terminate employment, retire, etc., in accordance with the actuarial assumptions.

					Table V-1				
					FIRE				
			Schedu	le o	f Funding Prog	ress *			
					Unfunded				UAL as
Actuarial	Act	uarial Value	Actuarial		Actuarial		An	nual Covered	Percentage of
Valuation	(of Assets	Liability	11	iability (UAL)	Funded Ratio		Payroll	Covered Payroll
Date		(a)	(b)	i endy	(b-a)	(a/b)		(e)	((b-a)/c)
7/1/2017	\$	1,163,309	\$ 218,601,169	\$	217,437,860	1%	\$	73,239,751	296.9%
7/1/2015		779,594	232,600,579		231,820,985	0%		74,501,036	311.2%
7/1/2013		0	124,897,686		124,897,686	0%		61,723,369	202.4%
7/1/2012		0	 149,352,503		149,352,503	0%		60,205,139	248.1%

^{*} Figures prior to July 1, 2015 calculated by prior actuary

Schedule of Employer Contributions

The schedule of employer contributions shows whether the employer has made contributions that are consistent with the parameters established by GASB for calculating the ARC and the Annual OPEB Cost.

	Table V-2 FIRE Schedule of Employer Contributions *								
Fiscal Year Ending		nnual OPEB	C	City ontributions	Percentage of AOC Contributed		Net OPEB Obligation		
2018	\$	18,218,794	\$	10,149,785	56%		N/A		
2017		19,926,274		5,441,554	27%	\$	92,518,352		
2016		18,185,428	,	5,486,867	30%		78,033,632		
2015		11,389,081		5,284,459	46%		65,335,071		
2014		11,057,014		5,116,639	46%		59,230,449		

^{*} Figures prior to FYE 6/30/2016 calculated by the prior actuary.



FIRE – SECTION V – ACCOUNTING DISCLOSURES

We have also provided a Note to Required Supplementary Information for the financial statements in Table V-3.

Table V-3 FIRE NOTE TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial

the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additivaluation follows.	onal information as of the latest actuarial
Valuation Date	July 1, 2017
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal
Amortization Method	Level Percentage of Pay, Open Period
Remaining Amortization Period	30 years
Asset Valuation Method	Market Value
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Blended Discount Rate	3.58%
Investment Rate of Return	7.28%
Expected Return on City Assets	3.80%
Rate of Salary Increases used for amortization of the UAL	2.50%
Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation	3.50%
Years to Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation	20 years
Inflation	2.50%



MISCELLANEOUS - SECTION I - SUMMARY

Funding Policy

The City's funding policy is to partially pre-fund the actuarially determined Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) costs, which include both normal costs and amortization of unfunded actuarial liability, by contributing to the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) sponsored by CalPERS. The CERBT Fund is a Section 115 trust fund dedicated to prefunding Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) for all eligible California public agencies. The City expects to contribute \$3.2 million per year to the CERBT annually for the next two years in addition to the benefit payments for retirees currently with medical coverage.

Valuation Results

The table below presents the key results of the July 1, 2017 valuation compared to those of the last actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2015.

Table 1-1 MISCELLAN Summary of Key Valu	EOUS	esults		
	SERVING SERVIN	July 1, 2015		July 1, 2017
Actuarial Liability (AL)	\$	264,265,244	\$	275,847,800
Assets		958,682		1,394,514
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$	263,306,562	\$	274,453,286
Fiscal Year Ending	J	une 30, 2016	J	une 30, 2018
Annual Required Contribution	\$	21,245,112	\$	22,388,421
Actual / Expected Contribution *	\$	6,735,795	\$	12,306,871
Expected Net Explicit Benefit Payments	\$	6,177,373	\$	6,388,598
Expected Net Implicit Benefit Payments	·	2,257,424		2,718,272
Expected Net Total Benefit Payments	\$	8,434,797	\$	9,106,871
Actual / Expected Net OPEB Obligation at				
End of Fiscal Year	\$	94,453,960		N/A
Discount Rate		4.00%		3.58%

This report reflects claims, premiums and expenses determined as of July 1, 2017. There have been no significant changes in experience, population or plan design since the last valuation. However, there were changes in assumptions since the prior valuation which had an effect on the costs of the Plan. The assumption changes resulted in lower claim curves, lower medical trends, and a lower discount rate. These assumption changes, together with other experience during the year, resulted in an additional decrease in Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) of approximately \$12 million. More detail on the causes of this change can be found in the valuation results section of this report.



MISCELLANEOUS - SECTION I - SUMMARY

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 decreased by \$0.8 million over the expected ARC due to the following: decrease of \$0.6 million due to the covered population, increase of \$1.0 million due to a change in the discount rate, and a decrease of \$1.2 million due to changes in anticipated health care costs and their increases.

The figures provided in this report are highly sensitive to the assumptions used.



MISCELLANEOUS - SECTION II - ASSETS

The Plan's preceding valuation of liabilities was performed as of July 1, 2015. Table II-1 below shows the reconciliation of assets for the fiscal year ending July 1, 2017 that were used to develop the FYE 2018 ARC. Assets were allocated based on the percentage of the Actuarial Liability associated with the Miscellaneous members. The market value of assets returned 10.0% during the year. Benefit payments are net of the retiree premiums payable for coverage. The City is expected to contribute \$3.2 million to the CERBT in each of the next two years.

Table II-1 MISCELLANEOUS Reconciliation of Assets	
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2015	\$ 958,682
Contributions - to CERBT	284,556
Contributions - net benefit payments	6,451,239
Net Benefit Payments	(6,451,239)
Administrative Expenses	(468)
Net Investment Earnings	 25,753
Valuation Assets as of June 30, 2016	\$ 1,268,523
Contributions - to CERBT	0
Contributions - net benefit payments	6,489,019
Net Benefit Payments	(6,489,019)
Administrative Expenses	(615)
Net Investment Earnings	 126,606
Valuation Assets as of July 1, 2017	\$ 1,394,514



MISCELLANEOUS – SECTION III – VALUATION RESULTS

This section of the report calculates the current and expected future contribution requirements under the City's funding policy. Table III-1 below shows the actuarial liabilities for the Plan as of July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017, as well as expected amounts as of July 1, 2018. The expected results were calculated using standard roll-forward techniques. Asset projections were calculated based on an assumed 7.28% rate of return and assuming the expected benefits along with an additional \$3.2 million contribution to the CERBT will be paid in the year ending June 30, 2018.

Tabl MISCEL Unfunded Actuarial I	LAN	NEOUS	ous			
		July 1, 2015		July 1, 2017		Projected to United In 1997 Puly 1, 2018
Present Value of Future Benefits				NOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT		
Active Employees	\$	227,471,264	\$	251,732,446	\$	260,744,468
Retirees and Beneficiaries		122,550,804		126,032,579		121,276,095
Total	\$	350,022,068	\$	377,765,025	\$	382,020,563
Actuarial Liability						
Active Employees	\$	141,714,440	\$	149,815,221	\$	166,580,963
Retirees and Beneficiaries		122,550,804		126,032,579		121,276,095
Total	\$	264,265,244	\$	275,847,800	\$	287,857,058
Assets	_	958,682	_	1,394,514	_	4,696,035
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$	263,306,562	\$	274,453,286	\$	283,161,023
Funded Ratio		0%		1%		2%
Discount Rate		4.00%		3.58%		3.58%
Covered Payroll	\$	172,271,560	\$	171,025,101	\$	175,300,729
UAL as percentage of Covered Payroll		153%		160%		162%

^{*} Projection to July 1, 2018 can only be used if the discount rate remains at 3.58%, otherwise these liabilities will need to be recalculated for GASB 75 results.

Please note, prior to June 30, 2017, the City reported their actuarial liability under GASB 43/45. Under GASB 43/45 requirements, the disclosure of the above actuarial liability was provided in the notes to financial statements and was not immediately recorded on the balance sheet. Starting July 1, 2107, the City's GASB requirement falls under GASB 74/75 and the entire liability would be booked on the balance sheet. The above liability is shown for funding purposes only, the GASB 74/75 liability will be a roll forward of this liability to June 30, 2018 using actual benefit payments and assets as of that date and will be provided in a separate report.

The ARC consists of two parts: (1) the *normal cost*, which represents the annual cost attributable to service earned in a given year and (2) the 30-year open amortization of the UAL as a level percentage of payroll. Under the City's current funding policy, the City intends to contribute \$3.2 million to the CERBT and pay benefit payments outside of the CERBT.



MISCELLANEOUS - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

In Table III-2 below, we show the FYE 2016, FYE 2018, and the expected FYE 2019 Annual Required Contribution under the City's funding policy. The assumed discount rate was 4.0% for the fiscal year end 2016 and 3.58% for the fiscal year end 2018 and 2019. It is assumed the City's funding policy is to pay \$3.2 million to the CERBT annually in addition to benefits paid outside of the CERBT. The UAL amortization is based on an open 30-year amortization period. This 30-year open period is not in compliance with the actuarial standards of practice, as such, we have shown the GASB ARC instead of the ADC. The ARC will not be provided in the schedules of actuarial determined contributions (ADC), under GASB 75.

	Table III- MISCELLAN GASB AR	EOUS			
Fiscal Year Ending		ine 30, 2016	Z.	me 30-2018	 Projected to ne 30, 2019
Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	9,678,197	\$	11,008,261	\$ 11,393,550
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		10,749,795		10,606,357	10,942,871
Interest to end of year		817,120		773,803	 799,644
Total ARC	\$	21,245,112	\$	22,388,421	\$ 23,136,065

Table III-3 shows the expected benefit payments and retiree contributions through the fiscal year ending June 30, 2027. In calculating the liabilities, we project these figures for the life of each existing participant. This projects the anticipated eligible retirees and the change in both claims and premiums. These benefit payments include the explicit and implicit benefit payments and exclude payments made by retirees towards their premiums.

	ľ	Table III-3 IISCELLANEOU	S	
Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	Expected Net Implicit Benefit Payments	Expected Net Explicit Benefit Payments	Expected Net ACA Benefit Payments	Total Expected Net Benefit Payments
2018	\$ 2,718,272	\$ 6,388,598	\$ -	\$ 9,106,871
2019	2,988,370	7,053,310	-	10,041,680
2020	3,237,834	7,657,753		10,895,586
2021	3,598,641	8,266,245	-	11,864,886
2022	3,705,263	8,829,956	-	12,535,219
2023	3,983,882	9,415,564	111,721	13,511,166
2024	4,261,884	9,991,422	126,613	14,379,920
2025	4,407,234	10,559,892	141,213	15,108,339
2026	4,562,425	11,136,936	155,383	15,854,745
2027	4,877,200	11,720,256	176,693	16,774,149



MISCELLANEOUS - SECTION III - VALUATION RESULTS

Reconciliation

Table III-4 provides an estimate of the major factors contributing to the change in liability since the last actuarial valuation.

Table III-4 MISCELLANEOUS		
Reconciliation of Actuarial Liability		
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2015	\$	264,265,244
Normal Cost		9,678,197
Expected Benefit Payments paid throughout the year		(8,434,797)
Interest		10,790,696
Expected Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2016	\$	276,299,340
Normal Cost		10,113,716
Expected Benefit Payments paid throughout the year		(9,316,826)
Interest		11,272,013
Expected Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2017	\$	288,368,242
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2017		275,847,800
Gain or (Loss)	\$	12,520,442
Gain or (Loss) due to:		
Census changes	\$	10,808,422
Change in discount rate		(15,094,464)
Change in claims and trend assumptions	_	16,806,484
Total changes	\$	12,520,442

Below is a brief description of each of the above components:

- Expected Values refer to the change that would have occurred had experience matched all the assumptions between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017.
- Census Changes refer to the impact of population changes between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017.
- Change in Discount Rate refers to the impact that a change in discount rate had on the liability between July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017. The discount rate was lowered from 4.0% to 3.58%, reflecting the new GASB 74/75 requirements for plan discount rate selection.
- Change in Claims and Trend Assumptions refers to the change in expected current and future healthcare claims and expense costs. The claim curves were updated to reflect the current market experience. They resulted in lower claims than previously used. The medical trends were updated as well. These trends were lower than assumed, producing a gain in the liability.



MISCELLANEOUS - SECTION IV - SENSITIVITY

The liabilities and ARC produced in this report are sensitive to the assumptions used. The tables below show the impact of a 1% increase or decrease in the healthcare trend rates on the GASB actuarial liability and the ARC to provide some measure of sensitivity. The ARC is based on the GASB 45 methodology, normal cost plus 30-year open amortization of the unfunded liability with interest. These figures do not represent the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) under GASB 75.

Table IV-1 MISCELLANEOUS Sensitivity to Health Care Trend Rates - Unfunded Actuarial Liability									
Health Care Trend Rate		-1%		Base		+1%			
Actuarial Liability									
Active Employees	\$	132,882,250	\$	149,815,221	\$	170,178,371			
Retirees and Beneficiaries		115,890,497		126,032,579		136,466,338			
Total	\$	248,772,747	\$	275,847,800	\$	306,644,709			
Assets		1,394,514		1,394,514		1,394,514			
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	247,378,233	\$	274,453,286	\$	305,250,195			

Table MISCELI					
Sensitivity to Health Care Trend	Rate	s - GASB AR	C fo	r FYE 2018	
Health Care Trend Rate		-1%		Base	+1%
Total Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	9,693,955	\$	11,008,261	\$ 12,679,385
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		9,560,031		10,606,357	11,796,516
Interest to End of Year		689,293		773,803	 876,237
Total ARC	\$	19,943,279	\$	22,388,421	\$ 25,352,138



MISCELLANEOUS – SECTION IV – SENSITIVITY

The tables below show the impact of a 1% increase or decrease in the discount rates on the GASB actuarial liability and the ARC to provide some measure of sensitivity. The ARC is based on the GASB 45 methodology, normal cost plus 30-year open amortization of the unfunded liability with interest. These figures do not represent the actuarial determined contribution (ADC) under GASB 75.

Table IV-3 MISCELLANEOUS Sensitivity to Discount Rates - Unfunded Actuarial Liability								
Discount Rate		2.58%		3.58%	a k	4.58%		
Actuarial Liability								
Active Employees	\$	175,877,447	\$	149,815,221	\$	128,858,385		
Retirees and Beneficiaries		141,659,869		126,032,579		113,031,268		
Total	\$	317,537,316	\$	275,847,800	\$	241,889,653		
Assets		1,394,514		1,394,514		1,394,514		
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	316,142,802	\$	274,453,286	\$	240,495,139		

	Table IV-	4		ere of kinasi of his e	n nga pagalangan ang aka- nangga bilangan ang aka-
M					
Sensitivity to Discou	mt Rates - G	ASB ARC for	r FY	E 2018	
Discount Rate	es e ign Millioni di manito	2.58%		3.58%	4.58%
Total Normal Cost at beginning of year	\$	13,915,272	\$	11,008,261	\$ 8,800,718
UAL Amortization at beginning of year		10,657,744		10,606,357	10,566,942
Interest to End of Year		633,984		773,803	 887,039
Total ARC	\$	25,207,000	\$	22,388,421	\$ 20,254,699



MISCELLANEOUS – SECTION V – ACCOUNTING DISCLOSURES

Statements No. 43 and 45 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) established standards for accounting and financial reporting of Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) information by governmental employers and plans. In accordance with those statements, we have prepared the following disclosures.

Schedule of Funding Progress

The schedule of funding progress compares the assets used for funding purposes to the comparable liabilities to determine how well the Plan is funded and how this status has changed over the past several years. The actuarial liability is compared to the actuarial value of assets to determine the funding ratio. The actuarial liability under GASB is determined assuming that the Plan is ongoing and participants continue to terminate employment, retire, etc., in accordance with the actuarial assumptions.

				IS	Table V-1 CELLANEOUS f Funding Prog		ill ill ill ill ill ill ill ill ill ill		
					Unfunded				The second of th
Actuarial	Act	uarial Value			Actuarial				Percentage of
Valuation		of Assets	Liability	L	ability (UAL)	Funded Ratio		Payroll	Covered Payroll
Date		(a)	(b)		(b-a)	(a/b)		(c)	((b-a)/c)
7/1/2017	\$	1,394,514	\$ 275,847,800	\$	274,453,286	1%	\$	171,025,101	160.5%
7/1/2015		958,682	264,265,244		263,306,562	0%		172,271,560	152.8%
7/1/2013		0	147,268,114		147,268,114	0%		159,818,174	92.1%
7/1/2012		0	172,619,136		172,619,136	0%		145,465,176	118.7%

^{*} Figures prior to July 1, 2015 calculated by prior actuary

Schedule of Employer Contributions

The schedule of employer contributions shows whether the employer has made contributions that are consistent with the parameters established by GASB for calculating the ARC and the Annual OPEB Cost.

		MI	Table V SCELLA								
	Schedule of Employer Contributions *										
Fiscal Year	Annual O	PEB	City		entage of	Net OPEB					
Ending	Cost (AC)C)	Contribu	lions Con	tributed	Obligation					
2018	\$ 21,92	5,673 \$	3 12,30	<i>6,871</i> .	56%	N/A					
2017	21,459	9,986	6,48	9,019	30%	109,424,927					
2016	19,492	2,979	6,73	5,795	35%	94,453,960					
2015	11,920	0,646	6,61	2,786	55%	81,696,775					
2014	11,70′	7,237	7,88	9,535	67%	76,388,916					

^{*} Figures prior to FYE 6/30/2016 calculated by the prior actuary.



MISCELLANEOUS - SECTION V - ACCOUNTING DISCLOSURES

We have also provided a *Note to Required Supplementary Information* for the financial statements in Table V-3.

Table V-3 MISCELLANEOUS NOTE TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows.

valuation follows.	
Valuation Date	July 1, 2017
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal
Amortization Method	Level Percentage of Pay, Open Period
Remaining Amortization Period	30 years
Asset Valuation Method	Market Value
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Blended Discount Rate	3.58%
Investment Rate of Return	7.28%
Expected Return on City Assets	3.80%
Rate of Salary Increases used for amortization of the UAL	2.50%
Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation	3.50%
Years to Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation	20 years
Inflation	2.50%



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Member Data

	TOTAI			
Valuation Date		July 1, 2015	July 1, 2017	% Change
Active Employees				
Eligible for retirement benefits		1,087	1,047	-3.7%
Not eligible for retirement benefits		2,260	2,473	9.4%
Total		3,347	3,520	5.2%
Average Age		45.7	45.1	-1.2%
Average Service		12.2	11.6	-5.0%
Covered Payroll	\$	360,857,850	\$ 360,308,628	-0.2%
Inactive with Medical Coverage				
Retired participants & Surviving Spouses		2,603	2,672	2.7%
Spouses		1,020	1,040	2.0%
Total		3,623	3,712	2.5%

	POLICE			
Valuation Date	July	1,2015	July 1, 2017	% Change
Active Employees *			 ,	
Eligible for retirement benefits	*	46	54	17.4%
Not eligible for retirement benefits		676	713	5.5%
Total		722	767	6.2%
Average Age		38.1	37.7	-1.2%
Average Service		10.5	9.8	-6.2%
Covered Payroll	\$ 11	4,085,254	\$ 116,043,775	1.7%
Inactive with Medical Coverage **				
Retired participants & Surviving Spouses		730	758	3.8%
Spouses		375	391	4.3%
Total		1,105	 1,149	4.0%

^{*} There were no active employees eligible for the Retention I or II benefits in either 2015 or 2017.



^{**} There were 112 retirees and 6 beneficiaries with Retention I benefits and 61 retirees with Retention II benefits in 2017. For comparison, there were 117 retirees and 6 beneficiaries with Retention I benefits and 61 retirees with Retention II benefits in 2015.

	FIRE			
Valuation Date		July 1, 2015	July 1, 2017	% Change
Active Employees				
Eligible for retirement benefits		112	103	-8.0%
Not eligible for retirement benefits		311	364	17.0%
Total		423	467	10.4%
Average Age		43.5	42.2	-2.8%
Average Service		13.8	12.5	-9.8%
Covered Payroll	\$	74,501,036	\$ 73,239,751	-1.7%
Inactive with Medical Coverage				
Retired participants & Surviving Spouses		584	595	1.9%
Spouses		315	315	0.0%
Total		899	910	1.2%

	MISCELLAN	NEOUS		al all the Parking land of	
Valuation Date		July 1, 2015	******	July 1, 2017	% Change
Active Employees					
Eligible for retirement benefits		929		890	-4.2%
Not eligible for retirement benefits		1,273		1,396	9.7%
Total		2,202		2,286	3.8%
Average Age		48.6		48.2	-0.8%
Average Service		12.4		12.0	-3.6%
Covered Payroll	\$	172,271,560	\$	171,025,101	-0.7%
Inactive with Medical Coverage					
Retired participants & Surviving Spouses		1,289		1,319	2.3%
Spouses		330		334	1.2%
Total		1,619		1,653	2.1%



	ii in 20	Eligib	le Active E	imployees a	is of July 1	, 2017			
				TOTAL					
			Ye	ars of Serv	ice				
Age Group	< 5	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 +	Total
Under 25	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
25 to 30	292	6	1	0	0	0.	0	0	299
30 to 35	290	67	51	0	0	0	0	0	408
35 to 40	184	92	149	47	0	0	0	0	472
40 to 45	133	68	103	174	35	1	0	0	514
45 to 50	82	54	116	156	. 95	54	2	0	559
50 to 55	59	47	75	111	61	96	17	1	467
55 to 60	52	32	54	79	44	77	28	4 -	370
60 to 65	31	16	36	46	13	61	23	8	234
Over 65	16	12	21.	28	14	30	13	3	137
Total	1,199	394	606	641	262	319	83	16	3,520

		Eligib	le Active E	Employees :	as of July 1	, 2017			
			Ye	ars of Serv	ice				
Age Group	< 5	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 +	Total
Under 25	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
25 to 30	141		0.0		0 3	0	0.5	# 0.44	143
30 to 35	116	26	. 24	0	0	0	0	0	166
35 to 40	42	22	41	13	0	0.5	0.1	0	118
40 to 45	15	13	19	73	17	0	0	0	137
45 to 50	0	- 6	10	37	34	33	0	0	120
50 to 55	0	4	4	5	13	17	2	0	45
55 to 60		0.	0	1.	O	3	∴ 0 ⊹	0-3	5
60 to 65	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3
Over 65	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	0	0	0	0.3	0:	0.7	0	2
Total ·	345	73	98	130	64	55	2	0	767



		Eligibl	le Active E	FIRE		l, 2017			
filler Stage Carponal III Samuel III in				ars of Serv					
Age Group	< 5	5-9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 +	Total
Under 25	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
25 to 30	45	0.	0	0.4	0	40.		0	45
30 to 35	40	6	13	0	0	0	0	0	59
35 to 40	20	7	29	26	0 -	0	.0	0	82
40 to 45	5	3	17	46	10	1	0	0	82
45 to 50	0	0 -	22	38	20	7	1	0.0	88
50 to 55	0	1	5	20	14	24	4	0	68
55 to 60	0	0.0	0	4	4	13	2	1	24
60 to 65	0	0	0	2	0	4	2	2	10
Over 65	10° 10°	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	119	17	86	136	48	49	9	3	467

		Eligib	le Active E MIS	Employees CELLANI		1, 2017			
			Ye	ars of Ser	/ice				
Age Group	< 5	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35+	Total
Under 25	· 24	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	24
25 to 30	106	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	111
30 to 35	134	35	14	0	0	0	0	0	183
35 to 40	122	63	79	8	0	0.0	0.	0	272
40 to 45	113	52	67	55	8	0	0	0	295
45 to 50	82	48	84	81	41	14	~ 1	0	351
50 to 55	59	42	66	86	34	55	11	1	354
55 to 60	51	32	54	74	40	61	26	3	341
60 to 65	31	16	36	43	13	55	21	6	221
Over 65	13	12	21.	28	14	30	13.	3.0	134
Total	735	304	422	375	150	215	72	13	2,286



Status Reconciliation TOTAL											
	Active	Retired	Disabled	Survivor	Total						
Members on July 1, 2015	3,347	1,963	399	241	5,950						
New Hires	689	0	0	0	689						
Retired	(150)	150	0	0	0						
Terminated	(307)	0	0	0	(307)						
Became Disabled	(57)	0	57	0	0						
Death	(2)	(27)	(10)	39	0						
Dropped Coverage	0	(156)	(14)	(31)	(201)						
Show ups	0	41	15	5	61						
Members on July 1, 2017	3,520	1,971	447	254	6,192						

Status Reconciliation POLICE											
	Active	Retired	Disabled	Survivor	Total						
Members on July 1, 2015	722	447	224	59	1,452						
New Hires	156	0	0	0	156						
Retired	(27)	27	0	0	0						
Terminated	(53)	0	0	0	(53)						
Became Disabled	(31)	0	31	0	0						
Death	0	(5)	(3)	8	0						
Dropped Coverage	0	(41)	(8)	(11)	(60)						
Show ups	0	18	10	2	30						
Members on July 1, 2017	767	446	254	58	1,525						



Status Reconciliation FIRE											
	Active	Retired	Disabled	Survivor	Total						
Members on July 1, 2015	423	350	175	59	1,007						
New Hires	84	. 0	0	0	84						
Retired	(8)	8	0	0	0						
Terminated	(5)	0	0	0	(5)						
Became Disabled	(26)	0	26	0	0						
Death	(1)	(8)	(7)	16	0						
Dropped Coverage	0	(28)	(6)	(5)	(39)						
Show ups	0	8	5	2	15						
Members on July 1, 2017	467	330	193	72	1,062						

	Status R	Reconciliati	011							
	MISCELLANEOUS									
	Active	Retired	Disabled	Survivor	Total					
Members on July 1, 2015	2,202	1,166	0	123	3,491					
New Hires	449	0	0	0	449					
Retired	(115)	115	0	0	0					
Terminated	(249)	0	0	0	(249)					
Became Disabled	0	0	0	0	0					
Death	(1)	(14)	0	15	0					
Dropped Coverage	0	(87)	0	(15)	(102)					
Show ups	. 0	15	0	1	16					
Members on July 1, 2017	2,286	1,195	0	124	3,605					



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Economic Assumptions

1. Measurement Date: July 1, 2017

- 2. Expected Return on Plan Assets: 7.28% per year for funds invested with the CERBT Strategy 1
- 3. Expected Return on City Assets: 3.58% per year, based on the last available rate prior to the actuarial valuation date according to the Bond Buyer GO 20-year Bond Municipal Bond Index
- 4. Blended Discount Rate used for Valuation Purposes: 3.58% per year, net of investment and administrative expenses.

It is assumed the City's funding policy is to pay \$10.0 million to the CERBT annually for the next two years in addition to benefits paid outside of the CERBT.

- 5. Consumer Price Index (CPI): 2.50%
- **6.** Annual Rate of Payroll Growth: For purposes of amortizing the Unfunded Actuarial Liability as a level percent of payroll, a 2.50% annual rate of pay growth is assumed.
- 7. Salary Increase: Representative values of the assumed annual salary increases are shown below.

			Public	Agency Po	lice		
Attained		Samp	le Salary Ii	ncreases at	t each Serv	ice	
Age	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
20	0.1500	0.0610			-		
25	0.1500	0.0610	0.0450	•			
30	0.1470	0.0610	0.0450	0.0450			
35	0.1430	0.0580	0.0450	0.0450	0.0450		
40	0.1310	0.0550	0.0430	0.0450	0.0450	0.0450	
45	0.1190	0.0520	0.0410	0.0430	0.0450	0.0450	0.0450
50	0.1190	0.0490	0.0370	0.0410	0.0430	0.0450	0.0450
55	0.1190	0.0490	0.0330	0.0370	0.0410	0.0430	0.0450
60	0.1190	0.0490	0.0330	0.0330	0.0370	0.0410	0.0430
65	0.1190	0.0490	0.0330	0.0330	0.0330	0.0370	0.0410



			Publi	c Agency F	ire		
Attained		Samp	ole Salary I	ncreases at	t each Serv	ice	
Age	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
20	0.2000	0.0690					
25	0.2000	0.0690	0.0470				
30	0.1980	0.0690	0.0470	0.0440			
35	0.1960	0.0640	0.0470	0.0440	0.0420		
40	0.1680	0.0590	0.0460	0.0440	0.0420	0.0400	
45	0.1410	0.0550	0.0440	0.0420	0.0420	0.0400	0.0380
50	0.1410	0.0510	0.0420	0.0400	0.0390	0.0400	0.0380
55	0.1410	0.0510	0.0410	0.0390	0.0360	0.0370	0.0380
60	0.1410	0.0510	0.0410	0.0380	0.0360	0.0340	0.0360
65	0.1410	0.0510	0.0410	0.0380	0.0360	0.0340	0.0340

			Public Age	ncy Misce	llaneous		
Attained		Samp	ole Salary I	ncreases at	t each Serv	ice	
Age	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
20	0.1220	0.0640					
25	0.1220	0.0640	0.0460				
30	0.1160	0.0640	0.0460	0.0420			
35	0.1090	0.0600	0.0460	0.0420	0.0390		
40	0.1020	0.0550	0.0430	0.0420	0.0390	0.0370	
45	0.0950	0.0520	0.0410	0.0400	0.0390	0.0370	0.0350
50	0.0950	0.0480	0.0390	0.0380	0.0380	0.0370	0.0350
55	0.0950	0.0480	0.0370	0.0360	0.0360	0.0360	0.0350
60	0.0950	0.0480	0.0370	0.0340	0.0340	0.0340	0.0340
65	0.0950	0.0480	0.0370	0.0340	0.0330	0.0330	0.0330

- 8. Changes Since Prior Valuation: Since the last actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2015, the discount rate was updated to 3.58% to reflect the Bond Buyer GO 20-year Bond Municipal Bond Index.
- 9. Rationale for Economic Actuarial Assumptions: The salary increase rates are the assumptions used for participants in CalPERS, and are based on the most recent CalPERS Experience Study completed January 2014 and approved by the CalPERS Board in February 2014. The other economic assumptions are based on our review of the current economic environment.



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Demographic Assumptions

City of Oakland employees participate in the California Public Employers' Retirement System (CalPERS). CalPERS determined the assumed rates of retirement, withdrawal, disabled retirement, and mortality for use in their actuarial valuations. Periodically, CalPERS will review these assumptions through an experience study, the most recent of which was completed in January 2014 and adopted by the CalPERS Board in February 2014. These assumptions will also be used by the City of Oakland in relation to when their employees will receive benefits under their pension plan.

1. Rates of Retirement: Rate of eligible active members retiring within the next year, based on CalPERS assumptions adopted in February 2014. There are separate rates for Police, Fire, and Miscellaneous members.

Police:

	Public Agency Police 3.0% @ 50									
Attained		Sa	mple Retire	ment Rates a	at each Servi	ice				
Age	5	. 10	15	20	25	30	35			
50	0.0500	0.0500	0.0500	0.0990	0.2400	0.3140	0.3790			
51	0.0340	0.0340	0.0340	0.0720	0.1980	0.2600	0.3120			
52	0.0330	0.0330	0.0330	0.0710	0.1980	0.2590	0.3110			
53	0.0390	0.0390	0.0390	0.0800	0.2120	0.2770	0.3330			
54	0.0450	0.0450	0.0450	0.0920	0.2290	0.3000	0.3610			
					-					
55	0.0520	0.0520	0.0520	0.1050	0.2480	0.3230	0.3890			
56	0.0420	0.0420	0.0420	0.0870	0.2210	0.2890	0.3470			
57	0.0430	0.0430	0.0430	0.0880	0.2230	0.2920	0.3510			
58	0.0540	0.0540	0.0540	0.1090	0.2550	0.3330	0.4010			
59	0.0540	0.0540	0.0540	0.1080	0.2530	0.3300	0.3980			
60	0.0600	0.0600	0.0600	0.1210	0.2720	0.3550	0.4280			
61	0.0480	0.0480	0.0480	0.0980	0.2380	0.3110	0.3750			
62	0.0610	0.0610	0.0610	0.1220	0.2740	0.3570	0.4310			
63	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.1150	0.2630	0.3430	0.4140			
64	0.0690	0.0690	0.0690	0.1370	0.2960	0.3850	0.4660			
65	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000			



			Public Agen	cy Police 3.	0% @ 55					
Attained	Sample Retirement Rates at each Service									
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30	35			
50	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0150	0.0860	0.0860			
51	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0340	0.1140	0.1140			
52	0.0260	0.0260	0.0260	0.0260	0.0600	0.1540	0.1540			
53	0.0380	0.0380	0.0380	0.0380	0.0830	0.1880	0.1880			
54	0.0710	0.0710	0.0710	0.0710	0.1510	0.2920	0.2920			
55	0.0610	0.0610	0.0610	0.0610	0.1310	0.2610	0.2610			
56	0.0720	0.0720	0.0720	0.0720	0.1530	0.2950	0.2950			
57	0.0650	0.0650	0.0650	0.0650	0.1400	0.2730	0.2730			
58	0.0660	0.0660	0.0660	0.0660	0.1420	0.2770	0.2770			
59	0.1180	0.1180	0.1180	0.1180	0.2470	0.4370	0.4370			
60	0.0650	0.0650	0.0650	0.0650	0.1380	0.2720	0.2720			
61	0.0840	0.0840	0.0840	0.0840	0.1780	0.3320	0.3320			
62	0.1080	0.1080	0.1080	0.1080	0.2260	0.4050	0.4050			
63	0.0840	0.0840	0.0840	0.0840	0.1780	0.3320	0.3320			
64	0.0840	0.0840	0.0840	0.0840	0.1780	0.3320	0.3320			
65	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

	Public Agency Safety Police 2.7% @ 57										
Attained		Sample Retirement Rates at each Service									
Age	5.	10	15	20	25	30	35				
50	0.0138	0.0138	0.0138	0.0138	0.0253	0.0451	0.0535				
51	0.0123	0.0123	0.0123	0.0123	0.0226	0.0402	0.0477				
52	0.0249	0.0249	0.0249	0.0249	0.0456	0.0812	0.0963				
53	0.0497	0.0497	0.0497	0.0497	0.0909	0.1621	0.1920				
54	0.0662	0.0662	0.0662	0.0662	0.1211	0.2160	0.2559				
55	0.0854	0.0854	0.0854	0.0854	0.1563	0.2785	0.3300				
56	0.0606	0.0606	0.0606	0.0606	0.1108	0.1975	0.2340				
57	0.0711	0.0711	0.0711	0.0711	0.1300	0.2318	0.2747				
58	0.0628	0.0628	0.0628	0.0628	0.1149	0.2049	0.2427				
59	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1735	0.2544	0.3014				
60	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1719	0.2506	0.2969				
61	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1719	0.2506	0.2969				
62	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1719	0.2506	0.2969				
63	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1719	0.2506	0.2969				
64	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1396	0.1719	0.2506	0.2969				
65	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000				



APPENDIX A - PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Fire:

			Public Ag	ency Fire 3.	0% @ 50					
Attained		Sample Retirement Rates at each Service								
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30	35			
50	0.0200	0.0200	0.0200	0.0400	0.1300	0.1920	0.2020			
51	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0230	0.1070	0.1640	0.1730			
52	0.0230	0.0230	0.0230	0.0430	0.1360	0.1980	0.2090			
53	0.0230	0.0230	0.0230	0.0430	0.1350	0.1980	0.2080			
54	0.0270	0.0270	0.0270	0.0480	0.1430	0.2070	0.2180			
55	0.0430	0.0430	0.0430	0.0700	0.1740	0.2440	0.2570			
56	0.0530	0.0530	0.0530	0.0850	0.1960	0.2690	0.2850			
57	0.0540	0.0540	0.0540	0.0860	0.1970	0.2710	0.2870			
58	0.0520	0.0520	0.0520	0.0840	0.1930	0.2680	0.2830			
59	0.0750	0.0750	0.0750	0.1160	0.2390	0.3210	0.3410			
60	0.0650	0.0650	0.0650	0.1020	0.2190	0.2980	0.3160			
61	0.0760	0.0760	0.0760	0.1170	0.2410	0.3240	0.3430			
62	0.0680	0.0680	0.0680	0.1060	0.2240	0.3040	0.3220			
63	0.0270	0.0270	0.0270	0.0490	0.1430	0.2080	0.2200			
64	0.0940	0.0940	0.0940	0.1430	0.2770	0.3660	0.3890			
65	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000			



			Public Age	ncy Fire 3.0	% <u>@</u> 55	igit pillesdiaelig bass				
Attained	Sample Retirement Rates at each Service									
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30	35			
50	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0060	0.0160	0.0690	0.0690			
51	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0060	0.0180	0.0710	0.0710			
52	0.0120	0.0120	0.0120	0.0210	0.0400	0.0980	0.0980			
53	0.0320	0.0320	0.0320	0.0490	0.0850	0.1490	0.1490			
54	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0870	0.1440	0.2170	0.2170			
55	0.0730	0.0730	0.0730	0.1090	0.1790	0.2590	0.2590			
56	0.0640	0.0640	0.0640	0.0970	0.1610	0.2380	0.2380			
57	0.0630	0.0630	0.0630	0.0950	0.1570	0.2330	0.2330			
58	0.0650	0.0650	0.0650	0.0990	0.1630	0.2410	0.2410			
59	0.0880	0.0880	0.0880	0.1310	0.2130	0.2990	0.2990			
60	0.1050	0.1050	0.1050	0.1550	0.2510	0.3440	0.3440			
61	0.1180	0.1180	0.1180	0.1750	0.2820	0.3800	0.3800			
62	0.0870	0.0870	0.0870	0.1280	0.2100	0.2950	0.2950			
63	0.0670	0.0670	0.0670	0.1000	0.1650	0.2430	0.2430			
64	0.0670	0.0670	0.0670	0.1000	0.1650	0.2430	0.2430			
65	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

			Public Agenc	y Safety Fir	e 2.7% @ 57	1					
Attained		Sample Retirement Rates at each Service									
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30	35				
50	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0101	0.0151	0.0170				
51	0.0081	0.0081	0.0081	0.0081	0.0125	0.0187	0.0211				
. 52	0.0164	0.0164	0.0164	0.0164	0.0254	0.0380	0.0428				
53	0.0442	0.0442	0.0442	0.0442	0.0680	0.1018	0.1149				
54	0.0606	0.0606	0.0606	0.0606	0.0934	0.1397	0.1576				
55	0.0825	0.0825	0.0825	0.0825	0.1269	0.1900	0.2143				
56	0.0740	0.0740	0.0740	0.0740	0.1140	0.1706	0.1925				
57	0.0901	0.0901	0.0901	0.0901	0.1387	0.2077	0.2343				
58	0.0790	0.0790	0.0790	0.0790	0.1217	0.1821	0.2054				
59	0.0729	0.0729	0.0729	0.0729	0.1123	0.1681	0.1897				
60	0.1135	0.1135	0.1135	0.1135	0.1747	0.2615	0.2950				
61	0.1136	0.1136	0.1136	0.1136	0.1749	0.2618	0.2953				
62	0.1136	0.1136	0.1136	0.1136	0.1749	0.2618	0.2953				
63	0.1136	0.1136	0.1136	0.1136	0.1749	0.2618	0.2953				
64	0.1136	0.1136	0.1136	0.1136	0.1749	0.2618	0.2953				
65	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000				



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Miscellaneous:

		Pul	olic Agency I	Miscellaneou	ıs 2.7% @ 5	55	
Attained		San	nple Retiren	nent Rates a	t each Servi	ce	
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30	35
50	0.0040	0.0090	0.0140	0.0350	0.0550	0.0950	0.1000
51	0.0020	0.0060	0.0110	0.0300	0.0500	0.0900	0.0940
52	0.0060	0.0120	0.0170	0.0380	0.0590	0.0990	0.1050
53	0.0100	0.0170	0.0240	0.0460	0.0680	0.1100	0.1170
54	0.0320	0.0440	0.0570	0.0850	0.1130	0.1600	0.1730
55	0.0760	0.1010	0.1250	0.1650	0.2050	0.2650	0.2890
56	0.0550	0.0740	0.0930	0.1270	0.1600	0.2140	0.2330
57	0.0500	0.0680	0.0860	0.1180	0.1510	0.2040	0.2220
58	0.0550	0.0740	0.0930	0.1270	0.1610	0.2150	0.2340
59	0.0610	0.0820	0.1020	0.1380	0.1740	0.2290	0.2500
60	0.0690	0.0930	0.1160	0.1540	0.1920	0.2500	0.2730
61	0.0860	0.1130	0.1410	0.1830	0.2250	0.2880	0.3150
62	0.1050	0.1380	0.1710	0.2180	0.2660	0.3340	0.3670
63	0.1030	0.1350	0.1670	0.2150	0.2620	0.3290	0.3610
64	0.1090	0.1430	0.1770	0.2260	0.2750	0.3440	0.3780
65	0.1340	0.1740	0.2150	0.2700	0.3260	0.4010	0.4420
66	0.1470	0.1910	0.2350	0.2940	0.3540	0.4330	0.4770
67	0.1210	0.1580	0.1960	0.2480	0.3000	0.3720	0.4090
68	0.1130	0.1470	0.1820	0.2320	0.2820	0.3520	0.3870
69	0.1170	0.1530	0.1890	0.2400	0.2910	0.3620	0.3980
70	0.1410	0.1830	0.2260	0.2830	0.3410	0.4180	0.4610
71	0.1110	0.1460	0.1800	0.2290	0.2790	0.3480	0.3830
72	0.0760	0.1010	0.1260	0.1660	0.2060	0.2660	0.2910
73	0.1050	0.1370	0.1700	0.2180	0.2650	0.3330	0.3660
74	0.1450	0.1880	0.2320	0.2900	0.3490	0.4270	0.4710
75	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000



				Miscellaneor			1
Attained				nent Rates a			
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30	35
50	0.0040	0.0090	0.0190	0.0290	0.0490	0.0940	0.1000
51	0.0040	0.0090	0.0190	0.0290	0.0490	0.0940	0.1000
52	0.0040	0.0090	0.0200	0.0300	0.0500	0.0950	0.1010
53	0.0080	0.0140	0.0250	0.0360	0.0580	0.1040	0.1100
54	0.0240	0.0340	0.0500	0.0660	0.0910	0.1420	0.1520
55	0.0660	0.0880	0.1150	0.1420	0.1790	0.2410	0.2630
56	0.0420	0.0570	0.0780	0.0980	0.1280	0.1840	0.1990
57	0.0410	0.0570	0.0770	0.0970	0.1280	0.1830	0.1980
58	0.0450	0.0610	0.0830	0.1040	0.1360	0.1920	0.2080
59	0.0550	0.0740	0.0980	0.1230	0.1570	0.2160	0.2350
60	0.0660	0.0880	0.1150	0.1420	0.1790	0.2410	0.2630
61	0.0720	0.0950	0.1240	0.1530	0.1910	0.2550	0.2780
62	0.0990	0.1300	0.1660	0.2020	0.2480	0.3190	0.3500
63	0.0920	0.1210	0.1550	0.1890	0.2330	0.3020	0.3310
64	0.0910	0.1190	0.1530	0.1870	0.2310	0.2990	0.3280
65	0.1220	0.1600	0.2020	0.2450	0.2970	0.3740	0.4120
66	0.1380	0.1790	0.2260	0.2720	0.3290	0.4110	0.4520
67	0.1140	0.1490	0.1890	0.2290	0.2790	0.3540	0.3890
68	0.1000	0.1310	0.1680	0.2040	0.2500	0.3220	0.3530
69	0.1140	0.1490	0.1890	0.2290	0.2790	0.3540	0.3890
70	0.1270	0.1650	0.2090	0.2530	0.3060	0.3850	0.4240
71	0.1130	0.1480	0.1880	0.2280	0.2770	0.3520	0.3870
72	0.1090	0.1430	0.1820	0.2210	0.2700	0.3430	0.3770
73	0.0740	0.0980	0.1280	0.1570	0.1960	0.2600	0.2850
74	0.0510	0.0700	0.0930	0.1160	0.1490	0.2070	0.2250
75	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000



		Pi	blic Agency	Miscellanec	ous 2% @ 62		
Attained		San	ıple Retirem	ent Rates a	t each Servic	'e	
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30	35
52	0.0103	0.0132	0.0160	0.0188	0.0216	0.0244	0.0272
53	0.0131	0.0167	0.0202	0.0238	0.0273	0.0309	0.0345
54	0.0213	0.0272	0.0330	0.0388	0.0446	0.0504	0.0562
55	0.0440	0.0560	0.0680	0.0800	0.0920	0.1040	0.1160
56	0.0303	0.0385	0.0468	0.0550	0.0633	0.0715	0.0798
57	0.0363	0.0462	0.0561	0.0660	0.0759	0.0858	0.0957
58	0.0465	0.0592	0.0718	0.0845	0.0972	0.1099	0.1225
59	0.0578	0.0735	0.0893	0.1050	0.1208	0.1365	0.1523
60	0.0616	0.0784	0.0952	0.1120	0.1288	0.1456	0.1624
61	0.0619	0.0788	0.0956	0.1125	0.1294	0.1463	0.1631
62	0.0968	0.1232	0.1496	0.1760	0.2024	0.2288	0.2552
63	0.0888	0.1131	0.1373	0.1615	0.1857	0.2100	0.2342
64	0.0941	0.1197	0.1454	0.1710	0.1967	0.2223	0.2480
65	0.1287	0.1638	0.1989	0.2340	0.2691	0.3042	0.3393
66	0.1045	0.1330	0.1615	0.1900	0.2185	0.2470	0.2755
67	0.1045	0.1330	0.1615	0.1900	0.2185	0.2470	0.2755
68	0.1045	0.1330	0.1615	0.1900	0.2185	0.2470	0.2755
69	0.1045	0.1330	0.1615	0.1900	0.2185	0.2470	0.2755
70	0.1254	0.1596	0.1938	0.2280	0.2622	0.2964	0.3306
71	0.1254	0.1596	0.1938	0.2280	0.2622	0.2964	0.3306
72	0.1254	0.1596	0.1938	0.2280	0.2622	0.2964	0.3306
73	0.1254	0.1596	0.1938	0.2280	0.2622	0.2964	0.3306
74	0.1254	0.1596	0.1938	0.2280	0.2622	0.2964	0.3306
75	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

2. Rates of Withdrawal: Rate of eligible active members terminating employment (not due to retirement, death, or disability) within the next year, based on CalPERS assumptions adopted in February 2014. There are separate rates for Police, Fire, and Miscellaneous members.

				Public Age				
Attained			and the state of the state of the	rmination l				
Age	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35
20	0.10130	0.02490						
21	0.10130	0.02490						
22	0.10130	0.02490						
23	0.10130	0.02490						
24	0.10130	0.02490						
25	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790					
26	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790					,
27	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790					
28	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790					
29	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790					
30	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090				
31 .	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090				
32	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090				
33	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090				
34	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090				
35	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820			
36	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820			
37	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820			
38	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820			
39	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820			
40	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700		
41	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700		
42	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700		
43 44	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700		
	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700		
45	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700	0.00650	
46	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700	0.00650	
47	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700	0.00650	
48	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700	0.00650	
49	0.10130	0.02490	0.01790	0.01090	0.00820	0.00700	0.00650	
50	0.10130	0.00860	0.00530	0.00270	0.00170	0.00120	0.00090	0.00090
51	0.10130	0.00860	0.00530	0.00270	0.00170	0.00120	0.00090	0.00090
52	0.10130	0.00860	0.00530	0.00270	0.00170	0.00120	0.00090	0.00090
53	0.10130	0.00860	0.00530	0.00270	0.00170	0.00120	0.00090	0.00090
54	0.10130	0.00860	0.00530	0.00270	0.00170	0.00120	0.00090	0.00090



Attained			Samala Ta	Public Ag		sah Samilas		
Attameu	0	5	Sашріс те 10	15	Rates at ea	ich service 25	30	35
20	0.07100	0.01910	uskas (kritstania (kritsia)		2,113,114,14,27,00			
21	0.07100	0.01910						
22	0.07100	0.01910						
23	0.07100	0.01910						
24	0.07100	0.01910						
25	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700					
26	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700					
27	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700			,		
28	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700					
29	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	,				
30	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640				
31	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640				
32	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640				
33	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640				
34	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640				
35	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580			
36	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580			
37	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580			
38	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580		•	
39	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580			
40	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500		
41	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500		
42	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500		
43	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500		
44	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500		
45	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500	0.00480	
46	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500	0.00480	
47	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500	0.00480	
48	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500	0.00480	
49	0.07100	0.01910	0.00700	0.00640	0.00580	0.00500	0.00480	
50	0.07100	0.00290	0.00090	0.00060	0.00050	0.00030	0.00030	0.00030
51	0.07100	0.00290	0.00090	0.00060	0.00050	0.00030	0.00030	0.00030
52	0.07100	0.00290	0.00090	0.00060	0.00050	0.00030	0.00030	0.00030
53	0.07100	0.00290	0.00090	0.00060	0.00050	0.00030	0.00030	0.00030
54	0.07100	0.00290	0.00090	0.00060	0.00050	0.00030	0.00030	0.00030



					Miscellan		46. 1943.) 11. 1943.	
Attained Age	Ō	5	Sample Te 10	rmination 15	Rates at ea	ach Servico 25	30	35
20	0.17420	0.09460						
21	0.17300	0.09310						
22	0.17160	0.09150						
23	0.17020	0.09000						
24	0.16880	0.08840						
25	0.16740	0.08680	0.07490					
26	0.16600	0.08520	0.07330					
27	0.16460	0.08360	0.07160					
28	0.16340	0.08210	0.07000					
29	0.16200	0.08050	0.06850					
30	0.16060	0.07900	0.06680	0.05810				
31	0.15920	0.07740	0.06520	0.05660				
32	0.15780	0.07580	0.06360	0.05490				
33	0.15640	0.07420	0.06200	0.05330				
34	0.15500	0.07260	0.06040	0.05190				
35	0.15370	0.07110	0.05870	0.05030	0.04500	-		
36	0.15240	0.06950	0.05720	0.04870	0.04330			
37	0.15100	0.06800	0.05560	0.04720	0.04170			
38	0.14960	0.06640	0.05390	0.04560	0.04030			
39	0.14820	0.06480	0.05230	0.04410	0.03860		·	
40	0.14680	0.06320	0.05070	0.04240	0.03700	0.03120		
41	0.14540	0.06160	0.04900	0.04090	0.03530	0.02950		
42	0.14410	0.06010	0.04750	0.03940	0.03370	0.02790		
43	0.14280	0.05850	0.04590	0.03780	0.03200	0.02630		
44	0.14140	0.05700	0.04430	0.03620	0.03050	0.02460		
45	0.14000	0.05540	0.04270	0.03470	0.02900	0.02290	0.01610	
46	0.13860	0.05380	0.04100	0.03310	0.02730	0.02120	0.01450	
47	0.13720	0.05220	0.03940	0.03150	0.02570	0.01960	0.01270	
48	0.13580	0.05060	0.03770	0.03000	0.02400	0.01790	0.01100	
49	0.13450	0.04910	0.03610	0.02840	0.02250	0.01630	0.00930	
50	0.13320	0.01160	0.00710	0.00320	0.00210	0.00110	0.00050	0.00010
51	0.13180	0.01130	0.00680	0.00300	0.00190	0.00100	0.00040	0.00010
52	0.13040	0.01090	0.00650	0.00290	0.00180	0.00090	0.00030	0.00010
53	0.12900	0.01050	0.00620	0.00270	0.00160	0.00070	0.00020	0.00010
54	0.12760	0.01010	0.00580	0.00250	0.00140	0.00060	0.00010	0.00010



APPENDIX A - PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

2. Rates of Disability Retirement: Rate of eligible active members becoming disabled within the next year, based on CalPERS assumptions adopted in February 2014. There are separate rates for Police, Fire, and Miscellaneous members.

		Public Age	ency Police		
Attained	Non-	Duty	Duty Related		
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	
20	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	
25	0.0001	0.0001	0.0017	0.0017	
. 30	0.0002	0.0002	0.0048	0.0048	
35	0.0003	0.0003	0.0079	0.0079	
40	0.0004	0.0004	0.0110	0.0110	
45	0.0005	0.0005	0.0141	0.0141	
50	0.0008	0.0008	0.0185	0.0185	
55	0.0013	0.0013	0.0479	0.0479	
60	0.0020	0.0020	0.0602	0.0602	
65	0.0020	0.0020	0.0728	0.0728	
70	0.0020	0.0020	0.0855	0.0855	
75	0.0020	0.0020	0.0984	0.0984	

projecting of the particular and		Public Agency Fire			
Attained	Non-	Non-Duty		Related	
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	
20	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
25	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003	
30	0.0001	0.0001	0.0007	0.0007	
35	0.0001	0.0001	0.0016	0.0016	
40	0.0001	0.0001	0.0030	0.0030	
45	0.0002	0.0002	0.0053	0.0053	
50	0.0005	0.0005	0.0277	0.0277	
55	0.0010	0.0010	0.0409	0.0409	
60	0.0015	0.0015	0.0583	0.0583	
65	0.0015	0.0015	0.0809	0.0809	
70	0.0015	0.0015	0.1096	0.1096	
75	0.0015	0.0015	0.1455	0.1455	



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

	Public Agency Miscellaneous					
Attained	Non-	Non-Duty		Related		
Age	Male	Male Female		Female		
20	0.0002	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000		
25	0.0002	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000		
30	0.0002	0.0002	0.0000	0.0000		
35	0.0005	0.0008	0.0000	0.0000		
40	0.0012	0.0016	0.0000	0.0000		
45	0.0019	0.0022	0.0000	0.0000		
50	0.0021	0.0023	0.0000	0.0000		
55	0.0022	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000		
60	0.0022	0.0014	0.0000	0.0000		
65	0.0021	0.0012	0.0000	0.0000		
70	0.0018	0.0011	0.0000	0.0000		
75	0.0014	0.0012	0.0000	0.0000		

3. Rates of Mortality: The following tables are based on CalPERS assumptions adopted in 2014.

Pre-Retirement:

CalPERS 2014 Non-Work Related Mortality Table for non-work

related deaths before retirement

CalPERS 2014 Work Related Mortality Table for work related deaths

before retirement

Healthy Annuitants: CalPERS 2014 Service Retiree and Beneficiary Mortality Table

Disabled Annuitants: CalPERS 2014 Non-Work Related Disability Retiree Mortality Table

for the mortality of non-work related disabled annuitants

CalPERS 2014 Work Related Disability Retiree Mortality Table for

the mortality of work related disabled annuitants

No adjustments for mortality improvement were made between the date of the table and the valuation date.

To reflect mortality improvements, the healthy mortality (service retirements and beneficiaries) rates include 20 years of projected mortality improvement using Scale BB published by the Society of Actuaries. For disabled mortality, no future improvements are included in these rates.



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

4. Plan Election at Retirement:

Plan	Police & Fire	Miscellaneous
United Healthcare	20%	15%
Kaiser	55%	70%
PERSChoice	15%	10%
PERSCare	10%	5%

Once a retiree waives coverage, we have assumed they do not re-elect a medical plan.

5. Percent of Retirees Electing Coverage:

	Not	Reimbursement
	Reimbursement Eligible	Eligible
Police	N/A	95%
Fire	N/A	95%
Miscellaneous	50%	95%

6. Family Composition:

	Male	Female
Police	70%	70%
Fire	80%	80%
Miscellaneous*	70%	50%

^{*} Miscellaneous spouses participate only after member's death

- 7. **Dependent Age:** For current active employees, males are assumed to be two-years older than their spouses. For current retirees, actual spouse date of birth was used, if known.
- 8. Data Assumption: Police members eligible for Retention I and Retention II benefits were provided by Aon during the conversion process. These two groups are a closed set of retirees. Retention I benefits were assumed to be \$6,000 for any member missing service.
- 9. Changes since Prior Valuation: None
- 10. Rationale for Demographic Actuarial Assumptions: The rates of retirement, withdrawal, disability retirement, and mortality are the assumptions used for participants in CalPERS, and are based on the most recent CalPERS Experience Study completed January 2014 and approved by the CalPERS Board in February 2014. The other demographic assumptions are from the July 1, 2012 report and believed to be reasonable based on our review of the July 1, 2017 data.



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Claim and Expense Assumptions

1. Average Annual Claims and Expense Assumptions: The following claim and expense assumptions are applicable from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 for Retirees and Spouses. Active employees assumed to retire will use a blended curve based on the plan elections shown in the demographic section. Subsequent years' costs are based on the first year cost adjusted with trend.

	United H	ealthcare	Ka	iser	PERS	SCare	PERS	Choice
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
20	\$ 4,553	\$ 7,141	\$ 2,831	\$ 4,440	\$ 3,468	\$ 5,439	\$ 3,115	\$ 4,886
25	4,851	8,605	3,016	5,349	3,695	6,553	3,319	5,888
30	5,258	10,282	3,269	6,392	4,004	7,831	3,598	7,036
35	6,345	11,152	3,944	6,933	4,832	8,493	4,341	7,631
40	8,050	12,115	5,005	7,532	6,131	9,227	5,508	8,290
45	10,648	13,930	6,620	8,660	8,109	10,609	7,286	9,532
50	14,109	16,430	8,771	10,214	10,745	12,513	9,654	11,242
55	18,384	18,996	11,429	11,810	14,001	14,468	12,580	12,999
60	24,199	22,101	15,044	13,740	18,430	16,832	16,559	15,123
64	30,207	25,159	18,779	15,641	23,005	19,161	20,670	17,215
65	3,227	2,692	3,039	2,535	3,622	3,022	3,282	2,738
70	3,898	3,185	3,671	3,000	4,375	3,575	3,965	3,240
75	4,617	3,705	4,348	3,490	5,182	4,159	4,696	3,769

2. Excise Tax: The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act created an excise tax on high cost plans effective in 2022. The tax will be equal to 40% of the value of employer provided coverage in excess of certain thresholds. Since the excise tax is not tax deductible, an adjustment factor is applied to the results assuming a 35% tax rate for non-Kaiser plans and 0% for Kaiser plans, since the tax is expected to be paid by the insurer and then passed on to the employer. For the City of Oakland we have projected the tax thresholds increase at an assumed CPI of 2.5%.

20	18 Excise Tax Thresh Retiree Only	olds *
Pre-65	\$11,850	\$30,950
Post-65	\$10,200	\$27,500

^{*} These thresholds will be updated before the tax takes effect in 2022 and indexed for inflation in future years.



APPENDIX A - PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

3. Annual Trend: The following annual trend rates were applied to the Average Annual Claims and Expense Assumptions to project these costs into the future.

Premiums and Claim Curves:

Beginning July 1,	Pre- Medicare Trend	Medicare Eligible Trend	Beginning July 1,	Pre- Medicare Trend	Medicare Eligible Trend
2017	7.000%	5.000%	2027	5.250%	4.250%
2018	6.825	4.925	2028	5.075	4.175
2019	6.650	4.850	2029	4.900	4.100
2020	6.475	4.775	2030	4.725	4.025
2021	6.300	4.700	2031	4.550	3.950
2022	6.125	4.625	2032	4.375	3.875
2023	5.950	4.550	2033	4.200	3.800
2024	5.775	4.475	2034	4.025	3.725
2025	5.600	4.400	2035	3.850	3.650
2026	5.425	4.325	2036	3.675	3.575
			2037+	3.500	3.500

4. Annual Claim Loads: Claim costs for retirees below age 65 have been loaded for the cost of children enrolled as dependents of eligible retirees. The claim costs for Kaiser and United Healthcare were loaded 7.0% and the claim costs for the CalPERS PPO plans were loaded by 6.4%. This figure is based on the expected cost for children using claim cost data provided by CalPERS to assist participating entities in developing age based claim costs. This data can be found on the CalPERS website in the file entitled "pemhca-implicit-subsidy-data.xls". This assumption implicitly assumes that future retirees will have the same child distribution as the current population.

5. Annual Limits: Assumed to increase at the same rate as medical trend.

6. Lifetime Maximums: Unlimited

7. Medicare: All participants are assumed to enroll in Medicare at age 65.

8. Geography: Implicitly assumed to remain the same as current retirees.

9. Retention I Benefit for Police: 0.00%

10. Assumed Future Increases of the CalPERS Minimum Employer Contribution for PEMHCA Coverage: 3.00%

11. Assumed Future Increases of the Additional Reimbursement for Miscellaneous Employees: 0.00%



APPENDIX A - PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

12. Changes since Last Valuation: The annual claim curves were updated to reflect the most recent claim experience. The health care trends were updated to reflect the current market place. We have reflected the delay in the Cadillac tax from 2020 to 2022.



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Methodology

Actuarial Cost Method: The Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost method is used to determine costs. Under this funding method, a normal cost rate is determined as a level percent of pay for each active plan member and then summed to produce the total normal cost for the City.

The actuarial liability is that portion of the present value of projected benefits that will not be paid by future employer normal costs or member contributions. The difference between this liability and funds accumulated as of the same date is referred to as the unfunded actuarial liability.

The portion of the actuarial liability in excess of OPEB Trust's assets is amortized to develop an additional costs or savings which is added to each year's employer normal cost. Under this cost method, actuarial gains and losses are directly reflected in the size of the unfunded actuarial liability. A rolling 30-year amortization period was used under the Actuarial funding scenario meaning a 30-year amortization continues to be used for Unfunded Actuarial Liability. The amortization method is a level percent of expected pay amortization method, assuming a 2.5% annual increase in pay due to inflation.

Asset Valuation Method: Assets are valued at market value.

Claims Method: The claim cost curves were developed based on the experience of the entire CalPERS population, using data provided by CalPERS. This data can be found on the CalPERS website in the file entitled "pemhca-implicit-subsidy-data.xls". The data provided claim experience for all covered members (employees/retirees, covered spouses, and covered children) by age, PEMHCA rating area, and benefit plan. We used this data to develop the expected cost by age for the covered membership for the specific benefit plans and PEMHCA rating areas used by the covered City of Oakland population. The cost of covered children was converted to a load on the non-Medicare eligible retirees.

We have reflected the "true" cost of coverage for retirees. The "true" cost of coverage for retirees age 55-64 is greater than the cost of the same coverage for the typical group of active employees. Employers who treat the cost as being the same often are providing implicit subsidies for retirees. The cost difference, implicit subsidy, is equal to the "true" cost of providing retiree medical coverage minus the average active/retiree cost.

We have reflected the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act created an excise tax on high cost plans effective in 2022. The tax will be equal to 40% of the value of employer provided coverage in excess of certain thresholds. However, this report does not reflect future changes in benefits, subsidies, penalties, or administrative costs that may be required as a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, related legislation, or regulations.

Changes since Last Valuation: There were no changes to actuarial methods since the prior valuation.



APPENDIX B - SUBSTANTIVE PLAN PROVISIONS

A summary of the Post-Retirement Health Plan benefits and contribution provisions are as follows.

Eligibility

Full-time active employees are automatically eligible to receive postretirement medical coverage wilwth CalPERS after retiring directly from the City.

The following summarizes eligibility for a pension benefit from the City of Oakland:

Police

- o Tier One (Classic Members): 3% @ age 50
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 50
- O Tier Two (New hires as of February 8, 2012): 3% @ age 55
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 50
- o Tier Three (New hires as of January 1, 2013): 2.7% @ age 57
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 50
- o Disability: At least five years of service for non-duty disability, and no requirement for line of duty disability
- o Death: At least five years of service for non-duty death, and no requirement for duty death

Fire

- o Tier One (Classic Members): 3% @ age 50
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 50
- o Tier Two (New hires as of February 8, 2012): 3% @ age 55
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 50
- o Tier Three (New hires as of January 1, 2013): 2.7% @ age 57
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 50
- o Disability: At least five years of service for non-duty disability, and no requirement for line of duty disability
- o Death: At least five years of service for non-duty death, and no requirement for duty death

Miscellaneous

- o Tier One (Classic Members): Classic Formula 2.7% @ age 55
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 50
- o Tier Two (New hires as of June 8, 2012): Classic Formula 2.5% @ age 55
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 50
- o Tier Three (New hires as of January 1, 2013): New Formula 2% @ age 62
 - Employees are eligible to retire at age 52
- o Disability: At least five years of service for non-duty disability, and no requirement for duty disability
- o Death: At least five years of service for non-duty death, and no requirement for duty death



APPENDIX B – SUBSTANTIVE PLAN PROVISIONS

Active members are vested after five years of service, however must retire directly from the City to be eligible for a post-retirement health benefit.

A police or fire employee who becomes disabled in the line of duty will be provided health insurance coverage immediately upon disablement.

An employee who becomes disabled outside of work and has completed 5 years of CalPERS credited service will be provided health insurance coverage upon disablement.

Employees must retire within 120 days of separation to be eligible for CalPERS health benefits. If retired within 120 days of separation, employees can enroll in CalPERS health benefits after retirement even if not enrolled in active coverage while working. If the retiree declines enrollment when first eligible, they still have the opportunity to enroll themselves and/or eligible dependents in the future. If the retirement date is more than 120 days after separation from employment, the retiree is not eligible for coverage at retirement or at any future date.

Spouse and Dependent Coverage

If a retiree is eligible for health insurance coverage, the Plan will also provide health coverage for the retiree's spouse and/or dependent children. This coverage will continue for as long as the spouse is alive.

If an active employee who has met the requirements to retire with health insurance coverage dies before retiring, the Plan will provide health coverage for the spouse and/or dependent children for as long as the spouse is alive.

Benefits

The City of Oakland Plan is a single employer plan that provides retirees and dependents the same medical benefits that are available to active employees for participants under age 65. Once a participant turns age 65, the Plan provides benefits that coordinate with Medicare. Participants that are eligible for premium free Medicare Part A must sign up for Medicare Part B as soon as they become eligible or CalPERS coverage will be cancelled. The City participates in the CalPERS health program, referred to as PEMHCA (Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act).



APPENDIX B – SUBSTANTIVE PLAN PROVISIONS

Participant Cost Sharing Contributions

Police

The City contributes up to a fixed amount per month to CalPERS based on the level of coverage elected by the retiree. The 2017 and 2018 fixed amounts are shown in the table below. The fixed amount increases annually until it is equal to 100% of the employer contribution for active employees (or the Kaiser Bay Area premium). Assembly Bill 2544 states that beginning in 2008, retiree contributions should be equal to the number of years an agency has been in the PEMHCA program, multiplied by 5% of the current employer contribution for actives, but not increased more than \$100 per year. As of 2017, the City of Oakland has been in the PEMHCA program for 27 years. If a member enrolls in a lower cost plan, ie Medicare, the employer contributions will be adjusted downward and will not exceed the CalPERS medical premium.

Monthly Fixed Employer Contribution				
	2017	2018		
Single	\$ 733.39	\$ 779.86		
Two-party	\$ 1,371.01	\$ 1,471.01		
Multi-party	\$ 1,483.80	\$ 1,583.80		

Survivors of uniformed officers killed in the line of duty have their full premium paid by the City.

Retention I: Police officers who had at least 21 years of City service as of June 30, 1996 receive an additional fixed annual benefit during retirement, as outlined below.

Years of Service	Annual Retiree	Annual Survivor
as of 6/30/1996	Benefit	Benefit
21	\$1,500	\$750
22	\$2,000	\$1,000
23	\$2,500	\$1,250
24	\$3,000	\$1,500
25+	\$7,000	\$4,665

These amounts may only be used to pay for post-retirement benefits such as, health insurance premiums, dental insurance premiums, life insurance premiums, disability insurance premiums, vision insurance premiums, prescription drug insurance premiums, and eligible expenses under a qualified dependent care program. Retirees and survivors are eligible to receive these benefits for life. If an officer is terminated for cause then he/she is no longer eligible.



APPENDIX B – SUBSTANTIVE PLAN PROVISIONS

Retention II: A Closed group of officers are eligible for the following additional benefit.

a) Eligible employees, upon retirement, and depending upon the number of years of service at retirement, will receive 60% - 100% of the difference in the cost of the Bay Area Kaiser medical premium for the coverage level selected, minus the dollar amount contributed by the City directly to PERS on behalf of the retiree. The benefit schedule is as follows.

Years of Service	Annual Reimbursement
as of 6/30/1996	Percentage
21	60%
22	70%
23	80%
24	90%
25+	100%

b) Eligible employees, upon retirement and depending upon the number of years of service at retirement, will receive the same 60% - 100% of the premium cost of dental coverage. The dental premium for 2017 is \$178.12 per month.

Fire

The City contributes up to a fixed amount per month to CalPERS based on the level of coverage elected by the retiree. The 2017 and 2018 fixed amounts are shown in the table below. The fixed amount increases annually until it is equal to 100% of the employer contribution for active employees (or the Kaiser Bay Area premium). Assembly Bill 2544 states that beginning in 2008, retiree contributions should be equal to the number of years an agency has been in the PEMHCA program, multiplied by 5% of the current employer contribution for actives, but not increased more than \$100 per year. As of 2017, the City of Oakland has been in the PEMHCA program for 27 years. If a member enrolls in a lower cost plan, ie Medicare, the employer contributions will be adjusted downward and will not exceed the CalPERS medical premium.

	Monthly Fixed Employer Contribution			
	2017	2018		
Single	\$ 733.39	\$ 779.86		
Single Two-party	\$ 1,43.90	\$ 1,534.90		
Multi-party	\$ 1,564.14	\$ 1,664.14		

Survivors of uniformed officers killed in the line of duty have their full premium paid by the City.



APPENDIX B – SUBSTANTIVE PLAN PROVISIONS

Miscellaneous

The City contributes a fixed copayment per retiree per month to CalPERS. The fixed copayment is equal to \$128 per month in 2017 and \$133 per month in 2018. The copayment will be adjusted annually by CalPERS to reflect the increase in the medical care component of the Consumer Price Index.

Employees with at least 10 years of City service at retirement are eligible for an additional reimbursement from the City up to \$425.42 per retiree per month. The copayment and reimbursement combined cannot exceed the CalPERS medical premium.

Medical Premiums: Initial premiums for this valuation as of July 1, 2017 are based on a blend of the 2017 and the 2018 PEMHCA premium rates shown below:

2017 Monthly PEMHCA Premium Rates					
Plan	Retiree Only	Retiree + 1			
Pre-65					
United Healthcare	\$ 1,062.26	\$ 2,124.52			
Kaiser	733.39	1,466.78			
PERSCare	932.39	1,864.78			
PERSChoice	830.30	1,660.60			
Post-65					
United Healthcare	324.21	648.42			
Kaiser	300.48	600.96			
PERSCare	389.76	779.52			
PERSChoice	353.63	707.26			

2018 Monthly PEMHCA Premium Rates					
Plan	Retiree Only	Retiree + 1			
Pre-65					
United Healthcare	\$ 1,371.84	\$ 2,743.68			
Kaiser	779.86	1,559.72			
PERSCare	882.45	1,764.90			
PERSChoice	800.27	1,600.54			
Post-65					
United Healthcare	330.76	661.52			
Kaiser	316.34	632.38			
PERSCare	382.30	764.60			
PERSChoice	345.97	691.94			



Benefit Categories	Kaiser HMO	UnitedHealthcare HMO
General Plan Information		
Annual Deductible		
- Individual	\$ 0	\$0
– Family	1 (\$1 × i)\$0 1	* - 1-11 \$0 44 44 4
Coinsurance	100%	100%
Office Visit / Exam	\$15 copay	10 \$15 copay
Oulpatient Specialist Visit	\$15 copay	\$15 copay
Annual Out-of-Pocket Limit		
- Individual	\$1,500 (does not include Rx; see EOC for more detailed coverage)	\$1,500 (does not include Rx; see EOC for more detailed coverage)
- Family	\$3,000 (does not include RX; see EOC for more detailed coverage)	\$3,000 (ddes not include FX) see EOC for items not included incepay max)
Lifetime Plan Maximum	Unlimited	Unlimited
Preventive Services		
• Well Child Care	100%	100%
Immunizations	100%	100% 1.00%
Well Woman Exams	100%	100%
Mammograms	1100% (some procedures may require a copay)	100%
Adult Periodic Exams w/Preventive Tests	100%	100%
Diagnostic X-Ray and Lab Tests	100% (some procedures may require a copay)	100%
Maternity Care		
Pregnancy and Maternity Care (Pre-Natal Care)	100%	100%
Inpatient Hospital Services		
Inpatient Hospitalization	100%	100%
Pre-Authorization of Services Required	Yes	Yes
Semi-Private Room & Board, including Services and Supplies	100%	100%
Surgical Services	Adelegation of the control of the co	
Outpatient Facility Charge	\$15 copay	100%
Emergency Room	\$50 copay. waived if admitted.	\$50 copay



Benefit Categories	Kaiser HMO	UnitedHealthcare HMO
Ambulance • Air	100%	100%
Ground	100%	100%
UrgentCare	\$15 copay	615 copay
Mental Health Benefits		
Inpatient Care	100% (see EOC for more detailed coverage)	100% (see EOO for more detailed: coverage)
Outpatient Care	\$15 copay/indiv; \$7 copay/group (See EOC for more detailed	\$15 copay(see EOC for more detailed coverage)
Substance Abuse	1577.SULT 1:00 CONSERVATION (1981.Q	
Inpatient Hospitalization	100% 4 1 100%	100%
Inpatient Detoxification Services	(see EOC for more detailed coverage)	(see EOC for more detailed coverage)
Outpatient Services	\$15 capay /indiv, \$5 capay /group (See EQC for more detailed coverage)	\$15 copay (see SQC for more detailed coverage)
Prescription Drugs		
Prescription Drug Annual Out-of- Pocket Limit/Individual	,55,650 (in addition	i 95,050 (in addition to Medical OOP limit)
Prescription Drug Annual Out-of- Pocket Limit/ Family	\$11,300 (mail-order OOP:\$1,000/family in addition to medical OOP)	\$11,300 (mail-order OOP:\$1,000/family in addition to medical OOP)
- Generic	9:44 'S5 copay	\$5 copay (managed by optimits)
- Brand (Formulary/Preferred)	\$20 copay	\$20 copay (managed by OptumRx)
- Brand (Non-Formulary/Non-preferred)	\$20 capay	\$50 copay (managed by OptumRx)
- Number of Day's Supply	30 days	30 days
Mail Order		
- Mail Order Mandatory	Yes	Yes
– Generic	\$10 copey (\$1,000 COP maxifamily, Included in Rx COP (lmit) excludes non- preferred brands)	\$10 copay (manager by Optumari (\$1,100 COP: may/family, included in RX:OOP ilmit: excludes non- preferred brands)
 Brand (Formulary/Preferred) 	\$40 copay (\$1,000 OOP max/family; included in Rx OOP limit; excludes non- preferred brands)	\$40 copay (managed by OptumRx) (\$1,000 OOP max/family; included in Rx OOP limit; excludes non- preferred brands)
- Brand (Non-Formulary / Non-Preferred)		\$100 copey (managed by ⊙piumRx)
- Number of Days Supply	100 days (30-day supply for certain drugs)	90 days



${\bf APPENDIX~B-SUBSTANTIVE~PLAN~PROVISIONS}$

Benefit Categories	Kaiser HMO	UnitedHealthcare HMO
Other Services and Supplies	* Bright national community of the commu	
Durable Medical Equipment & Prosthetic Devices	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% (prior	100%
Home Health Care	authorization required; custodial care not covered)	100% (\$15 copay/ visit for Physical, Occupational or Speech therapy at home) prior authorization required; custodial care not covered
Skilled Nursing or Extended Care Facility	100%, up to 100. days/dalyear	100% up to 100 days/cal year
Hospice Care	100%	100%
	\$15 Copay (when medically	\$15 coppy upic 20.
Chiropractic Services	necessary); up to 20 visits/cal year combined with Acupuncture.	visits/call year combined with Acupuncture
Acupuncture	\$15 copay (when medically necessary); up to 20 visits/cal year;	\$15 copay up to 20 visits/cal year; combined
Physical Company	combined with Chiropractic	with Chiropractic
Vision		
Exam Copay	100%	100% (members 18+ years one visit/year
Exam Benefit Frequency	12 months (4)	in 12 months
Hearing		POP DESERVE A FERRENCE FRANCISCO CONTRACTOR
Screening	24 4 4 00%	1100%,
• Ald(s)	\$1,000 max every 36 months for both ears	\$1,000 max every 36 months for both ears
Infertility		
Diagnosis	60%; see Plan Certificate (6) more details	50% of covered - charges, see Plan Cattlicate for more details
• Treatment	50%; see Plan Certificate for more details	50% of covered charges; see Plan Certificate for more details
Outpatient Rehabilitative Therapy Services		
Physical	\$15 copay	\$15 copay
Occupational.	\$15 copay (18)	\$15.copey 4
• Speech	\$15 copay	\$15 copay



	PE	PERS Choice		
Benefit Categories	In-Network	Out-of-Network		
General Plan Information				
Annual Deductible				
- Individual	\$500 (not transferable between plans)	\$500 (nottransferable between plans)		
- Family	\$1,000 (not transferable spetween plans)	\$1,000 (not transferable paween plans)		
Coinsurance	80%	60%		
Office Visit/ Exam	\$20 copáv	Britania (in 1860), in the GOV (in 1961), in the		
Outpatient Specialist Visit	\$20 copay	60%		
Annual Out-of-Pocket Limit	Deductible included in COP til	nits		
- Individual	\$3,000 for Coinsurance; \$5,150 for Medical service including Coinsurance (does include Rx)	es		
–⊢ Family	\$6,000 for Colneurance, \$10,300 for Medical service including Colneurance (does include Rx).	serias kaltaritetari (1965), kaltaritetari		
Lifetime Plan Maximum	Unlimited	Unlimited		
Preventive Services				
Well Child Care	100% (some restrictions appl see EOC)	y; 60%		
Immunizations	100% (some restrictions appl	90% 60% 60%		
Well Woman Exams	100% (some restrictions appl see EOC)	y; 60%		
Mammograms	2 80% TE	60%		
Adult Periodic Exams w/Preventive Tests	100% (some restrictions appl see EOC)	y; 60%		
Diagnostic X-Ray and Lab Tests	1 7 80%	(A) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B		
Maternity Care	CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS AND ADD			
Pregnancy and Maternity Care (Pre-Natal Care)	are) 1 80% 1	60%		
Inpatient Hospital Services		SMORRESTERMS OF THE PROPERTY TO THE PROPERTY TO THE PROPERTY THE PROPE		
Inpatient Hospitalization	80%	60%		
Pre-Authorization of Services Required	Yes	Yes		
Semi-Private Room & Board, including Services and Supplies	80%	60%		
Surgical Services				
Outpatient Facility Charge	80% (šervices & supplies)imite centaln procedures)	d for \$60% (benefit limited to \$860/ visil)		



Benefit Categories	PERS Choice		
	In-Network	Out-of-Network	
Emergency Room	\$50 copay; waived if admitted; 80% for ER services rendered	\$50 copay; waived if admitted; 80% for ER services rendered	
Ambulance			
• Air ()	80%	80%	
Ground	80%	80%,	
Urgent Care	\$20 copay/physician services; 80% for other services rendered	60%	
Mental Health Benefits			
Inpatient Care	80%	60%	
Outpatient Care	\$20 copay/office visit	60%	
Substance Abuse Inpatient Hospitalization	80%	60%	
Outpatient Services	\$20 copay/office visit	60%	
Prescription Drugs			
Annual Out-of-Pocket Limit/ Individual	\$2,000 (\$1,000 OOP/member required for mail order; in addition to medial OOP limit)	No limit	
Annual Out-of-Pocket Limit/Family	\$4,000 (\$1,000 GOP/member required for mail order, in addition to medial OOP limit)	No limit 1999	
Retail	ENVERTMENT DER NICKSENSENSEN VERFORT DER ANTONIONEN PROPRENT VERFORD VERFORD DER NICKSENSEN DER NICKSENSEN DER		
- Generic	\$5 copay (managed by OptumRx)	Not covered.	
Brand (Formulary/Preferred)	\$20 copay (managed by OptumRx)	Not covered	
- Brand (Non-Formulary / Non-Preferred)	" \$50 copay (managed by OptumRx) +	Not covered 1	
- Number of Days Supply	30 days	Not covered	
Mâil Order	\$10 cappy (managed by	Not severed	
- Generic	\$10 copay (managed by OptumRx) (\$1,000 OOP max/ person; included in Rx OOP; excludes non-preferred brands)	Not covered	
- Brand (Formulary/Preferred)	\$40 copay (managed by CoptumRx) (\$1,000 cope max/ person included in Rx cope excludes non-preferred brands)	Not covered and	
Brand (Non-Formulary / Non-Preferred)	\$100 copay (managed by OptumRx)	Not covered	
Number of Days Supply	90days	Not covered	



	PERS Choice						
Benefit Categories	In-Network	Out-of-Network					
Other Services and Supplies		J					
Durable Medical Equipment & Prosthetic Devices	80% (pre-certification required to required to required to require (in the requirement)	go% (pra-certification required (or equipment)					
Home Health Care	80% (up to 45 visits/cal year; pre-authorization required)	60% (up to 45 visits/cal year; pre-authorization required)					
Skilled Nursing or Extended Care Facility	#80% first 10 days: 70% next 90 days (pre-certification fequited) Up to 100 days/cal year)	60% (pre-certification required) up to 100 days/calysar)					
Hospice Care	80%	80%					
Chiropractic Services	3. \$15 copay, combined with a second combined and a second combined combined with a second combined co	combined with Acupuncture up to 20 visits/cal year					
Acupuncture	\$15 copay; combined with Chiropractic; up to 20 visits/cal year	60% combined with Chiropractic; up to 20 visits/cal year					
Hearing							
Screening	80%	60%					
• Ald(s)	80% .!(\$1,000 every 36 irronths))	60% + \$2(\$1,000 every 36 months)					
Infertility							
Diagnosis	Not covered	Not covered					
Treatment	Not covered	Not covered					
Outpatient Rehabilitative Therapy Services							
Physical	80% up to 24 visits/cal year	60% up to 24 visits/cal year					
Occupational	80% up to 24 visits/caliyear	80% up to 24 visits/calyear					
Speech	80% up to 24 visits/cal year	60% up to 24 visits/cal year					



	PEF	RSCare
Benefit Categories	In-Network	Out-of-Network
General Plan Information		
Annual Deductible		
- Individual	\$500 (not transferable between plans)	\$500 (nottransferable between plans)
- Family	\$1,000 (not trainsferable Are	\$1,000 (nottransferable
	between plans)	between plans)
• Coinsurance	90%	60%
Office Visit/ Exam Outpatient Separation Visit	\$20 coppy	60%
Outpatient Specialist Visit Annual Out-of-Pocket Limit	\$20 copay Dequotible included in GCP limits	00%
- Individual	\$2,000 for Coinsurance;	No limit
	\$5,150 for Medical services including Coinsurance (does no include Rx)	
- Family	\$4,000 for Coinsurance; \$10,300 for Medical services including Coinsurance (does no include Rx)	No limit
Lifetime Plan Maximum	Unlimited	Unlimited
Preventive Services		
Well Child Care	100% (some restrictions apply; see EOC)	60%
• Immunizations	100% (some restrictions apply) At see EQC) (1871)	60%
Well Woman Exams	100% (some restrictions apply; see EOC)	60%
Mammograms	90%	60%
Adult Periodic Exams w/Preventive Tests	100% (some restrictions apply; see EOC)	60%
Diagnostic X-Ray and Lab Tests	90%	60%
Maternity Care	The Control of the Co	
Pregnancy and Maternity Care (Pre-Natal Care)	90%	60%)
Inpatient Hospital Services		
Inpatient Hospitalization		60%
Pre-Authorization of Services Required	Yes	Yes
Semi-Private Room & Board, including Services and Supplies	90%	60%
Surgical Services		
Outpatient Facility Charge	90%	60% (benefit limited to \$350 per visit)



	PERSCare
Benefit Categories	In-Network Out-of-Network
Emergency Room	\$50 copay/ER Room; \$50 copay/ER Room; 90% all other services 90% all other services
Ambulance	
• Air	90% 90%
Ground	4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190% 4. 190
UrgentCare	\$20 copay/physician services; 60% 90% for other services rendered
Mental Health Benefits	
Inpatient Care	90% after \$250 admit fee 60% after \$250 admit fee
Outpatient Care	\$20 eopay/office visit: \$0% facility \$0%
Substance Abuse	
Inpatient Hospitalization	90% after \$250 admit fee 60% after \$250 admit fee
Outpatient Services	\$20 copay/office visit 60% 90% facility
Prescription Drugs	
Annual Out-of-Pocket Limit/ Individual	\$2,000 (\$1,000 OOP/ No limit member required for Mail Order; in addition to Medical OOP limit)
Annual Out-of-Pocket Limit/Family	\$4,000 (\$1,000 OOP/: No limit
	addition to Medical OOP (init)
Retail Generic	*B5icopay (managed by OptumRx) Not covered
— Brand (Formulary/Preferred)	\$20 copay (managed by OptumRx) Not covered
- Brand (Non-Formulary / Non-Preferred)	r \$50 copay (managed by OptumRx) Not acvered
- Number of Days Supply	34 days N/A
Mall Order	
- Generic	\$10 copay (managed by Not covered OptumRx) (\$1,000 OOP max/ person; included in Rx OOP; excludes non-preferred brands)
Brand (Formulary/Preferred)	\$40 copay (managed by) OptumRx) (\$1,000.00P max) Person: included in Rx QOP excludes non-preferred brands)
- Brand (Non-Formulary / Non-Preferred)	\$100 copay (managed by Not covered OptumRx)
 Number of Days Supply 	90days N/A



APPENDIX B – SUBSTANTIVE PLAN PROVISIONS

	PERSCare				
Benefit Categories	In-Network	Out-of-Network			
Other Services and Supplies					
Durable Medical Equipment & Prosthetic Devices	90% (pre-certification required for equipment \$1,000+)	160% (pre-certification required for equipment 51,000+)			
Home Health Care		A STATE OF THE STA			
Skilled Nursing or Extended Care Facility	90% filst (10 days: 80% hext 470 days (ore-cerification required) up (or180 days/cal year)	60% (pre-certification required: up(o/180 days/ca) (year)			
Hospice Care					
Chiropractic Services	with Acupuncture up to 20 visits/cal year	60% :			
Acupuncture	\$15 copay; combined with Chiropractic; up to 20 visits/cal year	60% combined with Chiropractic; up to 20 visits/cal year			
Hearing					
Screening	90%	60%			
• Aid(s)	# 90% # (\$1,000 every 36 months)	60% (\$1,000 every 36 months)			
Infertility					
• Diagnosis	Not covered a second	: "Not covered			
• Treatment	Not covered	Not covered			
Outpatient Rehabilitative Therapy Services					
• Physical	90%	60%			
Occupational	90%	60%			
• Speech	.90% up to 24 visits/cal year	60% up to 24 visits/bai year			

Changes since Last Valuation

None



APPENDIX C – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

1. Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting costs, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disablement and retirement; changes in compensation and Government provided benefits; rates of investment earnings and asset appreciation or depreciation; procedures used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets; characteristics of future entrants for Open Group Actuarial Cost Methods; and other relevant items.

2. Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of Plan benefits and expenses and for developing an actuarially equivalent allocation of such value to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Liability.

3. Actuarial Gain (Loss) (Called Actuarial Experience Gain and Loss)

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.

4. Actuarial Liability

That portion, as determined by a particular Actuarial Cost Method, of the Actuarial Present Value of projected benefits which will not be paid by future Normal Costs.

5. Actuarial Present Value (Present Value)

The value of an amount or series of amounts payable or receivable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application of a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions. For purposes of this standard, each such amount or series of amounts is:

- a. adjusted for the probable financial effect of certain intervening events (such as changes in compensation levels, Social Security, marital status, etc.),
- b. multiplied by the probability of the occurrence of the event (such as survival, death, disability, termination of employment, etc.) on which the payment is conditioned, and
- c. discounted according to an assumed rate (or rates) of return to reflect the time value of money.

As a simple example: assume you owe \$100 to a friend one year from now. Also, assume there is a 1% probability of your friend dying over the next year, in which case you won't be obligated to pay him. If the assumed investment return is 10%, the actuarial present value is:

		Probability		1	.
Amount		of Payment		(1+Discoun	it Rate)
\$100	x	(101)	x	1/(1+.1)	= \$90



80

APPENDIX C – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

6. Actuarial Valuation

The determination as of a valuation date of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for the Plan.

7. Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a Plan, as used by the actuary for the purpose of an Actuarial Valuation. The purpose of an Actuarial Value of Assets is to smooth out fluctuations in market values. This way, long-term costs are not distorted by short-term fluctuations in the market.

8. Amortization

The portion of the Plan contribution which is designed to pay interest on and to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Liability.

9. Discount Rate

The estimated long-term interest yield on the investments that are expected to be used to finance the payment of benefits, with consideration given to the nature and mix of current and expected investments and the basis used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets.

10. Funded Ratio

The Actuarial Value of Assets expressed as a percentage of the Actuarial Liability.

11. Normal Cost

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of the Plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.

12. Per Person Cost Trend, i.e., Healthcare Cost Trend Rate

The rate of change in per capita health claims costs over time as a result of factors such as medical inflation, utilization of healthcare services, plan design, and technological developments.

13. Projected Unit Credit Actuarial Cost Method

A method under which the benefits (projected or un-projected) of each individual included in an actuarial valuation are allocated by a consistent formula to valuation years.

14. Unfunded Actuarial Liability

The excess of the Actuarial Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.



APPENDIX D - ABBREVIATION LIST

Actuarial Liability (AL)

Actuarial Valuation Report (AVR)

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

Coordination of Benefits (COB)

Deductible and Coinsurance (DC)

Durable Medical Equipment (DME)

Employee Assistance Program (EAP)

Employee Benefits Division (EBD)

Fiscal Year Ending (FYE)

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB)

Hospital Emergency Room (ER)

In-Network (INN)

Inpatient (IP)

Line of Duty Act (LODA)

Medicare Eligible (ME)

Net Other Postemployment Benefit (NOO)

Non-Medicare Eligible (NME)

Not Applicable (NA)

Office Visit (OV)

Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB)

Out-of-Network (OON)

Out-of-Pocket (OOP)

Outpatient (OP)

Pay-as-you-go (PAYGo)

Per Person Per Month (PPPM)

Pharmacy (Rx)

Preferred Provider Organization (PPO)

Primary Care Physician (PCP)

Specialist Care Provider (SCP)

Summary Plan Description (SPD)

Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)

Urgent Care (UC)





City of Oakland Postretirement Health Insurance Plan

GASB Statement No. 74/75
Report For the Fiscal Year
Commencing July 1, 2017 and
Ending June 30, 2018

Produced by Cheiron June 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u> <u>Page</u>
Letter of Transmittal i
Summary of Key Results1
Determination of Discount Rate
Projection of Total OPEB Liability
Note Disclosures5
Required Supplementary Information
Employer Reporting Amounts8
<u>Appendices</u>
Appendix A – Membership Information
Appendix B – Actuarial Assumptions and Methods
Appendix C – Summary of Substantive Plan Provisions
Appendix D – Glossary of Terms
Appendix E – Development of Discount Rate





Via Email and U.S. Mail

June 14, 2018

Ms. Katano Kasaine, Treasurer City of Oakland Finance and Management Agency Lionel J. Wilson Building 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5330 Oakland, CA 94612-2093

Re: 6/30/2018 GASB 74/75 Reporting of the City of Oakland Postretirement Health Insurance Plan

Dear Katano:

The purpose of this report is to provide accounting and financial reporting information under Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement Nos. 74 and 75 for the City of Oakland Postretirement Health Insurance Plan (Plan). This information includes:

- Total Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) Liability as of the measurement date;
- Calculation of the Net OPEB Liability at the discount rate as well as discount rates 1% higher and lower than the discount rate and at trend rates 1% higher and lower than the current trend assumptions;
- Changes in the Net OPEB Liability;
- Schedule of Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Resources; and
- Calculation of OPEB Expense.

This report is for the use of the City of Oakland (City) and their auditors in preparing financial reports in accordance with applicable law and accounting requirements. Other users of this report are not intended users as defined in the Actuarial Standards of Practice, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to such other users. This report is not appropriate for other purposes, including the measurement of funding requirements for the City of Oakland Postretirement Health Insurance Plan.

In preparing our report, we relied on information, some oral and some written, supplied by Plan Administrators. This information includes, but is not limited to, the plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23.

The membership data, actuarial assumptions, and plan provisions are the same as were described in the July 1, 2017 Actuarial Valuation Report and that report should be referenced for additional information. Since the intent of this report is to provide the accounting and financial accounting information based upon that valuation, we maintained the same membership and actuarial assumptions.

Ms. Katano Kasaine City of Oakland June 14, 2018

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the assumptions; changes in assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable laws.

To the best of our knowledge, this report and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices that are consistent with the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this report. This report does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

Sincerely, Cheiron

Margaret Tempkin, FSA, EA, MAAA Principal Consulting Actuary Kathleen Weaver, FSA, EA, MAAA Consulting Actuary

Kathe Thean

cc. Michael Schionning, FSA



SUMMARY OF KEY RESULTS

This report is the first report under GASB Statements Nos. 74 and 75. The reporting date for the City of Oakland Postretirement Health Insurance Plan presented in this report is June 30, 2018. Measurements as of the reporting date are based on the fair value of assets and the Total OPEB Liability as of the valuation date, July 1, 2017. Measurements as of the beginning of the year presented in this report are based on the actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2015 rolled forward to June 30, 2016 using standard actuarial roll forward techniques.

The table below provides a summary of the key results during this reporting period ending on June 30, 2018.

	Table 1			
	Summary of Collect	ive	Results	
	Reporting Date Measurement Date Valuation Date		6/30/2018 6/30/2017 7/1/2017	6/30/2017 6/30/2016 7/1/2015
1. 2. 3.	Net OPEB Liability Deferred Outflows Deferred Inflows	\$	849,471,239 0 254,863,650	\$ 1,092,632,843 0 0
5b.	Net Impact on Statement of Net Position (1 2. + 3.) Explicit Contributions Subsequent to Measurement Date Implicit Contributions Subsequent to Measurement Date Trust Contributions Subsequent to Measurement Date	\$	1,104,334,889 21,157,109 6,067,681 10,000,000	\$ 1,092,632,843 20,424,243 0 0
6.	Net Impact on Statement of Net Position (45a5b5c.) OPEB Expense (\$ Amount) OPEB Expense (% of Payroll)	\$ \$	1,067,110,099 32,126,289 8.92%	\$ 1,072,208,600 N/A N/A

As of the end of the reporting year, the City would report a total Net OPEB Liability of \$849,471,239, Deferred Outflows of \$0 and Deferred Inflows of \$254,863,650. Consequently, the net impact on the City's Statement of Net Position would be \$1,104,334,889 at the end of the reporting year. In addition, any contributions between the measurement date and the reporting date by the employer would be reported as deferred outflows to match the cash outflow reported. The fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 contributions subsequent to the measurement date are expected to be \$37,224,790. This includes the expected trust fund contribution of \$10,000,000 the implicit amount of \$6,067,681 and the explicit amount of \$21,157,109. These subsequent contributions reduce the net position to \$1,067,110,099. Should the City's contributions be different than those listed above, the net position should be adjusted.

For the measurement year ending June 30, 2017, the OPEB Expense is \$32,126,289 or 8.92% of covered payroll. This amount is not expected to be the same as the employer's contribution to the Plan, (\$20,424,243), but instead represents the change in the net impact on the employer's Statement of Net Position plus employer contributions [\$1,104,334,889 - \$1,092,632,843 + \$20,424,243]. A breakdown of the OPEB Expense is shown later in this report.



DETERMINATION OF DISOUNT RATE

The Postretirement Health Insurance Plan has a trust fund dedicated for the payment of benefits. However, the Plan is under 1% funded and the City did not make a cash contribution to the Plan during the year. Benefits are paid outside of the Trust; therefore this Plan is considered to be a pay-as-you-go plan. In accordance with paragraph 48 of GASB 74, the discount rate used at the June 30, 2016 and 2017 measurement dates is equal to the yield on the Bond Buyer 20-Bond GO Index as of June 30, 2016 and June 29, 2017 respectively.



PROJECTION OF TOTAL OPEB LIABILITY

The Total OPEB Liability (TOL) at the beginning of the current measurement year is measured as of a valuation date of July 1, 2015 and rolled forward to June 30, 2016. The TOL at the end of the measurement year, June 30, 2017, is measured as of a valuation date of July 1, 2017 and is not projected. In future years, valuations will be completed every other year assuming there are no significant events between the years. Each valuation will be used to provide two years of GASB liability. For this report, there were no significant events during the projection period of which we are aware. The table below (Table 2a) shows the projection of the TOL at the 2.85% discount rate used for disclosure. TOL and Service Cost have been determined using the entry age actuarial cost method as described in paragraph 54 of GASB Statement 74.

Table 2a projects the Total OPEB Liability from the prior valuation date to the **beginning** of the fiscal year.

Table 2a Total OPEB Liability at 6/30/2016 N	Aeasure:	ment Date
Discount Rate		2.85%
Valuation Total OPEB Liability, 7/1/2015		
Actives	\$	517,584,481
Deferred Vested		0
Retirees		519,995,036
Total	\$	1,037,579,517
Service Cost		48,776,762
Benefit Payments		20,481,457
Interest		30,671,343
Collective Total OPEB Liability, 6/30/2016	\$	1,096,546,165



PROJECTION OF TOTAL OPEB LIABILITY

Table 2b shows the Total OPEB Liability for the end of the fiscal year for the discount rate. Plus and minus 1% discount rate liabilities are also shown in this table. These values will be reported as of June 30, 2018.

Total OPEB Liability	Sensi	Table 2b	/20	17 Measuren	neni	t Date
Discount Rate		2.58%	i di di	3.58%		4.58%
Valuation Total OPEB Liability, 7/1	/2017					
Actives	\$	474,135,415	\$	400,774,046	\$	342,120,540
Deferred Vested		0		0		0
Retirees		515,006,984		453,022,015		402,713,650
Total OPEB Liability, 6/30/2017	\$	989,142,399	\$	853,796,061	\$	744,834,190

Table 2c shows the Total OPEB Liability for the plus and minus 1% medical trend results for the end of the fiscal year. These values will be reported as of June 30, 2018.

Total OPEB Liability	Sensi	Table 2c tivity at 6/30	/20	17 Measuren	nen	t Date
Healthcare Trends		-1.00%		Baseline		1.00%
Valuation Total OPEB Liability, 6/3	30/2017	1				
Actives	\$	337,737,313	\$	400,774,046	\$	479,579,846
Deferred Vested		0		0		0
Retirees		406,804,350		453,022,015		507,413,509
Total OPEB Liability, 6/30/2017	\$	744,541,663	\$	853,796,061	\$	986,993,355



NOTE DISCLOSURES

Table 3 below shows the changes in the Total OPEB Liability, the Plan Fiduciary Net Position (i.e., fair value of Plan assets), and the Net OPEB Liability during the measurement year.

Change in		able 3 † OPEB Liabi	lity				
	Increase (Decrease)						
		Total OPEB Liability (a)		an Fiduciary let Position (b)		Net OPEB Liability (a) - (b)	
Balances at 6/30/2016 (Reporting Date 06/30/2017)	\$	1,096,546,165	\$	3,913,322	\$	1,092,632,843	
Changes for the year:							
Service cost		50,971,716				50,971,716	
Interest		32,415,25 9				32,415,259	
Changes of benefits		0				0	
Differences between expected and actual experience		(10,798,732)				(10,798,732)	
Changes of assumptions		(294,914,104)				(294,914,104)	
Contributions - employer				20,424,243		(20,424,243)	
Contributions - member				0		0	
Net investment income				413,510		(413,510)	
Benefit payments		(20,424,243)		(20,424,243)		0	
Administrative expense				(2,010)		2,010	
Net changes		(242,750,104)		411,500		(243,161,604)	
Balances at 6/30/2017 (Reporting Date 06/30/2018)	\$	853,796,061	\$	4,324,822	\$	849,471,239	

There was an actuarial experience gain during the year of approximately \$10.8 million. Changes to assumptions caused the TOL to decrease by approximately \$294.9 million. There were no Plan changes during the year.

Service Cost, interest cost, and administrative expenses were more than the total of investment income and total contributions. When combined with the gains from Plan experience and changes in actuarial assumptions, there was a decrease in the Net OPEB Liability (NOL) of \$243,161,604. The NOL remaining as of June 30, 2017 is \$849,471,239.



NOTE DISCLOSURES

Changes in the discount rate would affect the measurement of the TOL. Lower discount rates produce a higher TOL and higher discount rates produce a lower TOL. Because the discount rate does not affect the measurement of assets, the percentage change in the NOL can be very significant for a relatively small change in the discount rate. Table 4 shows the sensitivity of the TOL and NOL to the discount rate.

Sensitivity of Net OPI	3B I.	Table 4 Jiability to Cl	iani	ges in Discou	nt l	Rate
		1% Decrease 2.58%		Discount Rate 3.58%		1% Increase 4.58%
Total OPEB Liability Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$	989,142,399 4,324,822	\$.	853,796,061 4,324,822	\$	744,834,190 4,324,822
Collective Net OPEB Liability Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total OPEB Liability	\$	984,817,577 0.4%	\$	849,471,239 0.5%	\$	740,509,368 0.6%

A one percent decrease in the discount rate increases the TOL by approximately 16% and increases the NOL by approximately 16%. A one percent increase in the discount rate decreases the TOL by approximately 13% and decreases the NOL by approximately 13%.

Similarly, changes in the healthcare trend rate affect the measurement of the TOL. Lower healthcare trend rates produce a lower TOL and higher healthcare trend rates produce a higher TOL. Table 5 shows the sensitivity of the TOL and NOL to the healthcare trend rate.

Sensitivity of Net OPEB Lial	ility	Table 5 to Changes i	n I .	lealtheare Co	ıst '	Frend Rates
		1% Decrease		Healthcare Trend		1% Increase
Total OPEB Liability	\$	744,541,663	\$	853,796,061	\$	986,993,355
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	_	4,324,822		4,324,822		4,324,822
Collective Net OPEB Liability	\$	740,216,841	\$	849,471,239	\$	982,668,533
Plan Fictuciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total OPEB Liability		0.6%		0.5%		0.4%

A one percent decrease in the healthcare trend rate decreases the TOL by approximately 13% and decreases the NOL by approximately 13%. A one percent increase in the healthcare trend rate increases the TOL by approximately 16% and increases the NOL by approximately 16%.



REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The schedules of Required Supplementary Information generally start with one year of information as of the implementation of GASB 74, but eventually will need to build up to 10 years of information. The schedule below shows the changes in NOL and related ratios required by GASB.

Table 6		
Schedule of Changes in Net OPEB Liability ar	id R	Related Ratios
		FYE 2018
Total OPEB Liability	X	
Service cost (MOY)	\$	50,971,716
Interest (includes interest on service cost)		32,415,25 9
Changes of benefit terms		0
Differences between expected and actual experience		(10,798,732)
Changes of assumptions		(294,914,104)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(20,424,243)
Net change in total OPEB liability	\$	(242,750,104)
Total OPEB liability - beginning		1,096,546,165
Total OPEB liability - ending	\$	853,796,061
Plan fiduciary net position		
Contributions - employer	\$	20,424,243
Contributions - member		0
Net investment income		413,510
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(20,424,243)
Administrative expense		(2,010)
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	\$	411,500
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning		3,913,322
Plan fiduciary net position - ending	\$	4,324,822
Net OPEB liability - ending	\$	849,471,239
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability		0.51%
Covered employee payroll	\$	360,308,628
Net OPEB liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll		235.76%



EMPLOYER REPORTING AMOUNTS

The City is required to implement GASB 75 on its reporting date of June 30, 2018. However, the City has elected to use the lookback method for GASB 74/75 schedules; therefore amounts will be based off of the July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 measurement year.

The impact of liability experience gains or losses and assumption changes on the TOL are recognized in the OPEB expense over the average expected remaining service life of all active and inactive members of the Plan. As of the beginning of the measurement period, this average was 6.0 years. During the measurement year, there was an experience gain of approximately \$10.8 million with approximately \$1.8 million of that recognized in the current year and in each of the next five years, resulting in a deferred inflow of \$9.0 million.

During the year there was an assumption change resulting in a \$294.9 million gain. Approximately \$49.2 million of that gain was recognized as a decrease in pension expense in the current year and identical amount will be recognized in each of the next five years, resulting in a deferred inflow of \$245.8 million.

The impact of investment gains or losses is recognized over a period of five years. During the measurement year, there was an investment gain of \$128,692. \$25,738 of that was recognized in the current year and will be recognized in each of the next four years.

Table 7a summarizes the current balances of Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources along with the net recognition over future years. The City should also record a deferred outflow of resources for contributions made between the measurement date and the end of its fiscal year.

Table 7:				
Schedule of Deferred Inflows and	Oπ	fflows of Res	soure	es as of
June 30, 2017 Measurement Date, .	un	e 30, 2018 R	epor	ting Date
		Deferred		Deferred
	(Outflows of		Inflows of
		Resources		Resources
Differences between expected and actual				
experience	\$	0	\$	8,998,943
Changes in assumptions		0		245,761,7 53
Net difference between projected and actual				
earnings on OPEB plan investments		0		102 ,9 54
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		37,224,790		0
Total	\$	37,224,790	\$	254,863,650
Amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred OPEB expense as follows:	infl	ows of resources	will b	e recognized in
Year ended June 30:				
Year ended June 30: 2019		(50,977,878)		
		(50,977,878) (50,977,878)		
2019				
2019 2020		(50,977,878)		
2019 2020 2021		(50,977,878) (50,977,878)		



EMPLOYER REPORTING AMOUNTS

Table 7b lists the detail on the inflow and outflow bases that were summarized in Table 7a.

				. 4.1														
							Tab	le	7b									
			Sc	he	dule of Defe	rre	d Inflows a	nd	Outflow	S	of Resour	rce	es as of					
							ne 30, 2018											
							30, 2017 M											
	Kindhladhi:		otjes-Salkdei				J0, Z01/ 1/	i i	asul Cinci		Date	:				7.		
Recognition of E	xperience (Ga	ins)	and Losses															
Experience	Recognition		Total	В	OY Remaining	EC	Y Remaining						Recognit	tio	n Year			
Year	Period		Amount		Amount		Amount		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022	2023
2017	6	\$	(10,798,732)	\$	(10,798,732)	\$	(8,998,943)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$ (1,799,787
Recognition	of liability gair	ıs a	nd losses					\$	(1,799,789)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$	(1,799,789)	\$ (1,799,787
Recognition of A	ssumption Ch	ang	es															
Experience	Recognition		Total	B	OY Remaining	EC	Y Remaining						Recognit	tion	a Year			
Year	Period		Amount		Amount		Amount		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022	2023
2017	6	\$	(294,914,104)	\$	(294,914,104)	\$	(245,761,753)	\$((49,152,351)	\$	(49,152,351)	\$((49,152,351)	\$((49,152,351)	\$(4	49,152,351)	\$(49,152,349
Recognition	of assumption	ch:	anges					\$	(49,152,351)	\$	(49,152,351)	\$	(49,152,351)	\$ ((49,152,351)	\$ (4	49,152,351)	\$ (49,152,349
Recognition of Ir	vestment (Ga	ins)	and Losses															
Experience	Recognition		Total	B	OY Remaining	EC	Y Remaining	Recognition Year										
Year	Period		Amount		Amount		Amount		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022	
2017	5	\$	(128,692)	\$	(128,692)	\$	(102,954)	\$	(25,738)	\$	(25,738)	\$	(25,738)	\$	(25,738)	\$	(25,740)	
Recognition	of investment	gai	ns and losses					\$	(25,738)	\$	(23,719)	\$	(23,718)	\$	(23,717)	\$	(23,718)	
Total (Gains) and	Losses	\$	(305,841,528)	\$	(305,841,528)	\$	(254,863,650)	\$	(50,977,878)	\$	(50,975,859)	\$	(50,975,858)	\$ ((50,975,857)	\$ (50,975,858)	\$ (50,952,136



EMPLOYER REPORTING AMOUNTS

The annual OPEB Expense recognized by the City can be calculated two different ways. First, it is the change in the amounts reported on the City's Statement of Net Position that relate to the Plan and are not attributable to employer contributions. That is, it is the change in NOL plus the changes in deferred outflows and inflows plus employer contributions.

Alternatively, annual OPEB Expense can be calculated by its individual components. While GASB does not require or suggest the organization of the individual components shown in the table below, we believe it helps to understand the level and volatility of OPEB Expense.

Table 8		
Calculation of OPEB Ex	rpen	se
Fiscal Year Ending Measurement Year Ending		une 30, 2018 une 30, 2017
Change in Net OPEB Liability Change in Deferred Outflows Change in Deferred Inflows Employer Contributions	\$	(243,161,604) 0 254,863,650
OPEB Expense OPEB Expense as % of Payroll	\$	20,424,243 32,126,289 8.92%
Operating Expenses Service cost Employee contributions	\$	5 0,971,716 0
Administrative expenses Total	\$	2,010 50,973,726
Financing Expenses Interest cost Expected return on assets Total	\$ 	32,415,259 (284,818) 32,130,441
Changes Benefit changes	\$	0
Recognition of assumption changes Recognition of liability gains and losses Recognition of investment gains and losses		(49,152,351) (1,799,789) (25,738)
Total OPEB Expense	\$ \$	(50,977,878) 32,126,289



EMPLOYER REPORTING AMOUNTS

First, there are components that we refer to as operating expenses. These items are directly attributable to the operation of the Plan during the measurement year. Service Cost less employee contributions represents the increase in the employer-provided benefits attributable to the year, and administrative expenses are the cost of operating the Plan for the year.

Second, there are the financing expenses: the interest on the Total OPEB Liability less the expected return on assets. The interest on the Total OPEB Liability is adjusted by interest on the Service Cost, contributions and administrative expenses. The expected return on assets assumes a long-term expected rate of return on assets of 7.28% (based on the funds invested in the CERBT Strategy 1).

The final category is changes. This category will drive most of the volatility in OPEB Expense from year to year. It includes any changes in benefits made during the year and the recognized amounts due to assumption changes, gains or losses on the TOL, and investment gains or losses.



APPENDIX A - MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Member Data:

	TOTAL			
Valuation Date		July 1, 2015	July 1, 2017	% Change
Active Employees				
Eligible for retirement benefits		1,087	1,047	-3.7%
Not eligible for retirement benefits		2,260	2,473	9.4%
Total		3,347	3,520	5.2%
Average Age		45.7	45.1	-1.2%
Average Service		12.2	11.6	-5.0%
Covered Payroll	\$	360,857,850	\$ 360,308,628	-0.2%
Inactive with Medical Coverage				
Retired participants & Surviving Spouses		2,603	2,672	2.7%
Spouses		1,020	1,040	2.0%
Total		3,623	3,712	2.5%

Please refer to the July 1, 2015 and July 1, 2017 Actuarial Valuation Reports for a more complete summary of the data.



APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUPTIONS AND METHODS

The assumptions and methodology are the same as those summarized in the July 1, 2017 Actuarial Valuation Report. The assumptions used to project the TOL at July 1, 2016 are the same as those summarized in the July 1, 2015 Actuarial Valuation with the exception of the discount rate. GASB Statement Nos. 74 and 75 specific terminologies related to the methodologies and assumptions are described in Appendix D.



APPENDIX C - SUMMARY OF SUBSTANTIVE PLAN PROVISIONS

The plan provisions are the same as those summarized in the July 1, 2017 Actuarial Valuation Report.



APPENDIX D – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

1. Actuarially Determined Contribution

A target or recommended contribution for the reporting period, determined in conformity with Actuarial Standards of Practice based on the most recent measurement available when the contribution for the reporting period was adopted.

2. Actuarial Valuation Date

The date as of which an actuarial valuation is performed. This date may be up to 24 months prior to the measurement date and up to 30 months prior to the employer's reporting date.

3. Deferred Inflow of Resources

An acquisition of net assets by a government employer that is applicable to a future reporting period. In the context of GASB 75, these are experience gains on the Total OPEB Liability, assumption changes reducing the Total OPEB Liability, or investment gains that are recognized in future reporting periods.

4. Deferred Outflow of Resources

A consumption of net assets by a government employer that is applicable to a future reporting period. In the context of GASB 75, these are experience losses on the Total OPEB Liability, assumption changes increasing the Total OPEB Liability, or investment losses that are recognized in future reporting periods.

5. Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method

The actuarial cost method required for GASB 75 calculations. Under this method, the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual, included in an actuarial valuation, is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is called the Service Cost. The portion of this actuarial present value not provided for at a valuation date by the actuarial present value of future service costs is called the Total OPEB Liability.

6. Measurement Date

The date as of which the Total OPEB Liability and Plan Fiduciary Net Position are measured. The Total OPEB Liability may be projected from the Actuarial Valuation Date to the Measurement Date. The Measurement Date must be the same as the Reporting Date for the Plan.



APPENDIX D – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

7. Net OPEB Liability

The liability of employers and non-employer contributing entities for employees for benefits provided through a defined benefit OPEB plan. It is calculated as the Total OPEB Liability less the Plan Fiduciary Net Position.

8. Plan Fiduciary Net Position

The fair or market value of assets.

9. Reporting Date

The last day of the Plan or employer's fiscal year.

10. Service Cost

The portion of the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments that is attributed to the current period of employee service in conformity with the requirements of GASB 75. The Service Cost is the normal cost calculated under the entry age actuarial cost method.

11. Total OPEB Liability

The portion of the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments that is attributed to past periods of employee service in conformity with the requirements of GASB 74 and 75. The Total OPEB Liability is the actuarial liability calculated under the entry age actuarial cost method. This measurement generally is not appropriate for estimating the cost to settle the Plan's liabilities



APPENDIX E – DEVELOPMENT OF DISCOUNT RATE

This Plan uses the Bond Buyer 20-Bond GO Index to satisfy the requirements under paragraph 48 of GASB No. 74. As this index is issued weekly, the value closest to but not after the reporting date is used in determining the appropriate rate. Based on this practice, the municipal bond rate at June 30, 2016 was 2.85% and the municipal bond rate at June 29, 2017 was 3.58%.

