FILED

FROM: Sean Whent CITY ADMINISTRATOR

SUBJECT: Monthly Police Staffing Report
DATE: July 8, 2015


COUNCIL DISTRICT: City-wide

## RECOMMENDATION

Staff requests that the Public Safety Committee:
Accept the Oakland Police Department's (OPD) monthly information report on recruiting and sworn staffing levels as of May 31, 2015.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The information in this report reflects changes to OPD's sworn staffing levels through May 31, 2015.

## ANALYSIS

Staffing Levels
Table 1: Staffing Levels as of January $1^{\text {st }}$ : 2000-2015

| Year | Sworn <br> Staffing |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2000 | 675 |
| 2001 | 743 |
| 2002 | 732 |
| 2003 | 775 |


| Year | Sworn <br> Staffing |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2004 | 756 |
| 2005 | 704 |
| 2006 | 683 |
| 2007 | 699 |


| Year | Sworn <br> Staffing |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2008 | 736 |
| 2009 | 830 |
| 2010 | 780 |
| 2011 | 656 |


| Year | Sworn <br> Staffing |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | 642 |
| 2013 | 613 |
| 2014 | 626 |
| 2015 | 695 |
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Public Safety Committee

Figure 1: Sworn Staffing on January 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ : 2000-2015


As of May 31, 2015, OPD's sworn staffing is 723 officers. Per the Bi-annual FY 2013-2015 budget, OPD's FY 2014-2015 budgeted sworn staffing should be an average of 722 officers. This total includes 25 officers from the 2011 Department of Justice/Community Oriented Policing Services (DOJ/COPS) Hiring Grant; 10 officers from the 2013 DOJ/COPS Hiring Grant; 15 officers from the 2014 COPS grant; and approximately 63 sworn personnel associated with Oakland's voter approved public safety measures (Measure Y, 2004; Measure BB, 2010; Measure Z, 2014).

## Demographics

Table 1: Ethnicity and Gender - OPD Sworn Staff as of May 31, 2015

| ETHNICITY | Female | \% | Male | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 8 | $10 \%$ | 79 | $12 \%$ |
| Black | 21 | $26 \%$ | 120 | $19 \%$ |
| Filipino | 1 | $1 \%$ | 12 | $2 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 15 | $18 \%$ | 152 | $23 \%$ |
| Native American | 1 | $1 \%$ | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| Undeclared-Other | 1 | $1 \%$ | 4 | $1 \%$ |
| White | 35 | $43 \%$ | 258 | $41 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | -- | 15 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 82 | $100 \%$ | 641 | $100 \%$ |
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## Sworn Staffing Residency

As of May 31, 2015, 63 sworn members and 15 POTs (see $172^{\text {nd }}$ Academy chart Table 2 below) were Oakland residents. Nine percent ( $9 \%$ ) of current sworn members are City of Oakland residents. The Oakland Police Department has prioritized attracting a racially diverse and multilingual workforce of qualified officers that includes Oakland residents as well as individuals with life experiences that prepare them to successfully engage with Oakland's diverse residents.

Table 2: OPD 172 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Police Officer Trainee (POT) Academy

| Gender |  | Ethnicity |  | Residency |  | Language |  | Education |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Female | 19 | Asian | 9 | Oakland | 15 | Chinese | 1 | High School | 3 |
| Male | 39 | Black | 12 |  |  | Spanish | 14 | Some College | 21 |
|  |  | Filipino | 4 |  |  |  |  | Bachelor | 29 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 17 |  |  |  |  | Master's Above | 4 |
|  |  | Other | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | White | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 58 | Total | 58 | Total | 15 | Total | 15 | Total | 58 |

## OPD Hiring / Full Police Staffing Plan

The Oakland City Council passed Resolution No. 84767 C.M.S. on December 10, 2013, which among other mandates, requires that the City Administrator or designee present a "Hiring Plan showing the timeline for achieving OPD Budgeted Staffing to achieve Sworn and Police-Support Civilian Staffing levels as quickly as possible ("OPD Hiring Plan"), and that the OPD Hiring Plan shall include month-by-month projections of expected staffing levels. The City Council subsequently passed the "Implementation of Budgeted Police Staffing," on June 3, 2014, which adopts the "staffing projections in the Hiring Plan / Full Police Staffing Plan to the April 29, 2014 Public Safety Committee as the current Hiring Plan." Tables 3.1 and 3.2 below provide data on staffing and projections as reported in the April 29, 2014 "Full Police Staffing Report" and the actual staffing levels as reported as of May 31, 2015.
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Table 3.1: Staffing Projections in the April 29, 2014 OPD Hiring / Full Police Staffing Report

| Staffing Projections in the April 29, 2014 OPD Hiring/Full Police Staffing Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20142015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY 14-15 | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun |
| Filled | 646 | 681 | 676 | 691 | 726 | 721 | 716 | 711 | 706 | 701 | 696 | 691 |
| Attrition | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) |
| Hires | 40 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ending Filled | 681 | 676 | 691 | 726 | 721 | 716 | 711 | 706 | 701 | 696 | 691 | 686 |
| Authorized | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 |
| Over/(Under) | (26) | (31) | (16) | 19 | 14 | 9 | 4 | (1) | (6) | (11) | (16) | (21) |

Table 3.2: Actual Staffing and Projections - July 2014-June 2015

| Staffing Actuals and Projections through May 31, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20142015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY 14-15 | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun |
| Filled | 647 | 677 | 667 | 684 | 715 | 705 | 695 | 694 | 696 | 690 | 722 | 723 |
| Attrition | (4) | (11) | (4) | (4) | (10) | (10) | (2) | (7) | (6) | (3) | (5) | (6) |
| Hires | 34 | 1 | 21 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 6 | 0 |
| Ending Filled | 677 | 667 | 684 | 715 | 705 | 695 | 694 | 696 | 690 | 722 | 723 | 717 |
| Authorized | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 | 722 | 722 | 722 | 722 | 722 | 722 | 722 |
| Over (Under) Authorized | (30) | (40) | (23) | 8 | (2) | (27) | (28) | (26) | (32) | 0 | 1 | -5 |
| Hiring Plan | 681 | 676 | 691 | 726 | 721 | 716 | 711 | 706 | 701 | 696 | 691 | 686 |
| Over (Under) Adopted Hiring Plan | (4) | (9) | (7) | (11) | (16) | (21) | (17) | (10) | (11) | 26 | 32 | 31 |

## Early Warning Policy and Recommendations

Resolution No 84767 C.M.S. also requires that the OPD staffing report contain an analysis of any deviations between the expected and actual staffing levels, the expected hiring methods, and alternative hiring options, for achieving budgeted staffing as quickly as possible. An additional Basic Academy was requested and approved to begin on November 16, 2015. This Basic Academy would enroll 60 POTs and assist in offsetting OPD's officer attrition (average of six officers per month) and maintaining staffing levels during FY 2015-2017.

In Attachment 1 Tables 4 through 16, appended to this report, show historical, current, and projected staffing data as outlined below.
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| Attachment 1 |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Figure 2 | Residency of Sworn OPD Members (page 1) |
| Table 4 | Demographics of Sworn OPD Members (page 1) |
| Table 5 | Current Recruitment - Outreach/Media Activity (page 2) |
| Table 6\&7 | Staffing Funding Sources for FY 14-15 (page 2) |
| Table 8 | Budget Authorized Positions (page 3) |
| Table 9 | Sworn Attrition Data (page 3) |
| Table 10 | Sworn Attrition Analysis (page 4) |
| Table 11 | Attrition of Oakland residents in the testing and hiring process (page 4) |
| Table 12 | Demographic Information on Previous Academies (page 5) |
| Table 13a | OPD Recruitment Data - Current Academies (pages 6) |
| Table 13b | OPD Recruitment Data, Lateral Transitional Courses (page 6) |
| Table 14 | Field Training Data (page 6) |
| Table 15 | Sworn Permanent Assignments within OPD (page 7) |
| Table 16 | Civilian Vacancies in OPD (page 8) |
| Table 17 | Patrol Data (page 9) |

## PUBLIC OUTREACH / INTEREST

The Department continues to actively recruit candidates for the positions of police officer trainee and lateral / post-academy graduate police officer. Recruitment efforts focus on selective language candidates throughout the testing and selection process. Staff has met with several community organizations and received positive feedback and useful input on recruiting efforts. Staff is working with community organizations to conduct hiring workshops, to be held at various community organization's offices, throughout the City.

## COORDINATION

The Department works with the Human Resources Management to complete the necessary steps associated with completing an academy. The City Attorney's Office was consulted in preparation of this report.

## COST SUMMARY / IMPLICATIONS

There are no fiscal impacts associated with this informational report.
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## SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: There are no economic opportunities associated with this report.
Environmental: There are no environmental opportunities associated with this report.
Social Equity: Hiring more police officers will provide additional resources, thereby enhancing public safety efforts.

For questions concerning the contents of this report, please contact David Downing, Deputy Chief of the Bureau of Services, at 510-238-7620.

Respectfully submitted,


SEAN WHENT
Chief of Police
Oakland Police Department

Reviewed by:
David Downing
Deputy Chief of Police
Oakland Police Department

Prepared by:
Cee Belue
Personnel Manager, Personnel Section
Oakland Police Department

## Attachment 1: Data Tables

Item:

## Oakland Police Department - Monthly Staffing Report - Attachment I

Figure 2: Residency of Sworn OPD Members

| County | Percent of <br> Sworn OPD Staff |
| ---: | :--- |
| Alameda | $25 \%$ |
| (not including Oakland) |  |
| Alameda | $9 \%$ |
| (Oakland only) |  |
| Contra Costa | $34 \%$ |
| San Francisco | $3 \%$ |
| San Joaquin | $8 \%$ |
| San Mateo | $3 \%$ |
| Santa Clara | $3 \%$ |
| Solano | $10 \%$ |
| Sonoma | $1 \%$ |
| Stanislaus | $1 \%$ |
| Other (5 or fewer residents) | $3 \%$ |

Table 4: Demographics of Sworn OPD Members

| Race | US 2010 <br> Census | OPD <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | OPD <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | OPD <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | $34.5 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ |
| Black | $28.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |
| Asian | $16.8 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $25.4 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| Other $*$ | -- | -- | $5.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Female | $14.3 \% 0^{* *}$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| Male | $85.7 \%^{* *}$ | $88.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |

* Other includes Unknown, Native American, and Undeclared; Data as of $1 / 1 / 2015$
** 2007 data U.S. Department of Justice. Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics, Local Police Department, 2007

Table 5: Current Recruitment - Outreach/Media Activity


Tables 6 \& 7: Staff Funding Sources for Sworn and Civilian Positions for FY 2014-2015

| Sworn Positions | FTE | Civilian Positions | FTE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund: General Purpose | 606 | General Fund: General Purpose | 379.2 |
| Alameda Co. Vehicle Abatement | 1 | Alameda Co. Vehicle Abatement | 1.00 |
| Measure Y | 63 | Measure Y | . 35 |
| Traffic Safety Fund | 2 | Traffic Safety Fund | 15.65 |
| COPS 2011 | 25 | False Alarm Reduction Program | 6.50 |
| COPS 2013 | 10 | US Department of Justice | 3.00 |
| COPS 2014 | 15 | State of California | 1.65 |
| Grand Total | 722 | Workers' Comp. Insurance | 2.00 |
|  |  | Grand Total | 409.35 |

Table 8: Budget Authorized Positions

| Position | Budget Authorized Positions | Authorized | Filled | $+/-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sworn | Chief of Police | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Assistant Chief | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Deputy Chief | 3 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Captain | 10 | 9 | -1 |
|  | Lieutenant | 27 | 26 | -1 |
|  | Sergeants | 124 | 122 | -2 |
|  | Police Officers | 556 | 559 | 5 |
|  | Total Sworn | 722 | 723 | 1 |
| Non-Sworn | Full-time and Part-time | 409.35 | 378.35 | -31 |
|  | Total Personnel | $\mathbf{1 1 3 1 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 1 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 0}$ |

Table 9: Sworn Attrition Data: Jun 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015 (12 month average is 5.7\%)

| Reason/Month | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr' } \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability Retirement | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 14 |
| Resignation |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 | 16 |
| Resignation Other Agency |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 12 |
| Service Retirement | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 7 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 19 |
| Termination |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 7 |
| Deceased |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Grand Total | 2 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 68 |

The below table identifies deviations/shortfalls between projected and actual staffing levels for sworn staffing from June 2014 through May 2015. During the period of OPD was below the projected average monthly attrition. The projection is 6 per month for an annual of 72 and the actual for same 12-month period was 68 .

Table 10: Sworn Attrition Analysis Jun 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015

| Reason for Separation | Average Age at Separation | Number of Separations |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability Retirement | 47.2 | 14 |
| Resignation | 32.8 | 16 |
| Resignation - Other Agency | 35.8 | 12 |
| Service Retirement | 51.0 | 19 |
| Termination | 36.3 | 7 |

Table 11: Attrition of Oakland Residents in the Testing and Hiring Process

| Police Hiring Steps Oakland Residents | $166^{\text {th }}$ | $167^{\text {th }}$ | $168^{\text {th }}$ * | $169^{\text {th }}$ | ACSO** | $170^{\text {th }}$ | $171{ }^{\text {st }}$ | 172nd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applications Received | 282 | 186 | 415 | 271 | -- | 316 | 501 | 526 |
| Invited to Physical Ability Test (PAT) | 85 | 59 | 371 | 262 | -- | 267 | 337 | 495 |
| Attended PAT | 77 | 44 | 168 | 145 | -- | 194 | 110 | 281 |
| Invited to Written | 254 | 165 | 151 | 138 | -- | 147 | 94 | 253 |
| Attended Written | 155 | 112 | 133 | 123 | -- | 113 | 77 | 218 |
| Invited to Oral Interview | 72 | 42 | 79 | 76 | -- | 86 | 44 | 145 |
| Attended Oral Interview | 63 | 39 | 66 | 59 | -- | 55 | 33 | 118 |
| Background \& Character Review | 48 | 19 | 40 | 35 | -- | 43 | 25 | 80 |
| Invited to Academy | 7 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| Graduated from Academy | 3 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 9 | Pending |

Table 12: Demographic Information on Academies since 2012

| Class | Starting Date | Starting <br> Number | Gender | Oakland <br> Residency | Language | Ending <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166th | 17 Sep 12 | 57 | 15 Females 42 Males | 7 | 8 Cantonese/Mandarin 4 Spanish | 39 |
| 167th | 25 Mar 13 | 51 | 7 Females 44 Males | 6 | 5 Cantonese/Mandarin 12 Spanish | 36 |
| 168th | 30 Sep 13 | 57 | 8 Females 49 Males | 6 | 0 Cantonese/Mandarin 19 Spanish | 47 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3^{\text {th }} \\ \text { Lateral } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 9 Dec 13 | 4 | 4 Males | 0 | 0 Cantonese/Mandarin 1 Spanish | 4 |
| $169^{\text {th }}$ | 30 Dec 13 | 55 | 8 Females 47 Males | 2 | 0 Cantonese/Mandarin 13 Spanish | 34 |
| ACSO | 17 Mar 14 | 24 | 3 Females 21 Males | 1 | 3 Cantonese/Mandarin 3 Spanish | 13 |
| $170^{\text {th }}$ | 28 Apr 14 | 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { Females } \\ & 48 \text { Males } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 3 Cantonese/Mandarin 10 Spanish | 35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { Lateral } \end{aligned}$ | 22 Sep 14 | 7 | 1 Female 6 Males | 0 | 0 Cantonese/Mandarin 2 Spanish | 5 |
| $171{ }^{\text {s }}$ | 29 Sep 14 | 60 | 10 Females 50 Males | 15 | 5 Cantonese/Mandarin 9 Spanish | 35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { Lateral } \end{aligned}$ | 23 Feb 15 | 9 | 9 Males | 1 | 1 Cantonese/Mandarin 0 Spanish | 7 |
| $172^{\text {nd }}$ | 27 Apr 15 | 58 | 19 Females 39 Males | 15 | 1 Cantonese/Mandarin 14 Spanish | Pending |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6^{\text {th }} \\ \text { Lateral } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 18 May 15 | 6 | 6 Males | 1 | 0 Cantonese/Mandarin 0 Spanish | Pending |

Table 13a: OPD Recruitment Data - Current Academies

| Police <br> Hiring <br> Steps: <br> $172^{\text {nd }}$ Academy | Testing/ Time Frame | Total | Percent <br> of <br> Total | Percent Not <br> Advanced | Number of <br> Oakland <br> Residents | Percent of <br> General Total <br> Oakland <br> Residents | Percent of <br> Oakland <br> Residents <br> Not <br> Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applications Received | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 / 12 / 2014- \\ & 12 / 5 / 2014 \end{aligned}$ | 3820 | 100\% | 0\% | 526 | 14\% | 0\% |
| Invited to PAT |  | 3687 | 97\% | -3\% | 495 | 13\% | -6\% |
| Attended PAT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 / 14 / 2014- \\ & 12 / 13 / 2014 \end{aligned}$ | 1769 | 46\% | -54\% | 281 | 7\% | -47\% |
| Invited to Written |  | 1657 | 43\% | -57\% | 253 | 7\% | -52\% |
| Attended Written | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 / 8 / 2014- \\ & 1 / 7 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 1435 | 38\% | -62\% | 218 | 6\% | -59\% |
| Invited to Oral Interview |  | 1050 | 27\% | -73\% | 145 | 4\% | -72\% |
| Attended Oral Interview | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 / 11 / 2014- \\ & 2 / 10 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 799 | 21\% | -79\% | 118 | 3\% | -78\% |
| Referred to OPD on eligibility list | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 / 25 / 2014- \\ 3 / 4 / 2015 \end{gathered}$ | 562 | 15\% | -85\% | 80 | 2\% | -85\% |
| Invited to Academy | 4/27/2015 | 58 | 2\% | -98\% | 15 | 0.39\% | -97\% |
| Graduated Academy | 10/30/2015 | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |

Table 13b: OPD Recruitment Data, Lateral Transitional Courses

| Police Hiring Steps: <br> May 18, 2015 <br> \#6 Lateral Course | Testing/ <br> Time Frame | Total | Percent <br> of Total | Percent <br> Not <br> Advanced | Number of <br> Oakland <br> Residents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applications Received | $4 / 10 / 15$ | 59 | -- | -- | 1 |
| Invited to PAT | $4 / 17 / 15$ | 30 | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ | 1 |
| Invited to Oral Interview | $4 / 17 / 15$ | 22 | $37 \%$ | $63 \%$ | 1 |
| Referred to OPD <br> on eligibility list | $4 / 21 / 15$ | 18 | $31 \%$ | $69 \%$ | 1 |
| Invited to Course | $5 / 18 / 2015$ | 6 | $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ | 1 |
| Graduated Course | $7 / 24 / 2015$ | Pending | Pending | Pending | Pending |

Table 14: Field Training Data

| Academy of Origin | Entered FTO | Completed FTO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPD $170^{\text {th }}$ Basic Academy | 35 | 29 completed $/ 1$ pending |
| OPD $171^{\text {st }}$ Basic Academy | 35 | Pending |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ POST Lateral Course | 7 | Pending |

Table 15: Sworn Permanent Assignments within OPD

|  | Chief | Assistant Chief | Deputy Chief | Captain | Lieutenant | Sergeant | Officer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office of the Chief | 1 | 1 |  | \% | W14. |  |  |
| Public Information Office |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Internal Affairs Division |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 12 | 3 |
| Office of the Inspector General |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Intelligence Unit |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 |
| Research \& Planning |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Bureau of Field Operations: Administration |  |  |  |  | $1$ | $2$ | $1$ |
| Patrol Area 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 17 | 96 |
| Patrol Area 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 12 | 72 |
| Patrol Area 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 12 | 74 |
| Patrol Area 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 13 | 72 |
| Patrol Area 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 16 | 87 |
| Support Operations Division |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 |
| Traffic Section |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 17 |
| Bureau of Services: <br> Administration |  |  | 1 | $1$ |  |  |  |
| Communications Section |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Training Section |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 16 |
| Recruiting and Background Unit |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| Information Technology |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Property/ <br> Evidence Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| CID: Administration | WIIM | W1\% | Wram | 1 |  | -ryers |  |
| CID: Special Victims Section |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 23 |
| CID: Homicide Section |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| CID: Theft/ Field Support Section |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 14 |
| CID: Gang/ Felony Assault Section |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 17 |
| CID: Robbery and Burglary Section |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 15 |
| CID: Youth and School Services Section |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 15 |
| 4. Ceasefire | 5umer |  | Wrat | 1 | 4 |  | YTPRTM |
| Total Sworn Allocation | $1$ | $1$ | $3$ | $10$ | $27$ | $124$ | $556$ |

Table 16: Civilian Vacancies in OPD (As of May 31, 2015 there are 27.0 vacancies - this number excludes positions where staff have been hired but have not started work)

| Job Classification | Vacancies | Authorized |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accountant II | 1 | 2 | $\bullet$ |
| Administrative Analyst <br> II (Crime Analyst) | 1 | 4 | $\bullet$OPD: Offer extended |
| Criminalist I (Grant <br> Funded) | 1 | 1 | $\bullet$ |
| determination |  |  |  |

Table 17: Patrol Data

|  | Area 1 | Area 2 | Area 3 | Area 4 | Area 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of officers assigned to patrol: 257 | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Watch } 16 \\ 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Watch } 17 \\ \text { Late Tac } 8 \\ 3^{\text {rd }} \text { Watch } 16 \\ \hline \text { Total } 57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Watch } 15 \\ 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Watch } 16 \\ \frac{3^{\text {rd }} \text { Watch } 15}{\text { Total } 46} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Watch } 16 \\ 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Watch } 15 \\ \frac{3^{\text {rd }} \text { Watch } 15}{\text { Total } 46} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Watch } 16 \\ 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Watch } 17 \\ \frac{3^{\text {rd }} \text { Watch } 17}{\text { Total } 50} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Watch } 16 \\ \text { Late Tac } 16 \\ \text { Late } 10 \\ \frac{3^{\text {rd }} \text { Watch } 16}{\text { Total } 58} \end{array}$ |
| Number of officers assigned to evening shifts | 41 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 42 |
| Number of officers assigned to PSO / CRT: 66 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSO } 7 \\ & \text { CRT } 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSO } 7 \\ & \text { CRT } 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSO } 8 \\ & \text { CRT } 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSO } 7 \\ & \text { CRT } 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSO } 6 \\ & \text { CRT } 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| \# of open beats not filled by overtime in May | $1^{\text {st }}$ Watch: 3 <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ Watch: 0 <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ Watch: 1 | $1^{\text {st }}$ Watch: 5 $2^{\text {nd }}$ Watch: 4 $3^{\text {rd }}$ Watch: 0 | $1^{\text {st }}$ Watch: 2 <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ Watch: 6 <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ Watch: 0 | $1^{\text {st }}$ Watch: 1 <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ Watch: 3 <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ Watch: 6 | $1^{\text {st }}$ Watch: 2 <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ Watch: 11 <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ Watch: 3 |

Note: Open beats are covered on overtime.
Beats by Area:
Area 1: Beats 1-7
Area 2: Beats 8-14
Area 3: Beats 15-22
Area 4: Beats 23-28
Area 5: Beats 29-35

