### SENATE BILL

FILED OFFICE OF THE CIT & CLERN OAKLAND No. 411 2015 APR 30 PM 5: 09

99

#### Introduced by Senator Lara

February 25, 2015

An act to amend Sections 69 and 148 of the Penal Code, relating to crimes.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 411, as introduced, Lara. Crimes.

Under existing law, every person who deters or prevents an executive officer from performing any of his or her duties, or knowingly resists the officer, is punishable by a fine or imprisonment, or both, as specified.

This bill would provide that the fact that a person takes a photograph or makes an audio or video recording of an executive officer, while the officer is in a public place or the person taking the photograph or making the recording is in a place he or she has the right to be, is not, in and of itself, a violation of the above-mentioned provision.

Under existing law, every person who willfully resists, delays, or obstructs any public officer, peace officer, or emergency medical technician in the discharge or attempt to discharge any of his or her duties shall be punished by a fine or imprisonment, or both, as specified.

This bill would provide that the fact that a person takes a photograph or makes an audio or video recording of a public officer or peace officer, while the officer is in a public place or the person taking the photograph or making the recording is in a place he or she has the right to be, is not, in and of itself, a violation of the above-mentioned provision, nor does it constitute reasonable suspicion to detain the person or probable cause to arrest the person.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

#### The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 69 of the Penal Code is amended to read: 2 69. (a) Every person who attempts, by means of any threat 3 or violence, to deter or prevent an executive officer from 4 performing any duty imposed upon-such the officer by law, or who 5 knowingly resists, by the use of force or violence, such the officer, in the performance of his or her duty, is punishable by a fine not 6 7 exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by imprisonment 8 pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170, or in a county jail not 9 exceeding one year, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

10 (b) The fact that a person takes a photograph or makes an audio 11 or video recording of an executive officer, while the officer is in 12 a public place or the person taking the photograph or making the 13 recording is in a place he or she has the right to be, does not 14 constitute, in and of itself, a violation of subdivision (a).

15 SEC. 2. Section 148 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

16 148. (a) (1) Every person who willfully resists, delays, or 17obstructs any public officer, peace officer, or an emergency medical 18 technician, as defined in Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 19 1797) of the Health and Safety Code, in the discharge or attempt 20 to discharge any duty of his or her office or employment, when 21 no other punishment is prescribed, shall be punished by a fine not 22 exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by imprisonment in 23 a county jail not to exceed one year, or by both that fine and 24 imprisonment.

(2) Except as provided by subdivision (d) of Section 653t, every
person who knowingly and maliciously interrupts, disrupts,
impedes, or otherwise interferes with the transmission of a
communication over a public safety radio frequency shall be
punished by a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000),
imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both
that fine and imprisonment.

(b) Every person who, during the commission of any offense
described in subdivision (a), removes or takes any weapon, other
than a firearm, from the person of, or immediate presence of, a
public officer or peace officer shall be punished by imprisonment
in a county jail not to exceed one year or pursuant to subdivision
(h) of Section 1170.

99

1 (c) Every person who, during the commission of any offense 2 described in subdivision (a), removes or takes a firearm from the 3 person of, or immediate presence of, a public officer or peace 4 officer shall be punished by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision 5 (h) of Section 1170.

6 (d) Except as provided in subdivision (c) and notwithstanding 7 subdivision (a) of Section 489, every person who removes or takes 8 without intent to permanently deprive, or who attempts to remove 9 or take a firearm from the person of, or immediate presence of, a 10 public officer or peace officer, while the officer is engaged in the 11 performance of his or her lawful duties, shall be punished by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed one year or pursuant 12 13 to subdivision (h) of Section 1170.

In order to prove a violation of this subdivision, the prosecution
shall establish that the defendant had the specific intent to remove
or take the firearm by demonstrating that any of the following
direct, but ineffectual, acts occurred:

(1) The officer's holster strap was unfastened by the defendant.
(2) The firearm was partially removed from the officer's holster
by the defendant.

21 (3) The firearm safety was released by the defendant.

(4) An independent witness corroborates that the defendant
stated that he or she intended to remove the firearm and the
defendant actually touched the firearm.

(5) An independent witness corroborates that the defendant
actually had his or her hand on the firearm and tried to take the
firearm away from the officer who was holding it.

(6) The defendant's fingerprint was found on the firearm orholster.

(7) Physical evidence authenticated by a scientifically verifiableprocedure established that the defendant touched the firearm.

(8) In the course of any struggle, the officer's firearm fell andthe defendant attempted to pick it up.

(e) A person shall not be convicted of a violation of subdivision
(a) in addition to a conviction of a violation of subdivision (b), (c),
or (d) when the resistance, delay, or obstruction, and the removal
or taking of the weapon or firearm or attempt thereof, was
committed against the same public officer, peace officer, or
emergency medical technician. A person may be convicted of

99

1 multiple violations of this section if more than one public officer,

2 peace officer, or emergency medical technician are victims.

3 (f) This section shall not apply if the public officer, peace 4 officer, or emergency medical technician is disarmed while engaged 5 in a criminal act.

6 (g) The fact that a person takes a photograph or makes an audio 7 or video recording of a public officer or peace officer, while the 8 officer is in a public place or the person taking the photograph or 9 making the recording is in a place he or she has the right to be,

10 does not constitute, in and of itself, a violation of subdivision (a),

11 nor does it constitute reasonable suspicion to detain the person

12 or probable cause to arrest the person.

Ο

99

City Attorney's Office

# 2015 APR 30 PM 5: 10 OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL Resolution No. C.M.S.

FILED OFFICE OF THE CIT Y CLERK

OAKLAND

## INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL PRESIDENT LYNETTE GIBSON MCELHANEY

RESOLUTION TO SUPPORT CALIFORNIA SENATE BILL WHICH CLARIFIES IN STATE LAW THAT RECORDING OR PHOTOGRAPHING PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS IN THE COURSE OF THEIR DUTIES DOES NOT CONSTITUTE OBSTRUCTION OF AN OFFICER, THAT RECORDING DOES NOT CONSTITUTE REASONABLE SUSPICION OR PROBABLE CAUSE TO ARREST, AND REAFFIRMS FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS.

WHEREAS, in California and around the country, a number of individuals have been arrested or threatened by arrest for using mobile devices to film or record law enforcement activity; and

WHEREAS, existing law prohibits persons for engaging in obstruction of a police officer in his or her course of duty, however, it does not distinguish or specifically clarify that recording or photographing activity of such personnel does not constitutes obstruction; and

WHEREAS, technological advances in mobile phones and tablets have provided greater access to photography and video recording devices, and in several cases, cell phone footage taken by ordinary citizens has captured footage of crimes as well as law enforcement activity which has been used as evidence in court proceedings; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 411, authored by Senator Ricardo Lara, clarifies an individual's First Amendment Rights by inserting language in California State Law to denote that recording the actions of law enforcement personnel does not constitute obstruction nor does such recording constitute suspicion to detain or arrest an individual; and now therefore be it

**RESOLVED:** that the City Council of Oakland strongly supports the passage of Senate Bill 411.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, CAMPBELL-WASHINGTON, GALLO, GUILLEN, KALB, KAPLAN, REID AND PRESIDENT GIBSON MCELHANEY

NOES – ABSENT – ABSTENTION –

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California