PUBLIC REPORT

currently focusing its efforts on a TDMA standard based on a two-slot 12.5-kHz channel, which increases the number of voice paths in 12.5 kHz radio channels (2:1 for two-slot TDMA). This means that using P25 Phase 2 equipment will make it much easier to meet the capacity needs of the City of Oakland. The standard requires that any Phase 2 equipment must be backward-compatible to communicate in Project 25 Phase 1 mode.

Phase 2 P25 also provides for over-the-air programming (OTAP) and over-the-air rekeying (OTAR) offered by Phase 1.

P25 is becoming the technology of the future. Vendors have begun to accept contracts for Phase 2 equipment and at least three vendors now offer P25 multiband radios. Single-band P25 radios are available from many vendors.

There are two basic methods to ensure interoperability between geographically adjacent agencies that are using P25 compatible systems. The first method involves establishing talkgroups between the agencies that ensure each agency is using the same system when appropriate. This may not be the most desirable method because dispatcher monitoring can be lost if the radio user has switched to a talk-group on a different system. To resolve this issue, Phase 2 will also define IP-based interconnection ("inter-subsystem interface" or ISSI) standards for P25 radio systems from different manufacturers. This will allow seamless roaming and wide-area calling across multiple radio systems. The ISSI is an interface standard, not an actual device. System interface devices that are ISSI compliant are being developed and will be available on P25 systems in the near future. ISSI enables higher level interoperability opportunities which should be considered carefully.

P25 and Grant Funding

Although P25 is not the only technology being funded by the Department of Homeland Security, they prefer to support projects that look to the future and take advantage of the spectrum efficiency that P25 clearly offers. Proprietary, so-called "stovepipe" systems, which focus on older technology, are not likely to receive federal funding support in the future.

6.3 P25 Migration

The City of Oakland is currently operating on a system that is not compatible with P25 standards based systems. One of the significant differences is that P25 uses an IP based (or packet based) network while EDACS uses a circuit based network. This one difference will require the replacement of a significant portion of the existing equipment as the City of Oakland migrates to P25.

6.3.1 Technical Considerations

Rather than listing all of the equipment that must be replaced, CTA has provided the following list of equipment that can be used if the City of Oakland decides to migrate to a Harris P25 Phase II simulcast system. The list of existing equipment that can be re-used in a Harris P25 system includes:

- All Harris P7200 portables (These will likely need a Phase II software upgrade)
- Any existing antenna systems
- Most existing combiners
- Shelters, towers and other physical facilities
- Existing connectivity including some microwave and T1 circuits

One important consideration that is often overlooked is the upgrade that will be required in the dispatch centers. The existing Maestro C3 consoles are not compatible with P25 technology.

According to information received from Harris, there are two primary options available for migration to P25. They are: a gradual migration to P25 via EDACS^{IP} or an immediate P25 transition.

The gradual P25 Migration via EDACS^{IP} will allow for a mixture of EDACS and P25 channels to be used at each site and will allow for continued use of non-P25 subscriber gear during the process. When subscriber radios are replaced, 7200's or above can be purchased to allow operation on EDACS and P25 channels. The steps involved are as follows:

- Upgrade desired repeaters to digital MASTR V with SitePro controllers
- Upgrade voting equipment
- Add a VIDA Network Switching Center (NSC), Router and Site Link
- Add EDACS IP Gateway between NSC and IMC (this will allow continued use of Maestro consoles and internetworking with P25 channels)

When ready to upgrade from EDACS^{IP} to P25, the infrastructure hardware (MASTR III, SitePro and NSC) will remain in place and will only require software upgrades.



Source: Harris Migrating Existing M/A-COM Customers Presentation.

The immediate transition to P25 will allow Oakland the quicker and seamless interoperability solution, but will not allow existing non-P25 subscribers or the Maestro consoles to work.

The steps for the immediate transition are as follows:

- Upgrade all repeaters to MASTR V with SitePro controllers
- Upgrade Voters
- Add VIDA NSC, Router and SiteLink
- Add P25 Maestro consoles

6.3.2 Subscriber Unit Considerations

During the rebanding process the City of Oakland agreed to pay the extra cost of replacing the subscriber units with P-25 capable MA/COM Subscriber units. It will be necessary to verify with the vendor what is required to upgrade these subscriber units for use on a P-25 Phase I system and what would be required to upgrade these subscriber units for use on a P-25 Phase II system. Our analysis indicates that the upgrades will be minimal, but this must be verified with the model numbers purchased from MA/COM.

6.3.3 Operational Considerations

The operational advantages offered by P25 are substantial. The main advantage is the increased interoperability with other P25 users. The recognized desire of the Bay Area UASI is to achieve "seamless interoperability" throughout the Bay Area. As the agencies, counties and municipalities throughout the Bay Area move toward P25 standards based systems, the City of Oakland must also move in this direction if they are to achieve truly interoperable communications with these agencies. If the City of Oakland stays with EDACS technology, they will not be able to directly communicate with surrounding agencies as they implement P25 systems.

Many users tend to focus on the technology that must be in place to enable "seamless interoperability". Seamless interoperability however, requires that the technology, governance, and operational considerations are all in alignment. The coordination of the technology details is actually the most straight-forward of the three. The governance considerations are discussed in Section 14.

The operational planning needed to migrate to P25 must begin today. First, the City of Oakland needs to coordinate with EBRCSA to determine the interoperability needs between Oakland and the agencies within EBRCS. The goal would be to develop an interoperability talkgroup plan for the East Bay Area. A typical interoperable talkgroup plan would include a single interoperability calling talkgroup and possibly four interoperability tactical talkgroups, one for each of the major disciplines; Fire, Law, EMS and Emergency Operations. Additionally, users should be identified that have a reoccurring need to roam from Oakland into the surrounding areas while maintaining radio connectivity. Additional interoperability needs would have to be determined by conducting interviews which focus on interoperability needs, which is beyond the scope of the current CTA contract.

As the City of Oakland maps out their fleetmap of P25 talk group IDs and subscriber and console IDs, there should also be coordination with EBRCSA, such that each agency has its own range of IDs to use. This database planning and coordination may help minimize the challenges that Oakland had when trying to implement the Stargate with BART and the City of Richmond.

In conjunction with determining the required talkgroups, a channel capacity analysis should be conducted to determine the increased traffic on each of the systems. The interoperability traffic analysis inputs can be used to determine other needs that must be considered, such as backbone traffic and system configurations.

Second, the City of Oakland needs to sign Memorandums of Understanding (MOU) to allow the use of the frequencies used to support the interoperability talkgroups. Depending on how the interoperability talkgroups are defined, this could be all frequencies used for interoperability on each of the systems. Existing MOU's could be modified to meet this requirement, but each agency would need to clearly define the rules of use.



Third, the current EBRCS plan is for a 700/800 simulcast P25 system. As the EBRCS is built, P25 will become an important standard between the two radio systems. In order for the two systems (City of Oakland and EBRCS) to become operationally effective, the important steps discussed here must be taken.

6.3.4 Cost Considerations

CTA has provided an opinion of probable cost to move to a MA/COM P25 Phase II standards based system in Section 1.

7.0 Subscriber Evaluation

7.1 Introduction

This section provides an analysis of the City's current inventory of Tyco Electronics (M/A-COM) EDACS subscriber radios. The evaluation also includes a comparison of the feature and function sets of the newly purchased Tyco P7200 Portables to the feature and function sets of other manufacturer's public safety grade subscriber units. Finally this section provides an overview of the backward compatibility of the newly purchased P25 portable radios and their ability to operate on BART's EDACS radio system.

The analysis in this section meets the requirements for SOW #7, Subscriber Evaluation. The results of this section will be included in the Final Study Report.

7.2 Current Subscriber Inventory

The City of Oakland's Department of Information Technology (DIT) is responsible for maintaining the City's Public Safety Radio system. The Radio/Wireless Division of DIT provides customer service, in the form of routine repair maintenance, for the Citywide Public Safety radio system. This department also provides radio repair services for a number of outside agencies whose radios utilize the City of Oakland radio system for their communication needs.

The Public Safety radio system supports the Oakland Police Department, Oakland Fire Department, Public Works Agency, Emeryville Fire Department, City of Piedmont Fire and Police Departments, and numerous other agencies within the City of Oakland. The radio shop and DIT support over 2,170 portables, 1,567 mobiles, and 54 base stations.

The current subscriber units are a mixture of three different makes and models of portable radios. The newer radios are Tyco Electronics P7200 series (either Tyco P7230 or P7270) portables and the older models are Ericsson GE MPA series portables. They are being replaced with Tyco P7200 radios that can support the current rebanding effort. A total of 2,987 replacement radios were subject to rebanding and a total of 3,471 subscriber units will be reprogrammed under the rebanding efforts.

7.2.1 Reported Radio Problems

In an effort to gather information on the City of Oakland's Radio System, CTA conducted interviews with each of the agencies supported by the radio system. In addition, CTA used our online survey tool, CTASurveyorSM, to gather additional information on subscriber units.

Table 7-1 provides a list of problems reported by the City of Oakland Radio system users, dispatchers and technical points of contact that participated in the online survey. Table 7-1 indicates the problem, the agency that reported the problem, whether law, fire or PWA, and the level of severity of the perceived problems. Problems are listed in order of "overall" severity. Problem descriptions are described in Section 7.2.3.

Additional problems were also reported during the interviews conducted with each agency. The following list is a summary of the problems reported during the interviews:

- The 800 MHz portable radios are not trusted due to poor reliability and inadequate coverage related problems. Users reported that the 800MHz mobile radios appear to have much better coverage than the 800MHz portables.
- Training on the talk-around feature of the 800 MHz mobiles has not been implemented because contact with dispatch is lost when using this feature. This talk-around feature has been turned off in portables.

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study	PUBLIC REPORT AECOM
	 Some agencies would like to control their own programming or at least have a say in how the programming is done.
	 Poor radio issuing procedures; radios are dropped into a large wooden box through an opening in the top (2 feet off the ground) at the end of after hour shifts. Radios are then collected from the box. Officers are often issued different radio types and the programming between the radios is not consistent.
	 The age of the existing equipment is becoming an issue because some agencies have not been able to migrate fully to the new 800 MHz equipment.
	 The lead time on new equipment and turn-around time on repairs is too long.
	 The prompt system does not work when arriving "on scene", nor does the system status update by push button. The prompt system was intended to update the status of the end user as they arrived on scene. The emergency button works but the user cannot be identified because in many agencies the radios are not permanently assigned to the same individual.
	 A procedural problem exists when dispatch responds to an emergency button that has been pressed. The dispatcher asks "Is there any emergency?" which may not get answered if there really is an emergency. The result is the end users rely on a Mayday call and use the emergency button as a secondary measure. Consequently, the current emergency button

Buttons on the radios are difficult for firemen to use with gloves.

feature is not trusted outside the City of Oakland.

- There is a high incidence of volume controls and channel knob breakage on the 5200, 7100, and 7200 radios after only 4 months of use.
- Some agencies can hear Oakland Dispatch but can't respond on portables response has to be made back at the mobile in the vehicle, adding unnecessary and potentially dangerous delay to the communications back to dispatch.
- In the City of Piedmont, some agencies have a difficult time knowing which emergencies to
 respond to, and in whose jurisdiction the emergency is. This is due to the oddly defined city
 limits of Piedmont.
- If the trunked system goes down, users do not know how to use the conventional channels that are also available in their radios.
- There is no training on how to use the State TAC channel for mutual aid. Also, the State Interoperability Channels cannot be monitored by the dispatch center.
- The National Mutual Aid channels, specifically the hail channel, are currently not routed into all agencies' consoles.
- Communication in the Caldecott Tunnel, which connects Oakland with Contra Costa County is challenging. The Oakland EDACS system will not work in the tunnel. The CalFire White channel is a VHF channel and does provide marginal coverage inside the tunnel. There is no 800 MHz coverage beyond the Caldecott Tunnel and in areas outside of the City which creates a problem when end users travel outside the coverage area and need to respond to an incident.
- Poor coverage typically exists where they have the greatest need for service. These areas
 exist along Glen Alpine, La Salle Ave and on St. James. In addition, coverage is poor along
 Piedmont Ave and in the area near the intersection of Oakland and Grand Ave., and in the
 "Golf Links near 580" area. The coverage in Highland Hospital is poor. Generally there is
 poor in-building coverage, especially within 250 Frank Ogawa, in the middle of the building.
- There is a coverage problem on Thornhill Dr. near Berkeley, on the "Hill" beats near Keller & Mountain, Monte Claire & Snake Rd, and sometimes at Diamond Park. Poor coverage in area of Chabot golf course, Thornhill Dr. and Elverton Drive as well as locations outside the City.
- · Recently, some agencies are reporting that the problems do not seem to be area specific.
- Poor coverage exists in cement basements, underground, canyons, valleys, and hills.
- Nextel coverage is spotty and is poor within buildings and in the Oakland Hills. Coverage is good between I-880 and I-580 but poor elsewhere, especially north of Hwy 13 and near the I-

580 Hwy 13 intersection. The Oakland 800 MHz radio system seems to have better coverage than the Nextel system, but is still spotty in some of the same areas.

- There is concern over the Nextel tree site's impact on the City of Oakland's radio communications.
- The old GE radios seem to have better coverage than the new M/A-COM Jaguar radios. Some new portables purchased recently are not P25 compatible and will not work on the Gwin site.
- The BART Underground system is a high ambient noise environment and BART has the challenge to provide a clear intelligible voice channel in this high noise environment.
- There is no seamless roaming feature between sites. When a user roams out of the coverage areas for either of the main sites, they must manually change the talk group to access the new site.
- The existing microwave system is digital, but it is aging and may need to be replaced.
- The City has purchased and partially installed a StarGate for connectivity with BART and the City of Richmond, but the installation is not complete. The radio GID numbers were not programmed correctly so the Stargate did not solve the problems it was supposed to.
 Officers began losing transmissions just after the Stargate was deployed.
- Fire Station 22 is currently using "Port" radios for increased interoperability with the airport and with the Port authority.
- As the City of Oakland prepares to migrate to P25, some agencies have expressed concern over the possible vocoder issues in P25 compliant radios being deployed.
- The Motorola MW800 MDT's can be problematic due to inadequate infrastructure to service the heavy MDT demand which leads to frustration and lack of use. Server reliability appears to be another source of concern.
- The MDT's give an audible reminder to the officer of any updates, but the dispatchers only
 receive a visual cue. If they do not notice the visual display they miss the message.
 The Motorola Printrak's closest engine dispatch feature only worked for about 1 week. Since
 then it has been intermittent and sporadic and is therefore not used.
- Printrak keystroke monitoring is delayed until the user submits the complete record. This
 delays communication with dispatch until user enters entire record.
- When communication is required over the ridge (north of Skyline Dr.) there is no radio coverage. In these cases, a vehicle is parked near the ridge and the traffic is relayed to dispatch via the vehicle's mobile radio.
- Some agencies are currently experiencing traffic congestion problems on the Seneca site and in and around the Oakland Coliseum.
- Officers can talk over each other disrupting communication. Officers can also hear each other, as well as dispatch, better than dispatch can hear the officers. The system is not balanced. Occasionally officers will hear static over the radio.
- Some radios are currently in the possession of other agencies and are unaccounted for.
- Some agency's consoles have no ergonomic accommodations. Books and Styrofoam are being used to raise equipment.
- Dispatch has a difficult time determining the officer's location and what is happening because radio coverage varies from block to block and communication is sometimes difficult.
- Some agencies whose personnel do not have portables cannot hear their mobiles when away from their vehicles. They need an external speaker. This feature would enable the crews to be contacted in the event of an emergency.
- Some agencies have no interoperability with outside agencies. The Park Rangers are part of OPD and there is no radio to radio communication with them. The appropriate channels may be programmed into some of the radios, but the agency's personnel have not received training on how to use them.

- There is a need to have direct communication between agencies' personnel and those that can provide the help they need when using the radio. Currently, there is none. For example, PWA would like to be able to report incidents directly to OPD.
- Some agencies find it difficult to contact the Oakland Police Department.
- Some agencies have not been trained on how to contact OPD and OFD over the radio, other than by using the emergency alarm button.

7.2.2 Radio Problem Descriptions

The problem areas summarized in Table 7-1 are defined below. In addition, the rating scale is provided.

Rating Scale:

0 - No problem identified.

1 - Identified problem, currently not of concern, may become a concern in the future.

2 - Occasionally a problem which affects some operations but is generally worked around.

3 - Regularly a problem, operations are routinely affected to the extent there is a loss of operational efficiency.

4 - Frequently a problem, frequently affects operations, compromises the ability of the user to fulfill his mission.

5 - Critical concern, usually affects operations, likely compromise to safety of user or of citizen.

Capacity -- The system has insufficient capacity to support traffic associated with peak or emergency conditions.

Complex Operation -- The radio is too complicated to operate or the radio user does not know the characteristics of the system, which could cause difficulty if the user is in a high-pressure situation.

Dispatcher Access -- For unknown reasons, the dispatcher or the user cannot gain access to each other on a routine basis. Either the user must compete for the dispatcher's time, or the dispatcher has no way to contact the user.

Equipment Maintainability -- Maintenance is inadequate on user equipment (including consoles and desk top units); the user regularly needs to return the same equipment to get the same thing fixed.

Indoor Portable Operation -- Portable units are not reliable enough for use on the system, particularly indoors.

Interference -- Users from your own or other localities interfere and "step on" the local users. This either overrides critical communications or forces messages to be repeated.

Interoperability -- The system does not allow users the ability to communicate between agencies within the jurisdiction.

Limited Coverage - "Dead spots" regularly occur, particularly between a dispatcher and a user.

Outdoor Portable Operation -- Portable units are not reliable enough for use on the system, particularly outdoors.

AECOM

Regional Interoperability -- The system does not allow users the ability to communicate between agencies outside of the jurisdiction.

System Busies – The user has to wait to gain access to the radio system, not because someone is using the talkgroup, but because a channel is not available.

System Reliability -- There are frequent breakdowns of old or poorly maintained infrastructure equipment.

Talk Group Congestion -- Too much unrelated chatter from other users is heard; user tends to turn volume down unless they specifically need to call someone, and thus cannot be reached.

7.3 Subscriber Features

Each of the radio vendors offers a slightly different set of features and functions for the subscriber units. In this section, CTA will briefly compare the feature set of the Tyco subscriber units that the City of Oakland has purchased with other third party vendors' radios.

Table 7-2 provides an overview of the subscriber features that CTA typically suggests for our clients. This list should be used as a baseline to determine the features that are required for subscriber units in the Oakland radio system. One important distinction to point out in Table 7-2 is the four levels or tiers of radios: High, Mid, Basic and Agency. These tiers are described below:

- <u>High-Tier Units</u> are full featured, public safety grade portable or mobile radios. These units typically
 provide features such as automatic telephone interconnect, private or single unit calling, and access
 to groups or subgroups necessary for administrative functions. This normally entails a free form
 DTMF keypad. High-Tier Units are typically assigned to administrative, command, or management
 personnel.
- <u>Mid-Tier Units</u> are public safety grade portable or mobile radios, which include those features necessary for fulfilling the particular mission of the public safety agency. These units typically provide features such as automatic telephone interconnect or private or single unit calling through list selection rather than free form dialing, and access to talk groups necessary for administrative functions.
- <u>Basic Tier Units</u> have the same features of mid-tier radios with fewer talk groups accessible. Reliability is equivalent to the other Tier units. These units are limited to very basic features yet retain the public safety grade of reliability.
- <u>Agency-Tier Units</u> are economically priced, limited feature mobile or portable radios. The emphasis is
 on cost control through reduction in features. These units may be limited in the groups and subgroups
 available, and ruggedness. Agency-Tier Units are typically assigned to public service personnel, who
 are mainly interested in communicating with each other or with their office.

During the rebanding process the City of Oakland agreed to pay the extra cost of replacing the subscriber units with P-25 capable MA/COM Subscriber units. It will be necessary to verify with the vendor what is required to upgrade these subscriber units for use on a P-25 Phase I system and what would be required to upgrade these subscriber units for use on a P-25 Phase II system. Our analysis indicates that the upgrades will be minimal, but this must be verified with the model numbers purchased from MA/COM.

7.3.1 Technical Specifications and P25 Function Set

As the City of Oakland moves toward a P25 standards based radio system, additional subscriber unit choices become available. CTA remains vendor neutral and is not attempting to recommend one vendor over another and is providing this analysis in support of the task in the statement of work. Rather than completing a complex feature comparison for various vendor P25 portables, we have chosen to highlight the technical characteristics and then provide the functions that are available under P25. The technical analysis of five different P25 800 MHz portable radios is contained in Table 7-3.

Figures 7-1 and 7-2 provide an overview of the available P25 features. Each vendor will implement these features in a slightly different way and may call them by slightly different names; however the basic features remain consistent. The City of Oakland should decide upon the tier of radio that is required to support each agency and then weigh the cost, interoperability and features provided by each vendor prior to making a purchasing decision. CTA is able to assist the City through a competitive bid process upon request.

7.3.2 Maintaining Interoperability with BART

CTA conducted an interview with BART and BART has no plans to upgrade to P25 until the useful life of their current system diminishes. BART estimates that they will not have any need for system upgrades within the next 5 years and that they will continue to use their existing analog trunked EDACS system.

The impact of BART's decision to remain with their current EDACS system must be taken into consideration as the City of Oakland migrates to a P25 standard based system. If Oakland desires to continue its current level of interoperability with BART, the City must take into account the need for subscriber units to be able to talk on both an EDACS system and a P25 system. If the City of Oakland continues to purchase subscriber units that are both P25 and EDACS capable, the City will continue to have its current level of interoperability with BART due to the backwards compatibility of the Tyco P25 subscriber unit, specifically the P7200 series of portables.

If, however, the City decides to purchase third party radios, they will not be able to talk on the BART EDACS talkgroups. In this case, the City of Oakland would have to rely on the same interoperability that BART provides for non-EDACS users. When there is an incident, the Incident Command System (ICS) is established and the non-EDACS users are sent a BART representative to serve as a liaison. During an incident response, BART focuses on providing coverage in the underground and has provided 200 portables for interoperability use with surrounding jurisdictions that do not use an EDACS radio system.

7.4 Desired System Features

The City of Oakland radio system users, dispatchers, and technical points of contact were asked to participate in an online survey that captured their needs for the new radio system. Table 7-4 summarizes the results of the survey. Needs are listed in order of "overall" importance and are also ranked by discipline: fire, law enforcement, PWA and all others. The needs descriptions (Ref column) are found in Section 7.4.2.

7.4.1 Needs Reported during Interviews

CTA conducted interviews with representatives from many of the agencies that use the City of Oakland radio system. During these interviews, the users discussed the system features that they would like to see in a future radio system.

These features and needs are reported below.

Some agencies would like a say in how their radios are programmed or would like control
over their own programming. Many agencies have also requested a dedicated talk group for
coordination within their own section.

- Some agencies that currently rely on experienced personnel to locate buildings within the City would like Mobile Data Terminals (MDT's) and GIS based software.
- Some agencies would like interoperability with the Coast Guard, ambulances, and the City of Berkeley on VHF channels.
- Most agencies feel that tactical communications between field personnel and command functions must be of optimal quality and reliability. Anything less compromises end user safety, operational coordination, and accountability. Communication problems are routinely cited in "after action" reports of end user near-miss and fatality cases.
- Most agencies would like portable radios for every individual in combination with improved accountability and training for the radio, specifically radio to radio communications.
- · Some agencies would like quicker response on repairs and new equipment orders.
- Most agencies would like procedural improvements established. A quick reference card that identified other agencies and the talk group(s) used to communicate with them would be useful.
- There is a need to train the field personnel on radio procedures. Some agencies have a training system in place already, but it needs to be enhanced. Other agencies do not have any training system in place or are unaware of the training that is available to them.
- Some agencies requested implementation of procedures on how to contact OPD for coordination during an incident. In addition, radio procedures for most agencies need to be documented.
- Most agencies would like State mutual aid channels that can be used regionally. A set of common interoperability channels that will facilitate mutual aid and multi-jurisdictional pursuits is necessary.
- Some agencies have an immediate need for interoperability within Alameda County, Contra Costa County, and the cities of Richmond, Fremont, Livermore / Pleasanton, Hayward Hills, Moraga, Orinda and occasionally East Bay Regional Parks.
- Most fire agencies would like the ability for dispatch to monitor the VHF interoperability channels for fire.
- Most agencies would like end user training on use of conventional and interoperability channels. Some protocols have been developed, but dedicated interoperability talk groups have not been established.
- Most agencies would like direct console to console communications for the dispatch centers, perhaps even a TAC line (ring down line).
- Piedmont would like a microwave backup to their current T1 line.
- Most agencies have requested the ability to roam from site to site seamlessly based on signal strength without having to make a manual change on the radio.
- Some agencies have the BART channels, but need training and standard procedures for interoperability with BART.
- PPD needs improved interoperability with Oakland PD due to frequent contact.
- Most agencies need vehicle chargers for the portable radios.
- Most agencies have requested hardened radios to handle the difficult environment in which they are used.
- BART would like interoperability with San Francisco PD, FD, and San Mateo FD, and 700 MHz capability in the underground for mutual aid.
- If the City of Oakland decides to migrate away from Tyco equipment, BART would need an interoperability solution to communicate with Oakland personnel.
- BART Police would need dual band P25 radios if the City of Oakland migrates to a P25 system.
- Some agencies recognize the need for an improved maintenance program for the region, possibly modeled after the City of Oakland IT Department's program.

	 Many agencies have requested a technical road map for migration to P25 and Simulcast systems.
	 Many agencies have requested improved coverage in and around the area of the planned SCAT site. All agencies have requested improved and more reliable voice coverage for 800 MHz equipment, and removal of "dead" spots, which would alleviate the dependency on commercial radio networks.
	 Many agencies agree that the focus should be on voice communications. Future radios need to be simple to operate and only include the features and functions needed for the users. Additional complexity of the radio only adds complication and does not facilitate improved communications. A reasonable physical size of the radio is also important.
	 Most agencies have requested "Panic button" roaming on portables, better reliability for this feature, and a well thought out procedural plan for its use. This button has also been referred to as the "Emergency Button".
	 Some agencies would like a GPS location feature.
	 Some agencies would like the use of portable BDA's that can be deployed in a high-rise or on a wild land fire researched.
	 Oakland Fire Department would like a more reliable server for their MDT's, improved dispatch center ergonomics, improved AVL system reliability, software upgrades for GPS and records management systems, talking sirens for dispatch, and a 311 system to reduce non-
	emergency calls.
	 Some agencies have requested the ability to interoperate with other agencies without patches, specifically through the deployment of P25.
	 Many agencies have requested improved subterranean communications, including through Caldecott and Posey tunnels, and down in the sewer system.
	 More ergonomic console furniture in the dispatch centers is necessary.
	 Motorola Printrak keystroke monitoring without "submit to update" delay feature would speed up a critical communications path between the field and dispatch.
	 Within the Oakland PWA Department, it would be nice to integrate the radio system with the reporting system. This would enable employees to report incidents, graffiti problems or other issues that need to be addressed by PWA personnel in a more direct manner.
	 Oakland PWA is about to spend \$1M to implement a work management system called Cityworks. They would like to integrate the MDT that will be installed in the PWA vehicles with the Oakland Radio System.
	 Text messaging on the new P25 radios has been requested.
	 Some agencies have requested better incorporation of the "Citizens of Oakland Respond to Emergencies (CORE)" into the radio system.
	 External speakers on the radios would help some agencies' workers to hear radio communications when outside the vehicle, especially those agencies that do not use portables.
7.4	2 System Feature Descriptions.
	The attributes summarized in Table 7-4 are defined below. In addition, the rating scale is included:
	Rating Scale:
	0 - Attribute is NOT IMPORTANT to the user
	1 - Attribute is MINIMALLY IMPORTANT to the user
	2 - Attribute is NICE TO HAVE, could enhance operations.

PUBLIC REPORT

3 - Attribute is USEFUL, will promote more efficient day to day operation.
4 - QUITE IMPORTANT, lack of attribute could result in degradation of mission, injury, or loss of property.

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study AECOM

5 - CRITICAL, lack of attribute will generally result in injury, loss of property, or degradation of mission.

Ref Column Descriptions:

Improved Voice Radio Coverage: The system shall provide a signal availability of 95 percent to/from mobile radios, with coverage evenly distributed over the service area for all operational functions.

In-Building Coverage: The system shall provide a signal availability of 95 percent to/from portables in building.

Minimize Interference: The system should minimize or eliminate interference.

Increased Channel Capacity: The system design shall include additional channels for current and future capacity. Additional channels are important to alleviate congestion on the dispatch and incident channels.

On-Scene Fireground/Tactical Communications Channels: Direct radio-to-radio frequencies (firegrounds) enable local incident communications in-building, below grade, and in other situations where repeated channels do not offer solid coverage.

Monitored Firegrounds: Fireground communications must be available to be monitored by dispatch, command personnel, or recording.

Emergency Alerting: The radios and system shall provide an emergency function for alerting dispatch and supervisors to the need for assistance.

Workgroup Oriented Operation: The system shall be organized with sufficient channels or talkgroups to allow departmental workgroups to have their own channel or talk group.

Voice Security: The system shall provide encrypted communications for users that need to prevent unauthorized interception of sensitive information.

Operational Boundary Transparency: System operation will be logical, with the focus on whom the user wants to call rather than where they are located. Changes in the user agencies' operational boundaries shall be transparent to radio users. The radio system shall allow any group or department to operate with full communications capability within the service area.

One System Serves All Agencies: Convenient, same-radio communications is important between all Public Safety agencies within the locality.

Interoperability through Dispatch: The radio system shall provide a connection between all dispatch operations allowing dispatchers to facilitate information flow between agencies through dispatch and incident command, rather than at the user level.

Interoperability with Adjacent Localities: The radio system design shall emphasize compatibility with radio systems in the adjacent localities to enable public safety users to assist in adjacent counties (and vice versa) and communicate with users from other Public Safety agencies using their assigned radios.

Interoperability with State Agencies: The radio system design should emphasize compatibility with radio systems in use by the State to facilitate communications with State agencies.

Interoperability with Federal Agencies: While local agencies cannot operate radios on Federal channels, compatible equipment would facilitate Federal/local cooperative efforts if Federal users could communicate over the local infrastructure.

Person Location: Dispatch can determine the location of a user (to his portable or mobile radio), useful for example when sending assistance.

System Control: The locality is significantly more comfortable with the high level of system control that comes with exclusive use and system ownership.

Text Messaging: The mobiles and portable radios shall be capable of text messaging.

Dual Band Operation: The user radios need to operate on VHF and 700 / 800 MHz.

Recorder Operations: Logged audio is important for all dispatch and incident communications.

Future Expansion: The system shall be capable of future expansion in the number of channels and the number of users. System design shall incorporate expansion to the level of usage predicted for the next 15 years with only the addition of minor equipment.

Owner-Controlled Connectivity Network: The system shall be interconnected using a dedicated interconnecting backbone network, such as microwave or fiber. The goal is to maximize reliability, minimize use of leased carriers and associated costs, and maintain control of the network. Additionally, a dedicated, highly reliable network interconnecting all major radio locations is highly desired. This can be via microwave or fiber.

Microwave Additional Capacity: The network design shall include extra capacity, over and above the radio and mobile data needs, for other Locality uses.

Regional Connectivity: The system design shall provide infrastructure connectivity to adjacent areas.

OTAP: The system shall provide for Over-the-Air-Programming of radios.

OTAR: The system shall provide for Over-the-Air-Rekeying of encrypted radios.

Over-the-Air-Reflash: The system shall provide for over-the-air upgrades to operating software or new software versions for mobiles and portables.

Survivability: The system shall be designed to survive in severe weather or emergency conditions. If dispatch points are shifted from their primary to a backup location, radio control shall be available at the backup location to the same degree it was available at primary dispatch.

Reliability/Failure Hierarchy: The radio system and equipment must be designed such that single-mode failures do not perceptibly impact the routine operations of the system.

The following requirements shall apply to failure conditions:

- Channel failure: no operating impact due to failed voice channel.
- Site failure: no operating impact except reduced coverage area.
- Primary power failure: UPS backup shall be supplied for all communications equipment, and generator backup for the radio equipment.

- Console failures: Single console failure: use reserve console. Console common equipment failure: dispatchers operate co-located radio control station.
- Communications Center failure: Dispatch using radio control stations at a backup dispatch center.

Single Points of Failure: The system shall, as much as practical, minimize single points of failure. This is accomplished through redundant equipment, multi-node network design, distributed processing, backup equipment, etc.

Power Backup: All fixed radio equipment shall require backup power with automatic transfer, capable of handling 100 percent loading of radio equipment. An uninterruptible power system (UPS) shall be required for all communications equipment.

Staffing and Training: The system vendor shall provide formal training for system administrators, supervisors, dispatchers, radio users, and maintenance technicians.

Centralized Maintenance: The locality / agency prefer to centrally maintain and administer the radio system, dispatch systems, and user radios, either in-house or using a service shop. Centralized maintenance provides consistent and coordinated services for all user departments.

Competitive Procurement Process: The overall system concept shall be available from more than one vendor allowing a competitive procurement process. Equipment shall be procured using open non-restrictive, competitive specifications. Award to be based on the most cost-effective system meeting the specified operational and functional requirements.

Commonality of Equipment: A single vendor shall install and supply all required equipment; as much as possible, user equipment shall be similar in operation and maintenance requirements. The goal is to minimize spare parts inventory and multiple vendor training requirements.

Multiple Sources: Compatible user equipment shall be available from multiple vendors. Competitive procurement of user equipment is more important than equipment commonality.

Phased Implementation: As much as possible, system procurement and implementation shall occur on a phased basis, allowing costs to be spread over several years. The radio system shall be designed to add user groups to the system over time.

Tiered Subscriber Cost: High-tier, mid-tier, and low-tier radio equipment with feature sets and costs matched to the user group shall be provided.

AECOM

City of Oakland Trunked Radio Problems							
Problems	Overall	Fire	Law Enforcement	PWA			
Limited Coverage	3.7	3.8	4.3	1.0			
Regional Interoperability	3.4	3.5	4.0	1.0			
Indoor Portable Operation	2.8	3.2	2.8	0.0			
Interoperability	2.7	3.2	2.6	1.0			
Interference	2.6	2.7	3.3	1.0			
Equipment Maintainability	1.9	2.2	2.0	0.0			
Outdoor Portable Operation	1.9	2.2	2.0	0.0			
System Busies	1.8	1.8	2.4	0.0			
System Reliability	1.8	1.9	2.3	0.0			
Talk Group Congestion	1.3	1.5	1.2	0.0			
Capacity	1.1	0.9	2.0	0.0			
Dispatcher Access	1.1	1.0	1.4	0.0			
Complex Operation	0.9	1.0	1.1	0.0			

Table 7-1

0 : No problem identified.

1 : Identified problem, currently not of concern. May become a concern in the future.

2 : Occasionally a problem, affects some operations but is generally worked around.

3 : Regularly a problem, operations are routinely affected to the extent there is a loss of operational efficiency.

4 : Frequently a problem, frequently affects operations, compromises the ability of the user to fulfill his mission.

5: Critical concern, usually affects operations, potential compromise to safety of user or of citizen.

Feature	Mobi	le, Trunk- c	or Dash-M	ounted	Portable			Control Station				
	High	Mid	Basic	Agency	High	Mid	Basic	Agency	High	Mid	Basic	Agenc
Trunked Talk Groups or Conventional Channels	128	128	48	16	128	128	48	16	128	128	48	16
Time-Out Timer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dynamic Regroup Capable	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Out-of-Range Signal	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Group Call Capable	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Emergency Call Button	Yes	Yes	Yes	100	Yes	Yes	Yes	2.173	-	1.12.19		1.1.1
Talkaround Operation	Yes	Yes	Yes	1000	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Private Call Receive	Yes	Yes	· Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Private Call Initiate	Yes	Menu			Yes	Menu			Yes	Menu		22
Vehicular Charger					Yes	Yes	Yes	-		12		1
Touch Pad	Yes	Limited			Yes	Limited	1.	1.2.8	Yes	Limited		
Auto Phone Interconnect	Yes	Menu			Yes	Menu	1.1.1		Yes	Menu		
Priority Group Scan	Yes	Yes	Yes	9	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	1
All Call Receive	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
All Call Initiate	Yes	-			Yes		9.8		Yes			
Status Message	Yes	Yes	1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Yes	Yes			1	1.333	1.16	
Encryption Capable	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Call Alert	Yes	Menu			Yes	Menu	1		Yes	Menu		
Radio/Data Interface Port	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.20	112.0		1.1	1	1.10			
Selective Radio Inhibit	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ON/OFF Switch	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Touch Pad	Yes	Limited	1	100	Yes	Limited		2.13	Yes	Limited		
Volume Control	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Eight-Character Alphanumeric Display	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Transmit Indicator	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
System Busy Indicator	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table 7-2 SUBSCRIBER FEATURES

Configuration

NOTES:

1. "N/A" indicates that the specification or feature is not applicable to the unit configuration.

2. The lack of a "Yes" beside a feature indicates that the feature is not required.

3. Only for channels as specified.

57

AECOM

and the second second		Subscriber Technical Charact	eristics	and the second second	all an and			
Brand and Model Number								
	Tyco P7200 Series	Tait TP9100 Series	Motorola Astro XTS 5000 Series	Kenwood TK-5400	EFJohnson 5100 ES Ser			
Frequency Range(s)	764-776, 794-825, 851-869 MHz	VHF UHF 762-776, 792-825, 851-870 MHz	VHF UHF 764-777, 792-824, 851-870 MHz	806-825, 851-870 MHz	VHF UHF 762-806, 806-870 MHz			
RF Power Output	0.5 - 3 W	5W, 3W, 2W, 1W (VHF) 4W, 2.5W, 2W, 1W (UHF) 3W, 2.5W, 2W, 1W (700/800)	6/1 W (VHF) 5/1 W (UHF) 2.5/1 W (700) 3/1 W (800)	1-3W	2.5/1 W (700) 3/1 W (800)			
Frequency Stability	±1.5 ppm	±1.5 ppm	±2.0 ppm (VHF/UHF) ±1.5 ppm (700/800)	±1.5 ppm	±1.5 ppm			
Modulation Limiting	± 5 kHz	± 5 kHz (25 kHz Channel) ± 2.5 kHz (12.5 kHz Channel)	± 5 kHz (25 kHz Channel) ± 2.5 kHz (12.5 kHz Channel)	Unknown	± 5 kHz (25 kHz Channel ± 2,5 kHz (12,5 kHz Channel			
Audio Response	+1, -3dB	+1, -3dB	+1, -3dB	Unknown	+1, -3dB			
FM Hum and Noise	-49 dB (non-NPSPAC) -38 dB (NPSPAC)	-42dB (VHF) -37dB (UHF) -34dB (700/800)	42 dB (VHF) 12.5 kHz 40 dB (UHF/700/800) 12.5 kHz	-45 dB	-35 dB 12.5 kHz -40 dB 25 kHz			
Audio Distortion	<2% at 1kHz, 3 kHz Deviation	< 5% at 1kHz, 60% Modulation	1% (VHF) 1.5 % (UHF/700/600)	2%	2%			
Rated Audio Output Power	500 mW	500 mW	500 mW	500 mW	500 mW			
Channel Spacing (kHz)	12.5/25kHz/PLL Step	12.5/15/20/25/30 kHz	12.5 / 25 kHz	12.5 / 20 / 25 kHz	12.5 / 25 kHz			
Frequency Stability	± 1.5 ppm	± 1.5 ppm	±2.0 ppm (VHF/UHF) ±1.5 ppm (700/800)	± 1.5 ppm	± 1.5 ppm			
Analog Sensitivity (12 dB SINAD)	0.25 uV (-119 dBm)	0.28 uV (-118 dBm)	0.20 uV (-121 dBm) (VHF/UHF) 0.25 uV (-119 dBm) (700/800)	0.30 uV	0.25 uV (-119 dBm)			
P25 Reference Sensitivity (5% BER)	0.25 uV (-119 dBm)	Constant in the		0.30 uV	60.0			
Digital Sensitivity (5% BER)	0.20 uV (-121 dBm)	0.20 uV (-121 dBm)	0.20 uV (-121 dBm) (VHF/UHF) 0.25 uV (-119 dBm) (700/800)	0.30 uV	0.25 uV (-119 dBm)			
Adj. Channel Selectivity 700/800 MHz	-60 dB (12.5 kHz) -72 dB (25 kHz)	-60 dB (12.5 kHz) -70 dB (25 kHz)	-63 dB (12.5 kHz) -72 dB (25 kHz)	-72dB (25 kHz) -63dB (12.5 kHz) -60dB (P25)	-63 dB (12.5 kHz) -75 dB (25 kHz)			
Intermodulation Rejection	-70 dB	-78 dB	-75 dB	-70dB (25 kHz) -63dB (12.5 kHz) -60dB (P25)	-75 dB			
Spurious Response Rejection	-70 dB	-75 dB (VHF/UHF) -70 dB (700/800)	-80dB (VHF) -85dB (UHF) -75dB (700/800)	-73 dB	-75 dB			
FM Hum and Noise	-37 dB (non-NPSPAC) -35 dB (NPSPAC)	-42dB (VHF) -37dB (UHF) -34dB (700/800)	-40dB (12.5 kHz) -48dB (25 kHz)	-40dB (12.5 kHz) -45 dB -48dB (25 kHz) -45 dB				
Audio Distortion	2.5 %	< 3%	1% (VHF/UHF) 1.5 % (700/800)	< 2%	2%			
Weight (w/ Battery)	22.9 ounces with NiCd 23.9 ounces with NiMH	19.7 ounces	23.6 ounces with NiCd 22 ounces with NiMH	20.64 ounces	23.96 ounces with NiMH			
Dimensions (HxWxD)	6.75" x 2.58" x 1.79"	6.6" x 2.6" x 1.9"	6.58" x 2.44" x 1.83"	6.09" x 2.31" x 1.5"	6.7" x 2.52" x 1.8"			
Operational Temperature Range	-30°C to 60°C with NiCd -20°C to 50°C with NiMH	-30°C to 60°C	-30°C to 60°C	-30°C to 60°C	-30°C to 60°C			

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study

PUBLIC REPORT

AECOM

Ref	Attributes	Overall	Fire	Law Enforcement	PWA	Other
а	Improved Voice Radio Coverage	4.9	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.7
b	In-Building Coverage	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.3	5.0
g	Emergency Alerting	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.0	4.7
bb	Survivability	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.3	5.0
CC	Reliability/Failure Hierarchy	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	5.0
ff	Staffing and Training	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.0	4.7
С	Minimize Interference	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.0	5.0
dd	Single Points of Failure	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.0	5.0
ee	Power Backup	4.5	4.5	4.8	3.7	5.0
j	Operational Boundary Transparency	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.7
gg	Centralized Maintenance	4.3	4.3	4.4	3.7	5.0
m	Interoperability with Adjacent Localities	4.2	4.3	4.4	2.7	3.7
е	On-Scene Fireground / Tactical Communications Channels	4.1	4.3	3.8	IFE T	3.7
v	Owner-Controlled Connectivity Network	4.1	3.7	4.2	1	5.0
f	Monitored Firegrounds	4.0	4.0	3.7		4.0
1	Interoperability through Dispatch	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.0	4.7
u	Future Expansion	3.9	3.7	4.3	3.0	5.0
x	Regional Connectivity	3.8	3.9	3.8	2.5	4.3
n	Interoperability with State Agencies	3.8	3.9	3.9	2.7	3.7
ii	Commonality of Equipment	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.5	2.0
hh	Competitive Procurement Process	3.6	3.5	3.5	5.0	4.0
t	Recorder Operations	3.6	3.3	4.2	0.0	5.0
w	Microwave Additional Capacity	3.5	2.8	4.0	1000	5.0
S	Dual Band Operation	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.0	4.7
У	OTAP	3.5	3.2	3.6		4.7
h	Workgroup Oriented Operation	3.5	3.1	4.2	4.0	4.0
р	Person Location	3.4	3.3	3.6	2.0	4.3
z	OTAR	3.4	3.1	3.7		4.3
aa	Over-the-Air-Reflash	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.0	4.0
k	One System Serves All Agencies	3.3	3.1	3.8	4.5	3.0
q	System Control	3.1	2.9	3.1		5.0
d	Increased Channel Capacity	3.1	3.0	3.4	3.0	3.3
11	Tiered Subscriber Cost	3.1	3.0	2.6	3.5	4.0
0	Interoperability with Federal Agencies	3.0	2.9	3.3	2.5	3.3
kk	Phased Implementation	3.0	2.6	2.9	3.3	4.7
ij	Multiple Sources	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.0	4.7
i	Voice Security	2.8	2.3	3.9	1.3	5.0

2.1

2.1

2.1

2.3

2.7

Table 7-4 City of Oakland System Attribute Ranking

Ratings

r Text Messaging

0 - Attribute is NOT IMPORTANT to the user.

1 - Attribute is MINIMALLY IMPORTANT to the user.

2 - Attribute is NICE TO HAVE, could enhance operations.

3 - Attribute is USEFUL, will promote more efficient day to day operation.

4 - QUITE IMPORTANT, lack could result in degradation of mission, injury, or loss of property.

5 - CRITICAL, lack generally will result in injury, loss of property, or degradation of mission.

Note: To identify further information about an attribute, look up the Ref column in Section 7-4-2.

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study

PUBLIC REPORT



Trunked P25 Features Matrix User List	0 - Usidatb(v SO-R-Stadad.coto) SO - Stadad.octor	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
Feature Name	Requirement Level	Standards Documents	Comments
Group Voice Call Individual Voice Call Productar Uvoice Call		102A, AASC-8, AASD 102A, AASC-8, AASD 102A, AASC-8, AASD	NERV also be a third to as United on p Call Nav also be a third to as United United as
Discreet Linening Call Interrupt Announcement Group Call	90 	102A 102A AABC-8, AABD	
Filia Cal Mobility Management	50	MARCH, AARD, CARA, BAAD	Day and be while did at (ALD System Call, System Wide TG Call
Istar-Gyrken Roam ko Istar-Gyrken Roam ko (10) (10) Istar-Gyrken Roam ko (10) (10)		1024, AASC 4, AASD 1024, AASC 4, AASD 1024, AASC 4, AASD	Hary also be a faired to as Roam kg Hary also be a faired to as Inter-MACN Norm kg Hary also he a faired to as Inter-MACN Norm kg
Inter-Auach Roaning Registration Unit Later Authentication	90 	102A, AASC 8, AASD, AASF-A-2 102A, AASC 8, AASD AACE	Hary also be a three of the an Alcomining
Anilla Fon De-Negeriston Enerwetten		102A, AABC-8, AABD AABC-8	Hay also be in him of to all Group Artillation
Block Bran;piton DBS-OFR Eleapitos of Volke	50 50	HARAD, AACA HIZA, AAAD HIZA, AAAD	NEW 2010 DE LE BATE d'O 25 EXCAPTION OF EXCAPTION AND DE TOT EXCAPTION COMPATIONE O 11/, NOTE ROCATION OF ALL MARKENS . TOT EXCAPTION OF AND ALL MARKENS AND ALL MARKENS .
ADS Exception of Volte ADS Exception of Packet Cata Type 1 Exception	50-A 50-R 50	44.40 44.40 1024, NSA Spiro DNS 18551, DNS18535	
In the Encountria Algoritms In the Encountry Heve Encryption May Update	\$0 \$0 \$0	AAAD AAAD TIDA	Tany also be to fire d to an Elicolypholi Update
Over This Air Revering (OTAR Manual Revering Rature I Supplementary Services	90 50	102A, MACA, MACB, AACD 102A, MACD	Contails 23 rep-options listed 1 AACA 12 sto-options listed is AACO. Also we med to as Physical May Itanagement.
Call Alering Re-programmed Calls Aleriaging / Short Aleriage Shert Emergenic	50 50 50	1024, AASC-8, AASD, AASD, AASD, IACD 1024, AASD, AASC-8, AASH-4, AASC, AASA-4, B 1024, CASA	Hay also be a faired to as CallAF 4, Ut Frage USD Hay also be a faired to as Skoll thessage, Short Data Instrage, Hessage
Radio Unit Monitoring En «rgency Alam En «rgency Call	\$0 \$0 \$0	102A, AABG, AABC-8, BACD 102A, AABC-8, AABO, AABG, BACD BAAD, AABF-A	Hay also be a skind to an face Unition for Hay also be a skind to an Benega ov A krit Brianga soy holtarbar, Brianga soy Hay also be a skind to an Briageroy Croup Call
Extended Functions Radio Unit Inhibity / Disple Radio Unit Uninhibity / Re-enable	\$0 \$0	102A, AABC-8, AABD, AABG	
Radio Ciwda System Services	Some some some some some some some som	AABO, AABC-8, AABC	
retivor a su tur 3º tam Statu Channel Heniser		AASC-I, AASD AASC-I, AASD AASC-I, AASD AASC-I, AASD	
Adage nt Site Shite Records r: Control Clannel Composite Control Clannel	60 50	AARC-B, AARD AARC-B, AARD AARC-B, AARD	AND IN THE ON SECOND STREED FOR THE TO CONTROL
Richup Control Chimel Miscellaniaous	60	ALIC-1, AND	
Texing Party Generate abon Call Mental an Priority Call	90 90 90	1024 ANICA 1024 ANICA 1024 ANICA ANICA	Italy also to be the of to as Fird the acceler for the first of Calle Fill.
Gueung Call Routing (Efficient	10 10 50 50	AABC-B, AABD 102A	ilary also be to fine dito as Q to the Ilary also be to fine dito as "Efficient (i se of M" reson des"
Transmission Trunking SU Bectronic Serial Number (ESN Common Serial Number (ESN	so	44.54-4 1024, 4450	
Contract An Electronic (CAL)		1028, 8AAAA	Also patrix (1) or 275 Data (1) you (1) Tal a certification of the fit has provided
12 SKRECLAILER BEGERI FEGRELOV DEBDE BURDE Access (FDIMA) C4F BAIDCOPOK Hool BRON		1000 8000-A 1000 8000-A 1000 8000-A	O Beronoticts may take only CLFM
95 ktos Gross Hitrate Eastward Compatibility (Arabid Fill Operation) Infer RE Sub-System Interface (G)		102A, BAAA-A 102A, ANS PTIALER-603 Completion	
I II Joice Service I Group Volve Se Libe	50 50-8	ILLA, BACA, BACA-1, BACA-3, BACD	
SU-65-6-0140 bis Senulas Nobili (; Mariagene int Punciforna SU Registration	50-R 50-R	IACA, IACA-I	
SU TBOLING GROUP AMIBIDO GROUP TRAXING	50-R 50-R	BACA, BACA-1 BACA, BACA-1 BACA, BACA-1	
Arther that be Cie der that Die troistor Supplementant: Carta Services (sole de) Einerge icy Altim	50R	BACDA	Navaleo De se tra do ar Stoppente Val / Se vices (Also ne tra do ar Sine role to / Als fi
Energe toy All In. Calve Ratol Oroap Ene ge toy Calve Ratol Call A En	90-7 50-7 50-7	BACD-A BACD-A 1102A, BACD-A	
Ston Hessage / Felopogenmed Data Hessaging Status Qite M Status Update	50-R	TIDA, BACD-A BACD-A BACD-A	
Rado Uniteda Rado Initeda Rado Initeda	SCR MINING	BACOA TIZA, BACDA	
Data Interfaces (A and E ₄) and Services	SOAN IIIIII	1024	
Cala Conteguration - Nadio D Frie Cala Conteguration - Nadio Io Radio (Dinie) Cala Conteguration - Radio Io Radio (Repeated Cala Conteguration - Radio Io Radio (Repeated	50 90	IAEB-A IAEB-A IAEB-A	Havy also be a started bias Mobile birthole and the started of the barry Havy also be a started bias Mobile billobile Sensise (Research Cartholing) Havy also be a the dibias Mobile billobile Sensise (Research Cartholing)
Packet Latin Registration SU Registration SU Registration	50 50 50	BAAD-T. BAEB-A BAAD-T. BAEB-A BAAD-T. BAEB-A	
SU Loganos Traxis a Partes filter a carri Node Partes filter d'una Network Access	50 50 50	BAAD-1: SAES-A BAAD-1: SAES-A BAES-A	
RCP (Radio Control Pro bool 3NMP (Simple Network Management Protocol SCEP (Simple CAI Bridger) a stori Protocol	50 50 50	ALECA, IAELA ALECA, IAELA IAELA	Use of for Radio Hanagament Protocol Use of for Radio Hanagament Protocol
INDCP (aubna livers: Dependent Convergence Protocol Unit Layer and Physical Layer Protocols Telephone Interconnect Interface (Ex)	\$0 \$0	8A 84-A, 8A88-A	
releptions interconnect. Fixed Station Interface (E.)	90	III HOA, BADA	Contains 33 sub-options listed in BADA, Ray also be related to as vote Telepion
Conside Sub-System Interface (E,) contots sub-system interface	50	IDA, BRCAR	
Noce services Nobilit: Nanagement Functions Supplementary: Data Services Gree ISSb	50-R 50-R 50-R	BACH-2 BACH-2 BACD, BACA-3	Havy also be a trive dio ar Supplemental View Libra
Coni d + Mont	50-R	In Inchiz	HONCES DEPENDER AND D TAXEOUR TAND CAR INTERTIPE

Figure 7-1 P25 Trunked Features Matrix

60

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study

PUBLIC REPORT



Factor Name Exclusion Contracts Contracts Under Schlam 0 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Conventional P25 Features Matrix User List -7/31/2008 -	M = Mandatory SO:R = Standard Obtion Requir SO = Standard Option		
and a characterize of the second of the seco	Feature Name	Requirement Level	Standards Bocuments	Comments
Construction Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Construction Construction Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Construction Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Disk Construction Construction Disk Construction	Voice Calls Unaddressed Voice Call	Marganetalism	102A	May also be referred to as Upit to Group Call
al Minor de la construit de la	Individual Voice Call	\$0 \$0 \$0	102A AABC-B, AABD	May also be referred to as Unit to Unit Call
Control packaba Dirak Mach 2000 Mark in Andre at Decking Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Decking Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Control packaba Control packaba Control packaba Mark in Andre at Landon Mark in Andre at Landon Contrel packaba	Call Interrupt Monitor Squelch	M.	102A 102A, BAAD, CABA	May also be known as Digital Carrier Squeloh
Open Section Open Section Open Section Open Section Open Section Open Section Section <td< td=""><td>Normal Squelch Selective Squelch</td><td>M \$0</td><td>102A, BAAD, CABA 102A, BAAD, CABA</td><td>May also be referred to as Unaddressed Voice Call</td></td<>	Normal Squelch Selective Squelch	M \$0	102A, BAAD, CABA 102A, BAAD, CABA	May also be referred to as Unaddressed Voice Call
Component Component <t< td=""><td>Mobility Management</td><td>50</td><td>HECO, HED, CHER BARD</td><td>Inay also be relented to as (ALL) system can, system wide 1 o can</td></t<>	Mobility Management	50	HECO, HED, CHER BARD	Inay also be relented to as (ALL) system can, system wide 1 o can
Control State Contro State Control State Control S	Encryption	80	10000 0000	Bdwales he referred to as Expection or Expected Aids
Ale B control Stork Stork Stork S	DES-OFB Encryption of Voice DES-OFB Encryption of Packet Data	\$0 \$0 \$0	102A, AAAD 102A, AAAD	For Backward compatibility only. Not encouraged for new systems For Backward compatibility only. Not encouraged for new systems
No.1 Dot No.2 Dot No.2 Dot No.2 Dot No.2 No.1 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.1 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2 No.2	AES Encryption of Voice AES Encryption of Packet Data	SO-R SO-R	AAAD	
Image Decision (1) CONT Margin (1) Contact (1) Margin (1) <thmargin (1)<="" th=""> Margin (1) <t< td=""><td>Type 1 Encryption Multiple Encryption Algorithms</td><td>50 50</td><td>102A, NSA Spec 0N618551, 0N018536 AAAD, AACA,</td><td>Market II. Science Market</td></t<></thmargin>	Type 1 Encryption Multiple Encryption Algorithms	50 50	102A, NSA Spec 0N618551, 0N018536 AAAD, AACA,	Market II. Science Market
Insur Strap IDD IDD <th< td=""><td>Encryption Key Update</td><td>\$0 \$0 \$0</td><td>102A 102A AACA AACB, AACD</td><td>May also be referred to as Encryption Update Contains 23 sub-options listed in AACA</td></th<>	Encryption Key Update	\$0 \$0 \$0	102A 102A AACA AACB, AACD	May also be referred to as Encryption Update Contains 23 sub-options listed in AACA
Cali Auting S0 EUX Add C. Add D. Add	Manual Rekeying Features Supplementary Services	\$0	102A, AACD	12 sub-options listed in AACD. As reterred to as Physical Key Management.
Clark Energy 201 Dial Control (201, 204) Open Dial	Call Alerting Pre-programmed Data Messaging/Short Message	\$0 \$0	102A, AABC-B, AABD, AABG, BACD 102A, AABD, AABC-B, AABF-A, AABG, AABA-A, BACD	May also be referred to as Call Aert, Unit Page May also be referred to as Short Message, Short Data Message, Message
Display Display Display Display Display Control of the Name of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Call Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Display Sign of the Displ	Silent Emergenoy Radio Unit Monitoring	\$0. \$0	102A, CABA 102A, AABG, AABC-9, BACD	May also be referred to as Radio Unit Monitor
Desk Durk Produktion Desk Durk Produktion Desk Durk Produktion Charles SO WXX.vef 2, AABD, AABD Max Add 2, AABD, AABD Charles SO WXX.vef 2, AABD, AABD Max Add 2, AABD, AABD Charles SO WXX.vef 2, AABD, AABD Max Add 2, AABD Charles SO WXX.vef 2, AABD, AABD Max Add 2, AABD Charles SO WXX.vef 2, AABD, AABD Max Add 2, AABD Charles SO WXX.vef 2, AABD, AABD Max Add 2, AABD, AABD Charles Max Add 2, AABD, AABD Max Add 2, AABD, AABD Max Add 2, AABD, AABD Charles Max Add 2, AABA, AABD, A	Emergency Call Extended Eurotions	SO	BAAD, AABFA	May also be referred to as Emergency Mar, Emergency mocadors, Emergency May also be referred to as Emergency Group Call
Chade Sign AND, AMECE, AND G Maryako & nefemad to as Caller identifiation of Caller. D Caller, Party Stantification CO UDA, AMECE Maryako & nefemad to as Caller identifiation of Caller. D Caller, Party Stantification Maryako & nefemad to as Caller identifiation of Caller. D Maryako & nefemad to as Caller identifiation of Caller. D Caller, Tarry Stantification Maryako & Network (Caller, Caller, Calle	Rado Unit Hhibit*/Dsable Rado Unit Uninhit*/Reenable	\$0 \$0	102A, AABC-B, AABD, AABG 102A, AABC-B, AABD, AABG	n an
Clamp Arry Settingtion SD UZA May also be referred to as Coller User Settion or Coller-D Command An Northack (Mund) (SN) Max UDA, BAAA Addressed Setting (SN) Command An Northack (Mund) (SN) Max UDA, BAAA Addressed Setting (SN) Command An Northack (Mund) (SN) Max UDA, BAAA Addressed Setting (SN) Addressed Setting (SN) Contract (SN) Max UDA, BAAA Addressed Setting (SN) Addressed Setting (SN) Contract (SN) Max UDA, BAAA Addressed Setting (SN) Addressed Setting (SN) Contract (SN) Max UDA, BAAA Oddressed Setting (SN) Addressed Setting (SN) Contract (SN) Max UDA, RONTA (Nuclear Setting (SN) Max UDA, RONTA (Nuclear Setting (SN) Contract (SN) Max UDA, RONTA (SN) Max UDA, RONTA (Nuclear Setting (SN) Contract (SN) SO UDA UDA UDA (SN) UDA (SN) Contract (SN) SO UDA UDA (SN) UDA (SN) UDA (SN) UDA (SN) UDA (SN) Contract (SN) SO UD	Rado Check Miscellaneous	\$0	AABD, AABC-B, AABG	
Common Air Merface (Un) Common Air Merface (Un) M U2A, BAAA Assessment to a P2D thed Vectors Eshance Under Sea	Talking Party Identification SU Electronic Serial Number (ESN)	SO M	102A 102A, A48D	May also be referred to as Caller Identification or Caller-ID
The all M TODE BAG Particle at the Vaccher Paris at the Vaccher Paris at the Vaccher	Common Air Interface (Um) BRI	Metering	102A BAAAA	
Laber Dorise Transition M UCA: DivAr. AveBAA: Divar Coll Mark Coll Park Modulation M UCA: DivArA: AveBAA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Coll Mark Coll Park Modulation M UCA: DivArA: AveBAA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Coll Mark Coll Park Modulation M UCA: DivArA: AveBAA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Coll Mark Coll Park Modulation M UCA: DivArA: AveBAA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Coll Mark Coll Park Modulation M UCA: DivArA: AveBAA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Coll Mark Coll Park Modulation M UCA: DivArA: AveBAA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Coll Mark Coll Park AveBA: M UCA: DivarA: AveBAA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Coll Mark Coll Park AveBA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Coll Mark Coll Park AveBA: Divar DivarA: AveBAA: Mark Bookes DivarDivarA: AveBAA: Coll Coll Coll Coll Coll Park AveBA: DivarDivarA: AveBAA: Mark Bookes DivarDivarA: AveBAA: Coll Coll Coll Coll Coll Coll Coll Park A Mark Bookes DivarDivarA: AveBAA: Mark Bookes DivarDivarA: AveBAA: Star DivarDivarian Star DivarDivarA: AveBAA: DivarDivarian: AveBAA:	Fhase 1 Enhanced Full Rate Vocoder	M	102A, BABA	Also referred to as P25 Defined Vocoder: Enhanced Version required in new products
Visit Bit Res M USA INVALA Provide Status Visit Bit Res Visit All Status	12.5 kHs. Channel Bandwidth Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) CAEMand: COPSK Middluiztion	M. M.	102A, BAAAA, AABAA 102A, BAAAA, AABAA	Older products may have only C4FM
Inter RF Stub System Interface (C) VEX VEX Otal Interfaces (A and E) and Services Deta Interfaces (A and E) <	9.6 kbps Gross Bit Rate Backwards Compatibility (Analog FM Operation)	M	102A, BAAA A 102A, ANSI/TIA/EIA-803 Compliance	
Dala Interfaces (A and E.) and Services UVA URL Softiantion Signature Signat	Inter RF Sub-System Interface (G)			
Option Construction State Markation Ma	Data Interfaces (A and E _d) and Services Use Interaces (A and E _d)	\$0	102A	
Unit Stor Display Stor Display Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor Stor<	Data Configuration - Radio to FNE Data Configuration - Radio to Radio (Direct)	\$0 \$0	BAEB-A BAEB-A	Marv also be referred to as Mobile to Fixed Host Service (FNE Data) Marv also be referred to as Mobile to Mobile Service (Cirect)
SU Dergistrice SO SAAC 15 648-A SU Dergistrice SO SAAC 15 848-A Su Dergistrice SO SAAC 15 848-A Packet Stat Son Holds SO SAAC 15 848-A Packet Stat Son Holds SO SAAC 15 848-A Packet Stat Son Holds SO SAAE 548-A Used for Radio Management Protocol SO SAEE 484-A Used for Radio Management Protocol SO SAEE 484-A Used for Radio Management Protocol SO SAEE 484-A Used for Radio Management Protocol SO SAEA 484-A SO<	Packet Switched Confirmed Delivery Data	\$0 \$0	BAEB-A BAEB-A BAAD1 BAEB-A	INAV also be referred to as mobile to mobile Service (repeated Cata)
SU Lozation Tracking SO BAAD.1 8 AEB-A. Packet Statis Stat Nock SO BAAD.1 8 AEB-A. View Statis St	SU Registration SU Deregistration	\$0 \$0	BAAD 1, BAEB-A BAAD 1, BAEB-A	
Picket Switchied Lat Antwork Access 30 Delet A Attemption Picket Switchied Lat Antwork Access 30 Delet A Attemption SNIM (Simple Network Horizood) SD Delet A Attemption SIM (Simple Network Horizood) SD Delet A Attemption SIM (Simple Network Horizood) SD Diate A Attemption Transport Of Dear Addo SD BAHA, CADA Attemption Transport Of Dear Addo SD	SU Location Tracking Packet Data Scan Mode	\$0 \$0	BAAD 1. BAEB-A BAAD 1. BAEB-A	
SiteP (All Encapsulation Protocol) S0 LABER A Unk Laver and Physical Laver Protocols S0 BAER A Unk Laver and Physical Laver Protocols S0 BAER A Telephone Interconnect Interface (E) S0 ID2A, BAD A Contains 33 sub-optons listed in BADA. May also be referred to as Voice Telephon Fixed Station Interformed S0 ID2A, BAD A Fixed Station Interformed Interconnect Interface (E) S0 ID2A, BAD A Fixed Station Interformed Control Interformed S0 ID2A, SHA, CADA Fixed Station Interformed S0 ID4A, CADA Tons Renote Control (Intc) S0 ID4A, CADA Fixed Station Interformed S0-R RAHA, CADA IP Copabilities S0-R RAHA, CADA IBABA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Talkgoup Interformed S0-R RAHA, CADA IVP Copabilities S0-R RAHA, CADA IP Copabilities S0-R RAHA, CADA IP Copabilities S0-R	Packet Switched Lata Network Access RCP (Radio Control Protocol) SNMP (Simple Network Management Protocol)	50 50 50	BAES-A BAES-A BAES-A BAES-A BAES-A BAES-A	Used for Radio Management Protocol
Unk Lawr and Physical Lawer Protocols \$0 BAEAA (BAEBA) Telephone Interconnect Interface (E.)	SCEP (Simple C4) Encapsulation Protocol) SNDCP (Subnetwork Dependent Convergence Protocol)	\$0 \$0	BAEB-A BAEB-A	
Itelephone Hereorneo SD 102A, BAD# Contains 33 sub-options listed in BADA. May also be referred to as Voice Telephon Fixed Station Interface (E) SD 102A, BAD# Contains 33 sub-options listed in BADA. May also be referred to as Voice Telephon Fixed Station Interface (E) SD 102A, BAHA, CADA Intercom Transport of Ocar Audo SO 8AHA, CADA Intercom Tores Remote Control (TRC) SO 8AHA, CADA Intercom LEBM Signaling SO 8AHA, CADA Intercom ESM Signaling SO BAHA, CADA Intercom SO BAHA, CADA IP Capabilities SO-R 8AHA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Taking Party Identification SO-R 8AHA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Taking Party Identification SO-R 8AHA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Taking Party Identification SO-R 8AHA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Taking Party Identification SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Taking Party Identification SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to a	Link Laver and Physical Laver Protocols Telephone Interconnect Interface (Eg	\$0	BAEA A BAEB A	
FSI S0 102,8,844,8,CADA Transport of Dear Audo S0 8744, CADA Tecroom S0 8744, CADA LEM Signaling S0 8744, CADA LP Dapbilities S0-R 8744, CADA Bock Encryption S0-R 8744, CADA Talkropul Information S0-R 8744, CADA NAC Futomation S0-R 8744, CADA Traitegraphy futorations S0-R 8744, CADA Traitegraphy futorations S0-R 8744, CADA Therepere Aleft S0-R 8744, CADA Energiency Aleft S0-R 8744, CADA Energiency Aleft	Telephone Interconnec Fixed Station Interface (E ₇)	\$0	102A, BADA	Contains 33 sub-options listed in BADA. May also be referred to as Voice Lelephon
Transport of Lear Audo SU BAHA, CADA. Intercom SU BAHA, CADA. Intercom SU BAHA, CADA. Digital SU BAHA, CADA. Intercom SU BAHA, CADA. Digital SU BAHA, CADA. Intercom SU BAHA, CADA. Block Encryption SU-R BAHA, CADA. Block Encryption SU-R BAHA, CADA. May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audo Taiking Barty Identionation. Taiking Barty Identionation SU-R BAHA, CADA. May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audo Taiking Barty Identionation. Taiking Barty Identionation SU-R BAHA, CADA. May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audo SU-R Taiking Barty Identionation SU-R BAHA, CADA. May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audo SU-R Taiking Barty Identification SU-R BAHA, CADA. Encryption, Vietn SU-R BAHA, CADA. Encryption, Vietn SU-R BAHA, CADA. Encryption, Vietn SU-R BAHA, CADA. Frequency of Decortion Control SU-R BAHA, CADA. Reparting Vietn SU-R BAHA, CADA.	FSI. Analog	\$0	102A, BAHA, CADA	
EBM Signaling SD SAHA CADA Upital SD SAHA CADA Upital SD-R BAHA CADA Block Encryption SD-R BAHA CADA Block Encryption SD-R BAHA CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Taikin Party Identification SD-R BAHA CADA May also be referred to as Caller Identification, Calari D Taiking Party Identification SD-R BAHA CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Taiking Party Identification SD-R BAHA CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Calari D Tempersony Alert SD-R BAHA CADA Enregency Alert SD-R BAHA CADA Trequency of Decration Control SD-R BAHA, CADA Repeating Value Control SD-R BAHA, CADA Repeating Value Control SD-R BAHA, CADA Neceward Squideh Control SD-R SD-R <	Tone Remote Control (TRC)	50 50 50	BAHA CADA BAHA CADA	
IP Capabilities SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Talking Party Identification SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio Talking Party Identification SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio NAC Information SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio NAC Information SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Talkoroup Information SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Energency Airt SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Energency Airt SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Energency Airt SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Energency Airt SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Frequency of Operation Control SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Reparting Viden Control SO-R BAHA, CADA May also be referred to as Energency Airm Reparting Viden Control SO-R BAHA, CADA Energency Airm Reparting Viden Intermation SO-R BAHA, CADA Energency Airm	ESM Signaling Digital	\$0	BAHA CADA	
Laikroup Information SU-R SU-R SU-R SU-R Laikroup Information SU-R SU-R SU-R LAIK Department SU-R SU-R SU-R Laikroup Information SU-R SU-R Mark CADA Emergency Alert SU-R SU-R SU-R Laikroup Information SU-R SU-R Mark CADA Emergency Alert SU-R SU-R SU-R Prequency of Departion Cotrol SU-R SU-R SU-R Repaing Value Control SU-R SU-R SU-R Repaing Value Control SU-R SU-R SU-R Receiver Squidol Control	IP Capabilities Block Encryption	\$0-R \$0-R	BAHA CADA BAHA CADA	May also be referred to as Encryption, Encrypted Audio
Brengency Aler: \$0-R BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Brengency Address \$0-R BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Brengency Address \$0-R BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Repeating Voice Control \$0-R BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Repeating Voice Control \$0-R BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Repeating Voice Control \$0-R BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Repeating Voice Control \$0-R BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Pacever Squide Control \$0-R BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Ethernet 100 Base T with RI-46 connector \$0 BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Table Sub-System Interface (E_s) \$0 BAHA, CADA May also be refered to as Emergency Alerm Net work Management Interface (E_s) Table Management Interface (E_s)	Taiking Party Identitication Taikgroup Information	50-R \$0-R	BAHA, CADA BAHA, CADA BAHA, CADA	Way also be reteried to as caller identification, caller-IU
Frequency of Operation Cortrol ISD R BAAA CADA Bapezing Visite Control SD R SAAA CADA Received Voter Instruction SD R SAAA CADA Preceived Voter Instruction SD RAAA CADA SD RAAA CADA Preceived Voter Instruction SD RAAA CADA SD RAAA CADA Preceived Voter Instruction SD RAAA CADA SD RAAA CADA Preceived Voter Instruction SD RAAA CADA SD RAAA CADA Preceived Voter Instruction SD RAAA CADA SD RAAA CADA Preceived Voter Instruction SD RAAA CADA SD RAAA CADA Preceived Voter Instruction SD RAAA CADA SD RAAA CADA Vet Work Management Interface (Es) SO RAAA CADA SO RAAA CADA	Emergency Alert Emergency Indications	\$0-R \$0-R	BAHA, CADA BAHA, CADA	May also be referred to as Emergency Aarm May also be referred to as Emergency Aarm
The caver squeon control SUIR BMRQ CAUA Ethemet (ID) BaseT with RU45 connector S0 BAHA Received Water Instruction S0 BAHA Received Water Instruction S0 BAHA Console Sub-System Interface (E _a) S0 S0HA CADA YTEO Net work Management Interface (E _a) Net work Management Interface (E _a)	Frequency of Operation Control Repeating Volce Control	SU-R SU-R	BAHA CADA BAHA CADA	
Intercom Console Sub-System Interface (E_s) Intercom Network Management Interface (E_s)	Preceiver Squeech Control Bhermet 100 Base T with RJ-46 connector	SD-R SO SO	BAHA, CADA BAHA BAHA CADA	and a second sec
1750 Network Management Interface (E.)	hteroom Console Sub-System Interface (E.)	SO SO	BAHA, CADA	
	750 Network Management Interface (E.)			

Figure 7-2 P25 Conventional Features Matrix

8.0 Interoperability with BART

8.1 Current State of Interoperability

Maintaining interoperability with the Bay Area Rapid Transit System (BART) radio network is of extreme importance to the City of Oakland and its public safety first responders. Utilizing information gathered from the interviews, questionnaires, and applicable interoperability plans supplied to CTA by the participating agencies, this section will provide an evaluation of the current state of interoperability between the City of Oakland and BART. It will also provide an evaluation of the impact of the City of Oakland and BART. It will also provide an evaluation of the impact of the City of Oakland and BART. It will also provide an evaluation of the impact of the City of Oakland's migration to a P25 radio communication network on interoperability with BART. CTA Communications' BART interoperability analysis results and recommendations will be included in the Final Study Report.

This report contains two major sections, the current state of interoperability and the impact of the City of Oakland's migration to P25. The information contained in this section meets the contractual obligations outlined in SOW Task #8.

8.1.1 Current BART System

BART provides rapid transportation for the bay area. The BART system contains 110 miles of track and 43 BART stations in a system that spans four counties and includes the City of Oakland. The track is segmented into 5 segments, and 30 miles of the track is underground. The trains are dispatched from a centralized control center. About 3/5 of the underground segments of the track are in the City of Oakland.

The BART police have 240 sworn officers and have an authority similar to the State Police, since their jurisdiction crosses county and city borders. The BART Police have their own dispatch center and Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP).

The BART radio system is an analog EDACS trunked simulcast radio system. The system consists of a 10 site, 10 channel simulcast system. The sites are linked together using a fiber Sonet backbone. In addition, they have a regional high level system that consists of 4 sites, with one conventional 800 MHz channel on each site.

The underground portion of the BART system is a high ambient noise environment and BART has the challenge to provide a clear intelligible voice channel in this high noise environment. The 30 miles of underground track are covered using Radiax and a distributed amplifier system. In tunnels that are longer than 2000 feet, BART feeds source RF signals into the Radiax from both directions. The channels used underground include the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) channels, which are used for BART communications. They also have two conventional 800 MHz mutual aid channels repeated underground for the use of Fire/EMS and Law enforcement.

The BART radio system supports 3300 subscriber units of which 600 are mobiles and 2700 are portables. They currently have about 35,000 PTT/day with the busiest hour experiencing a volume of 2,500 PTT/hr. Radio coverage is provided to a 1 ½ miles distance on each side of the track at 95% coverage. About 40-45 units have CDPD mobile data using commercial air cards. The life expectancy of the radio and mobile data equipment is still within limits and there are no present capacity issues. Although there is a planned rail expansion, radio capacity limitations are not anticipated due to the geographical expansion. BART personnel have no plans to review updating the system within the next 5 years.

The BART communications/dispatch center has the capability to communicate directly with every train and can access the in-train intercom system. The dispatch center has 2 consoles and plans to add 6 more in the near future. They have no backup center for their dispatch facility. A single T1 line is active between the BART radio control point and the City of Oakland Dispatch facility.

8.1.2 Existing Interoperability

Interoperability with BART is provided on 2 levels. The first is with other EDACS users including the cities of Richmond and Oakland. A Stargate has been purchased by Oakland to increase the functionality of the network, but it is not fully operational. The logical identifiers (LIDS) have been coordinated between BART and the City of Oakland, but group identifiers (GIDS) will be fully implemented after the completion of re-banding.

The second level of interoperability is with all non-EDACS users. When there is an incident, the Incident Command System (ICS) is established and the non-EDACS users are sent a BART representative to serve as a liaison. BART focuses on providing coverage in the underground and has given out 200 portables for interoperability use with surrounding jurisdictions that do not use an EDACS radio system. Occasionally, emergency responders will use Nextel handsets to communicate with BART personnel.

8.1.3 Interoperability Needs

The City of Oakland has future interoperability needs relating to BART. The primary concern among local agencies is the reliability of the BART channels underground, and the lack of training received on how to use the BART channels. Several Oakland agencies are aware that BART channels are programmed into the radios they use every day, but still believe there is no interoperability with BART, and don't understand how it works. This perception indicates the clear need for training on the use of the BART interoperability channels.

Piedmont Fire Department (PFD) for example, would like to be able to talk with BART since they respond to Oakland Station 10. They have the BART channels programmed in their radios, but standard procedures for interoperability with BART need to be established.

Other agencies have a more thorough understanding of how interoperability works with BART. Oakland Fire Department (OFD) interoperability with BART takes place on the BART channels which are programmed into their 800 MHz radios. OFD dispatch has a dedicated BART radio using BART channels 3 and 5. VHF is used as a backup to the BART channels. OFD also utilizes the BART house lines (red, yellow, and blue phones) when necessary. Each OFD Battalion Chief has a yellow BART phone at their disposal. OFD dispatchers communicate through a direct connect phone line to BART personnel.

It is possible that once the training needs are met and standard procedures have been established, the perceived reliability of the BART underground channels will improve.

BART has future interoperability needs as follows. Radio communications between BART and the San Francisco Police Department in talk around mode is desirable. There is a need for interoperability with San Francisco Fire Department and San Mateo Fire Department. There is a possible need for dual band P25 radios for the BART Police. There is a need for 700 MHz capability in the underground for mutual aid. A backup plan for the BART dispatch facility is also needed in the event that their existing facility becomes inoperable.

PUBLIC REPORT

BART personnel have researched the advantages of increased channel capacity offered by P25, but at this time their present capacity demand is being met. Therefore, migration of the BART network to P25 capability is not one of BART's critical short-term needs.

8.2 Oakland's Upgrade/Migration to P25

The City of Oakland has begun its upgrade to a P25 standards based system. All non-fixed P25 subscriber equipment has been procured and some of the equipment has been deployed. In addition, P25 infrastructure upgrades have also begun. The new site being developed at Gwin will be a 3 channel 800 MHz site with P25 capability. P25 capable handsets will be strategically distributed after the site is fully operational.

8.2.1 Impact of Upgrade on Interoperability

The impact of the P25 upgrade on the City of Oakland's interoperability with BART will be minimal, provided that the City of Oakland continues to purchase subscriber units that are both P25 and EDACS capable. If the City of Oakland end user has a Tyco EDACS compatible radio with a properly programmed BART channel, they will be able to communicate with BART personnel on the BART network. The key is that P25 radios manufactured by Tyco will be backwards compatible on a non-P25 EDACS network.

After the upgrade, however, BART will not be able to talk on the City of Oakland channels because BART does not have P25 compatible radios. As the P25 standard is more widely implemented in the counties and cities that BART services, BART could begin to purchase P25/EDACS compatible portables and mobiles which would enable them to talk to any P25 network within the BART area of operation.

As the City of Oakland migrates to P25 and continues to reprogram radios due to rebanding, the City has the opportunity to implement a well thought out plan for communicating with BART personnel in the event of an emergency. A well developed technical solution can then be paired with proper training and a set of standard procedures for using P25 radios on the BART system. The result will be reliable interoperability between the two agencies.

Section 9 has been purposely omitted from this report.

10.0 Channel Capacity

10.1 Introduction

System Availability includes two aspects: Coverage, which was addressed in Section 1 and Channel Capacity. Coverage indicates that sufficient radio signal is available at the location where the user needs to talk. Capacity means that the radio channel is available when the user needs to talk. In this section, CTA evaluates existing channel capacity of the City of Oakland Radio System. In addition, future system requirements are addressed as well as the advantages that a P25 Phase II system would provide.

The analysis in this section meets the requirements for SOW #10, Channel Capacity. The results of this section will be included in the Final Study Report.

10.2 Existing EDACS channels

The City of Oakland Radio System utilizes available capacity from two main sites, APL and Seneca. Because the system is set up in a multi-site topology, each site must have its own control channel. The APL site has nine channels provisioned, including a control channel for overhead traffic. The channel numbers assigned to this site are channels 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13. Channel 3 is currently out of service and not repairable and one channel is always used for overhead. This leaves seven channels available for voice or data traffic. The Seneca site has five channels including a control channel for overhead. They are defined in the site as channels 1 through 5. This leaves four channels available for voice or data traffic.

Two additional future sites are planned, Gwin and a SCAT site at Fire Station 28. The Gwin site will be a P25 capable site located in the foothills near the Caldecott Tunnel. Although this site is not yet activated for all users, it has been allocated three channels, one for overhead and two for voice traffic. The SCAT site will require, by definition, a single channel that will be used for both control and voice traffic.

There are in excess of 4,000 users on the City of Oakland Radio System, with 4,093 LIDS, or logical identifiers, programmed into the system. The City of Oakland maintains a database of radio resources which indicates that the City of Oakland Radio system has 2,170 portable radios and 1,567 mobile radios and an additional 54 desktop control stations. CTA has recommended that every Oakland Police Department (OPD) Officer be equipped with a portable radio. As a result, we have added an additional 400 portable radios to account for these additional radios.

The total number of subscriber units used for channel capacity is:

Mobiles:	2,170
Portables:	1,567
Additional OPD Portables:	400
Desktop Control Stations:	54
Total Subscriber Units:	4,191

10.3 Future Channel Requirements

A key element in the overall system design is the determination of the number of channels required to handle the expected load due to voice calls. The mathematical model used to perform this calculation is "Erlang C", and involves a set of parameters estimated through a statistical analysis. It is important to understand that the system design is assumed to be a two-site P-25 simulcast system. The analysis is based on trunked channels, all of which are available on a per call basis, and a probability distribution for the random nature in which calls are placed, call duration, number of calls per unit per hour, etc. For these calculations, we need the total number of users or radio units in the inventory as a starting point.

The current non-fixed radio equipment (mobiles, portables, etc.) inventory estimates for the City were provided by the DIT from the amounts reported in the current radio system management tables, which are shown above. We also projected radio quantity growth estimates for the next 20 years to use in this traffic analysis. This estimate is based on data obtained from the US Census Bureau's 2006 population estimates indicating an average city-wide growth rate of 1.3% per year, which we have used as a growth estimate per year for the next 15 years. In addition, we have included an additional 400 portable radios to ensure that adequate portables are available to equip every sworn Oakland Police Department Officer with a portable radio.

There is a well developed database of trunked radio system metrics obtainable from the System Manager that is included in almost all fielded systems. The System Manager records traffic flow, push-to-talks (PTTs), length of calls, channel usage, etc. We have analyzed this data for the City of Oakland and from other projects, and have developed our traffic loading models based on this data and our experience. Armed with this statistical data, the number of subscriber units at present and projected out 20 years, we are ready to perform a traffic loading analysis for the trunked system. Assuming that calls are placed in a random order, the system traffic can be approximated with a statistical model. The Erlang C model is the most appropriate for estimating the traffic flow and loading on a two-way radio trunked system.

We have taken the approach that the minimum number of channels will be the most economical solution. The key parameter to measure is the "Delayed Call Grade-of-Service" shown in the bottom of Table 10-2. We have used a maximum acceptable call delay of 1 second – this is the length of time that a user would have to wait to gain access to an available channel after initiating a push-to-talk (PTT). For public safety, we recommend a "delayed call" grade-of-service to be no greater than 1%, (i.e. less than 1% of all calls placed during the busy hour are forced to wait more than 1 second to gain access to the system). The busy hour is defined as the hour of the day during which a radio system carries the most traffic.

In most localities, the busy hour occurs during weekday late afternoons between 3:00 pm and 7:00 pm. Often a shift change, causing higher radio traffic, occurs during this time. CTA analyzed the data for the City of Oakland's existing radio system and determined that the busy hour normally occurs from 6:00 pm to 7:00 pm with about 3,900 calls granted by APL and Seneca on average.

When sizing systems the busy hour calculation is an important number. In order to calculate a busy hour, it is preferable to have access to system data that corresponds to an incident. During the course of this project, CTA was also provided with data from the recent demonstrations that occurred on January 7, 2009. On this day the number of calls for the busiest hour increased from the average of 3,900 to over 5,800 calls on January 7, 2009. This hour occurred from 5:00 pm to 6:00 pm on this day. For traffic loading purposes it is important to insure that busy hour calculations can handle this increased traffic.

Our definition produces a conservative traffic loading design because it assumes the same busy hour for all user agencies, an unlikely situation. Peak and disaster situations produce higher traffic loading as was indicated by the recent incident in the City of Oakland. System capacity is managed during these periods using prioritized calling. Calls that are queued are processed according to user priority.

In Table 10-2, we have summarized the results for the traffic loading study using "message trunking" for the City of Oakland and other agencies. We have made some assumptions, which are indicated below:

- 1. The system will be a two-site P25 simulcast trunked radio system.
- 2. The system is sized for a 1.3% growth rate each year over the next 15 years. As a result we have used 5087 subscriber units to size the system based on growth predictions.
- Message trunking was chosen in order to provide a more conservative estimate. The impact is that rather than the 3.27 call length for the existing Oakland system, an average call length of 4.1 seconds was used.
- 4. The total number of radios used to size the system was 4,191.

- A delay or call overhead of 1.0% was added for the call setup and digital to analog conversion needed in a P25 system.
- The busy hour calculation should be such that the system is sized to handle the voice traffic during an incident.
- 7. The assumption on the number of calls per hour per unit is 1.3, which is based on industry standards.

The data in Table 10-2 indicates that the City of Oakland will need **16 voice talkpaths** to support voice requirements through the year 2024. P25 and most public safety trunked systems employ a "control channel"- this is a dedicated channel that performs command and control functions 100% of the time. In a trunked system implementation employing this approach, one of the channels would be assigned this function and would not be unavailable as a voice channel. In our modeling, we have assumed that there is a control channel, so the number of voice channels is equal to 16 plus one additional channel that is allocated for the control channel, for a total of 17 radio channels.

Finally, we should note that our analysis here is based on our professional opinion concerning the number of channels required to handle the expected traffic. The FCC has rules that are more liberal in terms of the number of channels that can be justified. Typically, the FCC requires a trunked voice system for public safety to justify 1 channel for every 100 users. In the beginning, the rule can be relaxed to 1 channel for every 70 users with the expectation of increasing traffic load in the future. Using these rules, Oakland could justifiably apply for several additional trunked channels. The problem, however, is that additional frequency assets are likely not available in the 800 MHz band.

10.3.1 P25 Phase II TDMA

One of the significant improvements to Phase II is the increased channel capacity that will be available. Utilizing Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) on the voice channels, Phase II essentially doubles the channel capacity for Phase II systems. The P25 Phase I 12.5 kHz voice channel will be split into two time slots and will give the system the FCC spectral-efficiency requirements of 6.25 kHz equivalency.

A P25 Phase II TDMA working channel is essentially 2 talkpaths. In the channel loading description provided in Table 10-2, the City of Oakland would need 16 voice talkpaths for voice communication and 1 control channel. In a P25 Phase II system, the City of Oakland would need 9 radio channels, 8 working channels with 2 talkpaths each for a total of 16 voice talkpaths and 1 control channel.

A P25 Phase II solution for the City of Oakland will help remove any need for the City to obtain additional 800 MHz (or 700 MHz) licenses to support future growth expectations. The City of Oakland currently has 17 licensed 800 MHz channel, which will meet the City's frequency needs long into the future if a P25 Phase II simulcast solution is chosen.

10.3.2 P25 Phase I FDMA

If the City were to choose a P25 Phase I solution, the working channels will use Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) voice channels which have a single talkpath. Because of this fact, there is a one to one correlation between voice talkpaths and channel requirements. The result is that the City of Oakland would need 17 radio channels, 16 channels for voice requirements and 1 channel for overhead. Again, the City of Oakland has the frequency assets to support a Phase 1 solution.

10.3.3 Joining the EBRC System

After determining how many channels the City of Oakland will require for their own needs, we were then able to evaluate how many channels would need to be allocated for the EBRCS ALCO

West simulcast cell to support the City of Oakland users and the remaining Alameda County users that are also in the ALCO West cell. In recent months EBRCSA has made the decision to move toward a P25 Phase II solution for the EBRCS, which dramatically impacts the number of radio channels needed to support the subscriber units on the system. In addition, EBRCS has updated the number of Oakland users in the ALCO Northwest cell from 2,231 in the Motorola report, to 4,191, which is an accurate representation of the number of users in the City of Oakland.

Since CTA's analysis of the channel capacity needed for the ALCO Northwest cell is based upon the updated City of Oakland inventory numbers, the ALCO Northwest cell will have sufficient capacity to support the City of Oakland.

Table 10-1 has purposely been omitted from this report.

TABLE 1	0-2
TRAFFIC LOADING RES	SULTS SUMMARY
	2024

	2024	Notes
Number of Radios on System	5,087	1
Trunked Voice Channels	16	2
P25 Phase II Working Channels	8	2,3
Mean Call Duration (Seconds)	5.1	and the second
Voice Calls/Hour	6,613	
Offered Load (Erlangs)	7.97	3
Delayed Call Grade-of-Service (%)	0.940	5

Note 1: Quantities to determing number of users during the busy hour, based on 1.3% growth per year for 15 years.

Note 2: P25 systems utilize one additional channel for control functions.

Note 3: P25 Phase II utilizes TDMA to assign 2 talkpaths per Working Channel.

Note 4: The Erlang is the dimensionless unit of measure for traffic. It is calculated as the product of calls/second times mean call duration.

Note 5: Delayed Call Grade-of-Service (1% design target) is the odds that a caller will have to wait more than the maximum acceptable call delay (1 second).

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study

PUBLIC REPORT

11.0 Return on Investment Evaluation

11.1 Introduction

This section provides a review and analysis of the City of Oakland's investment in the current EDACS radio system. The analysis will include a Return on Investment (ROI) timeline assessment and document suggestions / recommendations on how the City's current radio communications system investment could be leveraged into the EBRCSA.

The analysis in this section meets the requirements for SOW #11, Return on Investment Evaluation. The results of this section will be included in the Final Study Report.

11.2 Current Radio System Investment

The City of Oakland Radio System is a Tyco Electronics EDACS system that utilizes two main sites, APL and Seneca. The APL site has nine channels provisioned, including a control channel for overhead traffic. The Seneca site has five channels including a control channel for overhead. The sites are configured in a multi-site configuration and roaming is not supported at this time. The equipment to support roaming has been purchased but has not been installed. A third site is located at the Corpyard Shack. This site is connected via Microwave links to the two main sites and provides connectivity to the Oakland Police Communication Center (Dispatch). The communication center has an Integrated Multi-site and Console Controller (IMC) that allows the dispatch operators to dispatch from both Seneca and APL, and also allows users on Seneca to talk to users on APL.

Two additional future sites are planned, Gwin and a SCAT site at Fire Station 28. The Gwin site has been built and is a P25 capable site located in the foothills near the Caldecott Tunnel. Although this site is not yet activated for all users, it has been allocated three channels and has been fully installed. The channels / talkgroups allocated for the Gwin site have been programmed into some of the subscriber units, but full activation will be completed in conjunction with the reprogramming that is currently in progress for rebanding.

The SCAT site will require, by definition, a single channel that will be used for both control and voice traffic. The SCAT site was not installed at the time of this report and no investment calculation was made for this site.

The Public Safety radio system supports the Oakland Police Department, Oakland Fire Department, Public Works Agency, Oakland Unified School District, Emeryville Fire Department, City of Piedmont Fire and Police Departments and numerous other agencies within the City of Oakland.

The current subscriber units are a mixture of three different makes and models of portable radios. The newer radios are Tyco Electronics P7200 series (either Tyco P7230 or P7270) portables, which make up about 80% of the total radio count. The older models are Ericsson GE MPA series portables. The City of Oakland has 4000 subscriber units.

There are three dispatch centers that serve the Oakland area, Oakland PD Dispatch, Oakland FD Dispatch and the Piedmont Dispatch. The current investment figures were based on 17 console positions in the Oakland PD and FD Dispatch centers and 2 console positions in the Piedmont Dispatch Center. There are 20 additional call-taker positions in the OPD Dispatch.

11.3 ROI Timeline Assessment

The current radio system was installed in the mid 1990's. Some of the equipment has been upgraded since the original installation. These upgrades included a Harris Microwave digital backbone in the late 1990's and the current rebanding effort which has replaced 80% of the subscriber units (mobiles and portables) and has upgraded the repeaters from Master II to Master III. We have taken these upgrades into consideration for our Return on Investment calculations. Since this ROI calculation focuses on the radio system, we have not included the 20 call taker positions at the Oakland Police Dispatch in our calculations. It should be noted that the Master III cannot be re-programmed as a P25 Phase 2 repeater and must be replaced with the Master V.

Table 11-1 shows three columns. The first column reflects the cost if the City of Oakland was to purchase the entire system today. This cost includes towers, shelters, and all infrastructure, microwave, and subscriber units. The second column reflects the value of the system. The current value subtracts out the costs associated with installation ("Vendor Service" in Table 11-1), spares and contingency, which the City of Oakland does not have. The third column is the depreciated value due to the age of the current system. We have depreciated 20% of the subscriber equipment by 2/3. We have depreciated all of the Radio Infrastructure, Microwave, Physical Facilities, and Dispatch Equipment by 2/3.

11.4 Investment Recommendations

The City of Oakland has made a significant investment in its current radio system. The City has several opportunities to leverage this investment. First, the City has several well established and well maintained radio sites. These sites provide benefit far beyond the dollar amounts shown in Table 11-1. Several of the benefits include, approved zoning, FCC licensed sites, known good microwave path profiles, and site development and grounding. The City should leverage these site advantages by working with the EBRCSA to co-locate sites at APL and Seneca.

Another notable investment is the P25 capable subscriber units. These subscriber units represent almost 90% of the current dollar value of the City of Oakland radio system. They also position the City to move toward a P25 system without incurring a large subscriber unit cost. The subscriber units also have the added advantage in that they are compatible with any P25 standards based infrastructure, including Tyco and Motorola.

Finally, the P25 Gwin site can continue to be used in a multi-site configuration that will provide increased coverage in the Oakland Hills area. It should be noted that Gwin should continue to be used as a standalone multisite that provides additional coverage in the foothills. As our analysis in Section 1 of this report indicated, the Gwin site does not have the physical space to place a larger shelter and antenna that would be needed in a simulcast configuration, but does provide significant coverage that no site in the EBRCS provides.

The existing EDACS radio system is nearing its end of life. It is possible that with continued maintenance and some upgrades to the microwave backbone the system may be used for the next few years, but capacity on the existing system is nearing the point where officer safety could be jeopardized. In addition, interoperability needs throughout the region will continue to be strained since most surrounding agencies do not have the ability to interoperate with an EDACS system.



Table 11-1 RETURN ON INVESTMENT CITY OF OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

COST	COST IF THE SYSTEM		VALUE IF THE SYSTEM		DEPRECIATED	
ELEMENT	PUR	CHASED TODAY	PURCHASED TODAY		CURRENT VALUE	
RADIO INFRASTRUCTURE	\$	1,411,600	\$	1,411,600	\$	470,533
MICROWAVE	\$	1,081,200	\$	1,081,200	\$	360,400
NON-FIXED EQUIPMENT	\$	9,085,000	\$	9,085,000	\$	7,527,571
PHYSICAL FACILITIES	\$	317,400	\$	317,400	\$	105,800
DISPATCH EQUIPMENT	\$	797,100	\$	797,100	\$	265,700
VENDOR SERVICES	\$	592,200	\$	- A. A. A A.	\$	-
SPARES - NON FIXED	\$	181,700	\$	Att. 3. 1. 1.	\$	-
SPARES - FIXED	\$	32,900	\$	X (2) 1	\$	-
CONTINGENCY	\$	329,000	\$	1992 J. J	\$	-
TOTAL	\$	13,828,100	\$	12,692,300	\$	8,730,005

12.0 Maintenance Costs

12.1 Introduction

This section provides an analysis of maintenance / replacement costs for the City of Oakland. The analysis includes a comparison of the City's maintenance costs of its current radio communications system versus the buy-in, maintenance and ongoing system/equipment costs (monthly subscriber fees) of joining the EBRCSA. In addition, CTA has included an estimate of the ongoing maintenance costs associated with installing a new P25 simulcast system relative to the costs already allocated for the existing EDACS radio system.

The analysis in this section meets the requirements for SOW #12, Maintenance Costs. The results of this section will be included in the Final Study Report.

12.2 Current Maintenance Costs

The City's radio shop (Radio/Wireless Division) has a staff of 5 personnel who are responsible for the routine repair and maintenance of the citywide public safety radio system. This division provides radio repair services for a number of outside agencies whose radios utilize the City of Oakland radio system for their communication needs. The Electronic Technician staff provides assistance and engineering to City agencies for their over the air communication needs for both voice and data. Radio Shop staff maintain a variety of electronic equipment including wireless networks, camera security systems, satellite systems, cable TV, cellular services, audio video systems, and public address systems.

The yearly budget for the Radio Shop is \$1.2M with 4.2 percent of this allocated for replacement parts and other equipment needed to maintain the City of Oakland radio system. Roughly 72 percent is allocated for labor and the remaining budget supports other operational expenses. Labor costs include the time needed to maintain the subscriber units and the radio system infrastructure as well as the maintenance of the towers, backup power, electric bills for radio sites, administrative overhead, T1 connectivity, and radio site maintenance.

The City of Oakland estimates that less than 20 percent of the radio shop's time is spent maintaining the City of Oakland infrastructure and 40 percent of the radio shop's time is spent maintaining the subscriber units, with the remaining 40 percent spent on other types of equipment used by other City agencies. Using the budget figures above the chart below summarizes the existing annual maintenance costs:

Replacement parts	\$	50,40	0
Labor Costs 72% of Budget	\$	864,00	0
Infrastructure Labor 20%		\$	172,800
Subscriber Labor 40%		\$	345,600
Additional Labor 40%		\$	345,600
Additional Operational Expenses	\$	285,60	0
Total Radio Shop Budget	\$1,200,000		

Total Infrastructure Budget Costs used for Cost Comparison \$ 172,800

If the City of Oakland decides to continue to maintain and upgrade the current system, these costs would remain relatively unchanged, aside from the normal yearly increases to reflect yearly cost of living increases.

PUBLIC REPORT

12.3 Estimated P25 Simulcast System Maintenance Costs

If the City of Oakland decides to build a 2 site, P25 simulcast radio system, the budget allocation and costs outlined above could be redirected to maintaining the new system. Since the same subscriber units will continue to be used, the costs associated with the subscriber units would remain unchanged. Since the number of sites in the new system would be the same as the number of sites in the old system, the infrastructure labor costs would remain relatively unchanged. In fact, the City of Oakland may even see a slight decrease in the hours needed to maintain a new system, versus the number of labor hours needed to maintain the infrastructure of the old system. Typically a 10 year old system will require 10% more maintenance time than a new system would. However, for the purposes of this analysis, we are making the assumption that the current labor budget for infrastructure maintenance would remain unchanged.

In addition the costs outlined above, the City of Oakland will have several additional maintenance costs associated with the new radio system. First there is the maintenance cost that is paid to the vendor, called vendor maintenance, to support the new radio system. Typically this cost is used to pay for return and repair, software services, technical support and potentially remote monitoring and would be about \$100,000 annually. When combined with the existing budget allocated for infrastructure maintenance costs, the City of Oakland could expect to pay \$314,000 annually for system maintenance and infrastructure labor.

In addition to vendor maintenance there is a cost associated with replacing the system as the system nears its end of life. With today's P25 radio systems, this would likely occur between 15-20 years after the installation of the new system. The cost to replace the system as it nears its end of life should be considered in the estimation of the maintenance costs of a new P25 simulcast radio system. CTA estimates that the City of Oakland will have to set aside \$495,760 annually for an infrastructure replacement fee. The table below summarizes these costs.

City of Oakland Annual Maintenance Fee	\$172,800
Software Support Services	\$100,000
City of Oakland Annual Replacement Fee	\$495,760
Additional City of Oakland Radio Shop Budget	\$1,027,200
Annual Total	\$1,795,760

In addition to the above maintenance costs, the City of Oakland would have to find a funding source for the purchase of a new P25 Phase II radio system. Grant funding sources and other funding opportunities exist for the initial purchase, but if these costs fall on the City, then they should be factored into the decision making process.

12.4 Estimated EBRCSA System Costs

The business model for EBRCSA is still under development, but the current plan is to charge each participating agency a fee that will cover the costs of operating and maintaining the infrastructure (microwave and radio sites) and a replacement fee. The replacement fee is intended to be used to generate a funding source to replace the infrastructure in 15 years.

Under the planned business model, the City would still be required to maintain their subscriber units, if they were to join EBRCSA. In addition, the City would also have to continue to maintain the dispatch centers and the Gwin P25 fill in site. It is unlikely, based on the percentage of time (20%) allocated to maintain the current infrastructure compared to the time required to maintain subscriber units, that any reduction of staff would be justified by joining EBRCSA. The City of Oakland radio shop could potentially see, as a result of joining EBRCSA, a 20 percent savings in labor requirements, or about \$172,800 dollars from the annual budget that is currently being used to maintain the radio system infrastructure.

System costs for EBRCSA are still in development and exact numbers will depend on the number of subscriber units that sign an agreement with EBRCSA. Current estimates are based on the numbers provided in the Motorola contract that have been adjusted by CTA, working closely with EBRCSA. The number that is most important to the City of Oakland is the monthly reoccurring cost per subscriber unit that EBRCSA will charge the City of Oakland. The fee, sometimes called a subscriber unit fee, is based on the number of subscriber units that the City of Oakland will have on the EBRCS. The fee covers the costs of maintaining the infrastructure as well as an infrastructure replacement fee. The current business model does not include any buy-in costs.

Current figures break this fee into two parts, a maintenance fee and a replacement fee. Currently the maintenance fee will be about \$15.25 per month. The replacement fee is still being discussed but will likely be between \$14.75 and \$16.75. For the purposes of this report we will use the lower of these two numbers. The City of Oakland will have 4,191 subscriber units on EBRCS, which means the monthly fee will be as follows:

EBRCSA Monthly Maintenance Fee	4191 times \$15.25 = \$63,912.60
EBRCSA Monthly Replacement Fee	4191 times \$14.75 = \$61,817.30
EBRCSA Annual Maintenance Fee	\$766,953
EBRCSA Annual Replacement Fee	\$741,807
Estimated City of Oakland Radio Shop Budget	\$1,027,200
Annual Total	\$2,535,960

One significant challenge to the City of Oakland is the fact that the \$766,953 in annual maintenance fees alone almost exceeds the entire City of Oakland Radio Shop budget. Keep in mind the existing budget would not significantly change if the City of Oakland were to join East Bay and as a result we have used the current radio shop budget minus current infrastructure labor costs in our calculation above.

12.5 Maintenance Cost Conclusions

If the City of Oakland were required to pay both the Maintenance Fee and the Replacement fee, the annual fee for joining EBRCSA, 1,508,760 far exceeds the current budget for the entire City of Oakland radio shop. From a purely maintenance cost perspective, it is difficult to justify paying up to \$1.5M in yearly infrastructure maintenance and replacement fees, when an entire replacement P25 system could be paid for in under four years.

It is possible that the City of Oakland could work out an agreement with EBRCSA to be the maintenance provider for the infrastructure and the subscriber units in the ALCO Northwest Cell, but the details and business plan for this model are beyond the scope of this project. The idea would be for the City of Oakland to leverage the existing radio maintenance capabilities of the City and offer these services to other agencies in areas surrounding Oakland. The significant barrier to this model may be the fact that the City of Oakland's radio shop is a MA/COM shop, while those agencies in EBRCSA will primarily be Motorola based.

13.0 Continuity of Operations

13.1 Introduction

The City's radio shop is one of the keys to providing continuity of operations and customer service assurances. CTA interviewed the radio shop personnel and surveyed the radio shop facilities during the week of interviews conducted in the City. This section provides an overview of the Oakland Radio Shop and an assessment of the radio shop's ability to provide the level of maintenance required to maintain the continuity of operations needed to support public safety communication.

The analysis in this section meets the requirements for SOW #13, Continuity of Operations. The results of this section will be included in the Final Study Report.

13.2 Oakland Radio Shop Overview

The City's radio shop (Radio/Wireless Division) has a staff of 5 personnel who are responsible for the routine repair and maintenance of the citywide public safety radio system. This division provides radio repair services for a number of outside agencies whose radios utilize the City of Oakland radio system for their communication needs. The Electronic Technician staff provides assistance and engineering to City agencies for their over the air communication needs for both voice and data. Radio Shop staff maintain a variety of electronic equipment including wireless networks, camera security systems, satellite systems, cable TV, cellular services, audio video systems, and public address systems.

The City's radio shop is primarily a MA/COM service provider and a majority (95%) of the radio equipment serviced and maintained is MA/COM equipment. In addition the radio shop does service Harris microwave equipment. The primary service line is 700 / 880 MHz subscriber units (mobiles and portables) from MA/COM. The secondary service line includes Kenwood VHF radios.

The five personnel who staff the radio shop include the supervisor and four journeyman technicians, each with a variety of certifications and training that fully qualify them to perform the essential duties of a the radio shop. Two of the journeyman technicians are assigned as full time radio equipment installers.

The test equipment at the facility includes power supplies, soldering stations, 100 MHz scope, RF voltmeters, Digital VOM, Wattmeter, signal generators, spectrum analyzers, RD counter and other installation and maintenance equipment.

13.3 Oakland Radio Shop Assessment

At the time of CTA's visit to the City of Oakland Radio shop, they were in the middle of the rebanding process. They had received a total of 2,987 replacement radios as a result of the rebanding effort. In addition, they were in the process of reprogramming a total of 3,471 subscriber units based on the rebanding requirements.

The rebanding effort also required the replacement of several of the radio repeaters at Seneca and APL. Despite the fact that the radio shop was midway through this intrusive and complicated process, the facility was well organized and regular maintenance and installation operations were continuing unhindered.

The scope of work for this task asked CTA to assess the radio shops' service capability, maintenance/installation operations and the staff's technical expertise. The overview provided in Section 13.2 clearly indicates that the radio shop's facilities meet or exceed the necessary facility requirements. In addition, during interviews and discussions with several of the radio shop staff, CTA was able to
determine that level of technical expertise was commendable. In particular, CTA worked very closely with Gregg Tanner, whose knowledge of the microwave connectivity and the radio site configurations was extremely helpful in completing many sections of this report. He was able to run several channel static, channel utilization and system summary reports on the EDACS system that were used to determine channel utilization statistics.

CTA also conducted a site survey of the dispatch facilities and each of the sites located in the City of Oakland. The summary of these sites surveys is located in Section 9 of this report. In addition, the site survey worksheets are contained in Appendix B. The survey's results clearly indicated that the sites were well maintained, clean and neatly organized, which is a direct indication of the maintenance and installation operations proficiency of the radio shop. CTA feels confident that the City of Oakland Radio Shop is able to provide the level of service needed to support the emergency responders who rely upon the City of Oakland Radio System for their communication needs.

14.0 Governance

14.1 Introduction

The statement of work requires CTA to complete a technical, financial and implementation evaluation of the current EBRCSA JPA Agreement and document concerns we find that are related to the technical, financial and implementation (timeline) aspects of the regional agreement.

The analysis in this section meets the requirements for SOW #14, Governance. The results of this section will be included in the Final Study Report.

14.2 Overview of the EBRCSA JPA

CTA reviewed the current Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the East Bay Regional Communications System Authority (EBRCSA) final agreement dated : August 14, 2007 for this section of the report. The EBRCS Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (JPA) is available for download from the EBRCSA website.

14.3 Technical Evaluation

The EBRCSA JPA identifies the technical solution for the two county area (Alameda and Contra Costa County) as a "P25 digital trunking system operating in the 800Mhz/700Mhz frequency spectrum. The system solution is a wide area, two county, IP-based architecture communications system that is compliant with the ANSI/EIA/TIA-102 suite of standards. The EBRCS Project will utilize sites strategically located throughout, but not limited to, Member jurisdictions. The EBRCS Project is also expected to meet typical public safety requirements of a Grade of Service of two percent busies during the busy hour with an estimated 90 percent of busy calls queued within 2.5 seconds. "

The technical solution identified in the EBRCSA JPA will meet the needs of the City of Oakland, however this report includes many documented City of Oakland user needs that should be addressed by any specific technical solution that is put into place to support public safety radio needs in the City of Oakland.

14.4 Financial Evaluation

The EBRCSA JPA has put procedures in place for an adequate fiscal management, which are outlined in Section 6.d. The JPA outlines the responsibilities of the treasurer and auditor and established a fiscal years from July 1st of each year to and including the following June 30th. Budget adoption and approval requirements are also outlined. It should be noted, however, that only voting members on the Board have input into the budgetary process.

Section 4.b. contains an important limitation of the imposition of powers. This section states that the "Board of Directors shall have no power to impose taxes, assessments, fees or charges within any Member's jurisdiction unless the Member's governing body adopts a resolution approving the tax, assessment, fee or charge."

The JPA does not include any other details on any specific financial information.



14.5 Implementation Evaluation

The EBRCSA JPA makes a provision to develop a Capital Plan; however the current JPA does not include the details of the plan. Section 5.d of the EBRCSA JPA states that the Board will "use its best efforts to develop and adopt within six (6) months of execution of this Agreement:

(i) a Capital Plan specifying a means or formula for determining the timing and sequencing of construction of the EBRCS Project consistent with the Functional Specifications referenced in Section 1 of this Agreement and

(ii) a funding plan specifying a means or formula for funding the Authority's operations and any EBRCS Project phases that are the responsibility of the Authority (the "Funding Plan"), which Funding Plan will include an allocation of costs among the Members, Subscribers to the EBRCS Project and other funding sources.

At the time of this report the results of these tasks were not available.

In addition Section 5.h of the EBRCSA JPA states that the Board will "establish system participation pricing including start-up costs, and ongoing Subscriber/Member unit pricing to cover system operations, technical upgrades, and system replacement reserves."

At the time of this report the results of these tasks were not available.

Finally section Section 5.i of the EBRCSA JPA states that the Board will "establish policies and procedures for the voluntary transfer and/or lease of assets from Member jurisdictions including but not limited to frequencies, transmitter sites and associated equipment."

At the time of this report the results of these tasks were not available.

When this data is made available, the evaluation can be completed.

15.0 Recommendations

15.1 Introduction

This section makes recommendations on a technical roadmap for a radio system that will meet the needs of the City of Oakland and that will provide seamless interoperability with BayRICS. The scope of work for the project required CTA to:

- 1. Review and evaluate existing technology owned and operated by the City.
- Establish a technical roadmap for full and seamless interoperability with BayRICS.
- 3. Provide a cost benefit analysis of the EBRCS JPA proposal.
- Review the City's spectrum efficiencies (simulcast) and the leveraging of the city's equipment and investments as part of the EBRCS.
- 5. Provide recommendations for an interim solution for an Oakland EBRCS MOU.

Because, the City of Oakland is part of the Bay Area Super Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI), the goals and vision of the UASI must be incorporated into the technical roadmap that is developed for the City. This section keeps the vision of BayRICS and the UASI in view, namely "the ability for any public safety radio in the region to communicate with any other public safety radio regardless of location, radio system, or frequency band and to seamlessly roam throughout all 10 Counties in the Bay Area." In addition, this section also addresses the critical needs of the emergency responders in the City of Oakland.

The recommendations in this section are substantiated by the analysis and documentation provided in the other 14 sections of this report. The statement of work also requires CTA to explore the cost savings to the City if it were to join the EBRCSA and recommendations concerning interim MOU, terms and conditions for joining the EBRCSA.

15.2 Technical Roadmap Recommendation

As the City of Oakland prepares for the future needs of radio users throughout the City of Oakland, CTA has several key steps that should be taken to ensure the needs of the emergency responders supported by the City of Oakland radio system are met, while keeping in mind the objectives of the Bay Area UASI and the California SCIP objectives.

We recommend the following steps be taken:

- 1. Complete the current 800 MHz rebanding effort.
- 2. Re-license the rebanded 800 MHz frequencies for a simulcast system, with at least 100 Watts at each site. The key is to increase the ERP at AP from 19 Watts to as much as 300 Watts.
- Purchase an additional 500 portables to be issued to Oakland PD so that each officer has his own radio.
- After increased power levels and upgraded sites following the rebanding effort, verify the coverage meets the needs of the users.
- Research the cost of upgrading the existing EDACS system to a simulcast system. This research will be used to determine if current system needs exceed capacity, this might be a viable short term solution as the City of Oakland decides if they should build out their own system, or join EBRCSA.
- The existing Microwave upgrades should continue. Even if the City of Oakland joins EBRCS, the existing microwave loop could be incorporated into the EBRCS design. If the City builds its own system, the existing microwave system will need to be upgraded as planned.
- Aggressively look for grant funding opportunities to pay for a City of Oakland P25 radio system. If these grant funding sources can be found, then the City should move in this direction.

- AECOM
- Aggressively work with EBRCSA to ensure the current site selections are those that are used in the final design for the EBRCSA ALCO Northwest Cell. Work closely with EBRCSA and negotiate site sharing details for Seneca and APL.
- 9. Work with EBRCSA to determine if a maintenance agreement can be put in place for the City of Oakland to provide all maintenance infrastructure and subscriber support for the agencies in the ALCO Northwest Cell. If an agreement can be made, it may be possible for the City of Oakland to defer most of the costs of joining EBRCSA.
- 10. The final decision will depend on the answers to number 7, 8, and 9 above, since either solution is equally viable from an operational and interoperability perspective.

15.3 Major Findings

This final section is a comparison of the two options based on each of the major attributes of the solutions. Option 1 is the choice to build out a MA/COM (Harris) P25 simulcast system for the City of Oakland. Option 2 is the choice to join the EBRCS ALCO Northwest Cell.

Operability: Both options provide the same level of operability. The needs of the radio users on the City of Oakland System could be met by both options. It is possible, that due to the additional tower sites in Option 2, that better in-building coverage would be achieved with Option 2.

Interoperability: Both options meet the interoperability goals outlined by the Bay Area UASI and by the California SCIP. If the City chooses Option 1, then EBRCS would need to define Interoperability Talkgroups that can be used on the EBRCS by City of Oakland Users.

Initial Cost: The City of Oakland would have to find a funding source to fund the build out of the \$5.67M dollar system for Option 1. At this time there is no initial cost with joining EBRCSA.

- Maintenance Costs: The clear advantage is Option 1. Option 1 is about \$740K less per year than Option 2. If the annual replacement costs are removed, then this difference is even greater. Option 2 can make up some of this difference if the City of Oakland is able to provide maintenance support to the users in the ALCO Northwest cell as described above.
- Coverage: Option 2 provides the best coverage, provided the current ALCO Northwest design with sites at UC Berkeley, APL, Seneca and Skyline is installed. In addition, in-building coverage should be better with Option 2. Option 1 will provide increased coverage over the current system and will meet the needs of the City of Oakland users.
- Redundancy and Reliability: Option 1 provides increased redundancy and reliability over Option 2. Option 1 provides an additional layer of coverage and is a completely separate radio system form EBRCSA. Provided interoperability talkgroups are defined on each system, Option 1 can provide redundancy for users on EBRCSA and EBRCSA can provide redundancy for City of Oakland Users.
- System Capacity: Both options provide adequate capacity for the City of Oakland. However, it should be noted that if the multi-cell users (those that place calls from ALCO Northwest to other cells) significantly increases, then Option 2 could begin to see in increase in traffic. If Option 1 is chosen, this would not be an issue.
- Governance: Option 1 is much less complicated from a governance perspective. The challenges with Option 2 are not significant, but will require more effort than Option 1.
- Interoperability with BART: Option 1 provides an advantage in this category. If Option 2 is chosen, then BART users cannot talk on the system unless they purchase P25 Phase II radios and they are defined on the Motorola system that is being built out for Option 2.

Appendix C – Surveyor Results

In order to identify current problems and the future radio system needs of the City of Oakland, an online survey was used to obtain this critical information. This appendix summarizes the results of the On-line Survey. The trends reported in the online survey align with the information that was reported during user interviews and provide a snap shot of the existing problems with the City of Oakland radio system. A list of the survey questions is provided in SECTION C.4.

C.1 Survey Reported Radio Problems

Oakland users, dispatchers and technical points of contact were asked to participate in an online survey that captured some information about their current communication systems. TABLE C-1 summarizes the problems for trunked radio systems for Oakland. The tables indicate the level or seriousness of the perceived problems. Problems are listed in order of "overall" severity and are also ranked by discipline: fire, law enforcement, public safety, and all others.

C.2 Radio Problem Descriptions

The problem areas summarized in TABLE C-1 are defined below. The headings listed below correspond to the "Problem" Column of TABLE C-1.

Problem Descriptions for Trunked Radio System (Table C-1):

Capacity -- The system has insufficient capacity to support traffic associated with peak or emergency conditions.

Complex Operation -- The radio is complicated to operate or the radio user needs to know the characteristics of the system, which could cause difficulty if the user is in a high-pressure situation.

Dispatcher Access -- For whatever reason, the dispatcher or the user cannot gain access to each other on a routine basis. Either the user must compete for the dispatcher's time, or the dispatcher has no way to contact the user.

Equipment Maintainability -- Maintenance is inadequate on user equipment (including consoles and desk top units); the user regularly needs to return to get the same thing fixed.

Indoor Portable Operation -- Portable units cannot reliably be used in the system, particularly indoors.

Interference -- Users from your own or other localities interfere and step on the local users. This either overrides critical communications or forces messages to be repeated.

Interoperability -- The system does not allow users the ability to communicate between agencies within the jurisdiction.

Limited Coverage -- Dead spots regularly occur, particularly between dispatcher and user.

Outdoor Portable Operation -- Portable units cannot reliably be used in the system, particularly outdoors.

Regional Interoperability -- The system does not allow users the ability to communicate between agencies outside of the jurisdiction.

System Busies – The user has to wait to gain access to the radio system, not because someone is using the talkgroup, but because a channel is not available.

System Reliability -- There are frequent breakdowns of old or poorly maintained infrastructure equipment.

Talk Group Congestion -- On your radio system, too much unrelated chatter from other users is heard; user tends to turn volume down unless they specifically need to call someone, and thus cannot be reached.

Rating Scale:

- 0. No problem identified.
- 1. Identified problem, currently not of concern. May become a concern in the future.
- 2. Occasionally a problem which affects some operations but is generally worked around.
- Regularly a problem, operations are routinely affected to the extent there is a loss of operational efficiency.
- Frequently a problem, frequently affects operations, compromises the ability of the user to fulfill his mission.
- 5. Critical concern, usually affects operations, potential compromise to safety of user or of citizen.

C.3 System Attribute Descriptions

The attributes summarized in TABLE C-2 are defined below. The headings listed below correspond to the "Ref" Column in TABLE C-2.

Reference Column Descriptions:

Improved Voice Radio Coverage: The system shall provide a signal availability of 95 percent to/from mobile radios, with coverage evenly distributed over the service area for all operational functions.

In-Building Coverage: The system shall provide a signal availability of 95 percent to/from portables in building.

Minimize Interference: The system should minimize or eliminate interference.

Increased Channel Capacity: The system design shall include additional channels for current and future capacity. Additional channels are important to alleviate congestion on the dispatch and incident channels.

On-Scene Fireground/Tactical Communications Channels: Direct radio-to-radio frequencies (firegrounds) enable local incident communications in-building, below grade, and in other situations where repeated channels do not offer solid coverage.

Monitored Firegrounds: Fireground communications must be available to be monitored by dispatch, command personnel, or recording.

Emergency Alerting: The radios and system shall provide an emergency function for alerting dispatch and supervisors to the need for assistance.

Workgroup Oriented Operation: The system shall be organized with sufficient channels or talkgroups to allow departmental workgroups to have their own channel or talk group.

Voice Security: The system shall provide encrypted communications for users that need to prevent unauthorized interception of sensitive information.

Operational Boundary Transparency: System operation will be logical, with the focus on whom the user wants to call rather than where they are located. Changes in the user agencies' operational boundaries shall be transparent to radio users. The radio system shall allow any group or department to operate with full communications capability within the service area.

One System Serves All Agencies: Convenient, same-radio communications is important between all Public Safety agencies within the locality.

Interoperability through Dispatch: The radio system shall provide a connection between all dispatch operations allowing dispatchers to facilitate information flow between agencies through dispatch and incident command, rather than at the user level.

Interoperability with Adjacent Localities: The radio system design shall emphasize compatibility with radio systems in the adjacent localities to enable public safety users to assist in adjacent counties (and vice versa) and communicate with users from other Public Safety agencies using their assigned radios.

Interoperability with State Agencies: The radio system design should emphasize compatibility with radio systems in use by the State to facilitate communications with State agencies.

Interoperability with Federal Agencies: While local agencies cannot operate radio on Federal channels, compatible equipment would facilitate Federal/local cooperative efforts if Federal users could communicate over the locality infrastructure.

Person Location: Dispatch can determine the location of a user (to his portable or mobile radio), useful for example when sending assistance.

System Control: The Locality is significantly more comfortable with the high level of system control that comes with exclusive use and system ownership.

Text Messaging: The mobiles and portable radios shall be capable of text messaging.

Dual Band Operation: The user radios need to operate on VHF and 700 / 800 MHz.

Recorder Operations: Logged audio is important for all dispatch and incident communications.

Future Expansion: The system shall be capable of future expansion in the number of channels and the number of users. System design shall incorporate expansion to the level of usage predicted for the next 15 years with only the addition of equipment.

Owner-Controlled Connectivity Network: The system shall be interconnected using a dedicated interconnecting backbone network, such as microwave or fiber. The goal is to maximize reliability, minimize use of leased carriers and associated costs, and maintain control of the network. Additionally, a dedicated, highly reliable network interconnecting all major radio locations is highly desired. This can be via microwave or fiber.

Microwave Additional Capacity: The network design shall include extra capacity, over and above the radio and mobile data needs, for other Locality uses.

Regional Connectivity: The system design shall provide infrastructure connectivity to adjacent areas.

OTAP: The system shall provide for Over-the-Air-Programming of radios.

OTAR: The system shall provide for Over-the-Air-Rekeying of encrypted radios.

Over-the-Air-Reflash: The system shall provide for over-the-air upgrades to operating software or new software versions for mobiles and portables.

Survivability: The system shall be designed to survive in severe weather or emergency conditions. If dispatch points are shifted from their primary to a backup location, radio control shall be available at the backup location to the same degree it was available at primary dispatch.

Reliability/Failure Hierarchy: The radio system and equipment must be designed such that singlemode failures do not perceptibly impact the routine operations of the system.

The following requirements shall apply to failure conditions:

- Channel failure: no operating impact due to failed voice channel.
- Site failure: no operating impact except reduced coverage area.
- Primary power failure: UPS backup shall be supplied for all communications equipment, and generator backup for the radio equipment.
- Console failures: Single console failure: use reserve console. Console common equipment failure: dispatchers operate co-located radio control station.
- Communications Center failure: Dispatch using radio control stations at a backup dispatch center.

Single Points of Failure: The system shall, as much as practical, minimize single points of failure. This is accomplished through redundant equipment, multi-node network design, distributed processing, backup equipment, etc.

Power Backup: All fixed radio equipment shall require backup power with automatic transfer, capable of handling 100 percent loading of radio equipment. An uninterruptible power system (UPS) shall be required for all communications equipment.

Staffing and Training: The system vendor shall provide formal training for system administrators, supervisors, dispatchers, radio users, and maintenance technicians.

Centralized Maintenance: The Locality / Agency prefers to centrally maintain and administer the radio system, dispatch systems, and user radios, either in-house or using a service shop. Centralized maintenance provides consistent and coordinated services for all user departments.

Competitive Procurement Process: The overall system concept shall be available from more than one vendor allowing a competitive procurement process. Equipment shall be procured using open non-restrictive, competitive specifications. Award to be based on the most cost-effective system meeting the specified operational and functional requirements.

Commonality of Equipment: A single vendor shall install and supply all required equipment; as much as possible, user equipment shall be similar in operation and maintenance requirements. The goal is to minimize spare parts inventory and multiple vendor training requirements.

Multiple Sources: Compatible user equipment shall be available from multiple vendors. Competitive procurement of user equipment is more important than equipment commonality.

Phased Implementation: As much as possible, system procurement and implementation shall occur on a phased basis, allowing costs to be spread over several years. The radio system shall be designed to add user groups to the system over time.

Tiered Subscriber Cost: High-, mid-, and low-tier radio equipment with feature sets and costs matched to the user group shall be provided.

Users ranked the attributes utilizing the following scale:

Rating Scale:

Attribute is NOT IMPORTANT to the user.

Attribute is MINIMALLY IMPORTANT to the user.

Attribute is NICE TO HAVE, could enhance operations.

Attribute is USEFUL, will promote more efficient day to day operation.

QUITE IMPORTANT, lack could result in degradation of mission, injury, or loss of property.

CRITICAL, lack generally will result in injury, loss of property, or degradation of mission.

C.4 Survey Questions

This section presents the CTA Surveyor questions used for the Oakland survey. Each section contains a group of related questions.

User Information - Initial Questions

Note: These questions gather information about the survey participant.

Is your radio system Trunked or Conventional? Trunked Conventional

Your radio system is trunked if you use talk groups. Trunked systems are typically at 700, 800 or 900 MHz. Your radio system is conventional if you use channels or frequencies. Conventional radio systems are typically at high band, VHF or UHF frequencies.

Are you a manager or administrator of the radio system?

Yes No

You manage or administer the radio system.

Yes

D o you currently use or do you plan to use Mobile Data?

Yes No

Current Conventional Voice Radio System Assessment

This section will only be presented if the survey participant answers "Conventional" to the question "Is your radio system Trunked or Conventional?

Rating Scale and Problem Descriptions are shown in Section C-2

Current Trunked Voice Radio System Assessment

This section will only be presented if the survey participant answers "Trunked" to the question "Is your radio system Trunked or Conventional?

Rating Scale and Problem Descriptions are shown in Section C-2

AECOM

Mobile Data

The Mobile Data sections will only be presented if the survey participant answers "Yes" to the question "Do you use mobile data in your work?"

Mobile Data Information

Current Mobile Data Operations

Do you use mobile data on a radio system, a commercial (cellular) data service provider or both?

Your radio system may provide the ability to have mobile data. Mobile data may be provided by a commercial data services provider or cellular provider via an "air card."

Radio System Commercial Data Service Provider Both

If your mobile data is on a radio system, what is the frequency band?

- Low Band
- VHF
- UHF
- 700 MHz
- 800 MHz
- 900 MHz
- Other

What Type of Mobile Data Equipment Do You Use?

- Laptops
- Mobile Data Computers or Terminals
- Other

If you selected "Other," please describe.

Mobile Data Applications

Does your mobile data system support GPS or Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL)?

Does your mobile data system support sending fingerprints?

Does your mobile data system support sending maps or geo-files?

Does your mobile data system support sending images?

Does your mobile data system support video?

AECOM

Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 0. No problem identified.
- Identified problem, currently not of concern.
- 2. Occasionally a problem.
- 3. Regularly a problem.
- 4. Frequently a problem.
- 5. Critical concern.
- 6. Don't Know.

Limited Data Coverage: When you use mobile data, do you experience areas of limited data coverage? Limited Data Coverage Area -- Dead spots regularly occur,

Access: Can you gain access to the mobile data system when you need to? Access -- User cannot gain access when the situation requires data communications.

Capacity: Does the system have sufficient capacity to support mobile data during peak or emergency conditions?

Capacity -- The system has insufficient capacity to support traffic associated with peak or emergency conditions.

System Reliability: Are there frequent problems; does the equipment breakdown? System Reliability -- Frequent breakdowns of old or poorly maintained equipment, including infrastructure equipment.

Complex Operation: How easy is it to operate the laptop, MDC or the mobile data application? Complex Operation -- The mobile data application is complicated to use, which could cause difficulty if the user is in a high-pressure situation.

Dispatcher Access: Can you gain access to the dispatcher via the mobile data system? Dispatcher Access -- For whatever reason, the dispatcher or the user cannot gain access to each other via the data system on a routine basis.

Equipment Maintainability: Is maintenance on your laptop or MDC adequate, or do you regularly need to get the same thing fixed?

Equipment Maintainability -- Maintenance is inadequate on user equipment; the user regularly needs to return to get the same thing fixed.

Data Speed: Does it take a long time to send or receive a message? Data Speed—It takes a long time to send and / or receive a message, or the message has to be resent.

Equipment Quantities Assessment

The Radio Equipment sections will only be presented if the survey participant answers "Yes" to the question "Are you a manager or administrator of the radio system?"

Current Subscriber Equipment Quantities

For each equipment category, enter the total number of units you operate (not including spares) for each frequency band.

Equipment Type	Low Band	UHF	Dual Band 700 / 800 MHz	800 MHz	900 MHz
Mobiles					
Portables	C CORS II	1000			
Desktop or Control Stations		11.2		1.000	

Current Spare Radios in Inventory

For each equipment category, enter the total number of spare units you have in inventory for each frequency band.

Equipment Type	Low Band	Dual Band 700 / 800 MHz	800 MHz	900 MHz
Mobiles				
Portables	S N S	C. S. C. Marca		
Desktop or Control Stations				

Immediate Radio Equipment Needs

This section will only be presented if the survey participant answers "Yes" to the previous question.

For each equipment category, enter the total number of units you need today for each frequency band.

Equipment Type	Low Band	Dual Band 700 / 800 MHz	800 MHz	900 MHz
Mobiles		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	
Spare Mobiles				
Portables	1			
Spare Portables	S			
Desktop or Control Stations		14 1 2 2 2 1	1	
Spare Desktop or Control Stations				

Radio Equipment – Future Subscriber Quantities

Enter the percentage increase in the quantities of user equipment estimated to be needed 5, 10 and 15 years from now. For example, if you currently have 500 mobiles, 300 portables, and 20 desktop stations, and you enter 10 (percent), this means you would need 50 more mobiles, 30 more portables, and 2 more Desktop stations in 5 years. This is a rough estimate, and so will be applied across each radio type (mobiles, portables, control stations). Note that the range is 0% to 1000%, allowing for no increase to up to 10 times as many radios as currently in place. It would be unusual for increases over 50%, unless you are expecting significant growth, or planning consolidation with other Agencies on a shared system, for example

the second se	5 Years	10 Years	15 Years
What percentage increase in equipment do you forecast for 5, 10, and 15 years out?			

Current Mobile Data Equipment Quantities

The Mobiles Data Equipment sections will only be presented if the survey participant answers "Yes" to the questions "Are you a manager or administrator of the radio system?" and "Do you have Mobile Data on your system?

Current Equipment in Operation (Not Including Spares)

Please enter the number of current laptops or MDCs you have in operation (not including spares).

Current Spare Equipment in Inventory

Please enter the number of spare laptops or MDCs you have in inventory.

Immediate Mobile Data Needs

Are the equipment quantities you entered in the previous section sufficient for your current staffing needs?

Additional Mobile Data Needs

Additional Laptops or MDCs: Please enter the number of additional laptops or MDCs you need today but do not have (not including spares).

Additional Spare Laptops or MDCs: Please enter the number of additional laptops or MDCs you need in inventory but do not have today.

Future Mobile Data Quantities

Enter the percentage increase in the quantities of equipment estimated to be needed 5, 10 and 15 years from now.

	5 Years	10 Years	15 Years
What percentage increase in equipment do you forecast for 5, 10, and 15 years out?			

Interoperability Information SAFECOM Continuum

The Interoperability sections will only be presented if the survey participant answers "Yes" to the question "Are you a manager or administrator of the radio system?"

Governance

A common governing structure for solving interoperability issues will improve the policies, processes, and procedures of any major project by enhancing communication, coordination, and cooperation, establishing guidelines and principles, and reducing any internal jurisdictional conflicts. This group should consist of local, tribal, state, and federal entities as well as representatives from all pertinent public safety disciplines within the identified region. A formal governance structure is critical to the success of interoperability planning.

Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 1. Individual Agencies Working Independently
- Informal Coordination Between Agencies
- 3. Key Multi-Discipline Staff Collaboration on a Regular Basis
- 4. Regional Committee Working with a Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan Framework
- 5. Don't Know

Please select the response that best describes your interoperability governance structure with other disciplines within your own jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes your interoperability governance structure with other agencies outside your jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes your interoperability governance structure between state and local government.

Please select the response that best describes your interoperability governance structure between federal and local government.

Standard Operating Procedures

Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) are formal written guidelines or instructions for incident response. SOPs typically have both operational and technical components. Established SOPs enable emergency responders to successfully coordinate an incident response across disciplines and jurisdictions. Clear and effective SOPs are essential in the development and deployment of any interoperable communications system.

Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 1- Individual Agency SOPs
- 2- Joint SOPs for Planned Events
- 3- Joint SOPs for Emergencies
- 4- Regional Set of Communications SOPs
- 5- National Incident Management (NIMS) Integrated SOPs
- 6- Don't Know

Please select the response that best describes your Standard Operating Procedures for interoperability with other disciplines within your own jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes your Standard Operating Procedures for interoperability with agencies outside your jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes your Standard Operating Procedures for interoperability between state and local government.

Please select the response that best describes your Standard Operating Procedures for interoperability between federal and local government.

Technology - Voice

Although technology is a critical tool for improving Interoperability, it is not the sole driver of an optimal solution. Success in each of the other elements is essential to its proper use an implementation, and should drive technology procurement. Technology is highly dependent upon existing infrastructure within a region. Multiple technology solutions may be required to support large events.

AECO



Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 1- Swap Radios
- 2- Gateway
- 3- Shared Channels
- 4- Proprietary Shared Systems
- 5- Standards-based Shared Systems
- 6- Don't Know

Please select the response that best describes the technology or your means of interoperability with other disciplines within your own jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes the technology or your means of interoperability with other agencies outside your own jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes the technology or your means of interoperability between state and local government.

Please select the response that best describes your interoperability governance structure between federal and local government.

Technology - Data

Although technology is a critical tool for improving Interoperability, it is not the sole driver of an optimal solution. Success in each of the other elements is essential to its proper use an implementation, and should drive technology procurement. Technology is highly dependent upon existing infrastructure within a region. Multiple technology solutions may be required to support large events.

Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 1- Swap Files
- 2- Common Applications
- 3- Custom-Interfaced Applications
- 4- One-Way Standards-based Sharing
- 5- Two-Way Standards-based Sharing
- 6- Don't Know

Please select the response that best describes the technology or your means of data interoperability with other disciplines within your own jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes the technology or your means of data interoperability with other agencies outside your own jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes the technology or your means of data interoperability between state and local government.

Please select the response that best describes the technology or your means of data interoperability between federal and local government.

Training & Exercises

Proper training and regular exercises are critical to the implementation and maintenance of a successful interoperability solution. Implementing effective training and exercise programs to practice communications interoperability is essential for ensuring that the technology works and responders are able to effectively communicate during emergencies.

Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 1- General Orientation on Equipment
- 2- Single Agency Tabletop Exercises for Key Field and Support Staff
- 3- Multi-agency Tabletop Exercises for Key Field and Support Staff
- 4- Multi-agency Full Functional Exercises Involving All Staff
- 5- Regular Comprehensive Regional Training and Exercises
- 6- Don't Know

Please select the response that best describes your interoperability training and exercises with other disciplines within your own jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes your training and exercises for interoperability with agencies outside of jurisdiction.

Please select the response that best describes your training and exercises for interoperability between state and local government.

Please select the response that best describes your training and exercises for interoperability between federal and local government.

Usage

Usage refers to how often interoperable communications technologies are used. Success in this element is contingent upon progress and interplay among the other four elements on the Interoperability Continuum.

Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 1- Planned Events
- 2- Localized Emergency Incidents
- 3- Regional Incident Management
- 4- Daily Use throughout Region
- 5- Don't Know

Please select the response that best describes how often you use interoperability with other disciplines within your own jurisdiction or locality.

Please select the response that best describes how often you use interoperability with other agencies outside your jurisdiction.

Please select the response that best describes how often you use interoperability between state and local government.

Please select the response that best describes how often you use interoperability between federal and local government.

Voice Systems Interoperability Assessment

In this Voice Interoperability Assessment section, you will be asked to describe how your interoperability, using voice radio, with other Agencies. There are four groups of questions - interoperable communications within your jurisdiction, between jurisdictions, with State agencies, and with Federal agencies.

Please answer all questions to the best of your ability.

AECOM

Clicking on any Question will provide "Help" in this screen. Click in the response field to the right of the Question to provide your response.

You can change any response.

Current Interoperability

The section asks who you have interoperability with currently, within your jurisdiction or locality, outside your jurisdiction or locality, with state agencies and with federal agencies.

What disciplines within your jurisdiction do you currently have interoperability with?

Select all that apply – Disciplines within your jurisdiction that your Agency currently has interoperability with. If you do not have interoperability with any other disciplines, check "None".

Law Enforcement - Sheriff Law Enforcement - Police Law Enforcement - Tribal Fire EMS Emergency Management Hospitals Correctional Facilities Local Public Works Other Local Government Other Tribal Government Other None

What disciplines outside your jurisdiction do you currently have interoperability with? Select all that apply – Disciplines outside your jurisdiction that your Agency currently has interoperability with. If you do not have interoperability with any other disciplines, check "None".

Law Enforcement - Sheriff Law Enforcement - Police Law Enforcement - Tribal Fire EMS Emergency Management Hospitals Correctional Facilities Local Public Works Other Local Government Other Tribal Government Other None

What State Agencies are you currently able to communicate with? (List must be customized for applicable state)

Select all that apply - State Agencies that your Agency communicates with. If you do not communicate with any State Agencies, check "None".

State Police Fish and Game

CTA Communications

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study

> Homeland Security Dept. of Agriculture Dept. of Commerce Corrections Health Emergency Management Human Services Information Technologies National Guard Transportation Dept. Other None

What Federal Agencies are you currently able to communicate with?

Select all that apply - Federal Agencies that your agency communicates with. If you do not communicate with any Federal Agencies, check "None".

Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms **Bureau of Land Management Drug Enforcement Administration** Dept of Interior Dept of Homeland Security **Environmental Protection Agency** Federal Bureau of Investigation National Parks Service Natural Resource Conservation Service Secret Service Transportation Security Agency US Dept of Agriculture **US Forest Service** US Fish and Wildlife Service **US Marshals US Postal Service** Other None

If you selected "Other" for having interoperability with any local, state or federal disciplines or agencies, please enter the agencies here.

List the specific disciplines that you have interoperability with that are not listed above.

Interoperability Need

What disciplines in your jurisdiction do you need to communicate with, but cannot? Select all that apply – Disciplines that your Agency is not currently able to interoperate with. If you do not communicate with any other disciplines, check "None".

Law Enforcement - Sheriff Law Enforcement - Police Law Enforcement - Tribal Fire EMS Emergency Management

CTA Communications

AECOM

PUBLIC REPORT

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study

> Hospitals Correctional Facilities Local Public Works Other Local Government Other Tribal Government Other None

What disciplines outside of your jurisdiction do you need to communicate with, but cannot? Select all that apply – Disciplines that your Agency is not currently able to interoperate with. If you do not communicate with any other disciplines, check "None".

Law Enforcement - Sheriff Law Enforcement - Police Law Enforcement - Tribal Fire EMS Emergency Management Hospitals Correctional Facilities Local Public Works Other Local Government Other Tribal Government Other None

What State Agencies or disciplines do you need to communicate with, but cannot? (List must be customized for applicable state)

Select all that apply - State Agencies that your Agency is not currently able to interoperate with. If you do not communicate with any other disciplines, check "None".

State Police Fish and Game Homeland Security Dept. of Agriculture Dept. of Commerce Corrections Health Emergency Management Human Services Information Technologies National Guard Transportation Dept. Other None

What Federal Agencies or disciplines do you need to communicate with, but cannot? (List must be customized for applicable state)

Select all that apply – Federal Agencies that your Agency is not currently able to interoperate with. If you do not communicate with any other disciplines, check "None".

AECON

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study

> Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms **Bureau of Land Management** Drug Enforcement Administration Dept of Interior Dept of Homeland Security Environmental Protection Agency Federal Bureau of Investigation National Parks Service Natural Resource Conservation Service Secret Service Transportation Security Agency **US Dept of Agriculture US Forest Service** US Fish and Wildlife Service **US Marshals US Postal Service** Other None

If you selected "Other" for needing interoperability with any local, state or federal disciplines or agencies, please enter the agencies here.

List the specific Agencies that you communicate with (interoperations) that have not been selected above.

Future Systems Information

This section contains features and functionality desired in a Radio Communications System. Please rate the importance of the following system attributes to your Agency for a future radio system.

These system attributes are characteristics that COULD be emphasized in a new system design.

Please rate each attribute according to importance for your operation using the rating scale defined below. "Click" on the button to select your desired response. Please answer all questions to the best of your ability. You can change any response.

Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 0. Attribute is NOT IMPORTANT to the user.
- 1. Attribute is MINIMALLY IMPORTANT to the user.
- 2. Attribute is NICE TO HAVE, could enhance operations.
- 3. Attribute is USEFUL, will promote more efficient day to day operation.
- QUITE IMPORTANT, lack could result in degradation of mission, injury, or loss of property.
- 5. CRITICAL, lack generally will result in injury, loss of property, or degradation of mission.
- Don't Know, insufficient information available to answer this question.

Radio Coverage

Improved Voice Radio Coverage: The system should provide radio coverage evenly distributed over the service area for all operational functions. The goal is for there to be no dead spots. The system shall provide a signal availability of 95 percent to/from mobile radios, with coverage evenly distributed over the service area for all operational functions.

AECO

In-Building Coverage: The radio system should provide in-building coverage in the metropolitan areas and in other areas where appropriate.

The system shall provide a signal availability of 95 percent to/from portables in building.

Minimize Interference: The system should minimize or eliminate interference.

Radio Voice Operations

Increased Channel Capacity: The system design shall include additional channels for current and future capacity. Additional channels are important to alleviate congestion on the dispatch and incident channels.

On-Scene Fireground/Tactical Communications Channels: The system design should include licensed simplex frequencies for use by fire departments on-scene.

Direct radio-to-radio frequencies (firegrounds) enable local incident communications in-building, below grade, and in other situations where repeated channels do not offer solid coverage.

Monitored Firegrounds: The system design should provide a means or routing fireground channels to dispatch. *Fireground communications must be available to be monitored by dispatch, command personnel, or recording.*

Emergency Alerting: The radios and system shall provide an emergency function for alerting dispatch and supervisors to the need for assistance.

Workgroup Oriented Operation: The system shall be organized with sufficient channels or talk groups to allow departmental workgroups to have their own channel or talk group. Voice Security: The system shall provide encrypted communications for users that need to prevent unauthorized interception of sensitive information.

Operational Boundary Transparency: The radio system design shall utilize multiple tower sites, and to the extent possible, automatically switch to the correct site, transparent to the radio user. System operation will be logical, with the focus on whom the user wants to call rather than where they are located. Changes in the user Agencies' operational boundaries shall be transparent to radio users. The radio system shall allow any group or department to operate with full communications capability within the service area.

One System Serves All Agencies: One radio system shall support all Public Safety agencies including all Law Enforcement, Fire agencies and Emergency Medical Service agencies. It may also support Public Service agencies.

Convenient, same-radio communications is important between all Public Safety agencies within the Locality.

Interoperability through Dispatch: The radio system shall provide a connection between all dispatch operations allowing dispatchers to facilitate information flow between agencies through dispatch and incident command, rather than at the user level.

Interoperability with Adjacent Localities: The radio system design shall emphasize compatibility with radio systems in the adjacent localities to enable public safety users to assist in adjacent counties (and visa versa) and communicate with users from other Public Safety agencies using their assigned radios.

Interoperability with State Agencies: The radio system design should emphasize compatibility with radio systems in use by the State to facilitate communications with State agencies.

Interoperability with Federal Agencies: The radio system design shall emphasize compatibility with radio systems in use by the Federal agencies operating in the locality.

PUBLIC REPORT

While local agencies cannot operate radio on Federal channels, compatible equipment would facilitate Federal/local cooperative efforts if Federal users could communicate over the locality infrastructure.

Person Location: The radio system shall include radio location technology to map the location of user radios.

Dispatch can determine the location of a user (to his portable or mobile radio), useful for example when sending assistance.

System Control: The Locality is significantly more comfortable with the high level of system control that comes with exclusive use and system ownership.

Text Messaging: The mobiles and portable radios shall be capable of text messaging.

Dual Band Operation: The user radios need to operate on both VHF and 700 / 800 MHz.

Recorder Operations: The system design shall provide the capability of recording audio for all Public Safety agencies using the system.

Logged audio is important for all dispatch and incident communications.

Infrastructure Capabilities

Future Expansion: The system shall be capable of future expansion in the number of channels and the number of users.

System design shall incorporate expansion to the level of usage predicted for the next 15 years with only the addition of equipment.

Owner-Controlled Connectivity Network: The system shall be interconnected using a dedicated interconnecting backbone network, such as microwave or fiber.

The goal is to maximize reliability, minimize use of leased carriers and associated costs, and maintain control of the network. Additionally, a dedicated, highly reliable network interconnecting all major radio locations is highly desired. This can be via microwave or fiber

Microwave Additional Capacity: The network design shall include extra capacity, over and above the radio and mobile data needs, for other Locality uses.

Regional Connectivity: The system design shall provide infrastructure connectivity to adjacent areas.

OTAP: The system shall provide for Over-the-Air-Programming of radios. The radios shall be capable of being reprogrammed over-the-air.

OTAR: The system shall provide for Over-the-Air-Rekeying of encrypted radios.

Over-the-Air-Reflash: The system shall provide for over-the-air upgrades to operating software or new software versions for mobiles and portables.

Reliability and Availability

Survivability: The system shall be designed to survive in severe weather or emergency conditions. If dispatch points are shifted from their primary to a backup location, radio control shall be available at the backup location to the same degree it was available at primary dispatch.

Reliability/Failure Hierarchy: The radio system and equipment must be designed such that single-mode failures do not perceptibly impact the routine operations of the system.

City of Oakland, CA		AECOM
Interoperability Study	PUBLIC REPORT	

The following requirements shall apply to failure conditions:

- Channel failure: no operating impact due to failed voice channel.
- Site failure: no operating impact except reduced coverage area.
- Primary power failure: UPS backup shall be supplied for all communications equipment, and generator backup for the radio equipment.
- Console failures: Single console failure: use reserve console. Console common equipment failure: dispatchers operate co-located radio control station. Communications Center failure: Dispatch using radio control stations at a backup dispatch center.

Single Points of Failure: The system shall, as much as practical, minimize single points of failure. *This is accomplished through redundant equipment, multi-node network design, distributed processing, backup equipment, etc.*

Power Backup: All fixed radio equipment shall require backup power with automatic transfer, capable of handling 100 percent loading of radio equipment. An uninterruptible power system (UPS) shall be required for all communications equipment.

Training and Maintenance

Staffing and Training: The system vendor shall provide formal training for system administrators, supervisors, dispatchers, radio users, and maintenance technicians.

Centralized Maintenance: The Locality / Agency prefers to centrally maintain and administer the radio system, dispatch systems, and user radios, either in-house or using a service shop. *Centralized maintenance provides consistent and coordinated services for all user departments.* Cost and Procurement

Competitive Procurement Process: The overall system concept shall be available from more than one vendor allowing a competitive procurement process.

Equipment shall be procured using open non-restrictive, competitive specifications. Award to be based on the most cost-effective system meeting the specified operational and functional requirements.

Commonality of Equipment: A single vendor shall install and supply all required equipment; as much as possible, user equipment shall be similar in operation and maintenance requirements. *The goal is to minimize spare parts inventory and multiple vendor training requirements.*

Multiple Sources: Compatible user equipment shall be available from multiple vendors. Competitive procurement of user equipment is more important than equipment commonality.

Phased Implementation: As much as possible, system procurement and implementation shall occur on a phased basis, allowing costs to be spread over several years. The radio system shall be designed to add user groups to the system over time.

Tiered Subscriber Cost: High-, mid-, and low-tier radio equipment with feature sets and costs matched to the user group shall be provided.

The initial cost of user radios is a prime concern in the evaluation of proposed alternatives.

AECOM

Mobile Data Operations

Possible Answers for Ratings Questions:

- 0. Attribute is NOT IMPORTANT to the user.
- 1. Attribute is MINIMALLY IMPORTANT to the user.
- 2. Attribute is NICE TO HAVE, could enhance operations.
- 3. Attribute is USEFUL, will promote more efficient day to day operation.
- 4. QUITE IMPORTANT, lack could result in degradation of mission, injury, or loss of property.
- 5. CRITICAL, lack generally will result in injury, loss of property, or degradation of mission.
- 6. Don't Know, insufficient information available to answer this question.

One Mobile Data Network Serves All Agencies: One mobile data system shall support all Public Safety agencies.

A common system is important for compatibility and to avoid duplication of equipment, operation, and maintenance.

Cross CAD Interconnection: The system design shall include a means of exchanging information across different CAD systems.

CAD information exchange is important for information database sharing.

Mobile Data Criticality: The mobile data system is equally important to public safety communication as the voice radio system.

The mobile data system will be designed to meet the same critical communications standards as the voice radio system.

Vehicle Location: Automatic vehicle location (AVL) shall allow vehicles to be located by dispatch. Unit location information can assist dispatch in selecting units for incident response and by incident commanders for checking location status of assigned units.

EMS Telemetry: The mobile data radio network shall support telemetry of EMS patient data. *This function is needed in the vehicle while en route and patient-side in the field.*

High-Speed Broadband Service: The system design shall include locations with access to wireless broadband service. *High bandwidth service is important for advanced surveillance applications, exchange of bulky files, access to bandwidth intensive Locality information, and laptop maintenance.*

Mobile Applications: The mobile data system shall be designed around an application set suitable for routine law enforcement and fire operations.

These capabilities typically include:

- CAD dispatch
- Records access
- Unit status
- Sheriff civil process
- In-car mapping
- Messaging
- Email
- State and National Queries
- Access to electronically stored reference materials
- Other law, fire, public service specific applications
- Fingerprints

CTA Communications

Advanced Mobile Applications: The mobile data system shall include capacity and capability for advanced applications for law enforcement and fire operations.

Advanced capabilities include:

- Video Surveillance
- Field biometrics
- Mobile access to many types of Locality information
- Larger photos
- Field citations
- Field reports

Access Locality / Agency Information: The mobile data radio network shall provide access to Locality GIS information.

Transfer of this type information tends to require significant bandwidth and may be offered over wireless broadband or be provided as local MDC or laptop files.

Value Added Comments

AECOM

In this Additional Comments section of the survey, please provide any additional comments by typing them in the answer field to the right of the question. Your answers will be helpful in the overall communication system study. After completing this section, please proceed by clicking the Next button.

Voice Radio System

What radio system Features or Technologies do you need that you don't have today?

What is working well today?

Describe the aspects of the Communications System which are working well today.

Which areas need the most improvement?

Describe the areas which need the most improvement.

Additional related comments

Please provide any additional related comments.

Mobile Data System

What mobile data Features or Technologies do you need that you don't have today?

What is working well today?

Describe the aspects of the Communications System which are working well today.

Which areas need the most improvement?

Describe the areas which need the most improvement.

Additional related comments

Please provide any additional related comments.

Broblems Overall Fire Law Enforcement PWA								
Limited Coverage	37	3.8	4.3	1.0				
Regional Interoperability	3.4	3.5	4.0	1.0				
Indoor Portable Operation	2.8	3.2	2.8	0.0				
Interoperability	2.7	3.2	2.6	1.0				
Interference	2.6	2.7	3.3	1.0				
Equipment Maintainability	1.9	2.2	2.0	0.0				
Outdoor Portable Operation	1.9	2.2	2.0	0.0				
System Busies	1.8	1.8	2.4	0.0				
System Reliability	1.8	1.9	2.3	0.0				
Talk Group Congestion	1.3	1.5	1.2	0.0				
Capacity	1.1	0.9	2.0	0.0				
Dispatcher Access	1.1	1.0	1.4	0.0				
Complex Operation	0.9	1.0	1.1	0.0				

Table C-1

0 : No problem identified.

1 : Identified problem, currently not of concern. May become a concern in the future.

2: Occasionally a problem, affects some operations but is generally worked around.

3 : Regularly a problem, operations are routinely affected to the extent there is a loss of operational efficiency.

4 : Frequently a problem, frequently affects operations, compromises the ability of the user to fulfill his mission.

5 : Critical concern, usually affects operations, potential compromise to safety of user or of citizen.

City of Oakland, CA Interoperability Study

of otom Attr	bute i turning				
Attributes	Overall	Fire	Law Enforcement	PWA	Othe
Improved Voice Radio Coverage	4.9	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.7
In-Building Coverage	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.3	5.0
Emergency Alerting	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.0	4.7
Survivability	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.3	5.0
Reliability/Failure Hierarchy	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	5.0
Staffing and Training	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.0	4.7
Minimize Interference	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.0	5.0
Single Points of Failure	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.0	5.0
Power Backup	4.5	4.5	4.8	3.7	5.0
Operational Boundary Transparency	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.7
Centralized Maintenance	4.3	4.3	4.4	3.7	5.0
Interoperability with Adjacent Localities	4.2	4.3	4.4	2.7	3.7
On-Scene Fireground / Tactical Communications Channels	4.1	4.3	3.8	1200	3.7
Owner-Controlled Connectivity Network	4.1	3.7	4.2		5.0
Monitored Firegrounds	4.0	4.0	3.7	100	4.0
Interoperability through Dispatch	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.0	4.7
Future Expansion	3.9	3.7	4.3	3.0	5.0
Regional Connectivity	3.8	3.9	3.8	2.5	4.3
Interoperability with State Agencies	3.8	3.9	3.9	2.7	3.7

Table C-2 City of Oakland System Attribute Ranking

Ratings

Ref

a b

g bb

CC

ff

С

dd

ee

j

gg

m

е

v f

l u

х

n

0 - Attribute is NOT IMPORTANT to the user.

1 - Attribute is MINIMALLY IMPORTANT to the user.

2 - Attribute is NICE TO HAVE, could enhance operations.

3 - Attribute is USEFUL, will promote more efficient day to day operation.

4 - QUITE IMPORTANT, lack could result in degradation of mission, injury, or loss of property.

5 - CRITICAL, lack generally will result in injury, loss of property, or degradation of mission.

Note: To identify further information about an attribute, look up the Ref column in Section C.2

AECOM

.

PUBLIC REPORT

Il Autoute Manking				
Overall	Fire	Law Enforcement	PWA	Other
3.7	4.0	3.7	3.5	2.0
3.6	3.5	3.5	5.0	4.0
3.6	3.3	4.2	0.0	5.0
3.5	2.8	4.0		5.0
3.5	3.4	3.6	3.0	4.7
3.5	3.2	3.6	5 12 5 6	4.7
3.5	3.1	4.2	4.0	4.0
3.4	3.3	3.6	2.0	4.3
3.4	3.1	3.7		4.3
3.3	3.2	3.3	3.0	4.0
3.3	3.1	3.8	4.5	3.0
3.1	2.9	3.1	Carriel C	5.0
3.1	3.0	3.4	3.0	3.3
3.1	3.0	2.6	3.5	4.0
3.0	2.9	3.3	2.5	3.3
3.0	2.6	2.9	3.3	4.7
2.9	2.8	2.8	2.0	4.7
2.8	2.3	3.9	1.3	5.0
2.1	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.7
	Overall 3.7 3.6 3.7 3.6 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.0 2.9 2.8 2.1	Overall Fire 3.7 4.0 3.6 3.5 3.6 3.5 3.6 3.3 3.5 2.8 3.5 3.4 3.5 3.1 3.5 3.1 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.1 3.3 3.2 3.3 3.1 3.1 2.9 3.1 3.0 3.0 2.9 3.0 2.6 2.9 2.8 2.8 2.3 2.1 2.1	Overall Fire Law Enforcement 3.7 4.0 3.7 3.6 3.5 3.5 3.6 3.5 3.5 3.6 3.3 4.2 3.5 2.8 4.0 3.5 3.4 3.6 3.5 3.4 3.6 3.5 3.1 4.2 3.5 3.1 4.2 3.5 3.1 4.2 3.4 3.3 3.6 3.4 3.3 3.6 3.4 3.1 3.7 3.3 3.1 3.8 3.1 3.0 3.4 3.1 3.0 2.6 3.0 2.9 3.3 3.0 2.6 2.9 2.9 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.3 3.9 2.1 2.1 2.1	Overall Fire Law Enforcement PWA 3.7 4.0 3.7 3.5 3.6 3.5 3.5 5.0 3.6 3.3 4.2 0.0 3.5 2.8 4.0 100 3.5 2.8 4.0 100 3.5 3.4 3.6 3.0 3.5 3.4 3.6 3.0 3.5 3.1 4.2 4.0 3.4 3.3 3.6 2.0 3.4 3.1 3.7 3.0 3.3 3.2 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.1 3.8 4.5 3.1 2.9 3.1 3.0 3.1 3.0 3.4 3.0 3.1 3.0 2.6 3.5 3.0 2.9 3.3 2.5 3.0 2.6 2.9 3.3 2.9 2.8 2.8 2.0 2.8 2.3 3.9

Table C-2 (cont.) City of Oakland System Attribute Ranking

Ratings

Ref ii hh t w s y h

p z aa k q d l o kk ii

r

0 - Attribute is NOT IMPORTANT to the user.

1 - Attribute is MINIMALLY IMPORTANT to the user.

2 - Attribute is NICE TO HAVE, could enhance operations.

3 - Attribute is USEFUL, will promote more efficient day to day operation.

4 - QUITE IMPORTANT, lack could result in degradation of mission, injury, or loss of property.

5 - CRITICAL, lack generally will result in injury, loss of property, or degradation of mission.

Note: To identify further information about an attribute, look up the Ref column in Section C.2

AECOM

CTA Communications

... providing innovative and sustainable solutions for the future

www.ctacommunications.com

Attachment C RCC Report



City of Oakland, California

Side by Side Comparison of the City of Oakland and EBRCS Systems Supplement 2 to P25 System Evaluation Report

November 15, 2013

Internal Confidential

Prepared by RCC Consultants, Inc. Tom Gray, Executive Sponsor Alan Johnston, Project Manager John Birch, Field Coverage Testing Adolfo Bello, Coverage Data Analyst Bob Jones, Radio Feature Portability Testing Andy Alleshouse, Microwave System Study CITY OF OAKLAND, CA

Table of Contents

Exe	cutive	e Summary	3
1.	Intr	oduction	9
2.	Bac	kground	
3.	Con	nparative Drive Test	12
	3.1	Test Setup	
	3.2	Test Procedure	12
	3.3	Test Frequencies	
	3.4	Coverage Test Results	13
	3.5	Coverage Test Summary	23
4.	Fea	ture Portability Test	24
	4.1	Background	24
	4.2	Test Planning	24
	4.3	Test Results	25
	4.4	Feature Test Conclusions	26
5.	Bus		
	5.1	Issues Considered	
	5.2	Interview Process	
	5.3	Level of Governance Participation	29
	5.4	Dissolution Impacts	
	5.5	System Administrative Issues	
	5.6	Dispatch and Network Compatibility Issues	
	5.7	Fiscal Considerations	40
		5.7.1 City of Oakland	40
	-	5.7.2 EBRCS	
6.	Mic	rowave System Assessment	

	6.1	Overview	
	6.2	Comparison: Oakland vs EBRCS Advantages and Disadvantages	56
	6.3	FS 25 Site	59
	6.4	Additional Loop Protection for EBRCS Skyline and Seneca Sites	60
	6.5	Microwave Summary	60
7.	Sun	nmary	62
Appe	ndix 1 -	Interviewees and Information Sources	68
Appe	ndix 2 -	Radio Feature Test Notes	70
Appe	ndix 3 -	Summary of EBRCSA Negotiation Issues	75



INTERNAL CONFIDENTIAL
Executive Summary

The focus of this analysis and the subsequent report is to evaluate the public safety radio operations supporting the City of Oakland, to evaluate the feasibility of moving to the EBRCS radio system, and to draw conclusions regarding the pros and cons associated with staying on the Oakland radio system versus moving to the EBRCS regional radio system.

Background - The City of Oakland has an evolved Harris P-25¹ radio system that was evolved from the earlier EDACS² radio system. After the Harris P-25 system was put in place in 2011, an additional radio site (GWIN) was added to the existing APL and Seneca simulcast³ sites. The City funded this evolution to a P-25 digital radio system in part through the FCC mandated Rebanding⁴ effort and changed out specific equipment. A misnomer is to think that this evolution created a "New" radio system, since the majority of the old radio infrastructure remained in place.

The move to the digital P-25 system in 2011 did not go well. The users of the system, including Oakland Police and Fire were not fully prepared for this move in terms of expectations and subtle changes in radio performance and perceptions. Further, the Oakland Radio Shop was not prepared to support this change. Software version mismatches in the new equipment went undetected prior to users cutting over to the P25 system. The result is that there were significant operational impact to both Oakland Police and Fire operations. There were also operational impacts from the Harris 7200 radios and the aging radio infrastructure that resulted in outages, including several that occurred during incident challenges to Police and Fire, resulting in high visibility to the media.

Following RCC's initial Report to the City which identified problems with infrastructure, subscriber radio concerns, and issues with the City Radio Shop's inability to support the users, the City took steps by bringing Harris and Daily Wells⁵ in to support a newly engaged radio engineer/manager to address processes and upgrades to the infrastructure to better meet the needs of this public safety application. As identified in the RCC initial report, this involved an equipment monitoring and alarm system to monitor radio sites, as well as emergency power at two of the radio sites. Further, this taskforce addressed the radio support provided by the Oakland Radio Shop. Procedures were put in place to address portable radio issues in a controlled maintenance fashion, and to align with the radio users (Police Officers on the street) to assess problems and systematically resolve them.

It should be noted that the current Harris portable radios used by Police and Fire, the P7200s, are aging, and newer, better performing radios exist on the market today. This situation is exacerbated in that these radios were not maintained properly and that they are the most visible component of the troubled radio system to the users.

¹ P-25 is a public safety based standard from the Association of Public Safety Communications Officers (APCO) that is intended to provide a vendor independent standards-based solution for radio equipment.

² Enhanced Digital Access Communications System (EDACS) is an older trunked radio system protocol developed by Harris Corporation. In Oakland it supported an analog radio network.

³ Simulcast is a radio solution that simultaneously broadcasts audio (analog audio, digital audio or data) by a number of transmitter sites on a single radio frequency. It improves coverage with the efficient use of available frequencies (channels).

⁴ To address an interference problem between a cellular carrier (Nextel) and public safety agencies, frequencies were moved (rebanded) to solve this problem and it was paid for by the carrier.

⁵ Daily-Wells is the Harris authorized service provider supporting Oakland.

Another issue presented in the initial RCC Report was that while there was better than expected radio signal strength throughout the City, test results also indicated interference concerns in a few locations even where signal strength was strong. While not specifically identified in the RCC Report, a suspected source of interference was cellular carriers. The City's taskforce moved on this concern and began seeking out these interference sources and quickly zeroed in on cellular carriers. Working with the carriers and a contracted interference mitigating consultant the City began a mitigation program, which is continuing.

While RCC was asked to evaluate the East Bay Regional Communications System (EBRCS) regional radio solution as part of the initial study and Report, that radio system was not operational in Oakland at the time. This regional radio system (EBRCS) offers a radio system that provides coverage over Contra Costa and Alameda Counties, including the Oakland service area. This system is a Motorola trunked P-25 radio system.

As the EBRCS system came on line in 2013, RCC was engaged to do a spot comparison of the Oakland and EBRCS radio platforms in terms of in-building radio performance. This testing was to evaluate performance of both systems using Harris P7200 portables. The City identified specific buildings and campuses and RCC performed this evaluation. The outcome of this testing slightly favored the EBRCS platform, however, both systems performed similarly. This is reflected in RCC Supplement No. 1 to the initial Report⁶.

The intent of this current analysis and the issuance of this Supplement No. 2 to the initial Report is to perform a detailed evaluation of technical and operational performance, cost issues, governance, and general tradeoffs in the proposition of either remaining and supporting the existing Oakland system, or moving to the regional EBRCS platform.

It should be recognized that the maintenance of the Oakland radios remains an issue independent of which network approach is selected, and will be a cost consideration regardless of which way the City decides to go.

Radio System Performance (Converge Drive Test) – To best assess the comparison of the two radio systems in terms of performance, RCC working in concert with the City and EBRCS developed a drive test approach. RCC's intent was to test Received Signal Strength (RSS) as well as Bit Error Rate (BER). An initial issue in setting up this testing was that while both Harris and Motorola adhere to the APCO P-25 standard, each system uses different ways of testing Bit Error Rate. While it was desirable to simulate this BER testing on both systems using the P7200 portable radios, this was not possible because of the two different test protocols. As a result, a hardware agnostic testing solution was used instead to test both systems.

RCC spent two weeks driving over the entire City footprint testing RSS and BER in an automated fashion. RCC collected more than 34,000 readings and plotted these results as test points on maps for each system for both RSS and BER presented in this Report. In addition to this automated testing, RCC performed much less granular Delivered Audio Quality (DAQ) tests using the P7200 radios for a subjective assessment of audio quality, graded against an industry standard scale.

The result of this testing was that from a signal strength perspective, both systems statistically performed similar. The results of the bit error rate testing BER showed slightly better performance for

INTERNAL CONFIDENTIAL

⁶ See Section 6 of the June 2013 Report

the Oakland System. The pattern on the EBRCS network was similar to what was seen on the earlier testing that RCC did in Oakland before the taskforce identified and mitigated the BER problems. Elevated Bit Error Rates were seen in less than 1% of the test points in either system, and audio quality did not suffer appreciably in the voice tests.

The findings of this analysis are that the two systems work comparably. However, the issue of the age and performance of the P7200 radios is a concern and will need to be addressed by the City no matter which direction the City moves.

Feature Portability (P7200 Radios) – RCC performed a series of functional tests to determine how the City's P7200 radios would perform technically and operationally on the EBRCS network. RCC, working with both the City and EBRCS (Motorola) technical staff, performed a series of feature tests using the Harris P7200 and interfacing with both Harris and Motorola dispatch consoles to verify performance. Generally, the P7200 performed similar on both systems, with the exception of roaming on the EBRCS network, and in the manner in which officer alerting was handled and cleared, which was operationally different on the EBRCS network and detailed in this Report.

RCC's observations are that the P7200 radios would be capable of operating on the EBRCS network with minor operational considerations. From RCC's perspective this would technically allow the City of Oakland to move over to the EBRCS network and transition away from the P7200s over time by attrition. (However, RCC recommends that should the City elect to move to the EBRCS system, the City should take that opportunity to change out its entire subscriber fleet as part of the training, programming, and cutover process.)

Business Case Assessment – Part of RCC's analysis of the radio options for the City involved interviews with management, stakeholders, and users on both the Oakland and EBRCS radio networks. These interviews were to assess operational, technical, and fiscal impacts, as well as non-recurring and recurring costs that the City of Oakland would have to budget for in the future. In addition, RCC assessed the impacts to operations that would need to be considered if the City were to join the EBRCS Joint Powers Authority (JPA).

Governance and Control - Clearly a concern for the City is the level of control the City would have over its public safety and administrative radio resources. Currently, EBRCS is not offering a standing seat (or seats) on the JPA Board. (If Oakland moved all of its users to the EBRCS system, Oakland radios would comprise roughly 17% of the EBRCS users, and would thus be paying 17% of the EBRCS operational budget. Representation equal to 17% of the 23 available Board seats would equate to 4 seats on the board.) This is a point of discussion with EBRCS and could be resolved through an MOU with other participating cities that would assure the City of Oakland that it always has a seat on the JPA, or through some other agreement. Until this is resolved the City has risk that they could be without control or even influence on impacts to their radio needs and budgeted costs. This issue remains to be resolved.

Dissolution Impacts – If the City were to elect to move to the EBRCS network, this would have significant and far reaching issues that would need to be addressed, including the dissolution of existing MOUs and MOAs with client agencies that are currently served by the Oakland radio system, and administrative and support restructuring (both internally and with outside entities). It needs to be understood that moving to EBRCS involves more than just decommissioning the Oakland system and moving over to EBRCS. The City has physical assets and staff issues that will need to be addressed and managed through such a transition. Generally, RCC sees this transition as manageable, but will require keen oversight, control and management to be accomplished.

INTERNAL CONFIDENTIAL