FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

13 JUN 27 PH 2: 21

CITY OF OAKLAND

ONE FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA • 6TH FLOOR • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

Office of the City Attorney Barbara J. Parker City Attorney (510) 238-3601 FAX: (510) 238-6500 TTY/TDD: (5**1**0) 238-3254

July 16, 2013

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL Oakland, California

Subject: RESOLUTION APPROVING SETTLEMENT OF ROLLS-ROYCE

ENGINE SERVICES-OAKLAND INC. V. CITY OF OAKLAND (ALAMEDA SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. RG13671409), BY ACCEPTING PAYMENT FROM ROLLS-ROYCE OF \$223,084 IN

BUSINESS TAXES AND INTEREST AND WAIVING CITY'S CLAIM TO PAYMENT OF PENALTIES OF \$34,817. IN

EXCHANGE FOR DISMISSAL OF ROLLS-ROYCE'S LAWSUIT (FINANCE AND MANAGEMENT AGENCY- BUSINESS TAXES

PER OMC CH. 5.04)

Dear President Kernighan and Members of the City Council:

At closed session on June 4, 2013, the City Council considered a proposed settlement of a lawsuit filed by Rolls-Royce Engine Services-Oakland, Inc. ("Rolls-Royce") regarding application of the City's Business Tax Ordinance (Chapter 5.04 of the Oakland Municipal Code; the "Ordinance").

The lawsuit challenged an administrative decision that (1) reclassified Rolls-Royce as a "service" business rather than a "manufacturer" under the Ordinance and (2) found Rolls-Royce liable to the City for taxes, penalties and interest under the Ordinance for certain, specified years based upon the reclassification, in the amount of \$257,901.

The settlement proposal was for the City to accept the payment by Rolls-Royce of taxes and interest (\$223,084) and to waive its claim to recover penalties (\$34,817), in exchange for Rolls-Royce's dismissal of the lawsuit (which includes a challenge to the reclassification of Rolls-Royce as a "service" business under the Ordinance).

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

July 16, 2013

Subject: Resolution Approving Settlement of Rolls-Royce Engine Services-Oakland,

Inc. v. City of Oakland (Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG13671409

Page 2

The City Council accepted the settlement proposal. Accordingly, we have prepared this Resolution authorizing and directing the City Attorney to enter into a settlement agreement consistent with the term of the settlement proposal to resolve the Action, and we request that the City Council adopt the Resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

BARBARA J. PARKER

City Attorney

Attorney(s) Assigned:

Kevin D. Siegel, Burke, Williams & Sorensen LLP

Attachments:

Proposed Resolution

1173199.1

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OAKLAND

13 JUN 27 PM 2: 22

Appreved as to Form and Legality

Office of the City Attorney

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. C.M.S.

RESOLUTION APPROVING SETTLEMENT OF **ROLLS-ROYCE** ENGINE SERVICES-OAKLAND INC. V. CITY OF OAKLAND (ALAMEDA SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. RG13671409). BY ACCEPTING PAYMENT FROM ROLLS-ROYCE OF \$223,084 IN BUSINESS TAXES AND INTEREST AND WAIVING CITY'S CLAIM TO PAYMENT OF PENALTIES OF \$34,817, IN EXCHANGE FOR DISMISSAL **ROLLS-ROYCE'S** OF LAWSUIT (FINANCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY - BUSINESS TAXES PER OMC CH. 5.04)

WHEREAS, on or about December 14, 2012, the Oakland Tax Board of Review issued, on behalf of the City, a written administrative decision ("Administrative Decision") regarding the application of the City's Business Tax Ordinance (Chapter 5.04 of the Oakland Municipal Code; the "Ordinance") to Rolls-Royce Engine Services-Oakland, Inc. ("Rolls-Royce");

WHEREAS, the Administrative Decision (1) reclassified Rolls-Royce as a "service" business rather than a "manufacturer" under the Ordinance and (2) found Rolls-Royce liable to the City for taxes, penalties and interest under the Ordinance for certain specified years based upon the reclassification, in the amount of \$257,901; and

WHEREAS, in March 2013, Rolls-Royce (1) paid the taxes and interest under protest (\$223,084) but not the penalties (\$34,817) that were the subject of the Administrative Decision and (2) filed suit in Alameda Superior Court to reverse the Administrative Decision—Rolls-Royce Engine Services-Oakland Inc. v. City of Oakland et al., Case No. RG13671409 (the "Action"), which is pending; and

WHEREAS, on June 4, 2013, the City Council considered and authorized a settlement of the Action in which the City would accept the payment of the taxes and interest (\$223,084) and waive its claim to recover penalties (\$34,817) in exchange for Rolls-Royce's dismissal of the Action; now therefore be it resolved

RESOLVED: The City Attorney is authorized and directed to settle the matter of Rolls-Royce Engine Services-Oakland Inc. v. City of Oakland et al., Case No. RG13671409, City Attorney File No. X03914, to accept payment of taxes and interest from Rolls Royce Engine Services-Oakland, Inc. in the amount of \$223,084

(previously paid) and waive the City's claim to record for dismissal of the Action.	ver penalties of \$34,817 in exchange
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·	
•	
· ,	
	•
IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,	
PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:	
AYES – BROOKS, GALLO, GIBSON MCELHANE' AND PRESIDENT KERNIGHAN	Y, KALB, KAPLAN, REID, SCHAAF
NOES -	
ABSENT -	
ABSTENTION -	
ATTEST:	
City	TONDA SIMMONS y Clerk and Clerk of the Council of City of Oakland, California
1173197.1	
•	· ·.