FILED OFFICE OF THE CITY CLESS OAKLAND

2012 JUL -5 PM 7:58

Approved as, to Form and Legality

Office of the City

Attorney

Oakland City Council

Resolution No.	C.M.S

INTRODUCED BY COUNCILMEMBER IGNACIO DE LA FUENTE

A RESOLUTION SUBMITTING ON THE COUNCIL'S OWN MOTION, A PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT, ENTITLED, "MEASURE REPEALING RANKED CHOICE VOTING" REQUIRING THE CITY TO ELIMINATE RANKED CHOICE VOTING FOR CITY ELECTIONS AND TO ESTABLISH A JUNE MUNICIPAL NOMINATING ELECTION AND A NOVEMBER RUN-OFF ELECTION, TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE ELECTORS AT THE NEXT SPECIAL OR GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION: AND DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO FIX THE DATE FOR SUBMISSION OF ARGUMENTS AND PROVIDE FOR NOTICE AND PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEXT GENERAL ELECTION DATE

WHEREAS, for the first time in decades, the Mayor of Oakland was elected without the support of the majority that voted; and

WHEREAS, winning by a majority provides the mandates elected leaders need to govern effectively; and

WHEREAS, the controversial Ranked Choice Voter System has disenfranchised and confused many Oakland voters even after spending hundreds of thousand of dollars on voter education; and

WHEREAS, Ranked Choice Voting has resulted in increased negative campaigning and gaming of the election system; and

WHEREAS, studies indicate that the voters most likely to be disenfranchised and make voting mistakes are the poor, elderly, non-English speaking and minority voters; and

WHEREAS, in Dudum v. Arntz, 640 F.3d 1098 (9th Cir. 2011,), the United States

Item___ City Council July 17, 2012 Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has described Ranked Choice Voting as a plurality system, not a majority system; and

WHEREAS, for the foregoing reasons, the City Council deshes to submit to the qualified electors of the City of Oakland at the next special or general municipal election, a proposed Charter amendment repealing rank choice voting, now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby authorizes and directs the City Clerk, at least 88 days prior to the election date, to file with the Alameda County Board of Supervisors and the County Clerk certified copies of this resolution; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Charter hereby is amended, to add, delete, or modify sections as set forth below (sections number and titles are indicated in bold type; additions are indicated by <u>underseoring</u> and deletions are indicated by strike-through type; portion of the provisions not eited or not shown in underseoring or strike-through type are not changed); and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the proposed Charter Amendment text shall be as follows:

Section 1105. Ranked Choice Voting. Elections for all city-offices, including but not limited to Mayor, Councilmember, City-Attorney, City-Auditor, and School Director, shall be conducted using ranked choice voting, known-sometimes as "instant-runoff voting."

(a)

Definitions. "Ranked-choice voting" shall-mean an election system in which voters rank the candidates for office in order of preference, and the ballots are counted in rounds that, in the case of a single-winner election, simulate a series of runoffs until-one candidate receives a majority-of-votes. In each round-of counting: (1) "continuing ballot" shall mean a ballot that counts towards some candidate; (2) "continuing candidate" shall mean a candidate that has not been eliminated; and (3) "majority-of-votes" shall mean more than fifty-percent of the votes coming from continuing ballots.

(b)

General Provisions. Ranked choice voting elections for single-winner city offices shall be conducted according to the procedures in this section. The City-shall conduct a voter education campaign to familiarize voters with ranked choice voting. The use of ranked choice voting shall commence with the 2008 General Municipal Election.

(e)

Ballot. The ranked choice voting ballot shall allow voters to rank as many choices as there are candidates. The ballot shall not interfere with a voter's ability to rank a write in eandidate.

(d)

Tabulation. The ballots shall be counted in rounds: (1) in the first round, every ballot shall count as a vote towards the first choice candidate. (2) After every round, if any candidate receives a majority of votes from the continuing ballots, that candidate shall be

declared the winner, If no candidate receives a majority, the candidate receiving the smallest number of votes shall be eliminated, and every ballot counting towards that candidate shall be advanced to the next-ranked continuing candidate. All the ballots shall be counted again in a new round.

- (e)
 Ties. In the event that two or more candidates tie for the smallest number of votes, the candidate to eliminate shall be chosen by lot.
- Elimination of more than one candidate. During the elimination stage of any round, in the event that any candidate has more votos than the combined vote total of all candidates with fewer votes. all the candidates with fewer votes shall be eliminated simultaneously, and those ballots advanced to the next ranked continuing candidate.
- Skipped rankings. In the first or any round, in the event that any ballot roaches a ranking with no candidate indicated, that ballot shall immediately be advanced to the next ranking.
- (h)
 Undervotes, Ovorvotes, and Exhausted Ballots. After each round, any ballot that is not eentinuing is an undervote, overvote, or exhausted ballot, as follows: Any ballot that has no candidates indicated at any ranking-shall be declared an "undervote." In the event that any ballot reaches a ranking with more than one candidate indicated, that ballot shall immediately be declared an "overvote." In the event that any ballot cannot be advanced because no further candidates are ranked on that ballot, that ballot shall immediately be declared "exhausted" Any ballot that has been declared an undervote, overvote, or exhausted shall remain so and shall not count towards any candidate in that round or in stibsequent rounds.
- (i) Reports. Summary, ballot image, and comprehensive reports shall be made available after each ranked choice voting election, as follows: (1) The "summary report" for a race shall mean a report that lists the candidate vote totals in each round, along with the cumulative numbers of undervotes, overvotes, and exhausted ballots in each round. (2) The "ballot image report" for a race shall mean a report that lists, for each ballot, the candidate or enndidates indicated at each ranking, the precinct of the ballot, and whether the ballot was east absentee. In the report, the ballots shall be listed in an order that does not permit the order in which they were east in each precinct to be reconstructed. (3) The "comprehensive report" for a race shall-mean a report that breaks the numbers in the summary report-down-by precinct. The report shall list for each round the number of ballots east in each precinct (a) that count as votes for each candidate in that round; (b) that have been declared undervotes, (c) that have been declared overvotes up to that point, and (d) that have been declared exhausted up to that point. (4) Mode and marmer of release. Preliminary versions of the summary report and ballot image report shall be made available as soon as possible after the ballots have begun to be processed and counted. The summary report, ballot image report, comprehensive report, and preliminary versions of the summary report and ballot image report shall be made available to the public during the canvass via the internet and by other means. The ballot image report

and preliminary versions of the ballot imago report shall be made available in a plain text electronic format.

(i)

Manual Tally. Prior to the selection of precincts for the public one percent manual tally, as provided by State law, a report shall be made available to the public that lists, for the ballots subject to the manual tally, the number of those ballots in each precinct that counted in each round as undervotes, overvotes, exhausted ballots, and as votes for each candidate. The public manual tally shall check those vote totals in each of the randomly selected precincts.

(k)

Changes to Procedures. For the purposes of this subsection: "voting equipment" shall mean all ballots and/or voting devices, vote tabulating systems and/or similar or related systems to be used in the conduct of the City's election, including but not limited to paper ballot systems, optical scan systems, and touchscreen systems.

(1)

Number of rankings. In the event that the voting equipment cannot feasibly accommodate a number of rankings on the ballot equal to the number of candidates, the City Clerk may limit the number of choices a voter may rank to the maximum number allowed by the equipment. This limit shall never he less than three.

(2)

Voting Equipment. If the voting equipment cannot feasibly accommodate all of the procedures in subsections (c)-(j) above, the City Clerk may make changes to those procedures provided that ranked choice voting shall still be used and the smallest feasible number of changes made until such time as the voting equipment can accommodate those procedures in their entirety.

(3)

State Guidelines. If the State of California adopts guidelines for the conduct of ranked choice voting elections and the voting equipment used to conduct the City's election can accommodate the State's guidelines, the City Clerk shall-have the option of adopting those guidelines, in whole or in part, in lieu of the ranked choice voting procedures in this section.

(4)

First Choice Tally. The City Clerk-may authorize the following change-to-make ranked choice voting on voting equipment feasible: before counting the ballots in rounds, the first ranking-on every ballot shall be tallied, with the exception of everyotes. If some candidate receives a majority of first rankings from all-ballots east, including undervotes and excluding everyotes, that candidate shall be declared the winner; and the ballots shall not he counted in rounds. Otherwise, the ballots shall be counted in rounds in accordance with this section.

(5)

Election integrity. The City Clerk-shall-further have the authority to-make any changes to these-procedures necessary to preserve the secrecy of the ballot and ensure the intogrity and smooth functioning of the election, provided that ranked choice voting shall still-be used and the smallest number of changes made to achieve such purpose.

(1)

Exception-from-Using-Ranked-Choice-Voting-Notwithstanding-any-other-provision-of this-Charter, the-City-shall-use-ranked-choice-voting-once-the-Alameda-County-Registrar of-Voters-is-able-to-conduct-the-election-on-behalf-of-the-City-in-accordance-with-the requirements-and-procedures-of-this-section, including-any-changes-to-sueh-procedures made-pursuant-to-subsection-(k).

(m)

Election-Procedures-if-Ranked-Choice-Voting-is-Not-Used:

(1)

In—the—event—that—the—City—is—unable—to—use—ranked—choice—voting, <u>Section 1100.</u> Nominating Election.

- (1) The City shall hold Municipal Nominating Elections for the nomination of officers and for such other purposes as the Council may prescribe, which shall be held in the City on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in June in each even numbered year. In order to consolidate Municipal Nominating Elections with Statewide Primary Elections, the Council may by ordinance provide for a date for a Municipal Nominating Election which conforms to the date of a Statewide Primary Election. Any candidate receiving a majority of the vote cast for all candidates for that office at the Municipal Nominating Election shall be declared elected.
- (2) If at any Municipal Nominating Election there is any office to which no person was elected, then the two candidates for such office receiving the highest number of votes for such office shall be the candidates, and the only candidates, for such office whose names shall be printed upon ballots to be issued at the second or General Municipal Election; provided that, in any event, all persons receiving a number of votes equal to the highest number of votes received by any candidate shall also be candidates at such second election. The candidate receiving the highest number of votes cast for all candidates for that office at the second or General Municipal Election shall be declared elected.; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby authorizes and directs the City Clerk, at-least 88 days prior to the next special of general municipal election date, to file with the Alameda County Board of Supervisors and the County Clerk certified copies of this resolution; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the proposed Charter amendment shall be for the following purposes:

- 1. To ensure that all elected City offices (Oakland's Mayor, City Council members, City Attorney, City Auditor and School Director) are elected by a majority vote.
 - 2. To ensure that all Oakland voters' ballots are counted.
- 3. To simplify elections and ensure the vote counting method is clear and understood by all.

4. To return Oakland to a historically sound system of electing representatives by majority vote and eliminate Ranked Choice Voting for all elected City offices (Oakland Mayor, City Council Members, City Attorney, City Auditor and School Board members); and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That in accordance with the Elections Code and Chapter 3 of the Oakland Municipal Code, the City Clerk shall fix and determine a date for submission of arguments for or against said proposed Charter amendment, and said date shall be posted in the Office of the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That in accordance with the Elections Code and Chapter 3 of the Oakland Municipal Code, the City Clerk shall provide for notice and publication as to said proposed Charter amendment in the manner provided for by law; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That each ballot used at said municipal election shall have printed therein, in addition to any other matter required by law the following:

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

Measure To Eliminate Ranked Choice Voting For All City Elections And Establish A June Nominating Election and a November Run Off Election

Measure Shall section 1105 of the City Charter be repealed to eliminate Ranked Choice Voting for all city elections and establish a June Municipal Nominating Election and a November Run-Off Election?	Yes	
	No	

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Clerk and City Administrator hereby are authorized and directed to take any and all actions necessary under law to prepare for and conduct the next municipal election and appropriate all monies necessary for the City Administrator and City Clerk to prepare and conduct the next municipal election, consistent with law.

JN COUNCIL, OAKLAN D , CALIFORNIA _	 , 2011
PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:	

BROOKS, BRUNNER, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, NADEL, SCHAAF, AND PRESIDENT REID

AYES-NOES-ABSENT-ABSTENTATION-

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS City Clerk and Clerk of the Council Of the City of Oakland, California