

## REVISED PERTURITIES WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING ON 03/27/12

## 2012 MAR 28 PHOMKLAND CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_C.M.S.

RESOLUTION ADOPTING ZERO WASTE SYSTEM REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS: PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND WEIGHTING, WAIVER-OF-ARIZONA-POLICY, METHOD FOR ADJUSTING CUSTOMER RATES, DIVERSION PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT, AND CONTINUED PARTICIPATION IN ALAMEDA COUNTY MEASURE D

WHEREAS, the City of Oakland's Franchise Agreement for Solid Waste and Yard Waste Collection and Disposal Services with Waste Management of Alameda County, and the Agreement for Residential Recycling Service with Caiifomia Waste Solutions expire on June 30, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the Alameda County Waste Reduction and Recycling Initiative Charter Amendment (Measure D) was enacted in 1990 for the purpose providing a recycling plan that is funded by a per ton surcharge on materials disposed in Alameda County landfills, of which 50% is disbursed to on a per capita basis to municipalities for the continuation and expansion of municipal recycling programs; and

WHEREAS, on December 5, 2006 through Resolution No. 80286 C.M.S. the City Council adopted a Zero Waste Strategic; and

WHEREAS, on-May-4, 2010-the-City-Council-adopted-Resolution-No.-82727-C-M-S., which urges-City-doportmonts-to-refrain-from-ontoring-into-new-contracts-with-businesses-hoadquartorod in-Arizona; and

WHEREAS, on January 17, 2012 through Resolution No. 83689 C.M.S the City Council adopted a Zero Waste System Design that provides the framework for developing new Contracts under a single franchise for citywide garbage and organics collection services, a single franchise for citywide residential recycling, and landfill capacity procured separately from collection and processing services; and

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2012 through Resolution No. 83729 C.M.S. the City Council adopted a adopted a process and schedule for releasing a RFP for zero waste service contracts, including a Protocol for Process Integrity; and

WHEREAS, the City will use a competitive procurement through a Request for Proposals (RFP) to establish new Franchise Contracts (Contracts); and

WHEREAS, the RFP must clearly the describe the criteria by which the proposals will be evaluated, the diversion performance that will be required in the resulting Contracts, and a

Approved as to Form and Legality

method for adjusting customer rates; and

WHEREAS, waiving-the-City's-policy-regarding-entering-into-new-contracts-with-business hoadquartered-in-Arizona-would-increaso-competition-among-qualifiod-sorvico-providers-to submit-the-most-cost-effective-proposal;

WHEREAS, Alameda County Measure D revenues, collected through fees on Oakland franchised solid waste, provide critical funding to City and regional waste reduction and recycling programs; now therefore be it

**RESOLVED:** That the City Council hereby adopts the evaluation criteria and weighting for the proposals for the Garbage and Organics Franchise, and Residential Recycling Franchise, as shown it Table 1;

	Table 1			
	Evaluation Criteria and Weighting			
	Garbage and Organics Contract			
Residential Recycling Contract				
Evaluation	Description of the Evaluation Criteria	Criteria Weight		
Criteria				
Customer Rates	Comparison between proposals of rate cost to	35%		
	customers			
Zero Waste /	Evaluation of proposed waste diversion outcomes in	25%		
Diversion	meeting City diversion goals, providing public			
Programs	outreach and customer communications			
Operational	Evaluation of vehicles, route operations, facilities	20%		
Approach				
Customer Service	Evaluation of approach to customer service,	5%		
	information and management systems	]		
Experience &	Evaluation of experience providing services to	5%		
Performance	similar size cities, management team experience,			
	performance history			
Financial Capacity	Evaluation of financial statements and independent	5%		
1 -	financial reviews			
References	Evaluation of references	5%		

and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby adopts the evaluation criteria and weighting for the proposals for the Landfill Disposal Contract, as shown it Table 2;

Table 2  Evaluation Criteria and Weighting  Landfill Disposal Contract				
Evaluation Criteria	Description of the Evaluation Criteria	Weight of the Evaluation Criteria		
Cost	Comparison between proposals	60%		
Operational Approach	Evaluation of facility available disposal capacity, permitted undeveloped	14%		
Experience & Performance	Evaluation of experience providing services to similar size cities, management team experience, performance history	13%		
Financial Capacity, Indemnification, & Liability	Evaluation of financial statements and independent financial reviews.	13%		

FURTHER-RESOLVED: That-the-City-Council-hereby-waives-any-proscription-regarding-the Zero-Waste-System-RFP-process-regarding-businesses-headquartered-in-Arizona; and-be-it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby approves including a provision in the Zero Waste System Contracts for a solid waste industry-related index to calculate annual adjustments to customer rates; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby approves including a provision in the Zero Waste System Contracts for withholding of a full armual adjustment of compensation to the Garbage and Organics Franchise and to the Residential Recycling Franchise if the annual diversion performance requirement is not met; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby approves including a provision in the Zero Waste System Contracts for the denial of contract extension if the franchisee fails to meet the contract diversion performance standard in year seven of the contract; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** That the City Council hereby approves the payment of Alameda County Measure **D** fees on franchised Oakland sold waste that may be disposed in a landfill outside of Alameda County.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,	, 20
PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:	
AYES - BROOKS, BRUNNER, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERN REID	NIGHAN, NADEL, SCHAAF and PRESIDENT
NOES -	
ABSENT -	
ABSTENTION -	ATTEST:
	LaTonda Simmons City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California