# OFFICE OF THE CATALOR Y LEEF Y OF OAKLAND

2011 MAR - 3 PM 7: 28

AGENDA REPORT

TO:

Office of the City Administrator

ATTN:

Dan Lindheim

FROM:

Budget Office March 8, 2011

DATE: RE:

Discussion and Possible Action on a Report on: (a) FY 2010-11 Second Quarter Revenue & Expenditure Results & Year-End Projections for General Purpose Fund

(1010), Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District Fund (2310), Equipment Fund (4100) and City Facilities Fund (4400); (b) the Status of Implementing the FY 2010-11

Amended Budget

### **SUMMARY**

This report provides details on the actual collection of revenues and expenditures in the General Purpose Fund (GPF) through the end of the second quarter of the fiscal year (December 31, 2010), and presents year-end revenue and expenditure projections. Additionally, details on the collection of revenues and spending through the second quarter for the Landscape and Lighting Assessment District-LLAD Fund (2310), the Equipment Fund (4100) and the Facilities Fund (4400) are provided. Finally, the report presents *overtime spending*, and a *status of implementing key amended budget balancing measures* for Fiscal Year 2010-11, approved on April 29, 2010 and June 24, 2010. Report highlights are presented below.

In the General Purpose Fund (1010), a shortfall of \$6.50 million is projected by year end. With the passage of Measure BB, staff assumes that minimum Fire staffing and violence prevention costs will be supported with Measure Y funds, which eliminates the subsidy from the General Purpose Fund per resolution no. 82849 C.M.S. Measure Y parking tax collection has been resumed, and staff will include the full year parcel tax assessment roll to the Alameda County Auditor-Controller Agency by August 2011. Furthermore, 63 problem-solving officer positions have been transferred from the GPF to Measure Y as of January 2011.

In the <u>Lighting and Landscape Assessment District (LLAD) Fund (2310)</u>, a surplus of \$1.1 million is anticipated by year-end, decreasing the negative fund balance from \$4.6 million to \$3.6 million. This projected savings is mainly due to estimated under-spending of the personnel services budget and fleet reduction in the Public Works Agency.

In the <u>Equipment Fund (4100)</u>, a surplus of \$1.8 million is anticipated due to higher internal services collection based on actual usage, lower negative interest charges and vacancies in Public Works.

In the <u>Facilities Fund (4400)</u>, a surplus of \$2.0 million is anticipated due to lower negative interest charges and vacancies in Public Works.

Item:
Finance and Management Committee
March 8, 2011

#### FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010) Summary (S in millions) GENERAL PURPOSE FUND (1010) FY 2010-11 FY 2010-11 FY 2010-11 Adjusted Q2 Year-End Midcycle (Under) v Amended Budget Budget Forecast 521,0 \$210 Beginning Fund Qalanca 63 Raserva for PY Carryforwards and Ensumbrancas 8.3 83 Available Fund Balance [A] \$12.7 \$12.7 \$12.7 \$417.7 54181 \$407.7 [10.4] \$419.1 \$4283 \$422.5 (5.8) PY Carrytorwards and Encumbrances 0.0 8.3 8.3 \$419.1 5420.0 Adjusted Expenditures (1.5) (1,9) (6.5) Estimated Current Year Surplus/(Shortfall) Ending Fund Balance (est.) [B] \$11.2 \$10 B \$6.2 LANDSCAPING & LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FUND (2310) FY 2010-11 FY 2010-11 Midcycle Amendad Budget Adjusted Q2 Year-End Over (Under) v Adj. Budg. (June 24, 2010) Beginning Fund Oalanca (4 6) Reserva for PY Carryforwards and Encumbrances 0.0 0 1 0 1 (4.7) Available Fund Balance (A) (4.6) (4.7) \$16.4 518.4 \$18.4 \$0.0 \$183 \$183 \$174 (0.9) PY Carryforwards and Encumbrances 0.0 Adjusted Expenditures \$17.4 £18 3 S18 3 \$0.1 50.1 \$1.1 Estimated Current Year Surplus (Sbortfall) Ending Fund Balance (est.) (B) EQUIPMENT FUND (4100)\* FY 2010-11 FY 2010-11 FY 2010-11 Year-End \$ Adjusted Q2 Year-End Middycle (Under) v Adj. Budg. Amended Budget (June 24, 2010) (13.2) (13.2)(13.2) Gross Beginning Cash Balance Reserve for PY Carryforwards and Encumbrances \$06 \$0.0 \$06 (13 a) Available Casn Balance [A] (13.2) (13.8) \$16.5 \$10.5 \$18.0 \$1.5 Expenditures \$15,5 \$170 \$16.5 (0.3)PY Carrylorwards and Encumbrances . \$06 \$06 \$15.5 \$16.2 Adjusted Expenditures \$16.5 Estimated Current Year Surplus (Shortfall) (12.0) Ending Cash Balance (est.) [0] (12.2) (13.B) FACILITIES FUND (4400)\* FY 2010-11 FY 2010-11 FY 2010-11 . Middycle Adjusted Q2 Year-End (Under) v Amended Budget Budget Forecast Adj. Budg. Gross Beginning Cash Balance (29 0) Rasanra for PY Carryforwards and Encumbrances Available Casn Balance [A] (29.0) (29.5) (29.5) \$215 \$215 \$237 Revenue \$22

[A] GPF and LLAD audited fund balances, adjusting for encumbrances and project carryforwards. Equipment and Facilities audited cash balances.

Expendituras

Adjusted Expenditures

Ending Cash Balance (est.) (B)

Reserve for PY Carryforwards and Encumbrances

\$21.7

**S**0 0

(29.2)

521.7

\$22.3

\$04

\$219

(0.4)

(29.8)

\$22,1

\$0.4

\$21.7

\$2.0

(27.4)

(0.2)

[8] GPF and LLAD estimated ending fund balance, nel of prior year encumbrances and project carrylarwards. Equipment and Facilities estimated ending cash balance, assuming full expenditure of prior year carrylorwards and encumbrances.

\_Item:\_\_\_\_

Overtime spending citywide, on an all-funds basis, is projected to reach \$33.66 million by the end of FY 2010-11, exceeding budget by \$10.82 million. Nearly the entire overspending is in the General Purpose Fund and is driven by Fire overtime spending. The Fire Department, while overspending in the overtime category, will save considerably on regular salaries, staying within their overall budget by year-end.

#### FISCAL IMPACT

The summary table on the previous page and detail presented in *Attachments A-1* through *E-2* reflect second quarter results and year-end projections for the General Purpose Fund and three other key City funds for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011.

#### DISCUSSION

#### **GENERAL PURPOSE FUND**

(Attachments A-1 and A-2)

#### REVENUE HIGHLIGHTS

The FY 2010-11 adjusted revenue budget for the General Purpose Fund is \$418.05 million. Year-end revenues are projected to reach \$407.66 million by year-end, which represents under collection of \$10.40 million. Most GPF revenue categories are projected to be on target. However, many of the City's revenues are received in the second half of the fiscal year, and staff will provide an updated forecast in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Revenue & Expenditure Report after more information is available. The categories that exhibited variance from the adjusted budget are as follows:

Real Estate Transfer Tax: -\$5.00 million decline

Fines & Penalties: -\$6.85 million decline Interest Income: -\$0.84 million decline Service Charges: -\$0.94 million decline Miscellaneous: -\$1.49 million decline Fund Transfers: +\$4.73 million growth

Attachment A-1 provides details of revenue collection and projections. Key revenue changes based on the second quarter results are discussed below.

Real Estate Transfer Tax: -\$5.00 million decline

Real Estate Transfer Tax is forecasted to decline by \$5.00 million to \$28.63 million from the second quarter adjusted budget of \$33.63 million, due to the timing of the City's receipt of revenue resulting from one large transaction. As noted in the Fourth Quarter FY 2009-10

Item:	_
Finance & Management Comm	ittee
March 8, 2	.011

Revenue and Expenditure Report, a \$5.00 million property transfer tax that had been anticipated for FY 2010-11 was instead received in FY 2009-10. This revenue will be offset with \$5.20 million in deferred pension credits.

Fines & Penalties: -\$6.85 million decline

Fines & Penalties are forecasted to decline by approximately \$6.85 million to \$25.11 million from the second quarter adjusted budget of \$31.96 million. The decline is primarily due to lower parking citation revenue from decreased citation issuance. The forecast includes adjustments for implementation of mitigation measures proposed by the Parking Division to partially offset the citation revenue shortfall. If the offsets from the mitigation measures are not realized, the shortfall in parking citation revenue may be greater. Increased revenue from stricter false alarm enforcement also slightly offsets the overall decline in this revenue category.

Interest Income: -\$0.84 million decline

Interest Income is forecasted to decline by \$0.84 million to \$0.80 million from the second quarter adjusted budget of \$1.64 million. The decline is due to lower than originally anticipated interest rates.

Service Charges: -\$0.94 million decline

Service Charges are forecasted to decline by approximately \$0.94 million to \$47.04 million from the second quarter adjusted budget of \$47.98 million. The decline is primarily due to lower parking meter revenue. The forecast includes adjustments for implementation of mitigation measures proposed by the Parking Division to partially offset the parking meter revenue shortfall. If the offsets from the mitigation measures are not realized, the shortfall in parking meter revenue may be greater. Also included in the forecast are adjustments for unrealized revenue from vacant office space and installation of additional parking meters.

Miscellaneous: -\$1.49 million decline

Miscellaneous revenue is forecasted to decline by approximately \$1.49 million to \$7.67 million from the second quarter adjusted budget of \$9.17 million. The decline is primarily due to unrealized billboard revenue.

Fund Transfers: \$4.73 million growth

Fund Transfers are forecasted to grow by approximately \$4.73 million to \$17.38 million from the second quarter adjusted budget of \$12.66 million. The growth is primarily due to \$5.20 million in deferred pension credits. Also included in the forecast are unrealized revenue in parking garage automation, employee parking, and the Alta Bates garage.

Ite	em:
Finance & Managem	nent Committee
_	March 8, 2011

#### **EXPENDITURE HIGHLIGHTS**

As outlined in *Attachment A-2*, the General Purpose Fund (GPF) expenditure projection through June 30, 2011 is expected to be \$422.50 million, or \$5.80 million under budget. *Attachment A-2* summarizes agency / department-level spending. Provided below is a discussion of each agencies' / departments' savings or overspending in the GPF at year-end.

#### City Council

The City Council Expenditures are expected to reach \$2.64 million compared to the adjusted budget of \$2.56 million for an anticipated over expenditure of \$80,000. The projected overage is due to unrealized vacancy savings and the delayed implementation in FY2010-11 budget reductions.

#### City Attorney

The Office of the City Attorney is projected to exceed its budget by approximately \$0.38 million. The over-expenditure is due to unbudgeted step and merit increases, acting pay for attorneys, and low levels of paid leave-taking.

#### City Auditor

The Office of the City Auditor is projected to exceed its budget by approximately \$0.14 million. The over-expenditure is due to budget reductions not implemented. According to a legal opinion from the Office of the Attorney, budget reductions would prevent the City Auditor's Office from carrying out its charter-mandated duties.

#### Human Resources

The Department of Human Resources Management is projected to exceed its budget by approximately \$0.24 million. The over-expenditure is primarily due to unrealized retirement and vacancy savings, as well as insufficient leave-taking.

#### Police Services (OPD)

Police Services is projected to exceed its Adjusted Budget by \$3.2 million. The primary drivers of this over expenditure are higher than budgeted expenses in the equipment internal service fund (\$2.2 million) and extraordinary expenses related to Operation Verdict of \$1 million. Overtime spending is expected to be within budget appropriation. Staff will propose adjustments to Police-related Equipment Fund charges to reduce its transfers from the GPF to the Equipment Fund. Staff from the Budget Office and Police Department are working closely together to monitor this spending, particularly the impact of attrition on year-end spending projections.

	item:
Finance	& Management Committee
	March 8, 2011

Non-Departmental

The Non-Departmental unit is projected to have unspent appropriation of \$9.5 million out of an Adjusted Budget of \$62.8 million. The variance is primarily due to a budgeted \$7 million transfer to the Measure Y Fund that will not happen due to the passage of local Measure BB; Coliseum subsidy being lower than budgeted (\$1.6 million); and under-spending in several other line items.

#### A. OVERTIME

#### (Attachment B)

Attachment B provides details on Citywide overtime spending through year-end by agency / department, for the General Purpose Fund and all funds. The majority of GPF overtime spending is in public safety departments (Fire Department spending of \$9.8 million and Police Department spending of \$13.3 million). While Fire (OFD) overtime spending is greater than the budgeted amount, its overall personnel costs are anticipated to remain within budget. OFD fills mandatory shifts with overtime instead of hiring additional sworn personnel, thereby creating savings over a fully loaded salary. Police (OPD) spending is anticipated to remain within its budget.

#### C. LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FUND

#### (Attachments C-1 and C-2)

The Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District fund (LLAD) was formed in 1989 and subsequently approved by the voters of the City. The LLAD is a "direct benefit" assessment that provides a funding source for the following Public Works Agency programs: Parks, Grounds and Medians, Ball Field Maintenance, Open Space, Custodial Services at Park Enterprise Facilities, Streetlight Outage Repairs, Tree Services, and Free Standing Restrooms at Parks and Ball Fields. The LLAD also supports activities located outside the Public Works Agency such as General Government functions, Community Gardens and Museum Landscape Maintenance.

### *FUND BALANCE*

The LLAD Fund has a beginning negative fund balance of \$4.6 million. If they become available, one-time revenues are recommended to erase the negative balance; without one-time revenues and controlled spending, the negative fund balance will likely grow. If costs continue to increase, the LLAD fund will require further cuts to services and positions in future years unless an alternative revenue source is identified.

	Item:
Finance &	: Management Committee
	March 8, 2011

#### REVENUE HIGHLIGHTS

Revenues are estimated to come in at the adjusted budgeted amount of \$18.4 million.

#### **EXPENDITURE HIGHLIGHTS**

Year-end actual expenditures are estimated at \$17.4 million, compared to the adjusted budget of \$18.3 milhon. Projected under spending is due to lower than anticipated personnel and fleet costs.

#### D. EQUIPMENT FUND

(Attachments D-1 and D-2)

The Equipment Fund is an Internal Service Fund (ISF). The Equipment Services Division (ESD) of the Public Works Agency (PWA) is responsible for equipment services, including vehicle and equipment acquisition and disposal, maintenance and repair, governmental and environmental compliance, vehicle and equipment specification and modification development repair part acquisition, motor pool services, the purchase and management of fuel for City-owned vehicles and equipment, and specialized services such as vehicle wash and outside vehicle/equipment rental.

#### CASH BALANCE

Per audited actual, the beginning negative cash balance for FY 2010-11 is anticipated to be \$13.2 million. The fund is currently on a "repayment schedule." Proprietary funds like the ISFs are presented on a cash basis based on transactions in a given period.

#### **REVENUE HIGHLIGHTS**

FY 2010-11 revenues for the Equipment Fund are budgeted at \$16.5 million; year-end actual revenues are estimated to be \$18.0 million. The variance is primarily due to (1) lower than anticipated negative interest charges, offset by (2) lower than anticipated miscellaneous equipment sales.

#### EXPENDITURE HIGHLIGHTS

The Equipment Fund adjusted budget is \$17.0 million; year-end spending is estimated to reach roughly \$16.8 million. Anticipated savings are due to personnel vacancies.

Item:
Finance & Management Committee
March 8, 2011

#### E. FACILITIES FUND

(Attachments E-1 and E-2)

The Facilities Fund is also an Internal Service Fund (ISF). The Facilities Services Division provides client agencies "direct tenant services" which include all custodial services, building engineering, security access controls, monitoring air quality, responding to emergencies, and property management and leasing. The Parks and Building Services Division provides routine building maintenance of park buildings, fire stations, day care and senior centers, and other miscellaneous building structures including parking lots. These services include maintenance and repair of all structural, mechanical, electrical, painting, and engineering systems, including routine, emergency and vandalism-related service requests.

#### CASH BALANCE

Since FY 2002-03, the negative cash balance has increased from \$7.9 million to \$29.0 million due to multiple years of budgetary imbalances. The fund is currently on a "repayment schedule." Proprietary funds like the ISFs are presented on a cash basis based on transactions in a given period.

#### **REVENUE HIGHLIGHTS**

Revenues for the Facilities Fund are estimated to reach \$23.7 million, above the budgeted amount of \$21.5 million. The variance of \$2.2 million is due to lower than anticipated negative interest charges.

#### **EXPENDITURE HIGHLIGHTS**

As shown in Attachment E-2, the Facilities Fund operating expenditures are estimated by year-end at \$22.1 million, below the adjusted budget by \$0.1 million. Anticipated savings are due to personnel vacancies.

#### SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

There are no direct sustainable opportunities associated with this report.

#### DISABILITY AND SENIOR ACCESS

There are no direct disability and senior access opportunities associated with this report.

Item:
Finance & Management Committee
March 8, 2011

### ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Accept this informational report.

Respectfully submitted,

SABRINA B. LANDRETH Director, Budget Office

Prepared by: Budget Office staff

APPROVED FOR FORWARDING TO THE FINANCE & MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Office of the City Administrator

#### Attachments:

- A-1: General Purpose Fund Revenues
- A-2: General Purpose Fund Expenditures
- B: Overtime Analysis
- C-1: Landscape and Lighting Assessment District Fund Revenues
- C-2: Landscape and Lighting Assessment District Fund Expenditures
- D-1: Equipment Fund Revenues
- D-2: Equipment Fund Expenditures
- E-1: Facilities Fund Revenues
- E- 2: Facilities Fund Expenditures
- F: FY 2010-11 Office of the City Auditor Budgeted Staffing

Item:\_\_\_\_\_\_
Finance & Management Committee
March 8, 2011

### FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010) GENERAL PURPOSE FUND REVENUES (\$ in millions)

Revenue Category	FY 2009-10 F	Y 2009-10	RY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY,2010-11	<ul> <li>C T 100 / 2 2 2 2 1 200</li> </ul>	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	- Anguar property and the control of	(100 pt)
	Final Budget	Q2 Actuals	Percent to	Audited	Adjusted	Q2 Actuals Perc	ent to Date	Year-End بُرِيَّ		Over / (Under) Collection	- Yr-to-Yr
Belliane mat. 3.		e con processing	Date	Year-End	Budget	AND THE CONTRACT OF SEC. S.	. John order	Forecast	Over / (Under)		Growth YE to YE
		and a		Actuals	(Q2)		· 产生数3	021.0	* Adj. Bud.		TEROTE
					***************************************			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		***************************************	Managaria de la companya del companya del companya de la companya
PROPERTY TAX	129.84	64.54	49.7%	131.78	125.15	61.92	49.5%	125.15	0.00		(\$.0%)
SALES TAX	33.44	14.32	42.8%	35.88	36.14	14.53	40.2%	36.14	0.00		0.7%
VEHICLE LICENSE FEE (VLF) -	1.09	0.28	26.1%	1.25	1.11	0.40	35.6%	1.11	0.00		(11.2%)
TAX & BACKFILL	50.40	0.20	4 494	5444	50.04	4.40	2.00	50.81	0.00		10.49()
BUSINESS LICENSE TAX UTILITY CONSUMPTION TAX	52.10 50.50	2.28 21.28	4.4% 42.1%	54.14 51.11	50.81 50.80	1.19 21.64	2.3% 42.6%	50.80	0.00		(6.1%) (0.6%)
REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX	28.49	12.86	42.1% 45.2%	36.97	33.63	13.76	40.9%	28,63		Reflects \$5 million Shorenstein one-	(22.6%)
REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX	20.49	12.00	45.2%	30.97	33,03	13.70	40.574	20.03	(5.00)	time RETT revenue expected for	(22.074)
										current year, but received in FY	
										2009-10.	
TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	8.44	3.55	42.1%	8.47	8.64	4.16	48.1%	8.64	0.00		2.0%
PARKING TAX	7.16	2.41	33.7%	7.52	7.52	3.47	45.1%	7.52	0.00		(0.1%)
LICENSES & PERMITS	0.63	0.35	55.6%	0.72	0.69	0.45	66.0%	0.69	0.00	l	(4.9%)
FINES & PENALTIES	28.17	11.88	42.2%	27.35	31.96	8.80	27.6%	25.11	(6.85)	Lower parking citation revenue. Higher false alarm revenue.	(8.2%)
INTEREST INCOME	1.64	0.07	4.3%	1.10	1.64	0.17	10.4%	0.80	(0.84)	Under collection due to very low interest rates.	(27.3%)
SERVICE CHARGES	46.63	15,37	33.0%	45.03	47.98	16.45	34.3%	47.04	(0.94)	Lower parking meter revenue. Unrealized vacant office space and additional parking meter revenue.	4.5%
GRANTS & SUBSIDIES	2.27	0.22	9.8%	1.95	0.15	0.07	48.6%	0.15	0.00		(92.2%)
MISCELLANEOUS	8.06	0.29	3.6%	7.89	9.17	1.44	15.8%	7.67	(1.49)	Lower billboard revenue.	(2.7%)
FUND TRANSFERS	27.14	6,03	22.2%	21.86	12.66	5.75	45.5%	17.38	4.73	million in deferred pension credits, anticipated for FY 2010-11 as a replacement for Shorenstein one-time RETT revenue. Unrealized garage automation, employee parking, and Alta Bates revenue.	(20.5%)
Net Revenue	<u>ተምም ( የ</u> ት	<sub>։ Հ</sub> \$155.73՝	36.6%	. 5 \$433.02	\$418.05	ዱ ′- ሚ <b>\$</b> 154.21 <u>;</u> 〔 *	36.9%	\$407.66	ু ১৯%(10.40)		(5.9%)

### FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010)
GENERAL PURPOSE FUND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)

Agoncy / Dapartment start Transfer	FY 2009-10.	" F.Y, 2009-10"	FY 2009-10 :	FY.,2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY-2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	, FY 2010-11.	FY 2010-11		janicinik kina
	Final Budget	Q2 Actuals	Percent to	Audited	Adjusted	Q2 Actual	Porcant	Year-End	Yaar-End S	Yaar-End	Explanation of (Overspending) / Savings	Yr-to-Yr
		\$	:Data	Year-End	Budgat	1 2 mm	To Data	Forecast	(Over) / Under,	(Over) / Under		Growth
	بأسخم المحمد	i.		" 'Actuals	(Q2)				Adjusted	as % of		YE to YE
and the same of th						3	4 4		Budget	Adjustad		Add agrad
MAYOR	1.76	0.97	55.0%	1.76	1.33	0.66	49.8%	1.33	0.00	Budget 0.0%		
CITY COUNCIL	3.41	1.62	47.4%	3.00	2.56	1,20	46.8%	2.64	(0.08)	(3.0%)	Overexpenditure attributed to unrealized	(11.92%)
					2.00	1,20		2.0 .	i (0.00)	(0.070)	vacancy savings and delayed	(1.110270)
											implementation of actual reductions	
CITY ADMINISTRATOR	6.53	2.86	43.9%	5.93	6.32	2.87	45.4%	6,32	0.00	0.0%		6.58%
CITY ATTORNEY	3.56	3.26	91.4%	3.71	3.46	2.61	75.5%	3,84	(0.38)	(11,1%)	Overage due to unbudgeted merit	3.72%
											increases and insufficient leave-taking.	
CITY AUDITOR	1,54	0.65	42.3%	1.31	1.30	0.67	51.8%	1,44	(0.14)	(10.9%)	Overage due to budgeted reductions not	9.64%
OTTY OF EDIT	0.54	4.50	44.402	0.40		0-0	47 -00				implemented.	
CITY CLERK	3.51	1.56	44.4%	2,42	3.91	0,70	17.9%	3.91	0.00	0.0%		61.44%
CONTRACTING & PURCHASING	1.88	1.01	53,7%	2,03	1.83	0,98	53,6%	. 1.83	0.00	0.0%		(9.87%)
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	7.95	4,13	51.9%	7.90	8,03	4,02	50.1%	8.03	(0.00)	(0.0%)		1.56%
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	20.50	9.46	46,2%	20.83	17 80	9.48	53.3%	17.80	0.00	0.0%		(14.56%)
HUMAN RESOURCES	3,79	2.06	54,4%	4.25	3.88	1.95	50.4%	4,12	(0,24)		Overage due to unrealized retirement and	(2.94%)
					5.00	.,			(0.2.)	(0.2.11)	vacancy savings and insufficient leave-	(2.0)
											taking.	
POLICE SERVICES	187.44	94.81	50.6%	190.27	174.91	92.47	52.9%	178.03	(3.11)	(1.8%)	Overage in Equipment ISF expenses due	(6.44%)
											to budgeting error (\$2.5M) and negative	1
											carryforward in GPF projects for Jack London Square OT, Port Overweight and	
											Deemed Approved (\$0.6M).	
											Beeined Approved (40,014).	
FIRE SERVICES	98.10	49.12	50.1%	97.45	98.32	49,13	50.0%	98.32	0.00	0.0%		0.89%
MUSEUM	6.28	2.91	46.3%	6.22	6.23	3.15	50.6%	6.23	0.00	0.0%		0.27%
LIBRARY SERVICES	9.13	4.58	50.2%	8.93	9.35	4,35	46.5%	9.26	0.09	1.0%		3.73%
PARKS & RECREATION	12.84	5,85	45.6%	12,60	12.22	6,75	55.3%	12,16	0.06	0.5%		(3,44%
HUMAN SERVICES	6.47	2.03	31.4%	5,40	6.02	2.07	34.3%	6.02	0.00	0.0%		11.55%
PUBLIC WORKS COMM & ECON DEVELOPMENT	3.86 3.28	2.01 0.95	52.0% 28.8%	3.87 2.06	4.10 3.11	2.04 0.86	49.9% 27.7%	4.10 3.00	0.00 0.11	0.0% 3.7%		\$.89% 45.73%
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	50.67	26.43	52.2%	49.19	62.80	30.92	49.2%	53,28	9.52		Savings on Measure Y transfer (\$7M);	8.319
	-0.01	20.10	02.2.		02.00	50.52	.0.270	55.20	0.02	10,27	Coliseum subsidy (1.6M); smaller savings	0.51.7
'											in several other accounts	
SUBTOTAL	432.50	21e.26	50.0%	429.12	427.49	216.90	50.7%	421.66	5.83	1.4%		(1.74%
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0.81	0.23	28.5%	0.49	0.80	0.08	9.6%	0.80	0.00	0.0%	CIP will fully expend or carryforward.	64.33%
				•								
GROSS EXPENDITURES	<u>ः . <b>\$</b>433.31</u> ै		÷≥ ≈ 50.0%	\$4 <u>2</u> 9.61	°° \$428,30	** \$216.98.	50.7%	\$422.46	5 4 2** · \$5.83	1.4%	To State our State of the State	(1.66%

## FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010)

#### OVERTIME ANALYSIS (in Dollars)

GENERAL PURPOSE FUND

Agency / Department	20,2881, 21.0m. \$	FY, 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11 Q2	FY 2010-11		FY 2010-11 Year-End S (Over)		Explanation of Over / (Under)	Yr-to-Yr
		UZ ACCURIS	- Contro	Year-End	(Q2)		Exp To Date	Projection			Collection	Growth
		\$	· ( 7.57.	Actuals	*C	Actual		3. 7	X 96 7	Adjusted Budget		YE to YE
	•	- 1 <sup>1</sup> - 1 - 1	*			14 6 -	~ · 本語:	, m , m , m , m , m , m , m , m , m , m				7.5
2 62 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<del> </del>		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>		
MAYOR	8,960	0	0.0%	203	8,960	C	0.0%	0	8,960	100 0%		-100.0%
CITY COUNCIL	0	0	0.0%	303	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%		(100.0%)
CITY ADMINISTRAȚOR	O	3,615	0.0%	6,325	0	3,098	0 0%	6,197	(6,197)	0 0%	Overtime spending is associated Comp time earned in the Citizen's Police Review Board division and Equal Access	(2.0%)
CITY ATTORNEY	(570)	5	-0 9%	1,029	(570)	(277)	48 7%	1,723	(2,293)	402 2%	OT spending due to mandatory attendance by dams investigators/parallegals to small dams hearings held at right and required MCLE training dasses for certain staff.	67.3%
CITY AUDITOR	0	0	0.0%	0	0	34	0.0%	(34)	34	0.0%		0.0%
CITY CLERK	46 780	10,325	22 3%	23,434	46,280	3,934	6 5%	44,347	1,933	4 2%	OT savings in 1010 will offset non-budgeted OT spending in 7780.	89.2%
CONTRACTING & PURCHASING	0	464	0.0%	730	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%		(100.0%)
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2,040	18,630	923 0%	34,248	2,040	16,977	930 3%	37,665	(35,625)	(1746 3%)		10.0%
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	98,220	66,912	71.2%	141,078	98,220	32,434	33 0%	98,230	0	0.0%		(30.4%)
HUMAN RESOURCES	26,390	261	1.1%	3,849	26,390	646	2 5%	26,390	0	0.0%		585.7%
POLICE SERVICES	11,714,537	7,298,909	62 3%	13,153,903	15,507,019	7,524,616	48 5%	13,307,019	2,200,000	14 2%		1.2%
FIRE SERVICES	534,198	4,960,256	928 6%	9,629,352	135,990	4,626,676	3622.6%	9,601,561	(9,665,571)	(7107 6%)	l .	1.8%
MUSEUM	19,250	12,746	66 2%	25,311	19,250		43 7%	16,833		12 6%		{33.5%}
LIBRARY SERVICES PARKS & RECREATION	6,260	3,123 3,320	49 9% 0 0%	3,665 6,402	6,260	1,636 6,184	26 1% 0 0%	3,271 12,369	2,989 (13,369)	47.7% 0.0%	1	(10.8%) 93.2%
PARTS & RECREATION	v	3,320	0.5%	0,402	٥	0,104	00%	12,309	(13,309)	0 07	budget, which will be met with underspending in other areas	
HUMAN SERVICES	0	6,872	0.0%	10,546	0	5,384	0.0%	10,646	(10,646)	0.0%	OT associated with Senior Center activities	0.9%
PUBLIC WORKS	27,480	52,642	192.3%	106,793	26,930	37,095	137.7%	73,348	(46,418)	(172 4%)	OT associated with maintenance services within the Tree Division	{31.3%}
COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEV	290	2,073	714 6%	3,264	C	2,495	0.0%	4,989	(4,989)	0.0%	CEDA is expected to spend slightly over the budget, which will be met with underspending in other areas	51.93%
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0	0	0.0%	o	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%		0.0%
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	o	0	0.0%	О	0	0	0 0%	o	0	0.0%		0.0%
HTOTAL ALE T	\$12,483,335 ES	\$12,443,575	. 99.7%.	_,° \$23,150,358	¥ . \$15,876,769	F \$12,571,553	79.2%	\$23,444,544	£ ±(\$7,567,775)	. + (47.7%)	remain a first of the second	0 7 1.3%
										1 2 2 2	† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1

## FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010)

OVERTIME ANALYSIS (in Dollars)

ALL FUNDS

Agency / Qepartment & - 2	· FY 2009-10 *	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	' FY 2009-10	* ** 'FY 2010-11	", FY.2010-11.	FY 2010-11**	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11		- 685am 14
That is to see the bearing of a	. Finai Budgets		\ X .	Audited	3		· Percent				Explanation of Over / (Under) ***	Y-to-Yr
Maria environ de Antonio		the are built	Date .	🖫 Year-End	(Q2)	Overtime.	Exp. To Date				Collection	Growth
	* L * * *	atani ng g		Actuals		Actual'	l lag		Budget	Adjusted Budge		YE to YE
						4600						4 2 2 2
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<del></del>			P - V - 7 - 7	4 - 4		****		1 -2 25 152 343
MAYOR	8,960	0	0.0%	203	8,980	0	0.0%	o '	8,960	100 0%		(100.0%)
CITY COUNCIL	0	0	0.0%	203	0	t	0.0%	Ó	0	0.0%		{100.0%}
CITY ADMINISTRATOR	37,596	50,770	135 0%	62,449	38,430	8,567	22 3%	38,430	0	0.0%	Overtime expenditure associated with KTOP and CPRB	(38,5%)
CITY ATTORNEY	(570)	769	-134 8%	5,261	(570)	81	-14 2%	2,081	(2,651)	465 1%	OT spending due to mandatory attendance by disms investigators/paralegals to small claims hearings held at night and required MCLE training classes for certain staff.	(60.4%)
CITY AUDITOR	0	0	0.0%	0	0	34	0.0%	(34)	34	0.0%		0.0%
CITY CLERK	46,280	15,401	33 3%	34,914	46,280	5,867	12 7%	46,280	0	0 0%		32,6%
CONTRACTING & PURCHASING	2.890	1,098	38 0%	2,481	2,890	559	19 3%	1,118	1,772	61.3%	DCP spending is associated with purchasing functions,	(55.0%)
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	10,710	35,264	338 6%	57,683	10,710	57,168	533 8%	49,335	(35,625)	(332 6%)		(19.7%)
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	100,710	101,854	101,1%	193,751	100,710	35,511	35 3%	100,710	0	0.0%		{48.0%}
HUMAN RESOURCES	26,390	1,999	7 6%	5,926	26,390	1,161	4 4%	26,390	0	0.0%		345.4%
POLICE SERVICES	15,357,775	8,279,389	53 9%	15,395,378	17,041,243	8,174,647	48 0%	17,041,242	0	0.0%		10.7%
FIRE SERVICES	4,689,429	7,143,906	152 3%	13,967,813	4,299,963	7,149,787	166,3%	13,965,534	(9,665,571)	(224 8%)	Overspending in overtime offset by savings in personnel, Fire department is expected to be within GPF appropriation	(0.0%)
MUSEUM	63,120	16,047	25 4%	40,331	63,120	20,124	31.9%	40,247	22,673	36 2%	The Museum is expected to under spend its overtime budget, spending is associated with custodial and sercunty.	(0.2%)
U SRARY SERVICES	6,260	5 022	80 2%	7,535	6,260	4,627	73 9%	9,255	(2,995)	(47 8%	The Library is expected to over spend its overtime budget, which will be met with under spending in other areas. Spending is associated with Branch Libraries.	22,8%
PARKS & RECREATION	0	4,295	0.0%	7,403	0	8,300	0 0%	16,600	(16, <del>600</del> )	( 0.0%	OPR is expected to over spend its overtime budget, which will be met with under spending in other erees.	124.2%
HUMAN SERVICES	0	10,007	0.0%	18,619	300	6,747	2248.9%	13,340	(13,040)	(4346 7%) •	OT spending associated with Servor Center activities and accounting functions related to audits	{19.7%}
PUBLIC WORKS	1,038,540	727,400	70 0%	1,644,975	883,080	903,86\$	102 4%	1,700,000	(816,920)	(92 5%)	OT spending primarily due to sewer maintenance related to EPA administrative	3.3%
COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEV	394,570	210 299	53 3%	455,818	307,520	305,078	99 2%	610,155	(302,635)	(98 4%	order, street cleaning and tree service activities CEDA is expected to over spend its overlime budget, which will be met with under spending in other areas	31.0%
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%		0.0%
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	o	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0 0%	0	0	0.0%		0.0%
ω (TOTAL ~	\$21,782,661	\$16,604,518	ಚ ಲ್ಲ 75.2%	.\$31,908,743	\$22,835,285	, a \$15,582,119.		4 \$33,657,684	, F (\$10,822,398)	_ ** -47.4%	, no trability in	a. ≤ 5.5%
									l			

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS
Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010)
LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FUND REVENUES (\$ in millions)

Revenue Category	FY 2009-10	RY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11.	FY 2010-111	FY 2010-11	· -FY,2010-1,1	Explanation of	1
	Final Budget	Q2 Actuals	Percent to	Audited	Adjusted	Q2 Actuals	Percent	Year-End	Year-End \$	Over / (Under) Collection	Yr-to-Yr
			Date	Year-End	Budget		To Date	Forecast	Oyer / (Under)	San	Growth
		re springlish		Actuals	(Q2)		erer Herrina		'Aḍj. Bud.		YE to YE
San Richard Control			and the same of th		***************************************		·		in and the		
TAX LEVY	18.21	9.24	50.7%	18.91	18.21	9.34	51.3%	18.21	0.00		(3.7%)
LICENSES & PERMITS	0.01	0.01	56.0%	0.03	0.01	0.01	61.4%	0.01	0.00		(55.5%)
INTEREST INCOME	0.00	(0.02)	0.0%	0.00	0.00	(0.01)	0.0%	0.00	0.00		0.0%
SERVICE CHARGES	0.17	0.03	19.1%	0.13	0.17	0.11	62.2%	0.17	(0.00)		36.6%
OTHER	0.00	0.02	0.0%	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.0%	0.03	0.03		196.9%
Net Revenue 🐥 😇 🚊	್ಷೇ ₹್ರ್ಲ್ಫ್ \$18.39	\$9.28≉	** * 50.4%	\$19.07	\$18.39	" \$9.47 × °	52.0%	\$18.42	\$0.03	度(1) 20 / (3) <b>建</b> 膜等(2) 。	(3.4%)
	<u> </u>										

#### FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010)

LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FUND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)

Agency / Department	FY 2009-10,7	F.Y. 2009-10	FY 2009-10	* FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	EY 2010-11	FY.2010-11	Constitution of the second	Table .
1	Final Budget	Q2 Actuals	Percent to	Audited	Q2 Adjusted	Q2 Actual	Percent	Year-End	Year-End \$	Year-End	Explanation of (Overspending) /	→ Yr-to-Yr 🌷
in the second se		5.4	1 Date	₄ Year-End	Budget	9 .0	⊂ To Date	Forecast	(Over) /	(Over) / Under		Growth
				Actuals			4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Under	as % df	1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	YE to YE
N. 1. 20 - 1 1 2 4 1 4 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	Ang at jump by his	-, **	10041	,	177886	L 1 10 1 10 100	3		Adjusted, Budget	. adjusted Budget	1 Y	Tag L
CITY ADMINISTRATOR	0,12	0,06	54.0%	0.07	0.03	0 01	. 31,9%	0,03	0,00	0,00%		(59.26%)
CITY ATTORNEY	0.17	0.08	50.9%	0,17	0,00	0,00	69.7%	0.00	0.00	80.00%		(99.98%)
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	0.02	0,00	0.7%	0.16	0.02	0 00	5,2%	0,02	0,00	0.00%		(85.44%)
MUSEUM	0.21	0,11	49.8%	0.24	0.21	0.07	33.7%	0 20	0.01	5.09%	l	(14.03%)
PARKS & RECREATION	4.17	1.10	26.3%	4.18	4,21	1.83	43,6%	4.28	-0.08	(1.90%)	Project overspending in personnel.	2.57%
PUBLIC WORKS	13 90	6.12	44.1%	12.88	13.87	<b>5</b> .77	41,6%	12.90	0,97	7.02%	Projected savings due to personnel vacancies and reduction in fleet.	0.17%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0,00	0.00	0,00	0,0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0,00	0.00	0.0%	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0,00	0.0%		(100.00%)
GROSS EXPENDITURES	\$18.59	` \$7,48	~40.2% <sup>3</sup>	>- <b>- \$17.7</b> 1		* 5 . 5 ° \$7.68 °	జ5్ - <mark>41.9%</mark>	\$17.44	\$0.90	4.91%	And the second s	* * - * (1.51%)
					]						1	

# FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Second Quarter (July 1.- December 31, 2010) EQUIPMENT FUND REVENUES (\$ in millions)

Revenue Category	FY 2009-10 Final Budget	Y 2009-10 F 22 Actuals	Y 2009-10 Percent to Date	FY 2009-10 Audited Year-End Actuals	FY:2010-11 Adjusted Budget ii (Q2)	EY,2010-11 Q2 Actuals .	PY 2010-11 Percent to Date	FY 2010-11 Year-End Forecast	FY/2010-11 Year-End \$ Over//(Under) Adj. Bud.	Explanation of   Over/in(Under) Collection	AB(DA(B) Guanii Alvidala
INTERNAL SERVICE	16.60	8.86	53.3%	17,61	16.72	8,44	47.9%	17.61	0.89		0.0%
SERVICE CHARGES	0.16	0.18	114.6%	0.18	0.16	0.00	0.0%	0,16	0.00		-13.8%
INTEREST INCOME	(0.96)	(0.04)	3.7%	(0.05)	(1.27)	(0.02)	37.7%	(0.10)	1,17	Lower negative interest charge anticipated due to historically low interest rates.	93.4%
LICENSES & PERMITS	80.0	0.02	19.9%	0.05	0.08	0.02	38.5%	0.02	(0.06)		-60.7%
MISCELLANEOUS	0.80	0.05	6.7%	0.15	0.80	0.66	453,3%	0.30	(0.50)	Lower than anticipated miscellaneous sales.	104.7%
Revenue	<b>\$</b> 15.68	\$9.07 N	54.4%	河岸17.93	\$16.48	\$9.09	51.7%	第4第S17.99	\$1.50	<b>到外公司的通過機能到他的</b>	碳酸 0.3%
										·	

## FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010) EQUIPMENT FUND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)

Agency / Department in the second of the sec	FY 2009-10 Final Budget Q	(,2009-10) 2 Actuals	and the second of the second o		The state of the s	FY 2010-11 Q2 Actual			FY-2010-11 Year-End (Over)	FY/2010-11 Year-End (Over) / Under	Explanation of (Overspending)) Savings	VIACATI Growin
entransi yarin da maja sahir da m maja 14 Majana da maja bahar masa				Actuals					Under Adjusted Budget	Adjusted		0.0%
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	0.00	0.00	0.0% 52.6%	0.00 ( 0.14	0.01	0.01	100.0% 50.0%	0.01	0.00	0.00%		(99.91%)
PARKS & RECREATION PUBLIC WORKS	0.00 19.74	0.00 7.53	0.0% 38,2%	0,00 19.22	0.00 17.03	0.00 6.47	0.0% 38.0%	0.00 16.77	0.00		Underspending due to personnel	(100.00%)
COMM & ECON DEVELOPMENT NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0.00 0.00	<i>0.00</i>	.> 0.0% %0.0	0.00 ( (1.80)	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.0% 0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0% 0.0%		(100.00%) (100.00%)
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
EXPENDITURES 4.	19.83 Fried	\$7.58	38.2%	\$17.81	\$17.04	\$6.47	できる※ 38.0%	gateit#: \$16.77	0,26	<u>~~~~;≪≪1.51%</u> ———		(5,81%)

# FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010) FACILITIES FUND REVENUES (\$ in millions)

Revenue Category		Y 2009-10 I2 Actuals	* 13 * 75 * 75 * 15 * 15 * 15 * 15 * 15 * 15	10 1 1 AMARK	Adjusted Budget			Year-End	86.34 30 7 (\$198 TO 1)		Yr-to-Yr Grdwth YE to YE
INTERNAL SERVICE	23.15	5,89	25.4%	23.39	23.47	9.75	41.6%	23.47	0.00		0.3%
SERVICE CHARGES	0.21	0,05	24.9%	0.11	0.15	0.00	0.4%	0.02	0.13		-81.5%
INTEREST INCOME	(1.56)	(0.07)	4.8%	(0.11)	(2.16)	(0.05)	2.1%	(0.02)	(2.14)	Lower negative interest due to historically low interest rates.	-85.1%
MISCELLANEOUS	0.00	0.09	0.0%	0.09	0.06	0.35	562.8%	0.27	(0.21)		196.1%
GRANTS & SUBSIDIES	0.12	0.00	0.0%	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00		İ İ
Revenue - Programme  \$21:92	\$5.95 T	27:2%	\$23.6	<u> </u>	\$10.06	[], 42.9% <u>]</u>	\$23.75	<u>.</u>	1. The sade L. V. August 6.	·	

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS
Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010)
FACILITIES FUND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)

Agency / Department ( )	FY/2009-10	FY/2009-10	FY/2009-10	FY/2009-10	FY/2010-11	EY 2010-11	FY/2010-11	EY/2010-11	FY/2010-11	FY/2010-11		
	Final Budget	Q2/Actuals	Percent	Pre-Audit	Q2 Adjusted	O2 Actual	Percent	Year-End	Year End 3	Year-End	Explanation of (Overspending)	Yreco:Yr
			to Date	Year-End	Budget		to Date	Forecast	(Over)VI	(Over)'/ Under	/Savings	(Growth
Lead Table 1	Professional			Actuals		1000			Adjusted	Adjusted	estados de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição	Tag at
					Manager Commence		art et dat	4.00	Budget	Budaet		
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		0.0%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	0.09	0.03	34.5%	0.03	0.00	0.00	194.1%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(99.63%)
PUBLIC WORKS	22.32	10.07	45.1%	21.16	22.32	10.03	44.9%	22.14	0.18	0.8%		4.67%
POLICE SERVICES	0.00	0.00	1875.6%	0.01	0.00	0.00	50.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(96.90%)
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0.00	0.00	0.0%	(0.35)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
		•	•					\$0.00			J	
EXPENDITURES ************************************	\$22.41	<b>第10.11</b>	45.1%	\$20.86	\$22.32	\$10.03	44.9%	\$22.14	\$0.18	0.79%	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	188 (0.38%)
		·	·				·					

## FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Second Quarter (July 1 - December 31, 2010)

#### FY 2010-11 OFFICE OF THE CITY AUDITOR GENERAL PURPOSE FUND (1010) BUDGET

### GENERAL PURPOSE FUND (1010)

Staffing	FTE	Appropriation
City Auditor	1.00	194,050
City Auditor, Assistant	1.00	200,920
Exec Assist to the City Auditor	1.00	103,540
Performance Auditor	1.00	89,430
	1.00	89,430
Performance Auditor, Sr.	1.00	114,160
	1.00	126,490
Permformance Audit Manager	1.00	160,180
	1.00	138,410
Receptionist to the City Auditor	1.00	66,570
Staffing Total	10.00	1,283,180

Operations and Maintenance	Appropriation
52211 - Stationery and Office Supplies	13,240
52213 - Minor Computer Hardware and Software	600
53116 - Telephone	5,460
53316 - Marketing	10,000
53611 - Postage and Mailing	40
54611 - Repair and Maintenance: Machinery and Equip.	80
54612 - Service Contracts for Machinery and Equip.	800
54911 - Services: Contract	9,370
54930 - Services: Professional	1,630
55112 - Commercial Transportation	400
55113 - Meals	200
55114 - Per Diem and Lodging	400
55119 - Miscellaneous Travel Expenditures	200
55211 - Periodical Subscriptions	100
55212 - Registration and Tuition	48,030
55219 - Miscellaneous Educational Expenditures	400
55311 - Memberships: Employee Association Dues	600
56113 - Facilities: General Support	179,970
56123 - City Accounting Services	2,150
56124 - City Contract Compliance Dept-Purchasing Serv.	840
56312 - Duplicating	4,000
Operations and Maintenance Total	278,510