OFFICE OF THE CITY OF OAKLAND AGENDA REPORT

2010 JUN 30 AM 10: 52

TO:

Office of the City Administrator

ATTN:

Dan Lindheim

FROM:

Public Works Agency

DATE:

July 13, 2010

RE:

Resolution Awarding A Construction Contract To Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. For The Restoration Of Slope And Fire Access Road Above North Oakland Sports Field (Project Number C400810) In Accord With Project Plans and Specifications and Contractors Bid Therefore, In The Amount Of Two Hundred Forty-Six Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-Five

Dollars (\$246,655.00)

SUMMARY

A resolution has been prepared awarding a construction contract in the amount of \$246,655.00 to Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. for the restoration of slope and repair of the fire access road above the North Oakland Sports Field (Project Number C400810). This contract will permanently restore the slope, fire access road, and the City's fire and domestic water services to the Sports Field, which were damaged in a 2009 landslide.

FISCAL IMPACT

Approval of this resolution will authorize the City Administrator to award a construction contract for \$246,655.00 to Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc., and includes change order authorization of 25% for decreases or increases over the contract price for changes in estimated materials and labor.

Funding for this project is available in the following sources of funds: Fund 5200 JPFA (Joint Powers Financing Authority) Capital Projects Series 2005, Streets and Structures Design Organization (92242), Construction Account (57411), Project C400810 (\$146,655.00); Fund 5510 Capital Reserve Fund, Streets and Structures Design Organization (92242), Construction Account (57411), Project C400820 (\$100,000.00). The project will provide new water services, restore the fire access road, and stabilize the slope at the City's North Oakland Sports Field. Operations and maintenance costs are not expected to rise upon completion of this project, and should decrease with a more dependable supply of water.

Item: Public Works Committee
July 13, 2010

PWA: North Oakland Sports Field Access Road, Slope, and Water Line Restoration

BACKGROUND

In March 2009, a landslide occurred over a steep slope in an open space above North Oakland Sports Field. The landslide ruptured the City's water lines to the Sports Field and caused a fire access road above the Sports Field to collapse. Water services to the fire hydrants, irrigation system, and the restrooms were interrupted. Soon after the incident, these services were temporarily restored. City staff completed full design, advertised for bid for the permanent restoration of the slope, stabilization of the fire access road and replacement of the fire and irrigation service lines in April 2010.

On May 13, 2010, the City Clerk received four bids for this project in the amounts of \$246,655.00, \$272,515.26, \$274,770.00, and \$460,018.70 as shown in *Attachment A*. Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. is deemed the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, and therefore is recommended for the award. The Engineer's estimate for the work is \$280,000.00.

Under the proposed contract with Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. LBE/SLBE participation of 100% exceeds the City's 20% LBE/SLBE requirement. The contractor shows 100% for local trucking which exceeds the 20% Local Trucking requirement. The contractor is required to have 50% of the work hours performed by Oakland residents, and 50% of all new hires are to be Oakland residents. The LBE/SLBE information has been verified by the Social Equity Division of the Department of Contracting and Purchasing, and is shown in *Attachment B*.

KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS

The project will permanently restore the slope, access road above the Sports Field, and water services to the fire hydrants, irrigation system, and restrooms. Restoration of the access road will provide the fire fighters with access to open space above the Sports Field. Restoration of the slope below the access road will stabilize the road and prevent eroded soil from entering the watercourses leading to Lake Temescal. Construction is scheduled to begin with the notice to proceed expected in August 2010 and should be completed by November 2010.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

In general, the project will restore permanent water services and stabilize the fire access road and slope above the Sports Field. The work consists of excavation and disposal of unsuitable material, installation of geogrid reinforcement, installation of geotextile fabric with engineered fill, compacted roadway base material, installation of six-inch fire and four-inch domestic water lines, and other work specifically shown on the project plans and specifications.

EVALUATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE

The Contractor Performance Evaluation for Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. from a previously completed project is included in *Attachment C*.

Item: Public Works Committee
July 13, 2010

PWA: North Oakland Sports Field Access Road, Slope, and Water Line Restoration

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: The contractor is required to have 50% of the work hours performed by Oakland residents, and 50% of all new hires are to be Oakland residents, which will result in local dollars being spent locally.

Environmental: The restoration of the slope and access roadway will prevent eroded materials from entering the water courses near the Sports Field leading to Lake Temescal. The contractor will be required to make every effort to reuse clean fill materials and use recyclable concrete and asphalt products. Best Management Practices for the protection of storm water runoff during construction will be required.

Social Equity: This project will restore the fire access road above North Oakland Sports Field and water services to the restrooms, fire hydrants, and irrigation system, thereby benefiting all Oakland residents.

DISABILITY AND SENIOR CITIZEN ACCESS

The project has no direct impact or benefit to senior citizens and people with disabilities.

Item: Public Works Committee July 13, 2010

RECOMMENDATION AND RATIONALE

It is recommended that the construction contract be awarded to Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc., the lowest responsive responsible bidder, in the amount of \$246,655.00 for the restoration of the slope and fire access road above North Oakland Sports Field (Project Number C400810). Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. has met the LBE/SLBE requirements, and there are sufficient funds in the project account.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

Vitaly B. Troyan, P.E., Interim Director,

Public Works Agency

Reviewed by:

Michael Neary, P.E., Assistant Director,

PWA, Department of Engineering and Construction

Prepared by:

Lesley Estes, Watershed Program Supervisor Engineering Design & R.O.W. Management Division

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:

Office of the City Administrator

Item:

Public Works Committee July 13, 2010

Attachment A

Restoration Of Slope And Fire Access Road

Above North Oakland Sports Field

(Project Number C375910)

List of Bidders

| Company | Bid Amount |
|--|--------------|
| Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. | \$246,655.00 |
| Site Works Construction | \$272,515.26 |
| Andes Construction | \$274,770.00 |
| Bay Construction Co | \$460.018.70 |

Expected Project Construction Schedule

| Task Name | Start | Finish | | TO 10 | | | 10 10 | | | 1 | | = |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-----|------------|--------|-----|-------|--|-----|-------|--------|---|
| | | | | 2nd (| Quarte | ſ | 3ra C | luarter | | 4th Q | uarter | |
| | | | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jui | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | ſ |
| Bid and award | Thu 4/1/10 | Fri 7/30/10 | | (SCHOOL SE | | | | <u>y </u> | | | | |
| Construction | Mon 8/2/10 | Fri 10/22/10 | -1 | | | | ! | | | 1000 | _ | |
| Project close-out | Mon 10/25/10 | Fri 11/19/10 | | | | | | V | | | | |

Attachment B

CITY OF OAKLAND

Memo

Department of Contracting and Purchasing

Social Equity Division

To: Kevin Kashi - Project Manager

From: Sophany Hang - Acting Contract Compliance Officer

Through: Shelley Darensburg - Sr. Contract Compliance Officer & Oacansburg

CC: Gwen McCormick - Contract Administration Supervisor

Deborah Barnes - DC & P Director

Date: June 1, 2010

Re: C375910--Restoration of North Oakland Sports Field Access Road near East Bay

Municipal District Swainland Reservoir

The Department of Contracting and Purchasing (DC&P), Division of Social Equity, reviewed four (4) bids in response to the above referenced project. Below is the outcome of the compliance evaluation for the minimum 20% Local and Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE) participation requirement, a preliminary review for compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO), and a brief overview of the lowest responsible bidder's compliance with the 50% Local Employment Program (LEP) and the 15% Oakland Apprenticeship Program on the bidder's most recently completed City of Oakland project.

| Resp | onsive | Pro | posed Pa | rticipation | ı | Ear | its | ınt? | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|----------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Company Name | Original Bid Amount | Total LBE/SLBE | LBE | SLBE | Trucking | Total Credited participation | Earned Bid Discounts | Adjusted Bid Amount | Banked Credits Eligibility | EBO Compliant? Y/N |
| Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. | \$246,655 | 98.78% | 4.05% | 94.73% | 100% | 98.78% | 5% | \$234,322.25 | 2% | Y |
| Andes Construction | \$274,770 | 100% | 0% · | 100% | 100% | 100% | 5% | 261,031.50 | 2% | Y |
| Bay Construction | \$460,018.70 | 100% | 0% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 5% | \$437,017.77 | 2% | Y |

Comments: As noted above, Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Andes Construction, and Bay Construction exceeded the minimum 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprise participation requirement. All firms are EBO compliant.

| Non-Res | ponsive | Pro | posed Pa | rticipatio |) | | ed Crec Discour | | lits | ınt? |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Company Name | Original Bid Amount | Total LBE/SLBE | LBE | SLBE | Trucking | Total Credited participation | Earned Bid Discounts | Adjusted Bid Amount | Banked Credits Eligibility | EBO Compliant? |
| Siteworks Construction, Inc. | \$272,515 | 1.47% | 0% | 1.47% | 100% | 0% | 0% | \$0 | 0% | N |

Comments: As noted above, Siteworks Construction, Inc. did not meet the minimum 20% L/SLBE participation requirement. Therefore, they are deemed non-responsive.

For Informational Purposes

Listed below is the lowest responsible bidder's compliance with the 50% Local Employment Program (LEP) and the 15% Oakland Apprenticeship Program for the lowest bidder's most recently completed City of Oakland project.

Contractor Name: Beliveau Engineering

Project Name: Emergency Construction of permanent Improvements...2333 Tunnel Road...

Project No: C99581

Date: 5/12/2010

50% Local Employment Program (LEP)

| | | | • |
|--------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|---|
| Was the 50% LEP Goal achieved? | Yes | If no, shortfall hours? | |
| | | | |
| Were all shortfalls satisfied? | Yes | If no, penalty amount | |

15% Oakland Apprenticeship Program

| | [| | |
|---|----------|-------------------------|-----|
| Was the 15% Apprenticeship Goal achieved? | Yes | If no, shortfall hours? | , , |
| | | | |
| Were shortfalls satisfied? | Yes | If no, penalty amount? | |

The spreadsheet below provides details of the 50% LEP and 15% Apprenticeship Programs. Information provided includes the following data: A) total project hours, B) core workforce hours deducted, C) LEP project employment and work hour goal; D) LEP employment and work hours achieved; E)# resident new hires; F) shortfall hours; G) percent LEP compliance; H) total apprentice hours; I) apprenticeship goal and hours achieved; and J) Apprentice shortfall hours.

| | | 50 | % Local E | nploymer | nt Progra | m (LEP |) | | 15 | % Аррі | renticeship | Program | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|---|----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Total Project Hours | Core Workforce Hours Deducted | LEP Project | Employment and Work Hours Goal | LEP Employment | Work Hours Achieved | # Resident New Hires | Shortfall Hours | % LEP Compliance | Total Oakland Apprenticeship Hours Achieved | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Goal and Hours | Apprentice Shortfall Hours | |
| A | В | Goal | C Hours | Goal | D Hours | E | F | G | · <i>H</i> | Goal | I Hours | J | |
| 670 | 335 | 50% | 421 | 100 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 101 | 15% | 101 | 0 | _ |

Comments: Beliveau Engineering exceeded the Local Employment Program's 50% resident hiring goal met the 15% Oakland Apprenticeship Program goals with 50.5 on-site hours and 50.5 off-site hours.

Should you have any questions, you may contact Sophany Hang at (510) 238-3723

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NO .: C375910

PROJECT NAME: Restoration of North Oakland Sports Field Access Road near East Bay Municipal District Swainland Reservoir

CONTRACTOR: Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc.

Engineer's Estimate: \$280,000.00

Contractors' Bid Amount \$246,655.00

Over/Under Engineer's Estimate

\$33,345,00

Discounted Bid Amount: \$234,322.25

Amount of Bid Discount \$12,332.75

Discount Points:

5%

1. Did the 20% requirements apply?

YES

2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement?

<u>NO</u>

b) % of LBE participation

c) % of SLBE participation

4.05% 94.73%

3. Did the contractor meet the Trucking requirement?

<u>NO</u>

a) Total SLBE/LBE trucking participation

0%

4. Did the contractor receive bid discounts?

NO

(If yes, list the percentage received)

<u>0%</u>

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

6/1/2010 Date

Reviewing Officer:

Approved By:

LBE/SLBE PARTICIPATION

BIDDER 1.

Project Name: Restoration of North Oakland Sports Field Access Road near East Bay Municipal District Swainland Reservoir

| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|-----------|----------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|---|---------------|-----|
| Project No.: | C375910 | · [| Engineers Est: | \$28 | 0,000 | Under/O | ver Enginee | rs Estimate: | \$33,345 | | | |
| Discipline | Prime & Subs | Location | Cert. | LBE | SLBE | Total | L/SLBE | Total | TOTAL | For | Tracking O | nly |
| | | _ | Status | | | LBE/SLBE | Trucking | Trucking | Dollars | Ethn. | MBE | WBI |
| PRIME | Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. | Oakland | СВ | | 218,655 | 218,655 | - | | 218,655 | С | | |
| Trucking | Williams Trucking | Oakland | СВ | | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | AA | 15,000 | |
| Rock/Sand Supply | Aman Environmental | Oakland | СВ | · 10,000 | | 10,000 | | | 10,000 | С | | |
| Hydroseeding | Freedlun Hydroseeding | Vacaville | UB | | | - | | · | 3,000 | C | | |
| | Projec | t Totals | | \$10,000 | , , | | | | · | | \$15,000 | \$0 |
| | | | | 4.05% | 94.73% | 98.78% | 0% | 0% | 100% | | 6.08% | 0% |
| | S: hts is a combination of 10% LBE a united 100% towards achieving 2 | | licipation. An | LBE 10% | SLBE.10% | TOTAL LBEISLBE | 2.25(0.02220-0.0220-0.02 | SE/SLBE | | Ethmicity AA = African A AI = Asian Ind AP = Asian Pa | ian ocific | |
| Legend . | end LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise | | | UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise | | | | | | C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed | | |
| | NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Bu | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | _ | | MO = Multiple | | |

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division

PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NO .: C375910

Officer:

Approved By Shelley Darenslung

PROJECT NAME: Restoration of North Oakland Sports Field Access Road near East Bay

Municipal District Swainland Reservoir

| <u>Engl</u> | neer's Estimate: / \$280,000 | Contractors' Bid Amount \$274,770 | Over/Under Engineer's Estimate \$5,230 |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| <u>Discount</u> | ted Bid Amount: \$261,031.50 | Amount of Bid Discount \$13,738.50 | Discount Points: 5% |
| | 1. Did the 20% requ | uirements apply? | YES |
| | 2. Did the contracto | r meet the 20% requirement? | YES |
| | | of LBE participation of SLBE participation | <u>0.00%</u> 100% |
| | 3. Did the contractor | meet the Trucking requirement? | YES |
| | a) To | al SLBE/LBE trucking participation | <u>100%</u> ` |
| • | 4. Did the contracto | r receive bid discounts? | YES . |
| | (If yes | s, list the percentage received) | <u>5%</u> |
| | 5. Additional Comm | ents. | |
| Reviewing | 6. Date evaluation con | mpleted and returned to Contract Admin | /Initiating Dept. 6/1/2010 Date |

Date:

LBE/SLBE PARTICIPATION BIDDER 3

Project Name: Restoration of North Oakland Sports Field Access Road near East Bay Municipal District Swainland Reservoir

| | J. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|-----------|--------------|--|-----------------|----------------|-------------|------------|---|----------------------|----------|
| Project No.: | C375910 | Engin | eers Est: | \$280,0 | 00.00 | Under/Ov | er Engineer | s Estimate: | \$5,230.00 | | | |
| Discipline | Prime & Subs | Location | Cert. | LBE | SLBE " | Total | L/SLBE | Total | TOTAL | For | Tracking C | Only |
| | | | Status | | | LBE/SLBE | Trucking | Trucking | Dollars | Ethn. | MBE | WBE |
| PRIME | Andes Construction | Oakland | СВ | | 269,770 | 269,770 | | | 269,770 | н | 269,770 | |
| Trucking | Irvin Trucking | Oakland | СВ | | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | AA | 5,000 | |
| | | , | | , | • | | | · · | | | | |
| | Project | Totals | · · | \$0 0.00% | ' | | ' ' | | | | \$274,770 100.00% | • |
| | S: nts is a combination of 10% LE BE firm can be counted 100% | | | | SLBE 10% | TOTAL! LBE/SLBE | 20% LB TRUC | E/SLBE | | Ethnicity AA = African At = Asian In AP = Asian F | American dian | <u> </u> |
| Legend | LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business En Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Lo NPLBE = NonProfit Local Busine NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local | terprise ocal and Small Loca ss Enterprise | | | UB = Uncertified CB = Certified B MBE = Minori | | • | | | C = Caucasi H = Hispanio NA = Native O = Other NL = Not Lis MO = Multipl | : American ted | • |

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division

PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NO .: C375910 -

Approved By Shalley Oarenatur

PROJECT NAME: Restoration of North Oakland Sports Field Access Road near East

| | Bay I | ี่ Municipal District Swainland Reservo | oir - |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 建 数数量 | | | |
| | CONTRACTOR: Bay | Construciton | , |
| <i>)</i> | Engineer's Estimate: \$280,000 | Contractors' Bid Amount \$460,018.70 | Over/Under Engineer's Estimate (\$180,019) |
| Disco | ounted Bid Amount: \$437,017.77 | Amount of Bid Discount \$23,000.94 | <u>Discount Points:</u> 5% |
| | | 学的经验,是是是一个 | |
| | 1. Did the 20% requ | uirements apply? | YES |
| | | \$ | 1 |
| · | 2. Did the contracto | r meet the 20% requirement? | <u>YES</u> |
| | | of LBE participation of SLBE participation | <u>0%</u> 100% |
| | 3. Did the contractor | meet the Trucking requirement? | YES |
| | : а) То | tal SLBE/LBE trucking participation | <u>100%</u> |
| | 4. Did the contracto | r receive bid discounts? | <u>YES</u> |
| | (If yes | s, list the percentage received) | <u>5%</u> |
| | 5. Additional Comm | ents. | |
| | 6. Date evaluation co | mpleted and returned to Contract Admin | o./Initiating Dept. 6/1/2010 |
| Reviewing Officer: | · Viria | WA - Date: (| Date /1/10 - |

Date:

LBE/SLBE PARTICIPATION BIDDER 4

Project Restoration of North Oakland Sports Field Access Road near East Bay Municipal District Swainland Name: Reservoir

| Project No.: | C375910 | Engir | neers Est: | \$28 | 0,000.00 | Under/O | ver Enginee | rs Estimate: | -\$180,018.70 | | | |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|----------------------|------|
| Discipline | Prime & Subs | Location | Cert. | LBE | SLBE | Total | L/SLBE | Total | TOTAL | F | or Tracking Or | ηĮy. |
| | · | , | Status | | - | LBE/SLBE | Trucking | Trucking | Dollars | Ethn. | MBE | WBE |
| PRIME | Bay Construction | Oakland | СВ | | 450,018.70 | 450,018.70 | | • | 445,518.70 | AP | 445,518.70 | |
| Trucking | Williams Trucking | Qakland | СВ | ; | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | AA | 10,000 | · |
| Hydro Seeding | Superior Hydroseeding | Watsonville, CA | UB | | | . | | 1 | 4,500.00 | NL | | _ |
| | | | • | | | , | | | • | | | |
| | Projec | ct Totals | • | \$0 | \$460,019 | \$460,019 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | 460,018.70 | | \$455,518.70 | \$0 |
| | | | | 0% | 100% | _100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 99% | 0% |
| | ents: rements is a combination of 1 n SLBE firm can be counted | | | LBE 10% | SLBE 10% | TOTAL-LBE/SLBE | Carrier to the contract | E/SLBE :KING | | Ethnicity AA = African AI = Asian Ir AP = Asian | a American Indian | |
| Legend | LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Er Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified L NPLBE = NonProfit Local Busines | nterprise ocal and Small Local Bu | sinesses | | | | • | | | C = Caucasi H = Hispani NA = Native O = Other NL = Not Lis | c American | |
| | NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Loca | l Business Enterprise | | | | | | | | MO = Multip | ile Ownership | |



DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NO.: C375910

| | | toration of North Oakland Sports Frict Swainland Reservoir | ield Access Road near East Bay Municipal | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| | | | | 團 |
| | CONTRACTOR: Site | works Construction, Inc. | | |
| | Engineer's Estimate: | Contractors' Bid Amount | Over/Under Engineer's Estimate | |
| | \$280,000 | \$272,515.26 | \$7,485 | |
| <u>[</u> | Discounted Bid Amount: | Amount of Bid Discount | Discount Points: | |
| | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0% | h |
| | | | | |
| | 1. Did the 20% requi | rements apply? | YES | |
| | 2. Did the contractor | meet the 20% requirement? | <u>NO</u> | |
| | b) % | of LBE participation | <u>0%</u> | |
| · | c) % | of SLBE participation | <u>1.47%</u> | |
| • | 3. Did the contractor m | eet the Trucking requirement? | YES | |
| | a) To | otal SLBE/LBE trucking participation | on <u>100%</u> | |
| • | 4. Did the contractor | receive bid discounts? | <u>NO</u> | |
| | (if ye | s, list the percentage received) | <u>0%</u> | |
| | 5. Additional Comme | ents. | • | |
| | Bidder failed to me | | rticipation requirement. Therefore, tthey | |
| | 6. Date evaluation com | pleted and returned to Contract Admi | n./Initiating Dept. | |
| Reviewing Officer: | · Yuiai | Date: | 6/1/2010 Date | |
| Approved | By: Shellow 6 | Saranshina Date: | 6/1/10 | |

LBE/SLBE PARTICIPATION

BIDDER 2

Project Name: Restoration of North Oakland Sports Field Access Road near East Bay Municipal District Swainland Reservoir

| Project No.: | C375910 | Engi. | neers Est: | \$280,0 | 00.00 | Under/O | ver Enginee | rs Estimate: | \$7,484.74 | 4 | | | |
|---|---|------------------|-------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|------|--|
| Discipline | Prime & Subs | Location | Cert. | LBE ' | SLBE | Total | L/SLBE | Total | TOTAL | For | Tracking C | only | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | Status | | | LBE/SLBE | Trucking | Trucking | Dollars | Ethn. | MBE | WBE | |
| PRIME | Siteworks Construction, Inc. | Lafayette | UB | , | | | | | 199,015.26 | С | | | |
| Sawcutting | Bayline | Oakland | UB | | | | | | 1,500 | Н | 1,500 | | |
| Trucking | Williams Trucking | Oakland | СВ - | | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | AA | 4,000 | | |
| Fused Pipe | HC/AC Plumbing | Oakland | UB | | | | | | 68,000 | C | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Projec | t Totals | | \$0 | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | \$272,515.26 | | \$5,500 | \$0 | |
| | i iojec | i, i Otais | | 0% | 1,47% | 1.47% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 2% | 0% | |
| Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements. | | | LBE 10% | The state of the s | TOTAL LBE/SLBE | 20% LB | to the state of th | STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY. | Ethnicity AA = African / AI = Asian Inc | American | | | |
| ļ | | - - | | | | Sec. 1 | | MONE CONTRACTOR | | AP ≈ Asian P C = Caucasia | | | |
| Legend | LBE = Local Business Enterprise | | | | UB = Uncertified B | | | • | | H = Hispanic | | | |
| | SLBE = Small Local Business Enterpr Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local a | | nesses | | C8 = Certified Bus MRE = Minority | uness y Business Enter | rorise | | • | NA = Native / O = Other | unencan | | |
| | NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business E | | | | _ | Business Enter | = | | | NL = Not List | ed | | |
| | NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Bus | iness Enterprise | | | <u> </u> | | | | | MO = Musiipk | Ownership . | | |

Attachment C

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

| O. Colli | tract Administ | tration | | DATE: 8 | 2/27/08 | PROJECT N | O: C90581 | † - |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | tract Administ | uation | | ATTENT | | Gwen McCoi | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | RE: | IOIN. | | erformance Evalu | iatio |
| | | | | PROJEC | CT: | | Construction of Pe | |
| | | | | | | Imp. Restorir Tunnel Rd. | ng Washout Near | 233 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| VE ARE S | SENDING YO | ıU [∑ | ☑ Attached ☐ Und | der separate cov | er via | | the following | l item: |
| | Shop Drawings | s [|] Prints | ☐ Plans | | Specifications | ☐ Samples | |
| | Copy Letter | | Change Order | ☐ Paymer | nt Reques | it | | |
| COPIES | DATE | NO. | | | DESCRI | PTION | | |
| riginal | 8/27/08 | i | Contractor Perforr | nance Evaluati | on | | 52 | |
| ··· | | | | | | | \$43-3 (2) 200-3 (2) | Ì |
| | | | | | | | 9 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | | \$1. \(\frac{1}{2}\) | |
| | | | | | | <u></u> | | <u> </u> |
| | | ļ | | | _ | | <u> </u> | _ |
| · | ~ | | | | | | · | |
| , | | | | | | | | િ |
| | | | | | | • | | |
| IEOE ADE | | · | l 1 I b I | | | | | |
| 1ESE ARE | TRANSMITT | ⊵ບ as c | necked below: | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | , |
| ☐ F | or approval | , | ☐ Approved a | s submitted | | Resubmit _ | copies for app | oval |
| | or approval | • | | | | | copies for approva | |
| ⊠F | | · | ☐ Approved a | s note | | Submit | | |
| ⊠ F □ A □ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F □ A □ F | or your use s requested | | ☐ Approved a | s note | <u></u> P | Submit | _ coples for approva | |
| ⊠ F □ A □ F □ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | [] P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F □ A □ F □ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F □ A □ F □ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | □ P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F □ A □ F □ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | □ P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F □ A □ F □ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F ☐ A ☐ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | □ P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F ☐ A ☐ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F- □ A · □ F- | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | □ P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F □ A □ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F- □ A □ F- □ F- | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | □ P | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |
| ⊠ F ☐ A ☐ F | or your use is requested or review and co | | ☐ Approved a | s note | | Submit | _ copies for approva _ corrected print | |

Schedule L-2 City of Oakland Public Works Agency CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

| Project Number/T | itle: | <u>C99581</u> |
|---|--|---|
| Work Order Numb | per (if applicable): | |
| Contractor: | | BELIVEAU ENGINEERING. |
| Date of Notice to I | Proceed: | JANUARY 30, ZOOB |
| Date of Notice of | Completion: | MARCH 11, 2008. |
| Date of Notice of I | Final Completion: | MARCH 11, 2008 |
| Contract Amount: | | \$ 371,065.00 |
| Evaluator Name a | nd Title: | JAHN CHIN, ASSISTANT ENG. |
| calendar days of the Whenever the any category of the shortfall at the performed if at a Contractor is Marg Final Evaluation Reproject will supersed The following construction project responses are resultable undicate before eaprovided. Any avoided a criterion is of a subcontractor of the contractor's effort the short and cate of the criterion is contractor's effort the short and category and category and category and category and category are the contractor's effort the short and category and | he issuance of the Resident Enginese e Evaluation, the leriodic site meeting time the Resident of Unsatisfact and or Unsatisfact interim ratings list provides a bacts awarded by the equired to support of must be attached and arrative the valiable supporting the attached. The narrative value improve the subsect of the provided in the | er finds the Contractor is performing below Satisfactory for Resident Engineer shall discuss the perceived performance ings with the Contractor. An Interim Evaluation will be sident Engineer finds that the overall performance of a tory. An Interim Evaluation is required prior to issuance of a actory. The Final Evaluation upon Final Completion of the |
| ASSESSMENT GL Outstanding (3 points) | Performance amo | ong the best level of achievement the City has experienced |
| Satisfactory (2 points) | Performance met | contractual requirements. |
| Marginal 1 point) | | ely met the lower range of the contractual requirements or met contractual requirements after extensive corrective |
| _ | Performance did | not meet contractual requirements. The contractual g assessed reflected serious problems for which corrective |
| | | |

| · · · | WORK PERFORMANCE | Unsatisfactory | Marginal | Satisfactory | Outstanding | Not Applicable |
|-------|---|----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1 | Did the Contractor perform all of the work with acceptable Quality and Workmanship? | | | 叉 | | |
| 1a | If problems arose, did the Contractor provide solutions/coordinate with the designers and work proactively with the City to minimize impacts? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation. | | | × | | |
| 2 | Was the work performed by the Contractor accurate and complete? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment and provide documentation. Complete (2a) and (2b) below. | | | × | . 🗆 | 0 |
| 2a | Were corrections requested? If "Yes", specify the date(s) and reason(s) for the correction(s). Provide documentation. | | | Yes | No | M/A |
| 2b | If corrections were requested, did the Contractor make the corrections requested? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation. | а | | | | × |
| - 3 | Was the Contractor responsive to City staff's comments and concerns regarding the work performed or the work product delivered? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation. | 0 | | × | | |
| 4 | Were there other significant issues related to "Work Performance"? If Yes, explain on the attachment. Provide documentation. | | | | Yes | No X |
| 5 | Did the Contractor cooperate with on-site or adjacent tenants, business owners and residents and work in such a manner as to minimize disruptions to the public. If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. | | | × | | , |
| 6 | Did the personnel assigned by the Contractor have the expertise and skills required to satisfactorily perform under the contract? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. | | | X | | |
| 7 | Overall, how did the Contractor rate on work performance? The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions given above regarding work performance and the assessment guidelines. Check 0, 1, 2, or 3. | 0 [] | 1 | 2 | 3 | |

| • | FINANCIAL | Unsatisfactory | Marginal | Satisfactory | Outstanding | Not Applicable |
|----|--|----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---|
| | Were the Contractor's billings accurate and reflective of the contract payment terms? | T | Γ | Γ | | |
| 14 | If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation of occurrences and amounts (such as corrected invoices). | | a | × | | |
| | Were there any claims to increase the contract amount? If "Yes", list the claim amount. Were the Contractor's claims resolved in a manner reasonable to the City? | | | | | |
| 15 | Number of Claims: | | | | Yes | No M |
| | Claim amounts: \$ | | | | | |
| | Settlement amount:\$ | | | | | |
| 16 | Were the Contractor's price quotes for changed or additional work reasonable? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation of occurrences and amounts (such as corrected price quotes). | | | × | | |
| 17 | Were there any other significant issues related to financial issues? If Yes, explain on the attachment and provide documentation. | | | | Yes | , NO X |
|) | , | | | | | |
| 18 | Overall, how did the Contractor rate on financial issues? | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | |
| | The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions given above regarding financial issues and the assessment | | ' | 1 | ١ | は は は は に に に に に に に に に に に に に |
| | guidelines. | | | X | | A CANAL |
| | Check 0, 1, 2, or 3. | | | | | 1.71 |

| | TIMELINESS | Unsatisfactory | Marginal | Satisfactory | Outstanding | Not Applicable |
|----|---|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| 8 | Did the Contractor complete the work within the time required by the contract (including time extensions or amendments)? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment why the work was not completed according to schedule. Provide documentation. | | | × | | |
| 9 | Was the Contractor required to provide a service in accordance with an established schedule (such as for security, maintenance, custodial, etc.)? If "No", or "N/A", go to Question #10. If "Yes", complete (9a) below. | | | Yes | No □ | N/A |
| 9a | Were the services provided within the days and times scheduled? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment and specify the dates the Contractor failed to comply with this requirement (such as tardiness, failure to report, etc.). Provide documentation. | · | | | | X |
| 10 | Did the Contractor provide timely baseline schedules and revisions to its construction schedule when changes occurred? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation. | | | × | | |
| 1 | Did the Contractor furnish submittals in a timely manner to allow review by the City so as to not delay the work? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation. | | | × | | |
| 12 | Were there other significant issues related to timeliness? If yes, explain on the attachment. Provide documentation. | | | | Yes | No. |
| 13 | Overall, how did the Contractor rate on timeliness? | Ω | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| | The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions given above regarding timeliness and the assessment guidelines. | _ | | \overline{A} | , . | |
| | Check 0, 1, 2, or 3. | <u></u> | | <i>У</i> ₹ | <u> </u> | 新 |

| . | | factor | 777 | story | ding_ | licabl |
|----------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | Unsatisfactory | Marginal | Satisfactory | Outstanding | Not Applicable |
| | COMMUNICATION | | | ···· | ,! | |
| 19 | Was the Contractor responsive to the City's questions, requests for proposal, etc.? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. | | | X | | |
| 20 | Did the Contractor communicate with City staff clearly and in a timely manner regarding: | | | | | |
| 20a | Notification of any significant issues that arose? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. | | | × | | |
| 20b | Staffing issues (changes, replacements, additions, etc.)? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. | | | × | | |
| 20c | Periodic progress reports as required by the contract (both verbal and written)? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. | | | X | | |
| 20d | Were there any billing disputes? If "Yes", explain on the attachment. | | | | Yes | No |
| 27 | Were there any other significant issues related to communication issues? Explain on the attachment. Provide documentation. | | | | Yes | No. |
| 22 | Overall, how did the Contractor rate on communication issues? | | | | | |
| | The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the | 0 | 1] | 2 | 3 | |
| | questions given above regarding communication issues and the assessment guidelines. Check 0, 1, 2, or 3. | | | K | | |
| | Olistr V, 1, 2, 01 3. | | | | | 25,326 |

| : | SAFETY | Unsatisfactor | Marginal | Satisfactory | Outstanding | Not Applicabl |
|----|--|---------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| 23 | Did the Contractor's staff consistently wear personal protective equipment as appropriate? If "No", explain on the attachment. | | | | Yes | No |
| 24 | Did the Contractor follow City and OSHA safety standards? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. | | | | X | |
| 25 | Was the Contractor warned or cited by OSHA for violations? If Yes, explain on the attachment. | | | | Yes | No X |
| 26 | Was there an inordinate number or severity of injuries? Explain on the attachment. If Yes, explain on the attachment. | | | | Yes | No Al |
| 27 | Was the Contractor officially warned or cited for breach of U.S. Transportation Security Administration's standards or regulations? If "Yes", explain on the attachment. | | | | Yes | X No |
| 28 | Overall, how did the Contractor rate on safety issues? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| أر | The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions given above regarding safety issues and the assessment guidelines. | | | X | | |

OVERALL RATING

Based on the weighting factors below, calculate the Contractor's overall score using the scores from the four categories above.

1. Enter Overall score from Question 7 2 x 0.25 = 0,50

2. Enter Overall score from Question 13 Z X 0.25 = 0.50

3. Enter Overall score from Question 18 2 X 0.20 = 0.40

4. Enter Overall score from Question 22 2 x 0.15 = 0.30

5. Enter Overall score from Question 28 $\frac{Z}{X}$ \times 0.15 = $\frac{0.30}{X}$

TOTAL SCORE (Sum of 1 through 5):

2.0

OVERALL RATING:

2.0

Outstanding: Greater than 2.5

Satisfactory Greater than 1.5 & less than or equal to 2.5

Marginal: Between 1.0 & 1.5 Unsatisfactory: Less than 1.0

PROCEDURE:

The Resident Engineer will prepare the Contractor Performance Evaluation and submit it to the Supervising Civil Engineer. The Supervising Civil Engineer will review the Contractor Performance Evaluation to ensure adequate documentation is included, the Resident Engineer has followed the process correctly, the Contractor Performance Evaluation has been prepared in a fair and unbiased manner, and the ratings assigned by the Resident Engineer are consistent with all other Resident Engineers using consistent performance expectations and similar rating scales.

The Resident Engineer will transmit a copy of the Contractor Performance Evaluation to the Contractor. Overall Ratings of Outstanding or Satisfactory are final and cannot be protested or appealed. If the Overall Rating is Marginal or Unsatisfactory, the Contractor will have 10 calendar days in which they may file a protest of the rating. The Public Works Agency Assistant Director, Design & Construction Services Department, will consider a Contractor's protest and render his/her determination of the validity of the Contractor's protest. If the Overall Rating is Marginal, the Assistant Director's determination will be final and not subject to further appeal. If the Overall Rating is Unsatisfactory and the protest is denied (in whole or in part) by the Assistant Director, the Contractor may appeal the Evaluation to the City Administrator, or his/her designee. The appeal must be filed within 14 calendar days of the Assistant Director's ruling on the protest. The City Administrator, or his/her designee, will hold a hearing with the Contractor within 21 calendar days of the filing of the appeal. The decision of the City Administrator regarding the appeal will be final.

Contractors who receive an Unsatisfactory Overall Rating (i.e., Total Score less than 1.0) will be allowed the option of voluntarily refraining from bidding on any City of Oakland projects within one year from the date of the Unsatisfactory Overall Rating, or of being categorized as non-responsible for any projects the Contractor bids on for a period of one year from the date of the Unsatisfactory Overall Rating. Two Unsatisfactory Overall Ratings within any five year period will result in the Contractor being categorized by the City Administrator as non-

responsible for any bids they submit for future City of Oakland projects within three years of the date of the last Unsatisfactory overall rating.

Any Contractor that receives an Unsatisfactory Overall Rating is required to attend a meeting with the City Administrator, or his/her designee, prior to returning to bidding on City projects. The Contractor is required to demonstrate improvements made in areas deemed Unsatisfactory in prior City of Oakland contracts.

The Public Works Agency Contract Administration Section will retain the final evaluation and any response from the Contractor for a period of five years. The City shall treat the evaluation as confidential, to the extent permitted by law.

COMMUNICATING THE EVALUATION: The Contractor's Performance Evaluation has been communicated to the Contractor. Signature does not signify consent or agreement.

Contractor / Date

Resident Engineer / Date

Supervising Civil Engineer / Date

ATTACHMENT TO CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION:

Use this sheet to provide any substantiating comments to support the ratings in the Performance Evaluation. Indicate before each narrative the number of the question for which the response is being provided. Attach additional sheets if necessary.



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERA OAKLAND

2010 JUN 30 AM 10: 52

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

| Maga | J. |
|------|---------------|
| | City Attorney |

| RESOLUTION NOC. | M. | S |
|-----------------|----|---|
|-----------------|----|---|

Introduced by Councilmember

RESOLUTION AWARDING A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT TO BELIVEAU ENGINEERING CONTRACTORS, INC. FOR THE RESTORATION OF SLOPE AND FIRE ACCESS ROAD ABOVE NORTH OAKLAND SPORTS FIELD (PROJECT NUMBER C400810) IN ACCORD WITH PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND CONTRACTORS BID THEREFORE, IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO HUNDRED FORTY-SIX THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-FIVE DOLLARS (\$246,655.00)

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2010, the City Clerk received four bids for the restoration of slope and fire access road above the North Oakland Sports Field (Project Number C400810); and

WHEREAS, Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc., a certified SLBE bidding as a prime, is deemed the lowest responsive and responsible bidder for the project; and

WHEREAS, there are sufficient funds in the project budget for the work and funding for this project is available in the following project accounts:

- Fund 5200 JPFA (Joint Powers Financing Authority) Capital Projects Series 2005, Streets and Structures Design Organization (92242), Construction Account (57411), Project C400810 (\$146,655.00),
- Fund 5510 Capital Reserve Fund, Streets and Structures Design Organization (92242), Construction Account (57411), Project C400820 (\$100,000.00); and

WHEREAS, the City lacks the equipment and qualified personnel to perform the necessary work; and

WHEREAS, the City Administrator has determined that the performance of this contract is in the public interest because of economy or better performance; and

WHEREAS, Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. complies with all LBE/SLBE and trucking requirements; and

WHEREAS, the City Administrator has determined that the performance of this contract shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive services; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the construction contract for the restoration of slope and fire access road above the North Oakland Sports Field (Project Number C400810) is awarded to Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. in accordance with the plans and specifications for the project which includes change order authorization of 25% to decrease or increase the contract price to account for changes in estimated materials and labor, and the terms of contractors bid dated April 2010, in the amount of two hundred forty-six thousand six hundred fifty-five (\$246,655.00); and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the plans and specifications prepared by the Assistant Director, PWA, Department of Engineering and Construction for this project are hereby approved; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the amount of the bond for faithful performance, \$246,655.00, and the amount for a bond to guarantee payment of all claims for labor and materials furnished and for the amount due under the Unemployment Insurance Act, \$246,655.00, with respect to such work are hereby approved; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with Beliveau Engineering Contractors, Inc. on behalf of the City of Oakland and to execute any amendments or modifications to said agreement within the limitations of the project specifications; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That all other bids are hereby rejected; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the contract shall be reviewed and approved by the City Attorney for form and legality and placed on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

| IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, | , 20 |
|---|---|
| PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE: | |
| AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIG | I HAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, and PRESIDENT BRUNNER |
| NOES - | |
| ABSENT - | , |
| ABSTENTION - | ATTEST: |
| | LaTonda Simmons City Clerk and Clerk of the Council |

of the City of Oakland, California