OFFICE OF THE CIT'S CLERA CITY OF OAKLAND **BILL ANALYSIS**

Date: 010 JUN 24 PM 4: 23

Bill Number: SB 974

Bill Author: Darrell Steinberg; (D)

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

Contact:

Susana Villarreal

Department: Economic Development

Telephone: 238-7794 FAX # 238-2230 E-mail: svillarreal@oaklandnet.com

RECOMMENDED POSITION: **OPPOSE**

Summary of the Bill:

SB 974 proposes to create a "career pathways" tax credit, in an effort to enhance career technical education efforts in the state. However, this new tax credit is paid for by eliminating the Targeted Employment Area (TEA) from the list of eligible criteria for the Enterprise Zone (EZ) hiring credit and retroactive vouchering for all employees hired after January 1, 2011. The elimination of the TEA will specifically target neighborhoods with some of the highest levels of unemployment, poverty rates and crime in California and eliminate an important incentive for businesses to remain in those communities and hire local residents. Additionally, by eliminating an important hiring incentive, the cost of doing business increases significantly.

Voucher retroactivity is effectively eliminated by the requirement that all hiring credit vouchers be completed within 28 days of the date of hire; an unrealistic expectation at best. This provision effectively eliminates small business owners from participating in the program, as they will find this arbitrary and impractical deadline an impediment that makes participation in the program almost impossible. As a result, those businesses with the greatest growth potential and therefore California's best hope for reducing our historic unemployment rate will be left out of the program.

The bill also caps the amount of tax credits available to EZ businesses. This will launch an unprecedented scramble among EZ businesses to compete against each other to get their voucher applications submitted as quickly as possible.

Positive Factors for Oakland: NONE

Negative Factors for Oakland:

Item: Rules & Legislation Cmte. July 8, 2010 SB 974 would eliminate TEA eligibility. Taking advantage of the TEA hiring credit gives employers an incentive to hire workers who live in low income areas and who might otherwise have a difficult time finding employment. Abolishing the TEA criteria will have a devastating impact on the Enterprise Zone program by drastically reducing the number of hiring credit vouchers that are issued, affecting thousands of employers and employees and undermining the ability of the program to eliminate barriers to employment for disadvantaged individuals. The requirement to submit vouchers within a 28 day deadline is unrealistic for small business owners who will not be able to obtain the credits. Retroactive vouchering will not be allowed decreasing the amount of a tax refund to companies who have hired eligible employees in the past. Further an annual cap of the credit will restrict the credit amount to participating businesses.

PLEASE RATE THE EFFECT OF THIS MEASURE ON THE CITY OF OAKLAND:

<u> </u>	Critical (top priority for City lobbyist, city position required ASAP)
	Very Important (priority for City lobbyist, city position necessary)
	Somewhat Important (City position desirable if time and resources are available)
	Minimal or None (do not review with City Council, position not required)

Known support:

Association of CA School Administrators
CA Association of School Business Officials
California Association of Regional Occupational Centers and
Programs
California Association of School Business Officials
California Catholic Conference
California Regional Occupational Centers and Programs
California State Parent Teachers Association
Clovis Unified School District
Elk Grove Unified School District
Los Angeles Unified School District
Metropolitan Education District
San Diego Unified School District

Known Opposition:

The Ranger Group

		Item:	
Rules	&	Legislation Ci	nte.
		July 8, 2	010

7-Eleven Franchise Owners of San Diego

ACE Parking

All American Plastics, Inc.

Aloha Restaurants, Inc.

Amazon Consultants

American National Investments, Inc.

Atlas Transportation, LLC

Baja Mex Currency Services, Inc.

Barbosa Cabinets, Inc.

Basic Urban Kitchen

Blum and Clark Accounting Firm

Brawley Chamber of Commerce

C&I Tax Consultants

C&M Motors, Inc.

Cafe 222

Cafe Sevilla, Inc.

Cal Tax Group, Inc.

California Aerospace Technology Association

California Association of Enterprise Zones

California Bankers Association

California Business Properties Association

California Chamber of Commerce

California Employment Opportunity Network

California Grocers Association

California Independent Grocers Association

California Manufacturers and Technology Association

California Retailers Association

California Space Authority

California Taxpayers Association

Caterpillar Inc.

Chambers of Commerce Alliance of Ventura & Santa Barbara

Counties

Chung and Company, LLC

Cinder Block, Inc.

Citymark Development, LLC

Clovis Chamber of Commerce

Commercial Transport Concepts, Inc.

Community Bank of the Bay

Compete Consulting, LLC

Contractors Wardrobe, Inc.

Item: _____ Rules & Legislation Cmte. July 8, 2010 Courtney Tires, Inc.

Cowboy Star

Cozad Trailer Sales, LLC

Custom Woodworking Products

CustomEyes Optometry

Dairy Institute of California

Dallo Enterprises, Inc.

Dana Point Chamber of Commerce

Del Monte Foods

Dos Amigos Distribution, Inc.

Easy Cash Community Pawn Centers

Economic Development Corporation of Oxnard

Edgewater Grill

El Centro Chamber of Commerce & Visitors Bureau

Evapco West

EZ Tax Credits, LLC

Gatto, Pope & Walwick, LLP

Golden Image Window Coverings

Greater Fresno Area Chamber of Commerce

Grocery Outlet

Harbor House Restaurant

HP Hood LLC

Integrated Marine Services, Inc.

Interactivate, Inc.

International Paper

International Surf Ventures, Inc.

Jillson & Roberts

Kieran Label Corp.

Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce

Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce.

Los Angeles Cold Storage Company

Marcus & Millichap

Marine Service Commercial Diving

Marza Consulting

MD&CD, Inc.

Means and Associates

Merced County

Metal Supply Inc.

Michael L. Dworkin and Associates

MIRA California USA Center, LLC

Mission Cafe

Item: _____ Rules & Legislation Cmte. July 8, 2010 Modesto Chamber of Commerce

Multimodal Esquer

National City Chamber of Commerce

National Federation of Independent Business

National Mechanical Services

O'Brothers Restaurant

Oakland Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce

Orange County Business Council

Otay Mesa Chamber of Commerce

Oxnard Chamber of Commerce

Pacific Gas & Electric

Pacific Lift and Equipment

Pacific Real Estate

Palm Desert Chamber of Commerce

Paramount Windows and Doors

Paul Mitchell Partner Schools

Peppertree Distributors, Inc.

Pier Cafe

Porterville Chamber of Commerce

Portfolio Realty Management, Inc.

Professional Solutions Group LLC

Proper Gastropub

Rainbow Mart

Riverview Systems Group Inc

San Diego Desserts

San Diego Pretzel Co.

San Diego Regional Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Center for Economic Development

San Ysidro Chamber of Commerce

Sandicast

SC Design, Inc.

Seat Advisors, Inc.

Sempra Energy

sfparty

Side Bar

Sixth Avenue Bistro

Southwest Airlines

Stingaree San Diego

Summit Bank

Tactical Assault Gear

Targeted Management Company, Inc.

Item: ______ Rules & Legislation Cmte. July 8, 2010

Tenacore Holdings Inc. The Enterprise Zone Co. The Fleetwood The Kebab Shop The Tin Fish The Walt Disney Company Tilted Kilt Tittle & Company, LLP Tooth Fairy Dental Group **Troll Systems Corporation** TUFF Acoustical and Thermal **Unity Forest Products** Urban Industries Embroidery Valley Industry & Commerce Association Vermont Outlet, Inc. Walton Management Services, Inc. Waterfront Bar and Grill Western Growers Association Wincentive Corporation Windsor Mortgage and Capital Wine Institute Wine Steals World Wide Petroleum WW Wholesale Yreka Chamber of Commerce

Attach bill text and state/federal legislative committee analysis, if available.

BILL ANALYSIS

SENATE RULES (COMMITTEE]	SB	974
Office of Senate F	loor Analyses	•	. 1	
1020 N Street, Sui	te 524		Ι .	
(916) 651-1520	Fax: (916)		·	
327-4478	Ì	1	•	

THIRD READING

Rules & Legislation Cmte.
July 8, 2010

Bill No: SB 974

Author: Steinberg (D), et al

Amended: 4/5/10

Vote: 21

SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE: 8-0, 4/21/10 AYES: Romero, Huff, Alquist, Hancock, Liu, Price,

Simitian, Wyland

NO VOTE RECORDED: Maldonado

SENATE REVENUE & TAXATION COMMITTEE: 3-1, 5/12/10

AYES: Wolk, Alquist, Padilla

NOES: Ashburn

NO VOTE RECORDED: Walters

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: 7-3, 5/27/10 AYES: Kehoe, Alguist, Corbett, Leno, Price, Wolk, Yee

NOES: Denham, Walters, Wyland NO VOTE RECORDED: Cox

<u>SUBJECT</u>: Career Pathways Investment Credit

SOURCE : Author

DIGEST: This bill eliminates an existing Enterprise Zone hiring tax credit and establishes a new Career Pathways Investment Credit administered by the California Department of Education to business entities that partner with local education agency programs to develop and support career pathways, as specified.

ANALYSIS: The California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTAC) administers two low-income housing tax credit programs, a federal program and a state program. Both programs were authorized to encourage private investment in affordable rental housing for households meeting certain income requirements.

Responsibility for administering the federal program was assigned to CTAC which has seven members, including three

> Item: Rules & Legislation Cmte. July 8, 2010

voting members and four advisors. The voting members include the State Treasurer, the State Controller, and the Governor, who may choose to designate the Director of the Department of Finance as his representative. The non-voting members are the Executive Director of the California Housing Finance Agency, the Director of the Department of Housing and Community Development, and two representatives of local governments. One local representative must be associated with a city and is appointed by the Speaker of the Assembly. The other member is a county representative appointed by the Senate Rules Committee.

This bill:

- 1. Requires, on or after an unspecified date, CTAC to administer the Career Pathways Investment Credit, applicable to taxable years on or after January 1, 2011. More specifically it requires CTAC to:
 - A. Determine and allocate the investment credit ceiling, as specified.
 - B. Establish application filing deadlines, and give priority in allocating the credits to local education agencies (LEAs) meeting specified criteria including, among other things, specified unemployment and high school graduation rates, or serving of socioeconomically diverse student populations.
 - C. Allocate the credits to LEAs that enter into enforceable contracts or memorandums of understanding, as specified, with CTAC and meet any additional requirements the CTAC deems necessary or appropriate.
 - D. Adopt allocation criteria that award credits to LEAs that demonstrate specified elements in their application.
 - E. Develop and provide forms to inform LEAs and taxpayers of the purpose of the credit and certify to an LEA (which must provide a copy to the business

Item:
Rules & Legislation Cmte.
July 8, 2010

entity receiving the credits) the amount of the allocated tax credits.

F. Consult with the California Department of Education to develop forms, procedures for submission and review of applications, and to require the application to include, but not be limited to, specified components.

2. Authorizes CTAC to:

- A. Contract with other entities to process and review applications.
- B. Charge fees of applicants, as specified, and authorizes the borrowing of money to cover administrative costs, to be repayable solely from these fees.
- 3. Authorizes a business entity that partners with an LEA to provide career technical education, as specified, to claim a career pathways investment credit against qualified state sales and use taxes, as specified, and imposes a number of related administering duties on the Franchise Tax Board and the State Board of Equalization.
- 4. Changes a definition within enterprise zone tax credit provisions within the Revenue and Taxation Code.
- 5. Defines various terms for purposes of the bill.
- 6. Makes a number of technical and clarifying changes to Revenue and Taxation Code provisions.
- 7. Makes a number of related declarations and findings.

<u>FISCAL EFFECT</u>: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: No

According to the Senate Appropriations Committee:

Fiscal Impact (in thousands)

Item:
Rules & Legislation Cmte.
July 8, 2010

<u>Major Provisions</u> <u>2010-11</u> <u>2011-12</u>

2012-13 Fund

Hiring credit changes (\$20,000) (\$75,000)(\$100,000) General New CPIC credit \$20,000 \$75,000

\$100.000General

CDE regs & startup \$150 to \$225, repaid in future fiscal year

General

by CPIC applicant fees

FTB administration Initial

costs unknown, ongoing costs General covered by CPIC applicant fees

Appropriations staff notes that the bill authorizes CDE to charge a fee on CPIC applicants that is reasonably sufficient to cover CDE and FTB administrative costs. It is unclear whether an appropriate fee level can be established to fully offset costs to develop and administer the CPIC program. Initial costs would be paid from the General Fund until sufficient fees are collected to reimburse CDE for startup costs.

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: According to the author's office, new research by the Public Policy Institute of California raises serious questions about the effectiveness of the enterprise zone tax credit in contributing to economic and job development. At a time when California's General Fund is shrinking, it is more important than ever to prioritize investments and ensure that tax credits are producing a beneficial return on the state's investment. California suffers from too many high school dropouts, too little meaningful career pathway programs at the middle and high school levels, and shortages of skilled workers to fuel high-need sectors of our economy. Investments in high quality career pathway programs at the secondary school level would bring a greater return on the state's tax expenditure investment.

Item:
Rules & Legislation Cmte.
July 8, 2010

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION: Opponents argue that this bill does not improve the Enterprise Zone program; it does not encourage business growth; it does not encourage the creation of jobs; it does not provide the means for some of our most disadvantaged citizens to get the hand up they need to enter the job market. They state it does just the opposite and will ensure that California remains the least business friendly state in the union.

Respectfully submitted,

Walter S. Cohen, Director

Community and Economic Development Agency

Reviewed by:

Gregory Hunter, Deputy Director

Economic Development and Redevelopment

Prepared by:

Susana Villarreal, Enterprise Zone Coordinator

Economic Development

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE RULES COMMITTEE:

Office of City Administrator

ltem: ____ Leaislation Cmt &

Rules & Legislation Cmte.; July 8, 2010 FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 JUN 24 PM 4: 23

Approved as to Form and Legality City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No	C.M.S.

RESOLUTION IN OPPOSITION TO SENATE BILL 974 (D. STIENBERG) AMENDING THE ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the City of Oakland opposes Senate Bill 974 which would roll back many of the benefits of the Enterprise Zone program by imposing costly and onerous requirements on employers; and

WHEREAS, SB 974 eliminates the Targeted Employment Area from the list of eligible criteria for the Enterprise Zone hiring credit and retroactive vouchering; and

WHEREAS, the elimination of the Targeted Employment Area will specifically target neighborhoods with some of the highest levels of unemployment, poverty rates and crime in California and eliminate an important incentive for businesses to remain in those communities and hire local residents; and

WHEREAS, the Oakland Enterprise Zone has greatly contributed to the revitalization of our community, creating jobs for local residents; and attracting and retaining businesses; and

WHEREAS, the negative impact of SB 974 would be catastrophic to economic opportunity and job growth at a time when our economy needs stimulus and more support of economic tools; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the best interests of the City of Oakland would be served by opposing SB 974; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council declares that the City of Oakland opposes SB 974, an act to amend the Enterprise Zone Program, and authorizes the City Administrator to submit a letter to the State Legislature affirming its opposition to SB 974.

IN COUNCIL,	OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,
PASSED BY	THE FOLLOWING VOTE:
AYES -	BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND PRESIDENT BRUNNER
NOES ~	
ABSENT -	•
ABSTENTION	1 –
	ATTEST:
	LATONDA SIMMONS City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California