# CITY OF OAKLAND AGENDA REPORT

20.7 H N 10 PH 7: 14

TO: Office of the City Administrator

ATTN: Deborah A. Edgerly FROM: Deborah Barnes DATE: May 22, 2007

RE: Action on a Report on the Findings and Recommendations of the

Fairness In Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study.

#### **SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to bring forward for Council consideration, the results and first phase recommendations of the "Fairness in Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study. The second phase ("Volume II") will be submitted to Council after Volume I has been fully vetted and next steps have been identified. Volume II will include recommendations specific to disparity findings and a report on contracts with not for profit entities.

This study represents a snapshot in time and was constructed from Oracle data, city wide records, surveys, and interviews. The snapshot then suggests patterns and practices when compared with actual spending and the available business/trade markets. This study covered a three year period ranging from 2002-2003, 2003-2004 and 2004-2005.

The data collection was separated into four major industries for purposes of the analysis: (a) Construction; (b) Architecture & Engineering; (c) Professional Services; and (d) Goods and Other Services. Construction Services included public work for new construction, remodeling, renovation, maintenance, demolition and repair of any public structure or building and other public improvements. Architecture and Engineering Services included architecture, engineering, research planning, development, design, alteration or repair of real property, surveying and mapping, comprehensive planning, and other professional services of an architectural and engineering nature. Professional Services include consulting, personnel, professional, and technical services. Goods and Other Services include supplies, equipment and non-professional services.

Please note Chapters 8 ("Prime Contractor Disparity Analysis") and 9 ("Subcontractor Disparity Analysis") show disparity results in greater detail. Previous chapters provide a background and lay a foundation for the final results. The study reports findings of disparity by contract thresholds (i.e. \$15,000, \$50,000 and \$500,000), trade category, ethnicity and gender. In addition, the findings are separated out by prime contracting and subcontracting activity. Due to the scope of the study a general summary of the salient points are provided in Attachment A - Executive Summary. The full study is labeled Attachment B.

It is important to note that disparities were found across ethnicities and for women in various categories especially when combined as Minority/Women Owned Business Enterprises. Tables in both the Executive Summary as well as the body of the study represent these findings.

Item: \_\_\_\_ CED Committee May 22, 2007 The Executive Summary provides a snapshot of disparity findings. Specifically, please note (1) Table 1.02 "Summary of Disparity Findings for Formal Contracts", (2) Table

1.03 "Summary of Disparity Findings for Informal Contracts" and (4) Table 1.04 "Summary of Subcontract Disparity Findings. These tables are found on pages 1-9 to 1-11 of the Executive Summary and provided below as a quick reference.

The tables below show that "statistically significant disparities were found by "YES" and when not found "No". The notation "---"represents insufficient records to determine statistical disparity.

Table 1.02 Summary of Disparity Findings for Formal Contracts

Ethnicity and Gender	Construction	Architecture & Engineering	Professional Services	Goods & Other Services
African Americans	Yes	No	Yes	No
Asian Americans	No	No	Yes	No
Hispanic Americans	No	No	No	Yes
Native Americans				
Minority Businesses Enterprises	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Women Business Enterprises	Yes	No	Yes	No
Minority and Women Business Enterprises	Yes	No	Yes	No

**Table 1.03 Summary of Disparity Findings for Informal Contracts** 

Ethnicity and Gender	Construction	Architecture & Engineering	Professional Services	Goods & Other Services
African Americans	Yes	No	Yes	No
Asian Americans	No	Yes	Yes	No
Hispanic Americans	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Native Americans				
Minority Businesses Enterprises	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Women Business Enterprises	No	No	No	No
Minority and Women Business Enterprises	Yes	No	Yes	No

Architecture & Professional Ethnicity and Gender Construction Engineering Services African Americans Yes No No Yes No No Asian Americans Hispanic Americans Yes No No Native Americans Minority Businesses Enterprises Yes No No Women Business Enterprises No No No

**Table 1.04 Summary of Subcontract Disparity Findings** 

In the three tables above, there are noted disparities in *formal* contracts (Table 1.02) for African Americans in Construction and Professional Services, Asian Americans in Professional Services; Hispanic Americans in Goods and Other Services and Women in Construction and Professional Services. For *informal* contracts (Table 1.03) noted disparities were found for African Americans in construction and professional services; Asian Americans in Architecture and Engineering and Professional Services; Hispanic Americans in Construction, Architectural Engineering, and Goods and Other Services. In Table 1.04 "Summary of Subcontract Disparity Findings" disparities are noted for African Americans in construction; Asian Americans in construction; and Hispanic Americans in construction.

Disparities are represented by the differences between actual spending and the available market. When there is a statistically significant difference between those two factors, then it suggests underutilization or over-utilization. Please see Chapter 6 "Market Area Analysis" and Chapter 7 "Availability Analysis" for a detailed account.

In terms of distribution of dollars, it is important to note that \$61,741,570 61, or 25 percent of all dollars were awarded to 12 vendors. Those 12 vendors represent less than a quarter of a percent of all vendors. Table 4.17, Chapter 4, page 4-30 provides a profile of the twelve most highly used prime contractors during the study period. Construction firms represent 9 of the 12, and the other 3 provided Goods & Other Services.

## **FISCAL IMPACT**

This is an informational report. There are no fiscal impacts.

#### BACKGROUND

The Fairness in Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study (also referred to as the Croson Study) was mandated by Section 808 (b) of the City Charter. The purpose of the study is to determine if there was active or passive discrimination against minority and women-owned businesses.

The legal requirements are viewed within the context of the U.S. Supreme Court's ruling (1989) in City of Richmond v. J. A, Croson Company. Subsequent cases such as Adarand v. Pena reiterated the application of a strict scrutiny standard to determine the viability of race based programs. A very comprehensive legal review is found in Chapter I of the study and summarized in the Executive Summary.

Coral Construction v. San Francisco is also referenced in this report. This recent ruling (April 18, 2007) appears to open the door for further discourse relative to federal laws and the extent to which they may apply in light of state laws such as Proposition 209.

# KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS

Some of the key issues for consideration include: (1) Disparities and how to address them; (2) the legal context of Council actions; (3) the City's contracting patterns and how they impact fairness and equity; (4) Council priorities relative to these findings. Will the direction of Council lean toward small businesses, emerging businesses, both or neither?; and (5) How to address City obstructions so they no longer create barriers to underutilized businesses.

Finally and perhaps most importantly, how does the City move this matter forward so as not to create divisions between the groups represented in this report and move forward in a manner that will result in a more cohesive inter-dependent business community?

#### SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

*Economic:* Policies, programs and procedures tailored to the findings will result in increased opportunities for statistically significant underrepresented groups.

*Environmental*: Staff makes every effort to encourage the use of sustainable and recycled-content materials and technologies whenever possible.

**Social Equity**: Fair and equitable contracting opportunities will serve to level the competitive field. Underrepresented groups will have more access to the City's public procurement process.

# RECOMMENDATION(S) AND RATIONALE

Possible Next Steps -

 Convene a public hearing on the report and its implications. While statistically significant disparities exist which could support discriminatory action, the anecdotal data does not appear to support the hard numbers.

- 2. Council may want to forward the study to key stakeholders. The Black Caucus was initially instrumental in securing a commitment from former Mayor Brown to hire a consultant to conduct a disparity study. This desire was seconded by Council members such as Council members Nadel, Brooks, and De La Fuente.
- 3. The "Economic Development" cluster of task forces convened by Mayor Dellums in order to garner community based visions, ideas, and recommendations may be an excellent source to consider the ramifications and next steps as the result of the study.
- 4. An appointed business working group representing the four major categories of the study could be formed to gather pubic feedback. For example, a working group could include representatives from (a) construction prime and sub contracting, (b) prime and sub consulting in professional services, prime and sub consultants in Architecture and Engineering and several goods and services providers.
- 5. Council may upon request of Volume II, consider the recommendations put forward by the consultant and move those recommendations forward for public consideration.

Formal Recommendations - Formal recommendations will come forth upon Council request as Volume II. It is important for council to express policy directions to ensure that recommendations are moved forward within the most accurate policy context.

# ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Provide recommendations and guidance to staff regarding next steps.

Respectfully submitted,

Deborah L. Barnes

Office of the City Administrator

Contract Compliance & Employment Services

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE

COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE:

OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Item: CED Committee May 22, 2007



May 10, 2007

Ms. Deborah Lusk-Barnes
Manager, Contract Compliance and Employment Services
Office of the City Administrator
Contract Compliance and Employment Services Division
250 Frank Ogawa Plaza, Suite 3341
Oakland, CA 94612

Subject: City of Oakland and Redevelopment Agency, Fairness in Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study - Volume I

Dear Ms. Barnes:

Enclosed please find Volume I of the Fairness in Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study Report and an Executive Summary dated May 2007. The Volume I report contains the following 10 chapters: Legal Analysis Chapter, Contracting and Procurement Chapter, M/WBE Legislative History Chapter, Prime Contractor Utilization Chapter, Subcontractor Utilization Chapter, Market Area Analysis Chapter, Availability Analysis Chapter, Prime Contractor Disparity Analysis Chapter, and Anecdotal Analysis Chapter.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

# Eleanor Ramsey

Eleanor Mason Ramsey, Ph.D. President

cc: Lynn Reddrick, Senior Project Manager

# City of Oakland and Redevelopment Agency

# Fairness in Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study

Volume I

**Executive Summary** 

Submitted to: City of Oakland and Redevelopment Agency

Submitted by: Mason Tillman Associates, Ltd.



# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

In September 2005, the City of Oakland and Redevelopment Agency (City) commissioned a Fairness in Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study. Mason Tillman Associates, Ltd., of Oakland, California was selected by the City Council to perform the Study.

The purpose of the City's Fairness in Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study was to determine if the City was actively or passively discriminating against minority and womanowned business enterprises (M/WBEs). The Study was mandated by Section 808 (b) of the City Charter, which required the City to conduct such a disparity study. The prime utilization analysis included four industries: construction, architecture and engineering, professional services, and goods and other services. Contracts awarded between July 1, 2002 and June 30, 2005 were studied.

Morrison and Foerster, LLP, Watson Enterprises, Carl Chan, Melano and Associates, Jungle Communications, Law Offices of Paul Elizondo, and Christopher Edley, Jr. Esq., Dean of Boalt Hall School of Law, University of California assisted Mason Tillman in the performance of the Study. The subcontractor team performed legal analysis, data collection activities, design and translation services, and outreach to the business community.

The Study could not have been conducted without the cooperation of the local chambers of commerce and business organizations, and the many Oakland business owners who demonstrated their commitment to the Study by participating in interviews and community meetings. In addition, the City's staff played a critical role in assisting with the data collection by making available City personnel, contract records, and documents needed to perform the Study. The extraordinary effort of the City and the business community should be applauded.

Deborah Lusk-Barnes, Manager, Contract Compliance and Employment Services provided overall leadership and guidance for the Study. Ms. Barnes' staff facilitated Mason Tillman's effort to secure the needed resources to complete the Study.

# **Table of Contents**

CHAPTER	1: EXECUT	TIVE SUMMARY 1-
ſ.	OVERVIEW	1-
	A.	Study Purpose
	B.	Legal Requirements
	C.	Study Team 1-2
	D.	Overview of Current L/SLBE Program 1-2
	E.	Industries Studied
	F.	Contract Data Sources
	G.	Contract Thresholds
II.	METHODOL	OGY AND STRUCTURE 1-:
	Α.	Methodology
	B.	Organization of the Report
III.	NOTABLE F	INDINGS
	A.	Prime Contractor Utilization Analysis 1-7
	B.	Disparity Analysis Methodology 1-7
	C.	Contract Size Analysis
	D.	Statistical Findings
IV	4 NECDOTAL	LEINDINGS

# List of Tables

Table 1.01	Contract Thresholds for the City 1-5
Table 1.02	Summary of Disparity Findings for Formal Contracts 1-9
Table 1.03	Summary of Disparity Findings for Informal Contracts 1-10
Table 1.04	Summary of Subcontract Disparity Findings1-11
Table 1.05	Summary of Findings Concerning Current Barriers Against
	Ethnic/Gender Groups

1

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## I. OVERVIEW

# A. Study Purpose

The purpose of the City of Oakland and Redevelopment Agency (City) Fairness in Purchasing and Contracting Disparity Study was to determine if the City was actively or passively discriminating against minority and woman-owned business enterprises (M/WBEs). The Study was mandated by Section 808 (b) of the City Charter, which required the City to conduct such a disparity study. The prime utilization analysis included four industries: construction, architecture and engineering, professional services, and goods and other services. Prime contracts awarded between July 1, 2002 and June 30, 2005 were studied.

## B. Legal Requirements

Since the U.S. Supreme Court's 1989 ruling in City of Richmond v. J. A. Croson Co. (Croson), local governments have been concerned about the legal validity of minority and woman-owned business enterprise programs. The Croson decision and subsequent lower court rulings imposed new standards on how local governments can utilize contracting programs to increase the participation of M/WBEs. The new standards provide that a factual basis must be established before enacting race and gender-based remedies to promote business with M/WBEs. A disparity study is the method to establish the required factual predicate.

City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co., 488 U.S. 469 (1989).

# C. Study Team

Mason Tillman Associates, Ltd., a public policy consulting firm based in Oakland, California, was selected to perform the Disparity Study. Morrison and Foerster, LLP, Watson Enterprises, Carl Chan, Melano and Associates, Jungle Communications, Law Offices of Paul Elizondo, and Christopher Edley, Jr. Esq., Dean of Boalt Hall School of Law, University of California assisted Mason Tillman in the performance of the Study. The subcontractor team performed legal analysis, data collection activities, design and translation services, and outreach to the business community.

## D. Overview of Current L/SLBE Program

The City has a Local and Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE) program governing the procurement of goods and services. The City has had a Program since 1979. The L/SLBE Program has served as a proxy to continue to address the underutilization of certain ethnic and gender groups. The L/SLBE Program was enacted to increase Oakland-based business participation in City contracting and development projects, strengthen Oakland's economic base, and develop Oakland-based businesses through joint ventures and mentor-protégé relationships.

#### E. Industries Studied

The Disparity Study included a statistical analysis and evaluation of construction, architecture and engineering, professional services, and goods and other services prime contracts and subcontracts awarded in the four industries.

Construction is defined as public work for new construction, remodeling, renovation, maintenance, demolition and repair of any public structure or building, and other public improvements. Architecture and Engineering is defined as architecture, engineering, research planning, development, design, alteration or repair of real property, surveying and mapping, comprehensive planning, and other professional services of an architectural and engineering nature. Professional Services are defined as consulting, personnel, professional, and technical services. Goods and Other Services are defined as supplies, equipment, and non-professional services.

#### F. Contract Data Sources

#### 1. Prime Contracts

The prime utilization analysis included contracts, purchase orders, and direct purchases awarded by the City during the study period. Contracts, purchase orders, and direct purchases will hereafter be referred to as contracts.

The prime contractor data for the City of Oakland and for the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA) was extracted by the City's Purchasing Division from their Oracle-based centralized financial system. The data included the list of purchase orders and a list of payments. There were a large number of payments that did not refer to any purchase order. Some of these payments were direct purchases and others were actually issued against a contract or a purchase order. To avoid over-counting the number of awards made to each vendor, these payments were aggregated by vendor and by fiscal year.

The data for Oakland Base Reuse Authority (OBRA) is not tracked in the City's centralized financial system. This data was manually compiled by OBRA's staff from hard-copy documents.

Payments made to housing developers by CEDA were excluded from the present analysis. CEDA provides loans to not-for-profit developers that cover only a portion of each affordable housing construction project. Although the dollars paid to developers were excluded from the prime contractor analysis, these projects are included in the subcontractor utilization analysis portion of the report.

Mason Tillman cleaned and compiled the provided data and requested corrections for what appeared to be missing or incorrect information. The contracts were then classified into four industry categories defined earlier in this chapter: Construction, Architecture and Engineering, Professional Services, and Goods and Other Services using the object codes provided with the payment data. However, the object codes did not accurately describe the type of work performed by each particular contractor. For example, vendors that were paid in relation to a heavy construction project may include construction suppliers, equipment maintenance contractors, professional engineers, and government agencies. Mason Tillman had to review most of the records one by one to determine the correct industry category for each vendor. Mason Tillman excluded from this analysis expenditures to not-for-profit organizations, government agencies, and banks, as well as expenditures for rental space, subscriptions, and seminars.

#### 2. Subcontracts

Extensive efforts were undertaken to obtain subcontractor records for the City's construction, architecture and engineering, and professional services contracts. Goods and other services contracts traditionally do not include significant subcontracting activity and they were not included in the analysis.

Two sources, City project files and prime contractor and subcontractor expenditure surveys, were used to reconstruct all construction, architecture and engineering, and professional services prime contracts valued at \$100,000 or more. Mason Tillman visited the City's Contract Compliance Division, Public Works Department, Community and Economic Development Agency, and Oakland Base Reuse Authority to reconstruct subcontractor data from various documents found in the project files. The documents include but are not limited to contract documents, contract compliance status reports, subcontractor affidavit for final payment, contractor utilization plan, and prevailing wage documents. The second source was prime contractors who were surveyed by Mason Tillman to determine their subcontractors. The prime contractors were asked to provide the name, award, and payment amounts for each subcontractor. Subcontractors were then surveyed to verify the payments that were received from the prime contractors.

City staff from all agencies described above provided indispensable assistance throughout this process. In addition to providing access to their records, they encouraged the prime contractors and subcontractors to respond to each survey. City staff also assisted in locating subcontractor contact information and payment data which Mason Tillman was not able to locate.

#### G. Contract Thresholds

The procurement of construction, architecture and engineering, professional services, and goods and other services are subject to different solicitation requirements, depending on the value of the purchase. Informal contracts are small purchases that did not require advertising. There was a maximum threshold of \$50,000 for construction and goods and other services contracts and a maximum threshold of \$15,000 for architecture and engineering and professional services contracts.

Formal contracts are the advertised solicitations above the informal threshold for each industry. Formal contracts have no maximum size threshold. However, the analysis of formal contracts was capped at \$500,000 to ensure that the contracts examined in the disparity analysis were within the capacity level of available M/WBEs.

The following table describes the thresholds used in the analysis of City contracts.

Table 1.01 Contract Thresholds for the City

	Type of Contract				
Industry	Informal Prime Contract	Formal Prime Contract	Subcontract		
Construction	Contracts valued \$50,000 and under	Contracts valued between \$50,000 and \$500,000	Prime contracts valued at \$50,000 or more		
Architecture and Engineering	Contracts valued \$15,000 and under	Contracts valued between \$15,000 and \$500,000	Prime contracts valued at \$50,000 or more		
Professional Services	Contracts valued \$15,000 and under	Contracts valued between \$15,000 and \$500,000	Prime contracts valued at \$50,000 or more		
Goods and Other Services	Contracts valued \$50,000 and under	Contracts valued between \$50,000 and \$500,000	Not included		

#### II. METHODOLOGY AND STRUCTURE

# A. Methodology

The review of *Croson* and related case law provided the legal framework for conducting the

# Disparity Study: Critical Components

- 1. Legal Framework
- 2. Utilization Analysis
- 3. Market Area Analysis
- 4. Availability Analysis
- 5. Disparity Analysis
- 6. Anecdotal Analysis
- 7. Race Neutral Assessment
- 8. Recommendations

disparity study. A legal review was the first step in the disparity study. Case law sets the standard for the methodology employed in a disparity study. Step two was to collect utilization records and determine the extent to which the City had used minority, womanowned, and other businesses to secure its needed goods and services. Utilization records were also used to determine the geographical area in which companies that had received City contracts were located. In step three, the City's market area was identified. Once the market area was defined, the fourth step, the availability analysis, identified businesses willing and able to provide services needed by the City. In the fifth step, the utilization and availability analyses were used

to determine whether there was a statistically significant underutilization within the five industries. In step six, the anecdotal analysis, the contemporary experiences of business

owners in the City's market area were collected. In **step seven**, the City's race-neutral efforts were reviewed to determine their scope and effectiveness in including M/WBEs in its contracting. Finally, in **step eight**, the statistical and anecdotal analyses were reviewed and recommendations were written to enhance the City's efforts in contracting with M/WBEs in its market area.

# B. Organization of the Report

The Disparity Study findings are issued in two volumes, comprising 12 chapters. The contents of the two volumes are briefly described below:

#### Volume One: Disparity Study Report

- Chapter 1: Legal Analysis presents the legal cases applicable to business affirmative action programs and the methodology based on those cases required for the Disparity Study
- Chapter 2: Contracting and Procurement Analysis provides an overview of the City's procurement and contracting policies and procedures
- Chapter 3: History of M/W/L/SLBE Legislation and DBE Regulations presents a legislative history of the City's M/WBE Program, the legislative history governing the introduction of the City's L/SLBE Program, and the City's implementation of the DBE Program
- Chapter 4: Prime Contractor Utilization Analysis presents the distribution of prime contracts by industry, ethnicity, and gender
- Chapter 5: Subcontractor Utilization Analysis presents the distribution of subcontracts by industry, ethnicity, and gender
- Chapter 6: Market Area Analysis presents the legal basis for geographical market area determination and defines the City's market area
- Chapter 7: Availability Analysis presents the distribution of available businesses in the City's market area
- Chapter 8: Prime Contractor Disparity Analysis presents prime contractor utilization compared to prime contractor availability by industry, ethnicity, and gender and determines whether the comparison is statistically significant

- Chapter 9: Subcontractor Disparity Analysis presents subcontractor utilization compared to subcontractor availability by industry, ethnicity and gender and determines whether the comparison is statistically significant
- Chapter 10: Anecdotal Analysis presents the business community's experiences and perceptions of barriers encountered in contracting or attempting to contract with the Authority and the City

#### Volume Two: Recommendations and Not-For-Profit Analysis

- Chapter 1: Recommendations presents the City's L/SLBE Program and provides strategies to enhance its effectiveness.
- Chapter 2: Not-For-Profit Analysis presents an analysis of the City's use of not-forprofit organizations.

#### III. NOTABLE FINDINGS

# A. Prime Contractor Utilization Analysis

The City's prime contractor utilization analysis examined the \$244,205,430 expended on the 24,956 contracts awarded between July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2005. The \$244,205,430 expended included \$77,252,468 for construction, \$21,976,119 for architecture and engineering, \$37,112,084 for professional services, and \$107,864,759 for goods and other services. A total of 24,956 contracts were analyzed, which included 608 for construction, 424 for architecture and engineering, 1,101 for professional services, and 22,823 for goods and other services.

The 24,956 contracts were awarded disproportionately to the 5,018 utilized vendors. The City awarded 60 percent of the contract dollars to less than 2 percent of the 5,018 utilized vendors. Of the 5,018 utilized vendors, 88 vendors received 60 percent or \$146,953,160 of the total expenditures while the remaining 4,930 vendors received 40 percent or \$97,252,270 of the total expenditures.

# B. Disparity Analysis Methodology

The objective of the disparity analysis is to determine if M/WBEs were underutilized at a statistically significant level on City contracts. Under a fair and equitable system of awarding contracts, the proportion of contract dollars awarded to M/WBEs should be

approximate to the proportion of available M/WBEs in the relevant market area.<sup>2</sup> If a disparity exists between these proportions, a statistical test can determine the probability that the disparity is due to chance. If there is a very low probability that the disparity is due to chance,<sup>3</sup> the finding is considered statistically significant, and according to *Croson*, an inference of discrimination can be made. This analysis should be applied to M/WBEs by both race and gender.

To determine if the underutilization of M/WBEs can be explained by their possible lack of capacity, the Study has restricted the analysis to contract sizes that are within reach of companies in the availability pool. An analysis of contracts restricted to two dollar thresholds provided in the report illustrated that capacity was not a major factor that produced disparity.

# C. Contract Size Analysis

A prime contract disparity analysis was performed on construction, architecture and engineering, professional services, and goods and other services contracts awarded between July 1, 2002 and June 30, 2005.

The majority of the City's contracts were small with 95.63 percent less than \$25,000 and 98.56 percent less than \$100,000. The fact that the majority of the City's contracts were small suggests that the capacity needed to perform most of the contracts awarded during the study period was minimal. Furthermore, there is evidence that certain willing firms also had the capacity to perform contracts in excess of \$500,000.

A threshold of \$500,000 was set for the prime contract disparity analysis to ensure that willing firms had the capacity to perform contracts included in the analysis. The prime contract disparity findings in the four industries under consideration are summarized in the sections below.

Availability is defined as willing and able firms. The methodology for determining willing and able firms is detailed in Chapter 7 of Volume One.

When conducting statistical tests, a confidence level must be established as a gauge for the level of certainty that an observed occurrence is not due to chance. It is important to note that a 100 percent confidence level, or a level of absolute certainty, can never be obtained in statistics. A 95 percent confidence level is considered by the courts to be an acceptable level in determining whether an inference of discrimination can be made. Thus, the data analyzed here was done within the 95 percent confidence level.

# D. Statistical Findings

Formal Prime Contract Disparity: There was a statistically significant underutilization of M/WBEs in formal prime contracts in construction and professional services.

Table 1.02 Summary of Disparity Findings for Formal Contracts

Ethnicity and Gender	Construction	Architecture and Engineering	Professional Services	Goods and Other Services
African Americans	Yes	No	Yes	No
Asian Americans	No	No	Yes	No
Hispanic Americans	No	No	No	Yes
Native Americans				
Minority Business Enterprises	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Women Business Enterprises	Yes	No	Yes	No
Minority and Women Business Enterprises	Yes	No	Yes	No

Yes = Statistically significant disparity was found

No = Statistically significant disparity was not found

<sup>--- =</sup> There were insufficient records to determine statistical disparity

Informal Contract Disparity: A summary of the disparity identified in the award of informal contracts is presented in Table 1.03. The dollar threshold for informal contracts varies by industry. There was a statistically significant underutilization of M/WBEs in informal prime contracts in each industry.

Table 1.03 Summary of Disparity Findings for Informal Contracts

Ethnicity and Gender	Construction	Architecture and Engineering	Professional Services	Goods and Other Services
African Americans	Yes	No	Yes	No
Asian Americans	No	Yes	Yes	No
Hispanic Americans	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Native Americans			<b></b>	- <b></b>
Minority Business Enterprises	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Women Business Enterprises	No	No	No	No
Minority and Women Business Enterprises	Yes	No	Yes	No

Yes = Statistically significant disparity was found

No = Statistically significant disparity was not found

<sup>---</sup> There were insufficient records to determine statistical disparity

Subcontract Disparity: A summary of the disparity findings at the subcontractor level is presented below in Table 1.04. Disparity was analyzed for construction, architecture and engineering, and professional services subcontracts. Goods and other services contracts traditionally do not include significant subcontracting activity; therefore, they were not included in the analysis.

As shown in Table 1.04 below, there was a statistically significant underutilization of African Americans, Asian Americans, Hispanic Americans, Minority Business Enterprises, and Minority and Women Business Enterprises in construction subcontracts.

**Table 1.04 Summary of Subcontract Disparity Findings** 

Ethnicity and Gender	Construction	Architecture and Engineering	Professional Services
African Americans	Yes	No	No
Asian Americans	Yes	No	No
Hispanic Americans	Yes	No	No
Native Americans			
Minority Business Enterprises	Yes	No	No
Women Business Enterprises	No	No	No
Minority and Women Business Enterprises	Yes	No	No

Yes = Statistically significant disparity was found

# IV. ANECDOTAL FINDINGS

In *Croson*, the United States Supreme Court specified the use of anecdotal testimony as a means to determine whether remedial race and gender-conscious relief may be justified in a particular market area.<sup>4</sup> The Court stated that "evidence of a pattern of individual

No = Statistically significant disparity was not found

<sup>--- =</sup> There were insufficient records to determine statistical disparity

<sup>4</sup> Croson, 488 U.S. at 509.

discriminatory acts can, if supported by appropriate statistical proofs, lend support to a [local entity's] determination that broader remedial relief [be] justified."<sup>5</sup>

Fifty business owners in the City of Oakland were interviewed about their experiences during the July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2005 study period. Included were businesses in all four industries included in the Disparity Study. Members of all ethnic groups, except for Native Americans, were interviewed. The anecdotes provide accounts of both active and passive forms of discrimination, as well as businesses' experience with barriers from City officials and the business community.

It should also be noted that many business owners described the City's L/SLBE Program as valuable and a major factor in keeping their businesses solvent. Additionally, the City staff received commendations from interviewees concerning their assistance to M/WBEs.

The following is a brief summary of the anecdotal findings:

- The interviewees reported incidences of racial prejudice encountered when working for the City and within the City.
- Sexist and unfair treatment toward woman-owned business owners were reported by several interviewees. Some female business owners believed that they have to overcome hurdles that their male counterparts are not subjected to because of their gender.
- Many minorities and women find it challenging to crack the closed social and professional "good old boys" network, which they believe deliver a disproportionate number of contracts to a select few Caucasian Male contractors.
- In order to be placed on the public and private bidding lists, contractors must constantly follow up with inquiries, and even then, they often do not receive notice of bid opportunities. Several interviewees reported that there is a problem getting bid information from the City.
- Many interviewees reported that the City failed to pay them in a timely manner.
- A majority of the interviewees believed the City's L/SLBE program is valuable and is needed for small, female and minority businesses.

Table 1.05 below presents a summary of the barriers reported by minority and womenowned businesses in contracting with the City.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.* 

Table 1.05 Summary of Findings Concerning Current Barriers Against Ethnic/Gender Groups

Type of Evidence	Minority Business Enterprises	Caucasian Female Business Enterprises
BUSINESS	BARRIERS	
Discrimination Based on Race	X	
Discrimination Based on Gender	X	X
	EATED BY THE R COMMUNITY	
Difficulty Breaking into Contracting Networks	X	X
Good Old Boys Network	X	X
DIFFICULTIES	IN BID PROCESS	
Difficulty Obtaining Bid Information	X	X
Inadequate Lead Time	X	X
Supplier Problems	X	X
FINANCIA	L BARRIERS	
Difficulty Obtaining Financing or Credit	X	X
Late Payment by the City	X	

Table 1.05 Summary of Findings Concerning Current Barriers Against
Ethnic/Gender Groups

Type of Evidence	Minority Business Enterprises	Caucasian Female Business Enterprises
Late Payment by Prime Contractors	X	X
CERTIFIC	CATION ISSUES	
Paperwork Issues or Problems with Certification Procedures	X	X