

2010 JUN 22 AM 10: 15 INTRODUCED BY COUNCILMEMBER \_\_\_

APPRO	VED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
	Garbare & Jake
<del></del>	CITY ATTORNEY

## **OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL**

	Resolution No		C. <b>M.</b> S.	
	TION ESTABLISHING A 0-2011 PURSUANT TO JTION			
	S, Article XIIIB of the vas passed by the Cali 1, 1980; and			
formally impleme appropriations lin	S, the Chapter 1205, Stents methods for governits, based on annual a fiscal year 2010-11; no	ernmental entities appropriations for the	to establish and o	define annual
	D: That the annual ap			for FY 2010-
	RESOLVED: That the change and the increa			limit are the
IN COUNCIL, OAKLA	AND, CALIFORNIA,	, 2010		i
PASSED BY THE FO	OLLOWING VOTE:			
AYES BROOKS, [ BRUNNER	DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, K	ERNIGHAN, NADEL, QU	JAN, REID and PRESIC	DENT
NOES				
ABSENT				
ABSTENTION				
			SIMMONS	-

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California

		,
•		

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY GLERA
OAKLAND ARTICLE XIIIB APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT
Fiscal Year 2010-11

2010 JUN 22 AM 10: 15

Article XIIIB of the California Constitution was created by the passage of Proposition 4 in November 1979, and establishes a limit on the amount of tax revenue which can be appropriated by government jurisdictions. This limit is popularly known as the Gann Limit. Each year, the limit is recalculated by multiplying the previous year's limit by adjustment factors for population growth and inflation. Proposition 111, passed in June 1990, gave cities the option of using their own growth or the growth of the entire county in which the city is located as the population adjustment factor. Cities were also given the option of using the increase in California Per Capita Income or the increase in the assessed valuation of non-residential property in the City as the inflation adjustment factor. Cities have the option each year of deciding which factor to use. For 2010-11, Oakland is using the population growth of the County of Alameda and the increase in California Per Capita Income. These adjustment factors must be applied to retroactively recalculate the appropriations limit for 1987-88 (i.e. the base year) and each year thereafter in order to arrive at the limit for 2010-11.

2009-10 Appropriations Limit

\$450,934,736

2010-11 Adjustment Factors

County of Alameda Population Change = 1.0110 (1.10%) California Per Capita Income Change = 0.9746 (-2.54%) Combined Change = 1.0125 x 1.0062 = 0.9853

2010-11 Appropriations Limit

\$444,315,285

Not all City appropriations are subject to the limitation of Article XIIIB. The intent of Proposition 4 was to restrict only the appropriation of "proceeds of taxes." Determining which appropriations are subject to the limit requires classifying each funding source as either proceeds of taxes or non-proceeds of taxes. Property tax and local taxes are subject to limitation. State taxes that are subvented to the City count against the City's limit if their use is unrestricted, as in the case of sales tax and motor vehicle in lieu. State subventions that are restricted in use are applied against the State's limit rather than the City's, so they are considered non-proceeds of taxes for the City's calculation. This includes Measure B sales tax, gas tax, and state grants and state-mandated cost subventions included in the Grants and Subsidies category. Interest income is prorated so that the interest earned on tax revenue is included as proceeds of taxes.

Most of the City's other revenue sources are classified as non-proceeds of taxes: franchise fees, Landscape and Lighting Assessments (special benefit assessment districts are excluded from the limitation), fines and penalties, license and permit fees, service charges, rentals and concessions, federal grants, Oakland Redevelopment Agency reimbursements (redevelopment agencies are exempt from Article XIIIB), internal service revenues, and other miscellaneous revenues. Enterprise funds – sewer service charges and golf course revenues – are also exempt from the limitation. Fund transfers are re-appropriations of revenue received in previous years; these funds were included in the calculation of appropriations subject to limitation\_in\_the\_vear they were received and are not counted twice.

ARTICLE XIIIB - APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION FY 2010-11

Revenue	Grand Total	Proceeds of Taxes	New Proceeds of Texas
PROPERTY TAX	217.31	217.31	
STATE TAX			<u>;</u>
Sales Tax	47.19	36.14	11.05
Motor Vehicle in Lieu	1.11	1.11	!
Gas Tax Subtotal, State Taxes	7.03 <b>55.33</b>	37.25	18.08
LOCAL TAXES			•
Business License Tax	50.81	50.81	t :
Utility Consumption Tax	50.80	50.80	. '
Real Estate Transfer Tax	28.49	28.49	4
Transient Occupancy Tax Parking Tax	8.64 13.71	8.64 13.71	•
Special Taxes	28.77	28.77	
Subtotal, Local Taxes	181.22	181.22	
LANDSCAPE & LIGHTING ASSESSMENT	18.21		18.21
OTHER LOCAL FEES	55.98		55.98
SERVICE CHARGES	131.22		131.22
GRANTS & SUBSIDIES	87.58		87.58
MISCELLANEOUS	90.60	0.14	90.46
FUND TRANSFERS	152.76		152.76
SUBTOTAL REVENUES	990.20	435.93	554.27
INTEREST (Prorated)	8.16		8.16
TOTAL REVENUE	998.36	435.93	562.43
EXEMPTIONS*		(92.16)	
APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION		\$343.77	
APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT		\$444.32	1
OVER/(UNDER) LIMIT		(\$100.55)	· .

<sup>\*</sup> Property tax revenue appropriated to service voter-approved debt is exempted.