

CITY OF OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:38

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Office of the City Administrator
ATTN: Dan Lindheim
FROM: Community and Economic Development Agency
DATE: March 9, 2010

RE: **Resolutions Authorizing The City Administrator, Or His Designee, To Enter Into Seven (7) Professional Services Agreements In An Amount Not-To-Exceed Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) Each, And Eight (8) Professional Services Agreements In An Amount Not-To-Exceed One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) Each, For As-Needed Civil Engineering Services Over A Period Of Three (3) Years**

SUMMARY

Resolutions have been prepared authorizing the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into professional services agreements to provide as-needed *civil engineering* services with each of the following fifteen (15) firms:

Eight (8) firms with Tier-I Statement of Qualifications (up to \$150,000.00 per consultant over three years)

1. Aliquot Associates, Inc.
2. Chow Engineering, Inc.
3. DKS Associates
4. Earthquake and Structures, Inc.
5. Humann Company, Inc.
6. MMS Design Associates
7. Urban Design Consulting Engineers
8. WRECO

Seven (7) firms with Tier-II Statement of Qualifications (up to \$500,000.00 per consultant over three years)

1. AGS, Inc.
2. BKF Engineers
3. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
4. Moffat & Nickol
5. RBF Consulting
6. Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineers, Inc.
7. Wood Rodgers, Inc.

Item: _____
Public Works Committee
March 9, 2010

Two tiers of contracts were used for soliciting proposals to encourage qualified prime consultants from smaller sized firms to compete with similarly qualified consultants: Tier-I for smaller sized firms, and Tier-II for larger sized firms. Each professional service agreement is for an amount not-to-exceed either \$150,000.00 (Tier I), or \$500,000.00 (Tier II), over a three-year period.

The Community and Economic Development Agency's Engineering Design Division will manage the contracts. These contracts are available for use by all other Departments and Divisions under CEDA, the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA).

The firms hired with these as-needed *civil engineering* services must be able to plan and design pavement structures, roadway geometry, streetscapes, storm drainage, and sanitary sewer projects. Consultants must be able to perform site investigations, site assessments, hydraulic and hydrological analysis, evaluate alternatives, generate reports, prepare plans and specifications for construction contracts, coordinate the project with regulatory agencies and secure permits, provide construction support, and facilitate special inspections and material testing. The services provided are for work that is highly technical and specialized or that is temporary in nature. These services are not performed by City staff and will not result in any impacts to current staff.

FISCAL IMPACT

Funding for these contracts will come from existing appropriations. The Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA) has maintained as-needed contracts for engineering consulting services in the past through the Department of Engineering and Construction. These new as-needed *civil engineering* services contracts will be available to support all City departments, including CEDA, the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA). Funding for the as-needed contracts comes directly from federal, state or local grants, or City and ORA clients, on a project-by-project basis. Funds will be identified prior to authorizing specific services.

The term of each of the eight (8) Tier-I contracts will be for three years and is not to exceed \$150,000.00 each, or a total maximum allocation of \$1,200,000.00. The term of each of the seven (7) Tier-II contracts will be for three years and is not to exceed \$500,000.00 each, or a total maximum allocation of \$3,500,000.00.

BACKGROUND

CONSULTANT SELECTION

In September 2009, the City issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for as-needed *civil engineering* services. Legal advertising was placed in local newspapers on September 23, 2009.

Item: _____
Public Works Committee
March 9, 2010

Specific notifications were also sent to local and area engineering firms, and community outreach firms including the following:

- Oakland certified local and small local businesses firms
- Oakland's contract compliance mailing list for civil engineers

City staff held a pre-SOQ meeting for the RFQ on Wednesday, September 30, 2009, and over fifty (50) consultants attended. Sixteen (16) sets of qualifications were received by the due date of 2:00 pm on October 9, 2009. This included nine (9) Tier-I SOQ's and seven (7) Tier-II SOQ's.

A four member panel from the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA)-Project Delivery Division, Watershed Program Division, and Engineering Design and ROW Division reviewed the SOQ's for quality and content of the proposals. The Tier-I and Tier-II Statements of Qualifications were separately evaluated based on the following criteria:

1. Presentation, completeness, clarity, organization, and conformance of SOQ to the RFQ content and format requirements.
2. Demonstrated ability, based on firm experience and the specific experience of the project manager and proposed team, to provide technical assistance for a broad range of the engineering project areas and services listed in the RFQ.
3. Demonstrated ability, based on firm experience and the specific experience of the project manager and proposed team, to provide specialized expertise or resources in any one of the engineering project areas and services listed in the RFQ.
4. Prior experience and ability to work with City staff, community groups, and other stakeholders, and translate various requirements and interests into successful projects.
5. Availability and depth of staff and resources to deliver quality products on schedule, including work on short notice and under time constraints.
6. Ability, based on the project manager's approach to project management and quality control/quality assurance, to successfully manage multiple small, quick turnaround projects.

All proposals demonstrated technical expertise to perform the work required and understanding of the technical complexities and regulatory issues involved in planning, designing, and implementing geotechnical projects through their SOQ's. All firms clearly demonstrated the ability and capacity to perform the required work.

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

Fifteen (15) of the sixteen (16) teams meet the City's Local/Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE) Program requirement and will be in compliance with the City's Equal Benefits Ordinance. JMH Weiss, Inc (from San Jose) did not comply with L/SLBE requirements and was deemed non-responsive.

Based on the Project Consultant Team Form (Schedule E) submitted by eight (8) firms with the baseline assumption of a three-year contract in the amount of \$150,000.00 for the Tier-I SOQ, the Social Equity Division of the Department of Contracting and Purchasing determined that all eight (8) firms are in compliance with the 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE) Program requirement as summarized below.

Tier-I Prime Consultants	Proposed Participation		
	Total L/SLBE	LBE	SLBE
Aliquot Associates, Inc.	100%	90%	10%
Chow Engineering, Inc.	100%	90%	10%
DKS Associates	100%	90%	10%
Earthquake and Structures, Inc.	100%	10%	90%
Humann Company, Inc.	30%	0%	30%
MMS Design Associates	20%	0%	20%
Urban Design Consulting Engineers	100%	0%	100%
WRECO	80%	50%	30%

Similarly, based on the Project Consultant Team Form (Schedule E) submitted by the seven (7) firms with the baseline assumption of a three-year contract in the amount of \$500,000.00 for the Tier-II SOQ, the Social Equity Division of the Department of Contracting and Purchasing determined that all seven (7) firms are in compliance with the 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE) Program requirement.

Tier-II Prime Consultants	Proposed Participation		
	Total L/SLBE	LBE	SLBE
AGS, Inc.	100%	80%	20%
BKF Engineers	20%	5%	15%
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	100%	50%	50%
Moffat & Nickol	20%	0%	20%
RBF Consulting	30%	0%	30%
Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Eng., Inc.	85%	72%	13%
Wood Rodgers, Inc.	100%	80%	20%

The Contract Compliance Analysis Memoranda are provided in *Attachment A*.

KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS

It is anticipated that several engineering projects funded by various federal, state, and local sources will require the use of as-needed geotechnical engineering services. Additionally, unscheduled projects will occur throughout the three-year period and will most likely require the use of as-needed professional services agreements. As-needed *civil engineering* services agreements allow the City to provide necessary services in an efficient and timely manner. The agreements will eliminate the lengthy process and costs associated with selecting consultants on an individual or project-by-project request-for-proposals basis.

At this time, the City will enter into Professional Service Agreements with the selected firms. As projects arise, staff will request the selected firms with the appropriate expertise to develop a proposal for the specific project including a detailed scope of services, fees, schedules, and LBE/SLBE participation percentages. The project will then be assigned to one firm based on these proposals, unique qualifications, availability of consultant staff, and other factors. The detailed scope of services, fees, and schedules for each task will then be negotiated between the City and the consultant at the time of assignment. Because the agreements are not for a specific project, no minimum amount of work is guaranteed under these agreements. Staff will monitor each firm's LBE/SLBE participation for compliance throughout the term of the Agreements. Over the term of the agreements, staff will allocate work proportionately among all consultants.

EVALUATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE

The most recent Consultant Performance Evaluations (Schedule L1) for: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.; Moffat & Nickol; Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineers, Inc.; and Wood Rodgers, Inc. indicate an overall rating of *average*, as shown on *Attachment B*. Currently, Performance Evaluation records are not available for the remaining firms.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: The projects will generate business tax, sales tax, and other revenues for the City by those firms who work on the project. Local and small businesses will be utilized on the projects and will benefit directly.

Environmental: Projects completed under these contracts may include components that will improve the environment such as reducing congestion and air pollution, and improving pedestrian and bicycle travel throughout the City.

Social Equity: Projects completed under these contracts may provide greater accessibility and safety to persons who depend on non-motorized transportation and public transit, such as senior citizens, persons with disabilities, and children.

DISABILITY AND SENIOR CITIZEN ACCESS

Consultants will be required to plan and design City improvements in compliance with the physical access requirements of applicable accessibility codes and the Americans with Disabilities Act.

RECOMMENDATION AND RATIONALE

As-needed professional services agreements expedite the planning, design, and implementation of public improvement projects. The time and staff costs currently expended in selecting consultants on a project-by-project basis will be greatly reduced.


It is recommended that the Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into professional services contracts to provide as-needed *civil engineering* services with each of the following eight Tier-I firms: 1) Aliquot Associates, Inc.; 2) Chow Engineering, Inc.; 3) DKS Associates; 4) Earthquake and Structures, Inc.; 5) Humann Company, Inc.; 6) MMS Design Associates; 7) Urban Design Consulting Engineers; and 8) WRECO. Each Tier-I contract is for an amount not to exceed \$150,000.00 for a period of three (3) years.

It is also recommended that the Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into professional services contracts to provide as-needed *civil engineering* services with each of the following seven Tier-II firms: 1) AGS, Inc.; 2) BKF Engineers; 3) Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.; 4) Moffat & Nickol; 5) RBF Consulting; 6) Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineers, Inc.; and 7) Wood Rodgers, Inc. Each Tier-II contract is for an amount not to exceed \$500,000.00 for a period of three years.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the resolutions.

Respectfully submitted,




Walter S. Cohen, Director
Community and Economic Development Agency

Reviewed by:
Michael Neary, P.E., Deputy Director,
CEDA, Department of Engineering and Construction

Prepared by:
Jaime Heredia, P.E., Supervising Civil Engineer
Engineering Design & R.O.W. Management Division

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO
THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:


Office of the City/Agency Administrator

Item: _____
Public Works Committee
March 9, 2010

Attachment A

As-Needed Civil Engineering Services

Department of Contracting and Purchasing (DC&P)

Compliance Analysis

Item: _____
Public Works Committee
March 9, 2010

CITY OF OAKLAND
Department of Contracting and Purchasing
Contract Administration Division

Memorandum

To: Jose Martinez, Engineering Design and Right of Way
From: Contract Administration
Date: January 8, 2010
Re: REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS 2009-2012 AS NEEDED ENGINEERING SERVICES

On October 9, 2009, proposals were submitted to Contract Administration for the above named project. Below is a list of consultants that submitted proposals by the required deadline.

CATEGORY: CIVIL ENGINEERING TIER I

1. **ALIQUOT ASSOCIATES, INC.**
Attn: Robert Wong
Principal
460 Boulevard Way 2nd Floor
Oakland, CA 94610
510-601-5101 FAX 510-601-5171
info@aliquot.com
2. **WRECO**
Attn: Han-Bin Liang
Project Manager
1814 Franklin Street , Suite 608
Oakland, CA 94612
510-836-5188 FAX 510-836-5288
www.wreco.com
3. **URBAN DESIGN CONSULTING ENGINEERS.**
Attn: Jason J. Ling
Principal
4400 Market Street, Suite 800
Oakland, CA 94608
510-868-1085 FAX 510-225-1782
www.UrbanDesignCE.com
4. **CHOW ENGINEERING, INC.**
Attn: Reuben H. Chow, P.E.
Principal
7770 Pardee Lane, Suite 100
Oakland, CA 94621
510-636-8500, FAX 510-636-8544
info@choweng.com
5. **MMS DESIGN ASSOCIATES**
Attn: Francis "Chip" Griffin
Principal Planner
821 Marina Vista Avenue
Martinez, CA 94553
925-293-1025 FAX 925-293-1030
www.mms-inc.net
6. **JMH WEISS, INC.**
Attn: Kevin Weiss
President
150 South Alameda Blvd., Suite 700
San Jose, CA 95113
408-286-4555 FAX 408-286-4558
www.jmhweiss.com

7. **ESI EARTHQUAKE AND STRUCTURES, INC.**
Attn: Paul Bishwendu
Principal
6355 Telegraph Avenue, Suite 101
Oakland, CA 94609
510-601-1065 FAX 510-601-1808
www.esiengineers.com

8. **DKS ASSOCIATES**
Attn: Thomas J. Krakow,
Principal
1000 Broadway, Suite 450
Oakland, CA 94607
510-763-2061 FAX 510-268-1739
www.dksassociates.com

9. **HUMANN COMPANY, INC.**
Attn: Izzat Nashahibi
Principal
1021 Brown Avenue
Lafayette, CA 94549
925-283-5000 FAX 925-283-3578
human@pacbell.net

**CATEGORY: CIVIL ENGINEERING,
TIER II**

1. **RAJAPPAN & MEYER CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.**
Attn: Bala Rajappan
Principal
7700 Edgewater Drive, Suite 812
Oakland, CA 94621
510-569-5251 FAX 569-5241
www.rmengineers.com

2. **RBF CONSULTING**
Attn: Garrett Gritz
Principal
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 700
Oakland, CA 94612
510-444-4348 FAX 510-287-4050

3. **BKF ENGINEERS SURVEYORS PLANNERS**
Attn: Dan Schaefer
Principal
4670 Willow Road
Pleasanton, CA 94588
925-396-7700 FAX 925-396-7799
www.bkf.com

4. **MOFFATT & NICHOL**
Attn: Bruce Zaeni
Principal
1300 Clay Street, Suite 550
Oakland, CA 94612
510-645-1238 FAX 510645-1010
www.moffattnichol.com

5. **WOOD RODGERS, INC.**
Attn: Ali Hemmati
Principal
580 2nd Street, Suite 200
Oakland, CA 94607
510-208-2400 FAX 510-208-2401
www.woodrodgers.com

6. **AGS, INC.**
Attn: Dennis Wong
Principal
1814 Franklin Street, Suite 315
Oakland, CA 94612
510-251-1180 FAX 415-777-2167
www.agsinc.com


7. KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES

Attn: Anush Nejad
Principal
555 12th Street, Suite 1230
Oakland, CA 94607
510-625-0712 FAX 510-625-0714
Linda.debolt@kimley-horn.com

One copy of each of the Proposal's has been given to Sophany Hang of the Contract Compliance Division for her review.

After Contract Compliance has reviewed the Proposal's they will notify Contract Administration of their findings in regard to the firms ability to meet the City's professional services participation goals.

Please call me at (510) 238-3621 with any questions you may have.



GWEN MCCORMICK
Supervisor of Contract Administration

cc:

Jaime Heredia, Engineering Design & Right of Way
Ferial Mosley, Environmental Services
City Clerks Office, via facsimile
Contract Administration File

Memo

Department of Contracting and Purchasing Social Equity Division

To: Jose Martinez, Project Manager
 From: Sophany Hang, Acting Contract Compliance Officer
 Through: Deborah Barnes, Director, DC&P
 Shelley Darensburg, Sr. Contract Compliance Officer *S. Darensburg*
 CC: Gwen McCormick, Contract Administration Supervisor
 Date: January 28, 2010
 Re: Request For Qualifications (RFQ) for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Department of Contracting and Purchasing (DCP), Division of Social Equity, reviewed nine (9) proposals. Below is the outcome of the compliance evaluation for the minimum 20% Local and Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE) participation requirement, and a preliminary review for compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO).

Responsive		Proposed Participation					Earned Credits and Discounts		Banked Credits Eligibility	EBO Compliant? Y/N
Company Name	Original Bid Amount	Total LBE/SLBE	LBE	SLBE	Trucking	Total Credited participation	Earned Bid Discounts	Adjusted Bid Amount		
DKS Associates, Inc.	NA	100%	90%	10%	NA	20%	2 points	NA	0 points	N
ESI Earthquake and Structures, Inc.	NA	100%	10%	90%	NA	100%	5 points	NA	2 points	N
Human Company	NA	30%	0%	30%	NA	30%	3 points	NA	0 points	N
MMS Design Associates	NA	20%	0%	20%	NA	20%	2 points	NA	0 points	N
Urban Design Consulting Engineers	NA	100%	0%	100%	NA	100%	5 points	NA	2 points	N
Wreco	NA	80%	50%	30%	NA	60%	3 points	NA	1 points	N
Aliquot, Inc.	NA	100%	89%	11%	NA	22%	2 points	NA	0 points	N
Chow Engineering, Inc.	NA	100%	90%	10%	NA	20%	2 points	NA	0 points	N

Comments: As noted above, all firms met and/or exceeded the minimum 20% L/SLBE requirement. All firms are not EBO compliant. They will have to come into compliance prior to contract award.

Non-Responsive		Proposed Participation				Earned Credits and Discounts			Banked Credits Eligibility	EBO Compliant? Y/N
Company Name	Original Bid Amount	Total LBE/SLBE	LBE	SLBE	Trucking	Total Credited participation	Earned Bid Discounts	Adjusted Bid Amount		
JMH Weiss, Inc.	NA	0%	0%	0%	NA	0%	0%	NA	NA	N

Comments: As noted above, JMH Weiss, Inc. failed to meet the minimum 20% L/SLBE participation requirement. Therefore, they are deemed non-responsive at this point in the process. As this is a negotiated agreement, the firm could revise its team and meet L/SLBE participation requirements. The firm is not EBO compliant. They will have to come into compliance prior to contract execution in order to be considered for award.

Should you have any questions you may contact Sophany Hang at (510) 238-3970.

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: DKS Associates, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA
<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>	
N/A	2	

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES
 - a) % of LBE participation 90%
 - b) % of SLBE participation 10%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES
(If yes, list the points received) 2 points

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/28/2010
Date

Reviewing Officer:

[Signature]

Date: 1/28/10

Approved By:

Shelley Darenburg

Date: 1/28/10

DKS Associates

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:						
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	For Tracking Only				
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	Ethn.	MBE	WBE		
PRIME Streetscape & Public Outreach Topo Mapping, Land Surveying	DKS Associates	Oakland	CB	90%		90%	90%	C				
	PGA Design, Inc.	Oakland	CB		5%	5%	5%	C		5%		
	PLS Surveys, Inc.	Oakland	CB		5%	5%	5%	C		5%		
Project Totals				90%	10%	100%	100%		0%	10%		
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed				
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise								

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Earthquake & Structures, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	5

1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES

2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement yes
a) % of LBE participation 10%
b) % of SLBE participation 90%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference point YES

(If yes, list the points received) 5 points

✓ 5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/28/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer:

[Signature]

Date: 1/22/10

Approved By:

[Signature]

Date: 1/28/10

Earthquake & Structures, inc.

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total LBE/SLBE %	Total Percentages	For Tracking Only			
								Ethn.	MBE	WBE	
PRIME Testing Civil Design	Earthquake & Structures, Inc.	Oakland	CB		75%	75%	75%	AI	75%		
	Applied Materials & Engineering	Oakland	CB	10%		10%	10%	AP	10%		
	Urban Consulting	Oakland	CB		15%	15%	15%	AI	15%		
Project Totals					10%	90%	100%		100%	0%	
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise							

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Humann Company

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA
<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>	
N/A	3	

1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES

2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES

a) % of LBE participation 0%

b) % of SLBE participation 30%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES

(If yes, list the points received)

3 points

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/28/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer:

[Signature]

Date: 1/28/10

Approved By:

[Signature]

Date: 1/28/10

Humann Company

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:						
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total LBE/SLBE %	Total Percentages	For Tracking Only				
								Ethn.	MBE	WBE		
PRIME	Humann Company	Lafayette	UB				70%	C				
Subconsultant	PLS Surveys, Inc.	Oakland	CB		30%	30%	30%	C		30%		
Project Totals				0%	30%	30%	100%		0%	30%		
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed				
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise								



DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No: NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: MMS Design Associates

Engineer's Estimate:

NA

Contractors' Bid Amount

NA

Over/Under Engineer's

NA

Bid discounted amount:

N/A

Discount/Preference Points:

2%

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: **YES**
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement **YES**
 - a) % of LBE **0%**
 - b) % of SLBE **20%**

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference poi **YES**

(If yes, list the points received) **2 points**

5. Additional Comments.

[Empty box for additional comments]

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/28/2010

Date

**Reviewing
Officer:**

[Signature]

Date:

1/28/10

Approved By:

[Signature]

Date:

1/28/10

MMS Design Associates

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.:		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	For Tracking Only			
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	Ethn.	MBE	WBE	
PRIME Geotech Engineering	MMS Design Associates Cal Engineering & Geology, Inc.	Martinez	CB				80%		C		
		Oakland	CB		20%	20%	20%	C			
Project Totals				0%	20%	20%	100%		0%	0%	
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend		LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise					



DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Urban Design Consulting Engineers

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	5

1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES

2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES

a) % of LBE participation	0%
b) % of SLBE participation	100%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES

(If yes, list the points received) 5 points

5. Additional Comments.

[Empty rectangular box for additional comments]

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/28/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer:

Shelley Huf

Date: 1/28/10

Approved By:

Shelley Ovensburg

Date: 1/28/10

Urban Design Consulting Engineers

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	For Tracking Only			
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	Ethn.	MBE	WBE	
PRIME	Urban Design Consulting Engineers	Oakland	CB		80%	80%	80%	AI	80%		
Landscape Architecture	Golden Associates	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	C		10%	
Electrical Eng	Zeiger Engineers, Inc.	Oakland	CB		5%	5%	5%	C			
Land Surveys	PLS Surveys, Inc.	Oakland	CB		5%	5%	5%	C		10%	
Project Totals					0%	100%	100%	100%		80%	20%
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend		LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise					



DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Wreco

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	5

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES
 - a) % of LBE participation 50%
 - b) % of SLBE participation 30%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES
 (If yes, list the points received) **5 points**

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/28/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer:

Shelley Arensburg

Date:

Approved By:

Shelley Arensburg

Date: 1/28/10

LBE/SLBE Participation Wreco

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:						
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	For Tracking Only				
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	Ethn.	MBE	WBE		
PRIME	Wreco	Oakland	UB	50%		50%	50%	AP	50%			
Landscapae Architecture	Golden Associates	Oakland	CB		20%	20%	20%	C		20%		
Land Surveying	PLS Surveys, Inc.	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	C		10%		
Civil Engineering	S. Kwok Engineer, Inc.	Alameda	UB				20%	NL				
Project Totals				50%	30%	80%	100%		50%	30%		
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL 10%	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed				
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise								



DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Aliquot, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	2

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: **YES**
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement
 - a) % of LBE participation **89%**
 - b) % of SLBE participation **11%**
- 3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? **YES**
 (If yes, list the points received) **2 points**

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/28/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer:

[Signature]

Date: 1/28/10

Approved By:

Shelley Dorenburg

Date: 1/28/10

LBE/SLBE Participation Aliquot, Inc

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total LBE/SLBE %	Total Percentages	For Tracking Only			
								Ethn.	MBE	WBE	
PRIME	Aliquot, Inc.	Oakland	CB	89%		89%	89%	AP	90%		
aerial/Geospatial	HJW Geospatial aerial Survey	Oakland	CB		4%	4%	4%	C			
Landscape Arch.	PGA Design	Oakland	CB		3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	C	3.5%	3.5%	
Permitting	Terra Linda Development	Oakland	CB		3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	H	3.5%		
Project Totals				89%	11%	100%	100%		97.0%	3.5%	
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend				LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise			

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Chow Engineering, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's</u>
NA	NA	NA
<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>	
N/A	2	

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES
 - a) % of LBE participation 90%
 - b) % of SLBE participation 10%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES
(If yes, list the points received) 2 points

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/28/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer: Spang Heng Date: 1/28/10


Approved By: Shelley Darenburg Date: 1/28/10

LBE/SLBE Participation Chow Engineering, Inc.

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:						
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total		For Tracking Only				
						LBE/SLBE %	Total Percentages	Ethn.	MBE	WBE		
PRIME civil, structural civil, structural Reprographics	Chow Engineering, Inc.	Oakland	CB	90%		90%	90%	AP	90%			
	AMC Consulting Engineers	Oakland	CB		4%	4%	4%	AP	4%			
	Kam Yan & Associates	Oakland	CB		4%	4%	4%	AP	4%			
	East Bay Blueprint & Supplies	Oakland	CB		2%	2%	2%	AP	2%			
Project Totals				90%	10%	100%	100%		100%	0%		
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed				
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise								

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: JMH Weiss, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under</u>
NA	NA	NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	0

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: **YES**
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20'
 - a) % of LBE **0%**
 - b) % of SLBE **0%**

- 3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? **NO**
(If yes, list the points received) **0 points**

5. Additional Comments.

JMH Weiss, Inc. failed to submit Project Consultant Team Listing--Schedule E. Therefore compliance can not be determined.

- 6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.
1/28/2010
Date

Reviewing Officer:

[Signature]

Date: 1/28/10

Approved By:

Shelley Dorenberg

Date: 1/28/10

LBE/SLBE Participation JMH Weiss, Inc.

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier I

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total LBE/SLBE %	Total Percentages	For Tracking Only			
								Ethn	MBE	WBE	
PRIME	JMH Weiss, Inc.	Oakland	UB				100%	NL			
Firm failed to submit Project Consultant Team Listing. Therefore, compliance could not be determined.											
Project Totals				0%	0%	0%	100%		0%	0%	
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend				LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise			

Memo

Department of Contracting and Purchasing Social Equity Division

To: Jose Martinez, Project Manager
 From: Sophany Hang, Acting Contract Compliance Officer
 Through: Deborah Barnes, Director, DC&P
 Shelley Darenburg, Sr. Contract Compliance Officer *S. Darenburg*
 CC: Gwen McCormick, Contract Administration Supervisor
 Date: January 13, 2010
 Re: Request For Qualifications (RFQ) for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

Department of Contracting and Purchasing (DCP), Division of Social Equity, reviewed seven (7) proposals. Below is the outcome of the compliance evaluation for the minimum 20% Local and Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE) participation requirement, and a preliminary review for compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO).

Responsive		Proposed Participation					Earned Credits and Discounts		Banked Credits Eligibility	EBO Compliant? Y/N
Company Name	Original Bid Amount	Total LBE/SLBE	LBE	SLBE	Trucking	Total Credited participation	Earned Bid Discounts	Adjusted Bid Amount		
AGS, Inc.	NA	100%	80%	20%	NA	40%	4 Points	NA	0 Points	Y
Kimley Horn and Associates, Inc.	NA	100%	50%	50%	NA	100%	5 Points	NA	0 Points	Y
Moffatt & Nichol	NA	20%	0%	20%	NA	20%	2 Points	NA	0 Points	Y
RBF Consulting	NA	30%	%	30%	NA	30%	3 Points	NA	0 Points	Y
Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineer, Inc.	NA	85%	72%	13%	NA	26%	2 Points	NA	0 Points	Y
Wood Rodgers, Inc.	NA	100%	80%	20%	NA	40%	4 Points	NA	0 Points	Y
BKF Engineers	NA	20%	5%	15%	NA	20%	2 Points	NA	0 Points	Y

Comments: As noted above, all firms met and/or exceeded the minimum 20% L/SLBE requirement. All firms are EBO compliant.

Non-Responsive		Proposed Participation					Earned Credits and Discounts		Banked Credits Eligibility	EBO Compliant? Y/N
Company Name	Original Bid Amount	Total LBE/SLBE	LBE	SLBE	Trucking	Total Credited participation	Earned Bid Discounts	Adjusted Bid Amount		

Comments:

Should you have any questions you may contact Sopnany Hang at (510) 238-3970.



DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: AGS, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	0

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: **YES**
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement
 - a) % of LBE participation **80%**
 - b) % of SLBE participation **20%**
- 3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? **YES**
 (If yes, list the points received) **4 points**

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/13/2010
Date

Reviewing Officer: Stephan Hung Date: 1/13/2010

Approved By: Shelley Sorenburg Date: 1/13/10

LBE/SLBE Participation AGS, Inc.

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total LBE/SLBE %	Total Percentages	For Tracking Only			
								Ethnic	MBE	WBE	
PRIME	AGS, Inc.	Oakland	CB	80%		80%	80%	AP	80%		
Materials Testing	Appfied Materials & Engr. Inc.	Oakland	CB		20%	20%	20%	C			
Project Totals				80%	20%	100%	100%		80%	0%	
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend		LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprises				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise					

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division



PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Kimley Horn and Associates, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA
<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>	
N/A	5	

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES
 - a) % of LBE participation 50%
 - b) % of SLBE participation 50%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES
(If yes, list the points received) 5 points

5. Additional Comments.

[Empty box for additional comments]

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/13/2010
Date

Reviewing Officer:

[Signature]

Date:

Approved By:

Shelley Dorensburg

Date: 1-13-10

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	For Tracking Only			
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	Eth	MBE	WBE	
PRIME	Kimley Horn and Associates, Inc.	Oakland	CB	40%		40%	40%	C			
Geotechnical & Environmental	Amec Geomatrix, Inc.	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	AA	10%		
Landscape Architecture	Bottomley Design & Planning	Oakland	CB		30%	30%	30%	AP	30%		
Topographical & Right of Way	PLS Surveys, Inc.	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	AP	10%		
Electrical System Design	YEI Engineers, Inc.	Oakland	CB	10%		10%	10%	AP	10%		
Project Totals				50%	50%	100%	100%		60%	0%	
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL 100%	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise							

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Moffatt & Nichol

<u>Engineer's Estim</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA

Bid discounted amount:
N/A

Discount/Preference Points:
2

1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requiremer YES
a) % of LBE participation 0%
b) % of SLBE participation 20%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? Yes

(If yes, list the points received) 2 points

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/13/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer:

Sally Hung

Date: 1/13/10

Approved By:

Shelley Darenburg

Date: 1-13-10

Moffatt & Nichol

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	For Tracking Only			
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	Ethnic	MBE	WBE	
PRIME Surveying, Mapping ROW Landscape Design	Moffatt & Nichol	Oakland	CB	80%			80%	C			
	PLS Surveys	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	C		10%	
	PGA Design	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	C		10%	
Project Totals				80%	20%	20%	100%		0%	20%	
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise							

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: RBF Consulting

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's Estimate</u>
NA	NA	NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	3

1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES
 - a) % of LBE participation 0%
 - b) % of SLBE participation 30%
3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES
(If yes, list the points received) 3 points
5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/13/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer:

[Signature]

Date:

1/13/10

Approved By:

[Signature]

Date:

1-13-10

RBF Consulting

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:				
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	For Tracking Only		
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	C	MBE	WBE
PRIME	RBF Consulting	Oakland	UB				70%	C		
Landscape Architect	Keller Mitchell & Co.	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	C		10%
Traffic Signals Construction Management	CHS Consulting Group VSCE, Inc.	Oakland	CB	10%		10%	10%	AP		10%
		Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	AI	10%	
Project Totals				10%	20%	30%	100%		10%	20%
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed		
Legend		LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise				

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No: NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineer, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's</u>
NA	NA	NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	2%

1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES

2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES

a) % of LBE 72%
b) % of SLBE 13%

3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points YES

(If yes, list the points received) 2 points

5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/13/2010

Date

Reviewing
Officer:

Signatures

Date:

1/13/10

Approved By:

Shelley Darenburg

Date:

1/13/10

Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineer, Inc.

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

Project No.:		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:					
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	For Tracking Only			
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	Ethn.	MBE	WBE	
PRIME	Rajappan & Meyer Consulting	Oakland	CB	70%		70%	70%	AP	70%		
Electrical	Alliance Engineering	Santa Clara	UB				5%	NL			
Material Testing	Applied Materials	Oakland	CB	2%		2%	2%	AP	2%		
Landscape Irrigation	Golden Associates	Oakland	CB		7%	7%	7%	C		7%	
Surveys, Mapping	Kier & Wright	Pleasanton	UB				5%	NL			
Signals, Lighting	PLS Surveys	Oakland	CB		3%	3%	3%	C		3%	
Hydraulics/Geom	Schaaf & Wheeler	Santa Clara	UB				5%	NL			
Elect Sign Design	Zeiger Engineers	Oakland	CB		3%	3%	3%	C			
Project Totals				72%	13%	85%	100%		72%	10%	
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL %	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed			
Legend				LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise			

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: Wood Rodgers, Inc.

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under Engineer's</u>
NA	NA	<u>Estimate</u>
		NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	4

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES
 - a) % of LBE participation 80%
 - b) % of SLBE participation 20%
- 3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES
(If yes, list the points received) 4 points
- 5. Additional Comments.

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/13/2010

Date

Reviewing Officer:

S. J. [Signature]

Date: 1/13/10

Approved By:

Shelley Darenburg

Date: 1-13-10

Wood Rogers, Inc.

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:				
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total	Total	Tracking Only		
						LBE/SLBE %	Percentages	Ethnic	MBE	WBE
PRIME	Wood Rogers, Inc.	Oakland	CB	80%		80%	80%	C		
Geotechnical Engineering	Cal Engineering & Geology, Inc.	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	C		
Landscape Architecture	PGA Design, Inc.	Oakland	CB		10%	10%	10%	C		10%
Project Totals				80%	20%	100%	100%		0%	10%
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL 20%	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed		
Legend		LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise				

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT COMPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR :

Project No. NA

RE:

Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: BKF Engineers

<u>Engineer's Estimate:</u>	<u>Contractors' Bid Amount</u>	<u>Over/Under</u>
NA	NA	<u>Engineer's</u>
		NA

<u>Bid discounted amount:</u>	<u>Discount/Preference Points:</u>
N/A	2

- 1. Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
- 2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement YES
 - a) % of LBE participation 5%
 - b) % of SLBE participation 15%
- 3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES
(If yes, list the points received) 2 points

5. Additional Comments.

[Empty box for additional comments]

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

1/13/2010

Date

Reviewing
Officer:

Seahung Hang

Date: 1/13/10

Approved By:

Shelley Darenburg

Date: 1-13-10

LBE/SLBE Participation BKF Engineers

Project Name: Request for Qualifications for As Needed Engineering Services-Civil Tier II

Project No.: NA		Engineer's Estimate				Under/Over Engineers Estimate:				
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total		FOR PACKING ONLY		
						LBE/SLBE %	Total Percentages	ETH	MBE	WBE
PRIME	BKF Engineers	Alameda	UB				80%	C		
Landscape Architects	PGA Design	Oakland	CB		11%	11%	11%	C		11%
Electrical Engineers	Zelger Engineers	Oakland	CB		4%	4%	4%	C		
Structural & Civil Eng.	AGS, inc.	Oakland	CB	5%		5%	5%	AP	5%	
Project Totals				5%	15%	20%	100%		5%	11%
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements.				LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	TOTAL	Ethnicity AA = African American AP = Asian Pacific AI = Asian Indian C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American O = Other NL = Not Listed		
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local and Small Local Businesses NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business MBE = Minority Business Enterprise WBE = Women Business Enterprise						

Attachment B

As-Needed Civil Engineering Services

Department of Contracting and Purchasing (DC&P)

Consultant Performance Evaluations

(Schedule L1)

Item: _____
Public Works Committee
March 9, 2010

SCHEDULE LI
CITY OF OAKLAND
PUBLIC WORKS AGENCY
CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

Consultant Name & Address: Kimley-Horn and Associates

555 12th Street, Suite 1230, Oakland, CA 94607

Type of Services/Work Provided: traffic feasibility study

Project Complexity (Standard or Difficult): standard

Consultant Lead Project Manager: Randy Durrenburger

Project Name: 27th Street/Bay Place Bikeway Feasibility Study

City Project No: n/a

Final Value of Consultant Contract: \$48,404.10

Duration of Consultant Contract (Start & end dates): May 23, 2006-June 1, 2007

Final Value of Construction Contract: n/a

City Construction Resident Engineer (with phone #): n/a

Date of Evaluation: December 4, 2008

City Project Manager/Evaluator (with phone #): Jennifer Stanley, 238-3983

Reviewed and Approved By (with phone #): Jason Patton, 238-7049

Ratings Guidelines:

- ***Poor - Work required extensive revisions, included numerous & significant errors; consultant was unable or unwilling to perform consistently, required an inordinate amount of supervision, and/or failed to meet professional standards/project objectives.***
- ***Needs to Improve - Performance was marginal; work required more review and included more errors than would normally be anticipated; level of service or expertise below average.***
- ***Average - Performance and work were satisfactory; services provided were at least of industry standard; no significant errors or problems; professional service objectives met.***
- ***Excellent - Performance was clearly above standard; expectations exceeded; objectives were met with an added level of service and/or with a higher level of professional expertise.***

Please rate the Consultant on the following topics by checking the appropriate box:

<u>QUESTIONS</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Needs to Improve</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
1. Quality of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Ability to meet the Project Objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Knowledge, Expertise, and State-of-the Art Technologies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Innovation of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Thoroughness of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Quality Control of Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Ability to React and Respond to Problems/Issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Ability to Maintain to the Project Schedule and to Time Commitments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Ability to Maintain to the Project Budget	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Accuracy of Cost Estimating	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Constructibility of the Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Quality of Construction Support Services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13. Accuracy and Timeliness of Billings and other Documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Sufficient and Appropriate Staffing of the Project by the Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Ability to Manage and Coordinate Sub-Consultants	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<u>QUESTIONS</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Needs to Improve</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
16. Ability and Ease of Communicating with City Staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Ability to Communicate with the Community and to Make Presentations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
18. Willingness, Flexibility, and Attitude in Working with the City	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. Ability to Follow City Directives (i.e. Architectural Design Concept, other Requirements, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
OVERALL RATING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

All Questions rated at "Poor" or "Needs to Improve" must be supplemented with comments.

Comments (attach additional information, as necessary): _____

Note: The Project Coordinator/Manager shall complete this evaluation form for each primary consultant within 60 days upon the completion of an individual project or assignment. Interim evaluations shall also be prepared for projects of a long duration (i.e. over one year) or if the consultant's performance merits notification of any deficiencies.

Information is to be submitted to and kept on file by the PWA Contract Administration Division for five (5) years. A copy of the evaluation shall also be provided to the consultant. These forms may be used, in part, as a reference to evaluate the consultant for future City professional services contracts.

Consultants with an overall evaluation of "Poor" or "Needs to Improve" are given an opportunity to 1) appeal the evaluation to the Assistant Director of Public Works, or his designee, and/or 2) append the evaluation with a one-page statement that explains or refutes the City's finding.

To the extent permitted by law, the City shall treat the evaluations as confidential information.

SCHEDULE L1
CITY OF OAKLAND
PUBLIC WORKS AGENCY
CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

Consultant Name & Address: Moffatt & Nichol

160 Franklin Street, Suite 300, Oakland, CA 94607

Type of Services/Work Provided: Schematic Design/ Permitting Assistance

Project Complexity (Standard or Difficult): Difficult

Consultant Lead Project Manager: Dilip Trivedi

Project Name: Oakland Waterfront Trail, Park St., Fruitvale & High Street Bridges

City Project No: C243210, C243810, C244110

Final Value of Consultant Contract: \$230,562.00

Duration of Consultant Contract (Start & end dates): July 19, 2004- present

Final Value of Construction Contract: NA

City Construction Resident Engineer (with phone #): NA

Date of Evaluation: _____

City Project Manager/Evaluator (with phone #): Diane Tannenwald (510) 238-6386

Reviewed and Approved By (with phone #): _____

Ratings Guidelines:

- *Poor - Work required extensive revisions, included numerous & significant errors; consultant was unable or unwilling to perform consistently, required an inordinate amount of supervision, and/or failed to meet professional standards/project objectives.*
- *Needs to Improve – Performance was marginal; work required more review and included more errors than would normally be anticipated; level of service or expertise below average.*
- *Average – Performance and work were satisfactory; services provided were at least of industry standard; no significant errors or problems; professional service objectives met.*
- *Excellent – Performance was clearly above standard; expectations exceeded; objectives were met with an added level of service and/or with a higher level of professional expertise.*

Please rate the Consultant on the following topics by checking the appropriate box:

<u>QUESTIONS</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Needs to Improve</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
1. Quality of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Ability to meet the Project Objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Knowledge, Expertise, and State-of-the Art Technologies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Innovation of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Thoroughness of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Quality Control of Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Ability to React and Respond to Problems/Issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Ability to Maintain to the Project Schedule and to Time Commitments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Ability to Maintain to the Project Budget	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Accuracy of Cost Estimating	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11. Constructibility of the Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12. Quality of Construction Support Services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13. Accuracy and Timeliness of Billings and other Documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Sufficient and Appropriate Staffing of the Project by the Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Ability to Manage and Coordinate Sub-Consultants	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

QUESTIONS	Poor	Needs to Improve	Average	Excellent	Not Applicable
16. Ability and Ease of Communicating with City Staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Ability to Communicate with the Community and to Make Presentations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. Willingness, Flexibility, and Attitude in Working with the City	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. Ability to Follow City Directives (i.e. Architectural Design Concept, other Requirements, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OVERALL RATING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

All Questions rated at "Poor" or "Needs to Improve" must be supplemented with comments.

Comments (attach additional information, as necessary):

Item No. 8. Consistent issue with deliverables being promised on a certain date and then being submitted late.

Item No. 17. Public information meeting held and consultant showed up late with nominal materials for presentation.

Items No. 16 & 18. Very pleasant to work with.

Note: The Project Coordinator/Manager shall complete this evaluation form for each primary consultant within 60 days upon the completion of an individual project or assignment. Interim evaluations shall also be prepared for projects of a long duration (i.e. over one year) or if the consultant's performance merits notification of any deficiencies.

Information is to be submitted to and kept on file by the PWA Contract Administration Division for five (5) years. A copy of the evaluation shall also be provided to the consultant. These forms may be used, in part, as a reference to evaluate the consultant for future City professional services contracts.

Consultants with an overall evaluation of "Poor" or "Needs to Improve" are given an opportunity to 1) appeal the evaluation to the Assistant Director of Public Works, or his designee, and/or 2) append the evaluation with a one-page statement that explains or refutes the City's finding.

SCHEDULE L1

CITY OF OAKLAND CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

Date of Evaluation: 4/27/2009

Consultant Name: R&M Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Consultant Address: 7700 Edgewater Dr., Oakland, CA 94621

Consultant Lead Project Manager: Bala Rajappan

Type of Services/Work Provided: Civil Engineering-Federalize PS&E

Final Value of Consultant Contract: \$199,993.00

Duration of Consultant Contract (Start to end dates): 8/5/2008 to 4/3/2009

Project Complexity: Standard

Project Name: 12th Street Reconstruction

Project No: C241610

Final Value of Construction Contract: (Pending)

City Construction Resident Engineer: (Pending)

City Project Manager/Evaluator Signature: _____

Jose Martinez
(510)238-6864

Reviewed and Approved By (with phone #): _____

Jaime Heredia
(510) 238-2293

Rating Guidelines

- Poor** *Work required extensive revisions, included numerous & significant errors; Consultant was unable or unwilling to perform consistently, required an inordinate amount of supervision, and/or failed to meet professional standards/project objectives.*
- Needs to Improve** *Performance was marginal; work required more review and included more errors than would normally be anticipated; level of service or expertise below average.*
- Average** *Performance and work were satisfactory; services provided were at least of industry standard; no significant errors or problems; professional service objectives met.*
- Excellent** *Performance was clearly above standard; expectations exceeded; objectives were met with an added level of service and/or with a higher level of professional expertise.*

Questions	Poor	Needs to Improve	Average	Excellent	Not Applicable
1. Quality of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Ability to meet the Project Objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Knowledge, Expertise, and State-of –the Art Technologies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Innovation of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Ability to Promptly React and Respond to Problems/Issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Ability to maintain to the Project Schedule and adhere to Time Commitments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Ability to maintain to the Project Budget	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Accuracy of Cost Estimating	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Constructibility of the Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Quality of Construction Administration Services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11. Accuracy and Timeliness of Billings and other Documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Ability to Manage and Coordinate Sub-Consultants	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Knowledge and Expertise in Regulatory Requirements and in Procuring Required Permits	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Ability to Communicate with the Community and to Make Presentations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OVERALL RATING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

All Questions rated at “Poor” or “Needs to Improve” must be supplemented with comments:

Comments:

Notes:

Please save a PDF of signed document using this naming convention: Firm_Contract PO#_Project#_date of eval and place in Evaluations folder in P-Drive folder. Control+click onto the hyperlink.

The Project Coordinator/Manager shall complete this evaluation form for each primary consultant within 60 days upon the completion of an individual project or assignment. Interim evaluations shall also be prepared for projects of a long duration (i.e. over one year) or if the consultant’s performance merits notification of any deficiencies.

Information is to be submitted to and kept on file by the Department of Contracting and Purchasing for five (5) years. A copy of the evaluation shall also be provided to the Consultant. These forms may be used, in part, as a reference to evaluate the Consultant for future City professional services contracts.

Consultants with an overall evaluation of “Poor” or “Needs to Improve” are given an opportunity to 1) appeal the evaluation to the agency deputy director, or the designee, and/or 2) append the evaluation with a one-page statement that explains or refutes the City’s finding.

To the extent permitted by law, the City shall treat the evaluations as confidential information.

cc: DCP-Contract Adm. – ORIGINAL
Project Delivery – Evaluations (hyperlink to P-Drive folder)

SCHEDULE L1
CITY OF OAKLAND
PUBLIC WORKS AGENCY
CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

Consultant Name & Address: Wood Rodgers, Inc.
580 – 2nd Street, Suite 200
Oakland, CA 94607

Type of Services/Work Provided: Reviewing Project AutoCAD files, as well as editing, stamping and signing the Project Appraisal Map needed for property acquisition for the 42nd and High Access Improvements Project.

Project Complexity (Standard or Difficult): Standard

Consultant Lead Project Manager: Dennis Phillips

Project Name: 42nd and High Access Improvements Electronic Files Import and Appraisal Map Coordination

City Project No: C98530

Final Value of Consultant Contract: \$7,000

Duration of Consultant Contract (Start & end dates): 8/22/08-9/12/08

Final Value of Construction Contract: Not Applicable

City Construction Resident Engineer (with phone #): Not Applicable

Date of Evaluation: 12/8/08

City Project Manager/Evaluator (with phone #): Gordon Lum, 238-3172

Reviewed and Approved By (with phone #): _____

Ratings Guidelines:

- **Poor - Work required extensive revisions, included numerous & significant errors; consultant was unable or unwilling to perform consistently, required an inordinate amount of supervision, and/or failed to meet professional standards/project objectives.**
- **Needs to Improve – Performance was marginal; work required more review and included more errors than would normally be anticipated; level of service or expertise below average.**
- **Average – Performance and work were satisfactory; services provided were at least of industry standard; no significant errors or problems; professional service objectives met.**
- **Excellent – Performance was clearly above standard; expectations exceeded; objectives were met with an added level of service and/or with a higher level of professional expertise.**

Please rate the Consultant on the following topics by checking the appropriate box:

<u>QUESTIONS</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Needs to Improve</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
1. Quality of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Ability to meet the Project Objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Knowledge, Expertise, and State-of-the Art Technologies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Innovation of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5. Thoroughness of Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Quality Control of Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Ability to React and Respond to Problems/Issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Ability to Maintain to the Project Schedule and to Time Commitments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Ability to Maintain to the Project Budget	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Accuracy of Cost Estimating	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Constructibility of the Design/Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12. Quality of Construction Support Services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13. Accuracy and Timeliness of Billings and other Documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Sufficient and Appropriate Staffing of the Project by the Consultant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Ability to Manage and Coordinate Sub-Consultants	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<u>QUESTIONS</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Needs to Improve</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>
16. Ability and Ease of Communicating with City Staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Ability to Communicate with the Community and to Make Presentations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
18. Willingness, Flexibility, and Attitude in Working with the City	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. Ability to Follow City Directives (i.e. Architectural Design Concept, other Requirements, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OVERALL RATING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

All Questions rated at "Poor" or "Needs to Improve" must be supplemented with comments.

Comments (attach additional information, as necessary): _____

Note: The Project Coordinator/Manager shall complete this evaluation form for each primary consultant within 60 days upon the completion of an individual project or assignment. Interim evaluations shall also be prepared for projects of a long duration (i.e. over one year) or if the consultant's performance merits notification of any deficiencies.


Information is to be submitted to and kept on file by the PWA Contract Administration Division for five (5) years. A copy of the evaluation shall also be provided to the consultant. These forms may be used, in part, as a reference to evaluate the consultant for future City professional services contracts.

Consultants with an overall evaluation of "Poor" or "Needs to Improve" are given an opportunity to 1) appeal the evaluation to the Assistant Director of Public Works, or his designee, and/or 2) append the evaluation with a one-page statement that explains or refutes the City's finding.

To the extent permitted by law, the City shall treat the evaluations as confidential information.

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality

Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00)
WITH ALIQUOT ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL
ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3)
YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Aliquot Associates, Inc., to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Aliquot Associates, Inc. has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Aliquot Associates, Inc., for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

Approved as to Form and Legality

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00)
WITH CHOW ENGINEERING, INC. FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL
ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3)
YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Chow Engineering, Inc., to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Chow Engineering, Inc. has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Chow Engineering, Inc., for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00)
WITH DKS ASSOCIATES FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL
ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3)
YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends DKS Associates to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and

WHEREAS, DKS Associates has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with DKS Associates for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

Approved as to Form and Legality

Oakland City Attorney's Office

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00)
WITH EARTHQUAKE AND STRUCTURES, INC. FOR AS-
NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF
THREE (3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Earthquake and Structures, Inc., to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Earthquake and Structures, Inc. has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Earthquake and Structures, Inc., for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -


ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

Approved as to Form and Legality



Oakland City Attorney's Office

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00)
WITH HUMANN COMPANY, INC. FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL
ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3)
YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Humann Company, Inc., to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Humann Company, Inc. has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Humann Company, Inc., for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -


ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR, OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00) WITH MMS DESIGN ASSOCIATES FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends MMS Design Associates to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and

WHEREAS, MMS Design Associates has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with MMS Design Associates for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -


ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality

Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00)
WITH URBAN DESIGN CONSULTING ENGINEERS FOR AS-
NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF
THREE (3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Urban Design Consulting Engineers to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Urban Design Consulting Engineers has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Urban Design Consulting Engineers for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -


ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00)
WITH WRECO FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING
SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends WRECO to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and

WHEREAS, WRECO has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with WRECO for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$150,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -


ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) WITH
AGS, INC. FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING SERVICES
OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends AGS, Inc., to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, AGS, Inc. has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with AGS, Inc., for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -


ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) WITH
BKF ENGINEERS FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING
SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends BKF Engineers to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, BKF Engineers has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with BKF Engineers for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -


ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) WITH
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR AS-NEEDED
CIVIL ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE
(3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -


ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) WITH
MOFFAT & NICKOL FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING
SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Moffat & Nickol to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Moffat & Nickol has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Moffat & Nickol for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) WITH
RBF CONSULTING FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING
SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends RBF Consulting to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, RBF Consulting has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with RBF Consulting for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:39

Approved as to Form and Legality


Oakland City Attorney's Office

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) WITH
RAJAPPAN & MEYER CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC. FOR
AS-NEEDED CIVIL ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD
OF THREE (3) YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional, as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineers, Inc., to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineers, Inc. has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Rajappan & Meyer Consulting Engineers, Inc., for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -


ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

Approved as to Form and Legality



Oakland City Attorney's Office

2010 FEB 25 AM 9:40

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Resolution No. _____ C.M.S.

Introduced by Councilmember _____

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR,
OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED
FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) WITH
WOOD RODGERS, INC. FOR AS-NEEDED CIVIL
ENGINEERING SERVICES OVER A PERIOD OF THREE (3)
YEARS**

WHEREAS, professional as-needed civil engineering services are needed to assist the Department of Engineering and Construction (DEC) and other City Departments and Agencies, including, the Community and Economic Development Agency (CEDA), the Public Works Agency (PWA), and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA) with the planning, design, and implementation of various improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services agreement allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to respond and provide the necessary services in an efficient and timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the as-needed civil engineering services allows DEC and CEDA, as a whole, to design and plan improvements to Oakland's infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, mid-year plans and projects will arise throughout the three (3) year period and will likely require as-needed civil engineering services; and

WHEREAS, as-needed civil engineering services will be funded through the various individual projects and funds will be identified prior authorizing specific as-needed services; and

WHEREAS, the City conducted a competitive Request for Qualifications selection process; and

WHEREAS, DEC staff recommends Wood Rodgers, Inc., to provide as-needed civil engineering services required for an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Wood Rodgers, Inc. has met the City's 20% Local/Small Local Business Enterprises (LBE/SLBE) Program requirements and has been determined to be in compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the services provided pursuant to the agreement authorized hereunder are of a professional, scientific or technical nature and are temporary in nature; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the agreement shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive service; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorize the City Administrator, or his designee, to enter into a Professional Service Agreement with Wood Rodgers, Inc., for as-needed civil engineering services in an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.00; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the terms of the Agreement shall be for three (3) years and is anticipated to cover the time period from January 2010 to December 2012; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or his designee, is hereby authorized to approve any subsequent amendments to or extensions of said Agreement with the exception of those related to an increase in total compensation or the allocation of funds, provided that such amendments or extensions shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Agreement shall be approved for form and legality by the City Attorney, and a copy shall be kept on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND
PRESIDENT BRUNNER

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of
the City of Oakland, California