## CITY OF OAKLAND MEMORANDUM

Jub

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK TO: GAKLAND **Rules & Legislation Committee** ATTN: Assistant to the City Manager / City Clerk Council President Ignacio De La Fuente (by Alex Peders FROM: Oakland City Council (note: Councilmember Jean Quan is a co-sponsor) DATE: May 25, 2004 SUBJECT: REQUEST TO SCHEDULE AGENDA ITEM TITLE: RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE PROPOSAL IN THE GOVERNOR'S RECENT BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2004-2005 (THE "MAY REVISE") TO TAKE FUNDS FROM BOTH THE ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT DISTRICT (AC TRANSIT) AND THE BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT (BART) SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION: Committee: Rules & Legislation (as a subject committee) (Please specify Committee. Committees meet 2<sup>nd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> Tuesdays)  $\frac{\text{City Council}}{\text{(Council/Agency meets on 1}^{\overline{\text{st}}}, 3^{\text{rd}}, \text{ and 5}^{\text{th}} \text{ Tuesdays)}}$ B. Meeting Date: Thursday, June 10, 2004 (Then to full Council on June 15.) Is there a statutory, regulatory, financial or grant deadline? Specify: No. Is a staff report required/requested? No. What is the fiscal impact on the City/Agency? None.

It was not reasonably possible to place the proposed item on the two-week agenda AND any of the following exist:

Item requires immediate action to avoid a substantial adverse impact if action is deferred to a subsequent special or regular meeting;

Item requires immediate action relating to federal or state legislation;

Item requires immediate action relating to eligibility for a grant or gift; OR

Item constitutes an "emergency" (Crippling disaster, work stoppage or other activity which severely impacts public health

Explain why item could not have been placed on the 10-day agenda:

If the ten-day (Sunshine Ordinance) agenda deadline cannot be met, please indicate reason:

A separate request must be completed for <u>each item</u> for scheduling. Requests must be submitted by **6:00 P.M.** of the **Tuesday** preceding the relevant Rules & Legislation Committee meeting.

Attach any supporting documentation.

Attachment: The proposed Resolution.

Item is ceremonial.

and/or safety)

04-0483

## draft

## OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

R	Δ	F	ſ
	$oldsymbol{\Gamma}$		8

RESOLUTION NO	C.	М.	S	
---------------	----	----	---	--

INTRODUCED BY COUNCILMEMBER

PRESIDENT IGNACIO DE LA FUENTE and COUNCILMEMBER JEAN QUAN

RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE PROPOSAL IN THE GOVERNOR'S RECENT BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2004-2005 (THE "MAY REVISE") TO TAKE FUNDS FROM BOTH THE ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT DISTRICT (AC TRANSIT) AND THE BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT (BART)

WHEREAS, The Governor of California has recently proposed a State budget that would shift a total of \$29 million in anticipated revenue from both Bay Area transportation agencies -- \$20 million from AC Transit and \$9 million from BART each year for two years; and

WHEREAS, such a loss of revenue could result in extensive cuts to service including the elimination of evening and weekend service for AC Transit and Sunday service for BART; and

WHEREAS, AC Transit is the sole public bus system for the City of Oakland and other East Bay communities, serving over 235,000 riders per day; and

WHEREAS, BART is the primary light rail system for the City of Oakland and other East Bay communities, serving over 307,000 riders per day; and

WHEREAS, AC Transit has been forced to layoff more than one hundred employees and cut a total of \$25 million in service over the last three years due to the poor health of the economy; and

WHEREAS, BART is currently facing its third \$40 million operating deficit in the span of three years; and

WHEREAS, Cutting funds to AC Transit and BART will burden senior citizens and disabled citizens who rely on public transportation to obtain necessary services; and

WHEREAS, Cutting funds to AC Transit and BART will make it difficult for working people to travel to their jobs; and 04-0483

## draft

WHEREAS, Cutting funds to mass transit would encourage more people to drive cars, thereby clogging roadways and increasing commute times; and

WHEREAS, Cutting funds to mass transit will increase air pollution and damage the environment of the Bay Area; and

**RESOLVED**: That the Oakland City Council urges the Governor and the State Legislature to continue to exempt both AC Transit and BART from the state