#### CITY OF OAKLAND

AGENDA REPORT

FFICE OF THE CITY CLEPK OAKLAND

2008 MAY 29 PM 4: 43

To:

Office of the City Administrator

Attn: From:

Deborah Edgerly Police Department

Date:

June 10, 2008

Re:

A Monthly Informational Report From the Chief of Police on Part I Crimes Which Includes Robberies, Burglaries, and Homicides, Committed In The City Within in The Last 30 Days, Specific Issues in Each Area (I, II, III,) and How

The Issues Are Being Addressed

As requested by the Rules and Legislation Committee on February 28, 2008, staff has prepared an informational report detailing crime and arrest statistics for all Part I crimes committed in the City of Oakland. The following information reflects statistics for the month of **April 2008**.

Staff will present the next monthly report of crime statistics on July 8, 2008, which will provide activities for the month of May 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne G. Tucker Chief of Police

Prepared by: Ms. Marie Mason Bureau of Investigation

Capt. Anthony Toribio Bureau of Field Operations

Capt. Ricardo Orozco Bureau of Field Operations

Capt. Anthony Rachal Bureau of Field Operations

Lt. Anthony Banks
Bureau of Field Operations

Reviewed by:
Ms. Antone Hicks
Bureau of Administration

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE:

Office of the Oty Administrator

Attachment: A. Information Bulletin

Item: \_\_\_\_\_Public Safety Comte.
June 10, 2008

## Crime Strategies Area I, II, III and SOD

#### April 2008 - Area 1 Strategies

Crime strategies for Area 1 have been targeted toward homicide and robbery activities.

#### **Shootings/Homicides**

There was a noticeable increase in gun violence from February to March in police district one (located in Area 1). Beat 2 and beat 6 were the primary locations for this violence. Most of the violence in these areas can be attributed to retaliatory gang activity. The Department deployed the following strategies in addressing these crimes:

- Sustained deployment of focused patrol squads to maintain high visibility and proactive enforcement efforts throughout beats 2 and 6;
- Crime Reduction Team (CRT) "Quality of Life sweeps"- Undercover officers observe/conduct surveillance in targeted areas and arrest teams detain/cite/arrest persons observed by the undercover officers engaging in any illegal activity (gambling, drinking in public, drug dealing, etc.);
- Worked in partnership with the City's Violence Prevention Coordinator to provide Measure Y funded outreach activities by making contact with individuals who hang around street corners and offering Measure Y funded resources.

#### Robberies

Armed and strong armed robberies are occurring throughout Area 1, and involved both commercial and street level robberies. There was an overall decrease in robberies in district one, however, there was an increase in district two. Some strategies used to address these issues include:

- Conducting "Quality of Life" sweeps;
- Engaging in truancy and robbery prevention efforts (high visibility and proactive enforcement by officers);
- Increased foot patrol in commercial areas during peak hours;
- Conducted Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) assessments for businesses that have been victimized more than once; and
- Encouraging business areas to utilize available Merchant Alert programs.

Going forward into the month of May, the Department will continue to analyze violent crime patterns and trends and identify and implement specific strategies to achieve its goal of violent crime reduction.

Item:
Public Safety Comte.
June 10, 2008

#### April 2008 – Area 2 Strategies

For this reporting period, Area 2 personnel continued their efforts on robbery enforcement and prevention. Area 2 personnel worked in concert with City staff (the Mayor's Office, respective Council Members, and Neighborhood Services Coordinators) to provide training and education to restaurant owners after several restaurant take over style robberies. A press conference was held which included television, print and radio. Videos were played of a recent robbery to ask for public information and also announce that a reward would be offered for information leading to the arrest of the suspects involved. This helped with information and led to the arrest of individuals involved in the take over restaurant robberies.

#### **Statistics**

- There were four reported homicides during this reporting period in Area 2. One
  was an officer involved shooting and two of the other three were solved with a
  suspect in custody.
- There were 20 shootings during this period; beat 20X reported seven of these shootings, the majority of these shootings involved Latino Gangs; Latino Gang violence was a concern initially in the first part of April. Extra enforcement from the Crime Reduction Teams, Problem Solving Officers, Gang Unit, Traffic Enforcement and Measure Y Outreach led to a significant decrease in gang activity.

Area 2 staff will continue their efforts in robbery prevention, intervention and enforcement, will continue to work toward reducing Part 1 Crimes.

#### April 2008 – Area 3 Strategies

During the month of April, the Six Week Operational Period<sup>1</sup> continued to concentrate on disrupting patterns of homicide, robbery, gun violence, graffiti, and property crimes. Efforts were focused in the High St. to Seminary Ave., and 82<sup>nd</sup> Ave. to 98<sup>th</sup> Ave. areas.

In partnership with the Neighborhood Crime Prevention Councils and Service Delivery Teams, officers made use of surveillance techniques, high-visibility enforcement, probation and parole searches, search warrants, the nuisance abatement process, educating the community on safety tips, Measure Y outreach resources, and personnel from the Support Operations Division.

Item:
Public Safety Comte.
June 10, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Six Week Operational Period is a crime reduction and quality of life improvement strategy that every six weeks focuses enforcement, education, and intervention on the most problematic location(s).

#### April 2008 - SOD Strategies

The Support Operations Division falls under the Bureau of Field Operations, and contains the following: Traffic Section, Gang Unit, Parole and Corrections Team (PACT), Air Support, Marine Patrol, Alcoholic Beverage Action Team (ABAT) and the Neighborhood Enhancement Team (NET).

Reduction of crime and supporting the Area Command structure are two of the top priorities for the Division.

#### Communication

The command staff of SOD is in constant communication with the area commanders to ensure that their teams are mutually informed of hotspots and crime trends. Based on the shared information, resources of SOD are strategically deployed to the different areas in the City. SOD communicates with the California Highway Patrol (CHP) and directs them toward hotspots throughout the City. The productivity of the SOD staff is shared with the other captains through a monthly report which depicts arrests and associated information. SOD commanders also attend the bi-monthly Crime Stop meeting to learn of the different crime challenges in each area. This Division is also held accountable for its efforts toward curtailing crime and enhancing neighborhoods.

#### **Enforcement Strategies**

The Support Operations Division enforcement strategies include the following:

- PAC Team parole checks
- Gang Unit high presence patrols
- Neighborhood Enhancement Team walking along the business corridors
- Traffic Operations Section directed enforcement operations
- California Highway Patrol (CHP) patrolling hotspots in the City

#### OPD Fairmont Detox Center Usage

The Department has developed and implemented a policy for the use of the Fairmont Detox Center (Attachment A). All officers assigned to the Bureau of Field Operations have been trained on the usage of the facility.

Item:
Public Safety Comte.
June 10, 2008

### Arrests by Category 1-30 April 2008

CATEGORY	ADULT	JUVENILE	Grand Total
ALCOHOL	141	2	143
ALL OTHER OFFENSES (EXCEPT TRAFFIC)	44	7	51
ARSON	1		1
ASSAULT - AGGRAVATED INJURY	29	8	37
ASSAULT - FIREARM	6	2	8
ASSAULT - SIMPLE	63	23	86
BURGLARY	57	11	68
CHILD OR ELDER ABUSE	13	1	14
DISORDERLY CONDUCT	15	3	18
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	150	5	155
LARCENY	127	13	140
MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT	49	12	61
MURDER	1		1
NARCOTICS****	179	14	193
NARCOTICS - POSSESSION***	272	8	280
NARCOTICS - UNDER THE INFLUENCE*	6		6
NARCOTICS - VISIT WHERE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES USED**	6		6
OTHER SEX CRIME	36	2	38
PROSTITUTION	53	6	59
RAPE	2		2
ROBBERY	31	20	51
TRAFFIC	64	2	66
TRESPASS	23	3	26
VANDALISM	22	6	28
VIOLATE COURT ORDER	541	17	558
VIOLATE PAROLE OR PROBATION	199	11	210
WEAPONS	114	32	146
Grand Total	2244	208	2452

<sup>\*</sup> Usage

<sup>\*\*</sup> Likely usage

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Possible usage

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Not usage

# Count of Part One Crimes by Beat 1 - 30 April 2008

BEAT	ARSON	ASSAULT FIREARM	ASSAULT NOT FIREARM	BURGLARY	CARJACK- ING	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	OTHER FAMILY VIOLENCE	_	HOMICIDE	RAPE	OTHER SEX CRIME	ROBBERY	VEHICLE THEFT	Grand Total
01X	1	1	4	11			2	8				2	5	34
02X		5	10	4			8	5				6	7	45
02Y	1	5	8	4			2	5				4	8	37
03X			9	14			4	12				5	12	56
03Y		1	7	5	1		1	8				1	12	36
04X		1	11	21		2	9	25		3	1	15	5	93
05X	1	1	6	3			2	3		1		3	4	24
05Y		1	2	4				3		1		1	2	14
06X		9	9	9	3	1	10	9		1		5	11	67
07X	1	2	13	10	1		5	15				3	5	55
08X			9	31		2	10	21				13	24	110
09X		1	7	8			2	18				9	5	50
10X			4	4		:	6	3				2	2	21
10Y		2	3	5			6	3				3	11	33
11X		3	5	25	1		8	4		2		4	13	65
12X		3	3	13			5	10				2	8	44
12Y			10	23			1	16				10	21	· 81
13X			1	3				3					6	13
13Y	1			4				1					3	9
13Z			1	14			1	7				1	5	29
14X			4	12		2	1	11				7	19	56
14Y			1	4				4				7	8	24
15X			9	9			1	16				5	13	53
16X				8				5			-	4	4	21
16Y		1	1	4		1	1	8				2	9	27
17X		2	5	2		1	3	3				17	10	43
17Y	2	3	8	7		1	4	9				14	11	59
18X		3	4	3	-		5	1				5	5	26
18Y	1	2	9	6		1	2	4	-			10	10	45
19X	1	3	12	9		2	5	10				14	27	83
20X	2	10	10	18	• 1	2	4	7	1	1		11	21	88
21X		1	10	17	1	1	5	8				7	17	67
21Y	1	8	9	6		3	4	3			1	13	10	58
22X	T		8	8			3	13				6	10	48
22Y		1	6	13	1		1	9		1		2	16	50
23X			8	11	1	. 1	1	17		1		10	28	78

BEAT	ARSON	ASSAULT FIREARM	ASSAULT NOT FIREARM	BURGLARY	CARJACK- ING	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	OTHER FAMILY VIOLENCE	LARCENY	HOMICIDE	RAPE	OTHER SEX CRIME	ROBBERY	VEHICLE THEFT	Grand Total
24X			8	10	1		4	6		1		11	14	55
24Y			4	5			3	3	1			11	5	32 65
25X		5	6	11			6	8		1		8	20	
25Y		1		12			1	3				1	2	20
26X		2	5	6		1	4	9	2			4	21	54
26Y		7	15	11	3	1	9	13		3		6	33	101
27X	1	6	10	13	3	2	7	. 10				12	23	87
27Y	4	10	19	13	2	1	10	9		2		19	18	107
28X		•	5	6		2	2	9				. 3	6	33
29X		4	18	11		3	7	9			,	12	13	77
30X		6	17	19	2	1	11	8		1		12	15	92
30Y		4	21	9	1	2	9	19		1		8	9	83
31X			3	17			2	17			•	3	4	46
31Y		3	7	7	1	1	6	8		1		5	18	57
31Z		1	3	2			4	5				1	12	28
32X	1	2	6	10	1		5	9		1		4	24	63
32Y	1	3	7	9	1	1	7	7			<del>.</del>	7	12	55
33X	1	4	7	8		1	10	7				4	31	73
34X	2	10	16	5	3	2	13	9				5	19	84
35X		8	18	11	2	4	8	5	1			4	11	72
35Y	1		2	9			3	5		1			5	26
UNK			4	17			2	53		1		4	4	85
April Total	23	145	427	573	30	42	38	538	5	24	2	367	706	3137
Mar. Total	31	149	* 326	588	39	39	* 30	546	14	20	5	304	851	* 3174

<sup>\*</sup> Corrected from the March report

Oakland Police Department Bureau of Field Operations

Policy 08-08 28 Mar 08

Bureau of Field Operations (BFO) Policy and Procedure Manual

Index as: Alameda County Safe House Sobering Center

#### I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this directive is to set forth BFO policy and procedure for utilizing the Alameda County Safe House Sobering Center located at 15480 Foothill Blvd., San Leandro.

#### II. BACKGROUND

The Safe House Sobering Center is a non-secure facility that provides a voluntary, short-term (four to six hours) stay for 50 adult men and women in order to allow the effects of alcohol intoxication to subside so they may regain legal levels of functionality. The purpose of the Safe House Sobering Center is to provide a viable alternative to incarceration for this population, provide access to counseling, and to reduce costs to the county by reducing visits to hospitals and other county facilities.

#### III. POLICY

Every arrest for Penal Code Section 647(f), Public Intoxication, where there are no other charges and no active warrants, officers shall evaluate the subject's eligibility for admission to the Safe House, with reference to the criteria outlined in Part IV, A of this directive. Officers shall use his/her discretion to utilize the Safe House Sobering Center as an alternative to jail if the disposition of a non-violent, cooperative intoxicated subject meets the admission requirements.

#### IV. PROCEDURES

#### A. Admission Requirements

Officers shall ensure that intoxicated subjects transported to the Safe House Sobering Center meet the following criteria:

- 1. Subjects must be 18 years of age or older.
- Subjects must be cooperative and voluntarily enter the facility. (Subjects
  exhibiting aggressive or combative behavior will be rejected by Safe
  House staff.)

- 3. Subjects may not have active warrants.1
- 4. Subjects must not have any obvious emergency medical or psychiatric needs. (Pre-existing conditions will be judged on a case-by-case basis.)
- 5. Subjects must be ambulatory (with assistance of Safe House staff).
- 6. Subjects must be able to be awakened by Safe House staff upon arrival.

#### B. ADMISSION PROCESS

- 1. Officers shall seek the subject's consent to be transported to the Safe House Sobering Center. It shall be explained that the Center is not a jail and is for the purpose of allowing the subject to sober up and be given access to counseling. If a subject is unable to communicate, the subject shall be transported to jail.
- 2. Officers seeking to place a subject at the Safe House Sobering Center shall call 510-346-7851 to inquire about availability and reserve a space.
- 3. Upon delivery of the subject, officers shall provide the Safe House with a completed Consolidated Arrest Report (CAR) for 647(f)PC. The final line of the CAR narrative shall read: "Subject agreed voluntarily to be transported to the Alameda County Safe House. Released the subject to Safe House pursuant to 849(b)(2)PC."
- 4. Officers shall remain present while Safe House staff determines whether the subject meets the admission requirements and while Safe House staff conducts a secondary search of the subject's clothing for dangerous or unauthorized items.
- 5. If the subject's behavior creates a safety risk at the time of arrest, during transportation, or during the admissions process, officers shall transport the subject directly to jail.
- 6. Once officers leave the Sobering Center, the subject becomes the responsibility of the center and the Alameda County Sheriff's Office. If the subject's behavior becomes a safety risk after officers leave, the center shall notify the Sheriff's Office. OPD officers shall not be required to return to the center to address the subject's behavior or take custody of the subject.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At their discretion, officers may issue field citations for eligible misdemeanor and infraction warrants pursuant to Departmental General Order M-7, CITATIONS FOR ADULT MISDEMEANORS, thus making the subject eligible for admission to the Safe House.

BFO Policy 08-08 Sobering Center

Effective Date: 28 Mar 08

Approved by

David Kozicki

Deputy Chief of Police Bureau of Field Operations