CITY OF OAKLAND

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

AGENDA REPORT

2010 MAY 13 PM 1:37

TO:

Office of the City Administrator

ATTN:

Dan Lindheim

FROM:

Public Works Agency

DATE:

May 25, 2010

RE:

Follow Up Report To The City Auditor's Performance Audit Of The Public

Works Agency Regarding General Purpose Funds That Support Public Works

Activities

At the September 29, 2009 Public Works Committee meeting discussion, the Committee requested additional information from staff on the General Purpose Fund allocation to the Public Works Agency (PWA). For reference purposes, attached are the reports dated July 14, 2009 from the City Auditor and September 29, 2009 from staff.

The additional information requested was a breakdown of funds that contribute to the Fund 4400 – City Facilities, (2) Fund 4100 – Equipment, and (3) Fund 7760 – Public Works Overhead portion.

The supplemental information based on the FY 2009-10 Adopted Budget is as follows:

Fund 4400 – City Facilities (custodial services, security services, building maintenance services)

⊜56113 - Facilities: General Support	4	
1010 - General Fund: General Purpose	\$14,857,550	1
1700 - Mandatory Refuse Program	\$246,510	
1710 - Recycling Program	\$51,810	General Fund Group
1720 · Comprehensive Clean-up	\$424.810	
1750 - Multipurpose Reserve	\$40,350 ⁻)
2211 - Measure B: ACTIA	\$93,800	
2230 - State Gas Tax	\$306,640	
2240 - Library Services Retention-Enhancement	\$487,080	:
2310 - Lighting and Landscape Assessment District	\$4,133,970	
2416 - Traffic Safety Fund	\$15,910	
3100 - Sewer Service Fund	\$363,330	•
4100 - Equipment	\$191,100	
4200 - Radio / Telecommunications	5239,660	
4300 - Reproduction	\$157,100	
4500 - Çentral Stores	\$64,070	
4550 - Purchasing	\$30,010	
7760 - Grant Clearing	\$1,555,850	! ·
56113 Facilities: General Support Total	\$ 23,259,550]	

	Item:			
Public	Works	C	omi	nittee
	M	ay	25,	2010

Fund 4100 – Equipment (fleet and equipment maintenance)

⊞56411 - City Vehicle Rentals		
1010 - General Fund: General Purpose	\$6,867,720	l
1710 - Recycling Program	53,420	
1720 - Comprehensive Clean-up	\$3,378,480	General Fund Group
1740 - Hazardous Materials Inspections	\$2,460	
1750 - Multipurpose Reserve	\$20,300	
1760 - Telecommunications Reserve	\$6,760 -	
2102 - Department of Agriculture	\$290	
2128 - Department of Health and Human Services	\$65,370	
2211 - Measure B: ACTIA	533,300	
2230 - State Gas Tax	\$1,681,610	
2310 - Lighting and Landscape Assessment District	52,344,770	
2415 - Development Service Fund	\$142,110	
2416 - Traffic Safety Fund	\$152,410	
3100 - Sewer Service Fund	51,222,820	
4200 - Radio / Telecommunications	\$20,080	
4400 - City Facilities	\$234,080	
4500 - Central Stores	\$64,430,	
7760 - Grant Clearing	\$45,000	
7780 - Oakland Redevelopment Agency Projects (ORA)	\$12,510	
56411, City Vehicle Rentals Total	\$16,327,920	

Fund 7760 – Grant Clearing (PWA Overhead portion) – (Public Works Agency Administration and agencywide operating costs)

358521 - Overhead: Departmental Cost Allocation

= 30321 - Overnead: Departmental Cost Allocation	- 1
1010 - General Fund: General Purpose	\$213,970 - ₁
1710 - Recycling Program	\$178,950 General Fund Group
1720 - Comprehensive Clean-up .	\$1,028,300
2141 - State Traffic Congestion Relief - Proposition 42	5180,380
2211 - Measure B: ACTIA	\$310,870
2230 - State Gas Tax	\$291,350
2310 - Lighting and Landscape Assessment District	\$518,630
2416 - Traffic Safety Fund	\$71,520
2990 - Public Works Grants	S4,490
3100 - Sewer Service Fund	\$796,920
4100 - Equipment	\$612,340
4400 - City Facilities	\$1,032,710
5510 - Capital Reserves	\$30,810
7760 - Grant Cleaning	\$367,110
7780 - Oakland Redevelopment Agency Projects (ORA)	572,370
58521 - Overhead: Departmental Cost Allocation Total	\$5,7.10,720

Item: _____ Public Works Committee May 25, 2010 The City Auditor's Performance Audit of the Public Works Agency includes a specific recommendation (#290) to increase General Fund appropriations for asset renewal and replacement. Staff estimates that in order to adequately preserve and renew some of the City's assets as per the Audit recommendations, additional funding in the amount of \$55.25 million annually would be needed. Given the City's financial circumstances, acting upon the recommendation is not feasible for the City of Oakland at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Vitaly B. Troyan, P.E.

Public Works Agency Interim Director

Prepared by:

Stephanie Hom, Agency Administrative Manager

Attachments:

- 1) Follow Up Report To The City Auditor's Performance Audit Of The Public Works Agency Regarding Funding Sources That Support Public Works Activities, from the Public Works Agency, dated September 15, 2009.
- 2) Request For Additional Information On The General Fund Contribution Reported In The Public Works Agency Performance Audit, from the City Auditor, dated July 14, 2009.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:

Office of the City Administrator

Public Works Committee
May 25, 2010

CITY OF OAKLAND

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERA

AGENDA REPORT

2009 SEP -3 PH 1: 08

TO:

Office of the City Administrator

ATTN:

Dan Lindheim

FROM: DATE: Public Works Agency September 15, 2009

RE:

Follow Up Report To The City Auditor's Performance Audit Of The Public

Works Agency Regarding Funding Sources That Support Public Works

Activities

SUMMARY

At the July 14, 2009 Public Works Committee meeting (Item #8), the Committee discussed an item from the City Auditor titled, "Request for Additional Information on the General Fund Contribution Reported in the Public Works Agency Performance Audit." As an outcome of that discussion the Committee directed staff to prepare a report providing the following information:

- 1. Listing of funding sources used by the Public Works Agency.
- 2. Listing of funding sources used by the Public Works Agency fund group (General Funds, Special Revenue Funds, Enterprise Funds, Internal Service Funds, and Fiduciary Funds)

This report provides the requested information.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no direct or immediate fiscal impact related to this report. The content provided in this report is for informational purposes.

BACKGROUND

At the July 14, 2009 Public Works Committee meeting (Item #8), the Committee discussed an item from the City Auditor titled, "Request for Additional Information on the General Fund Contribution Reported in the Public Works Agency Performance Audit." Matrix Consulting Group prepared the report with the following conclusion:

While the review of this data should be cognizant of variances in makeup and organization of the public works departments in these peer cities, it should be recognized that essential services such as traffic signal maintenance, signing and striping maintenance, park maintenance, street and sidewalk maintenance are highly dependent upon general fund operating budget support.

Oakland will not be able to address the essential asset management challenges without a higher level of general fund operating budget and capital budget support.

Item:
Public Works Committee
September 15, 2009

DISCUSSION

Table 1 below lists the Public Works Agency funding sources in accordance with the FY 2009-10 Adopted Budget.

TABLE 1. FY 2009-10 Public Works Agency Budget Funding Sources¹

	•	Percent of	
FUND The state of	FY 2009-10	Total Budget	
1010 - General Fund: General Purpose	\$4,450,890	3.99%	
1100 - Self Insurance Liability	\$2,629,140	2.36%	
1710 - Recycling Program	\$9,621,920	8.63%	
1720 - Comprehensive Clean-up	\$16,950,700	15.20%	
1750 - Multipurpose Reserve	\$330,000	0.30%	
2141 - State Traffic Congestion Relief - Proposition 42	\$1,897,510	1.70%	
2211 - Measure B: ACTIA	\$2,983,860	2.68%	
2230 - State Gas Tax	\$6,243,820	5.60%	
2310 - Lighting and Landscape Assessment District	\$13,709,890	12.30%	
2416 - Traffic Safety Fund	\$989,620	0.89%	
2990 - Public Works Grants	\$70,000	0.06%	
3100 - Sewer Service Fund	512,244,810	10.98%	
4100 - Equipment	\$16,880,360	15.14%	
4400 - City Facilities	\$21,736,210	19.50%	
7760 - Grant Clearing	(\$226,570)	-0.20%	
7780 - Oakland Redevelopment Agency Projects (ORA)	\$980,570	0.88%	
Grand Total	\$111,492,730	100.00%	

It is relevant to note that the City of Oakland's budget is presented with offsets to the Self Insurance Liability fund (1100) as budgetary appropriations. In other words, budgetary dollars within some of the funds is used to fund the Self Insurance Liability Fund. The Self Insurance Liability Fund is restricted to payments and costs associated with claims and lawsuits and cannot be used for operational expenditures. Within the Public Works Agency budget, there is a total of \$3.6 million that is directed to the Self Insurance Liability Fund, including \$2.1 million from the General Purpose fund (1010).

¹ Of the \$4.5 million of 1010 – General Fund: General Purpose, \$2.1 million is a pass through to the 1100 – Self Insurance Liability fund. In effect, the remaining \$2.4 million (or 2.2%) of the total budget is available for operational expenditures.

Table 2 below lists the Public Works Agency funding sources by Fund Group (General Funds, Special Revenue Funds, Enterprise Funds, Internal Service Funds, and Fiduciary Funds).

TABLE 2. FY 2009-10 Public Works Agency Budget Funding Sources by Fund Group²

			Percent of
CUID COOLD		TV 7000 40	Total
FUND GROUP General Fund	? *	FY 2009-10	Budget
		\$4,450,890	•
1010 - General Fund: General Purpose		\$2,629,140	
1100 - Self Insurance Liability		\$2,029,140 \$9,621,920	
1710 - Recycling Program		\$16,950,700	
1720 - Comprehensive Clean-up			
1750 - Multipurpose Reserve	0	\$330,000	20 400/
•	Subtotal	\$33,982,650	30,48%
Special Revenue Funds: Federal & State Grants			
2141 - State Traffic Congestion Relief - Prop 42		\$1,897,510	
2211 - Measure B: ACTIA		\$2,983,860	
2230 - State Gas Tax		\$6,243,820	
2310 - Lighting and Landscape Assessment Dis	strict	\$13,709,890	
2416 - Traffic Safety Fund		\$989,620	
2990 - Public Works Grants		\$70,000	
	Subtotal	\$25,894,700	23.23%
Enterprise Funds			
3100 - Sewer Service Fund		\$12,244,810	
	Subtotal	\$12,244,810	10.98%
Internat Service Funds			
4100 - Equipment		\$16,880,360	
4400 - City Facilities		\$21,736,210	
·	Subtotal	\$38,616,570	34.64%
Fiduciary Funds / Trust & Other Agencies		•	
7760 - Grant Clearing		(\$226,570)	•
7780 - Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA)		\$980,570	
	Subtotal	\$754,000	0.68%
		·	<u> </u>

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

This report does not directly impact economic, environmental or equity issues.

DISABILITY AND SENIOR CITIZEN ACCESS

This report does not directly impact disability or senior access.

² The General Fund group figures include the 1100 – Self Insurance Liability fund and the General Purpose Fund pass through to the Self Insurance Liability fund, in effect, increasing the value of the General Fund group by approximately \$4.8 million.

RECOMMENDATION OR ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

This report provides a listing of funding sources used by the Public Works Agency and a listing of these funding sources categorized by fund group. Staff recommends that the Committee accept the report.

Respectfully submitted,

Raul Godinez II

Public Works Agency Director

Prepared by:

Stephanie Hom, Agency Administrative Manager

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:

Office of the City Administrator

Item: ______ Public Works Committee September 15, 2009

PILEBCITY OF OAKLAND



CITY HALL . ONE FRANK H. OGAWOS JULZA, PHILOOR . OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

Office of the City Auditor Courtney A. Ruby, CPA City Auditor (510) 238-3378 FAX (510) 238-7640 TDD (510) 238-3254 www.oaklandauditor.com

July 14, 2009

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL CITY OF OAKLAND

RE: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE GENERAL FUND CONTRIBUTION REPORTED IN THE PUBLIC WORKS AGENCY PERFORMANCE AUDIT

Dear Chairperson Nadel and Members of the Committee:

Attached is the information you requested at the Public Works Committee on Tuesday, June 9th, 2009 regarding the General Fund contribution reported in the Public Works Performance Audit.

Should you have additional questions, please contact me at (510) 238-3379.

Respectfully submitted,

COURTNEY A! RUBY, CPA

City Auditor

Public Works Committee, July 14th 2009 Item #

1

DATE:

June 28, 2009

TO:

Courtney Ruby, CPA

City Auditor
City of Oakland

FROM:

Gary Goelitz Vice President

Matrix Consulting Group

SUBJECT: General Fund Contribution to the Public Works Department

BACKGROUND: As noted in page 19 of the Introduction and Executive Summary of the *Performance Audit of the Public Works Agency*, the Agency has a fiscal year 2008-09 budget of \$118,701,366 for all funds. The general-purpose fund provides only \$2,427,861 or 2% of the operating funds for the Agency. All other funding for the Agency comes from other funds such as the Recycling Fund, Comprehensive Clean-up, State Gas Tax, Landscape and Lighting Assessment District, Sewer Service, Equipment, City Facilities, and other funds.

The Performance Audit of the Public Works Agency noted that the City's General Fund contribution is less than that of other public works departments in similar cities. In Long Beach, for example, the General Fund provides 17% of the operating funds for the Public Works Department. In Anaheim, the General Fund provides 19% of the operating funds of the Public Works Department. In Fresno, the General Fund provides 26% of the operating funds of the Public Works Department. In Sacramento, the General Fund provides 22% of the operating funds of the Transportation Department (Sacramento does not have a Public Works Department). In Santa Ana, the General Fund provides 5% of the operating funds of the Public Works Department (this lower proportion of General Fund contribution in Santa Ana results from inclusion of a water utility within the Department that significantly increases departmental expenditures and reduces the proportion of General Fund expenditures).

At its meeting of June 9, 2009, the Public Works Committee requested additional information regarding the general fund contributions made to the public works departments in these other cities and their comparability to Oakland. The concern included the impacts of internal service funds (fleet and facilities) on the comparability to Oakland.

DISCUSSION: To assure that the comparison of these cities and their general fund contributions to their public works departments are comparable to Oakland, the fleet and facilities internal service funds from each public works department were excluded. These comparisons are provided below.

- Sacramento. As noted previously, Sacramento does not have a public works department. It does have a Transportation Department. That department is responsible for engineering; traffic engineering; parking enforcement, meters, and garages; street maintenance including signs, markings, signals and streetlights; and urban forestry. It does NOT include any fleet and facility internal service funds. The general fund provided 22% of the total operating budget funding for the department. The department was authorized 436.95 positions in FY 2008-09.
- Fresno. The Public Works Department in Fresno includes engineering (GIS, development review, traffic engineering, special districts, etc.); capital management; parking operations (garages, lots, and meters); street maintenance including tree maintenance and median islands; traffic signal and streetlights. It does NOT include any fleet and facility internal service funds. The general fund provided 26% of the total operating budget funding for the department. The department was authorized 338.40 positions in FY 2008-09.
- Anaheim. The Public Works Department in Anaheim includes engineering (traffic engineering, development review, real property, design); fleet and facility services; public works operations (street maintenance, wastewater collection, street sweeping, sidewalk maintenance, sign maintenance, etc.); and construction management and inspection. Excluding the fleet and facility internal service funds and their revenues, the general fund provided 24% of the total operating budget funding for the department; this is higher than originally reported as a result of the exclusion of the fleet and facility internal service funds. The department was authorized 252 positions in FY 2008-09.
- Long Beach. The Public Works Department in Long Beach includes facilities management; solid waste collection, recycling, and litter abatement; street sweeping; fleet management; towing; engineering (design, construction management and inspection, etc.; street maintenance; storm drainage maintenance; traffic and transportation; and the airport. Excluding the fleet and facility internal service funds and the airport, the general fund provided 21% of the total operating budget funding for the department; this is higher than originally reported as a result of the exclusion of the fleet and facility internal service funds. The department was authorized 624 positions in FY 2008-09.
- Santa Ana. The Public Works Department in Santa Ana includes engineering (design, traffic, and construction management / inspection); streets and sidewalk maintenance; traffic signal maintenance; tree and landscaping maintenance; streetlight maintenance; wastewater and stormwater collection maintenance; water distribution maintenance and production; graffiti removal; and street sweeping it does NOT include any fleet and facility internal service funds. The general fund provided 5% of the total operating budget funding for the department. The department was authorized 205 positions in FY 2008-09.

• Oakland. The Public Works Agency in Oakland includes streets, sidewalks, parks, wastewater, stormwater, fleet and facility, streetlight, and vehicle maintenance, litter and graffiti abatement, recycling, and environmental compliance. The Agency was budgeted \$2,427,861 in general-purpose fund operating budget in 2008-09. This excludes the recycling program and comprehensive cleanup fund since those funds cannot be utilized for other purposes without approval of the electorate in Oakland (Proposition 218 limitations). Excluding the fleet and facility internal service funds and their revenues, the general fund provided 3% of the total operating budget funding for the department; this is higher than originally reported as a result of the exclusion of the fleet and facility internal service funds. The department was initially authorized 691 positions in FY 2008-09.

Each of these peer cities – Sacramento, Fresno, Long Beach, Anaheim, and Santa Ana – provide a higher level of general fund operating budget support for their public works departments.

CONCLUSION: While the review of this data should be cognizant of variances in makeup and organization of the public works departments in these peer cities, it should be recognized that essential services such as traffic signal maintenance, signing and striping maintenance, park maintenance, street and sidewalk maintenance are highly dependent upon general fund operating budget support. Oakland will not be able to address the essential asset management challenges without a higher level of general fund operating budget and capital budget support.