CITY OF OAKLAND

2009 OCT 29 PM 7: 32 AGENDA REPORT

TO:

Office of the City Administrator

ATTN:

Dan Lindheim

FROM:

Community and Economic Development Agency

DATE:

November 3, 2009

RE:

Supplemental Report Providing Information Requested by the Public Works Committee on October 27, 2009 Regarding the FY 2009-2011 Park Project Prioritization List and Proposition 84 Statewide Park Grant Program

SUMMARY

At the Public Works Committee (PWC) on October 27, 2009, staff presented a report regarding the City's Park Prioritization list and proposed Proposition 84 funding applications, and four resolutions for Council consideration and approval:

- 1. To adopt the updated Park Project Prioritization List
- 2. To approve seven projects from the list for grant applications for the Proposition 84 Statewide Park Grant program
- 3. To appropriate funds for park prioritization update and grant project design development
- 4. To amend the existing as-needed professional services contract for Wallace, Roberts and Todd (WRT) Planning & Design to perform additional services for grant development preparation.

The PWC requested that staff address the following four items in a supplemental report:

- 1. Is the West Oakland Teen Center a viable project for the Proposition 84 Statewide Park Program Grant?
- 2. Provide the estimated project cost for the renovation of the Rainbow Recreation Center Expansion and the new Teen Center.
- 3. Submit an updated Department of Contracting and Purchasing Compliance Analysis Memo for the requested amendment to the WRT Planning and Design as-needed professional services contract.
- 4. Explain what, if any, impact there would be on the Real Estate Division's ability to manage the Telecommunication Land Use leases if \$250,000.00 is appropriated for the development of conceptual plans and grant applications for projects on the Park Prioritization List.

Item:	Item:
City Council	
November 3, 2009	Nove

KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS

- 1. Staff has evaluated the West Oakland Teen Center against the Proposition 84 Statewide Park Program grant criteria and anticipates that the project will be competitive. Based on the criteria, the project will meet the objective of creating new recreation opportunities in the most critically underserved communities. The project will benefit families, youth, and potential other population groups to provide recreational, cultural, social, and educational services.
- 2. For the Rainbow Recreation Center expansion and the new Teen Center project, staff will seek the maximum allowable Proposition 84 Statewide Park Grant amount of \$5,000,000.00, as shown on the attached updated Park Project Prioritization List (see revised Attachment A, dated Oct. 28, 2009).
- 3. Attached to the supplemental report is an updated Department of Contracting and Purchasing Compliance Analysis Memo dated October 26, 2009 (see revised *Attachment B, dated Oct.* 26, 2009) showing that WRT estimates that the Local/Small Local Business Enterprise participation will be 12.30% and 38.10% respectively, for total participation of 50.40%.
- 4. The annual revenues to Fund 1770 are adequate to support the annual cost to manage the land use leases. The fund balance (or reserve) within Fund 1770 has accrued over a number of years due to annual operating surpluses. Therefore, the use of this fund balance does not impact the annual operating budget, which supports the Real Estate Division's management of the land leases. Funding in an amount not to exceed \$250,000.00 to pay for the conceptual design and grant application development for the Park Project Prioritization List is proposed to come from the Telecommunications Land Use Fund (1770) fund balance. Fund balance should be considered a one-time source of funds and be used for one-time expenditures, so this is a suitable use of this fund balance.

Item: City Council
November 3, 2009

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the four proposed resolutions to adopt an updated Park Project Prioritization List, authorize grant applications for Proposition 84 Statewide Park Grant for the recommended projects, appropriate funds to update park prioritization projects and grant-project design development, and amend existing as-needed professional services contract for WRT Planning & Design to perform additional services.

Respectfully submitted,

Walter S. Cohen

Director

Community and Economic Development Agency

Reviewed by:

Michael Neary, P.E. Deputy Director, CEDA

Department of Engineering & Construction

Prepared by:

Ali Schwarz

C. I. P. Coordinator

Project Delivery Division

APPROVED AND FORWARDED

TO THE CITY COUNCIL

Office of the City Administrator

Attachments:

- A. Updated Park Project Prioritization List
- B. Updated Contract Compliance & Employment Services Performance Evaluation Memorandum

Item: City Council

November 3, 2009

ATTACHMENT A

City of Oakland

FY09-11 Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization List 10/28/2009 Revised

	Tuizaizuus Revised						
District	Project Name		Estimated				
		Р	roject Budget				
5.7.水光雾	Measure DD projects and Citywide projects:	(2) B	新加州的				
2, 3	Measure DD - Lake Merritt Park	\$	130,250,000				
2, 3	Measure DD - Lake Merritt Channel	\$	37,000,000				
2,3,5,7	Measure DD- Waterfront Trails	\$ 106,000,00					
3	Lakeside Demonstration Garden		TBD				
4	Redwood Nature Education Center (PAL Camp)		TBD				
6	City Stables		TBD				
7	Measure DD - East Oakland Sport Center (Phase 2)	\$	32,000,000				
Citywide	Leveling Playing Fields - Brookdale Park	\$	885,000				
Citywide	Raimondi Field/Park Phase 2	\$	5,000,000				
Citywide	Tot Lot Resurfacing	TBD					
, , ,	Group A - Priority Projects by District	. j 4	-sign of a late				
1	*Carter Middle School (International School) - Community Park Improvement	\$	3,156,000				
2	*Lincoln Square Park Improvement & Expansion	\$	2,041,000				
3	Jefferson Square Park Improvement	\$	2,238,000				
4	*Brookdale Park Improvement & Teen Center Expansion	\$	5,000,000				
5	Peralta Hacienda Park - Historic Core	\$	6,105,000				
6	*Rainbow Recreation Ctr. Expansion & Teen Center	\$	5,000,000				
7	*Tassafaronga Recreation Center Expansion	\$	3,298,000				
At Large / 2	Chinese Garden	\$	1,354,000				
	Group B - Priority Projects by District		15				
1	Bushrod Park General Improvements	\$	2,942,000				
2	Clinton Park General Improvement	\$	1,917,000				
3	*Durant Mini-Park Improvement	\$	504,000				
4	Laurel Park Site Acquisition & Development	TBD					

Item: _____ City Council

ATTACHMENT A

City of Oakland

FY09-11 Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization List 10/28/2009 Revised

District	Project Name		Estimated Project Budget			
5	*Cesar Chavez Park Improvement & Expansion	\$	2,500,000			
6	Concordia Park		TBD			
7	Officer Willie Wilkins (Elmhurst) Park Improvement	\$	2,647,000			
AS LYPHON	Group Geriority Projects by District	and also				
1	Caldecott Trail to Skyline Blvd.	\$	1,499,000			
2	Madison Square Park Improvement	\$	2,959,000			
3	Moss House Rehabilitation	\$	1,819,000			
4	Maxwell Park Improvements	\$	1,150,000			
5	Josie De La Cruz Park - Fruitvale Field and Master Plan Development	\$	710,000			
6	Arroyo Viejo Park Soccer Field		TBD			
7	Glen Daniel King Estates Trails Improvement	\$	2,064,000			
		tiniq.				

^{*} Projects selected for Prop. 84 Statewide Park Grant applications.

TBD - Project costs to be determined through proposed park project prioritization update and design development

Item: _____City Council

ATTACHMENT B



Memo

Department of Contracting and Purchasing

Social Equity Division

To:

Alison Schwartz, Project Manager

From:

Vivian Inman, Contract Compliance Officer

Through:

Deborah Barnes, Director, DC&P

Shelley Darensburg, Sr. Contract Compliance Officer &. Qaransburg

CC:

Gwen McCormick, Contract Administration Supervisor

Date:

October 26, 2009

Re:

As Needed Landscape Architectural Services - Amendment No. 1

The Department of Contracting and Purchasing (DCP), Division of Social Equity, reviewed the Project Consultant Team Listing--Schedule E submitted in response to the above referenced project and amendment. Below is the outcome of the compliance analysis for the minimum 20% Local and Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE).

Responsive			Proposed	oosed Participation			Earned and Dis		ollity	Y/N
Company Name	Original Bid Amount	Total LBE LBE SLBI Trucki		Total Credited participation	Earned Bid Discounts	Adjusted Bid Amount	Banked Credits Eligibility	EBO Compliant? Y		
Wallace, Roberts & Todd	NA	50.40%	12.30%	38.10%	NA	50.40%	0 points	NA	0 points	Y

Comments: As noted above, Wallace, Roberts & Todd exceeded the minimum 20% L/SLBE requirement. The firm is EBO compliant.

Should you have any questions you may contact Vivian Inman at (510) 238-3970.



DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING

Social Equity Division

PROJECT COM	MPLIANCE EVALUATION FOR:
Project No.:	
RE:	As Needed Landscape Architectural Services - Amendment No. 1
Re-Physical Desired (1991)	
CONSULTANT	/CONTRACTOR: Wallace, Roberts & Todd
	Engineer's Estimate: Contractors' Bid Amount NA NA NA NA NA Over/Under Engineer's Estimate NA NA NA
the control of control of the sections	Bid discounted amount: Discount/Preference Points: N/A 2
	Did the 20% local/small local requirement apply: YES
	2. Did the contractor meet the 20% requirement a) % of LBE participation YES
	b) % of SLBE 38.10% participation
	3. Did the contractor receive bid discount/preference points? YES
	(If yes, list the points received) 0 points
	5. Additional Comments.
•	6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.
. •	. 10/26/2009
Reviewing Officer:	Milai Ann Date: 10/26/09,
Approved By:	Shelley Darenstrung Date: 10/26/09

LBE/SLBE Participation Wallace, Roberts & Todd

Project Name: As Needed Landscape Architectural Services - Amendment No. 1

Project No.: Engineers Estimate:													
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE %	SLBE %	LBE/SLBE %	. Total Percentage	Total Dollars	Fo	r Tracking C	Only		
									⊗Ethn.⊗	MBE	WBE		
PRIME	Wallace, Roberts & Todd	San Francisco	ÜB	<u> </u>		ĺ	40.400/	200 000	С				
Landscape Architect	Golden Associates	Oakland	СВ				49.10%	320,869	C	 			
Landscape Architect	Golden Associates	Cakiano	68		6.2%	6.2%	6.2%	40.000]	6.2%		
Architecture	Murakami Nelson	Oakland	СВ		26.4%	26.4%	26.4%	171,865.01	AP	26.4%	<u> </u>		
Civil Engineering	Sandis	Oakland	СВ	8.3%		8.3%	8.3%	53,896.25	C				
	Yu Standberg Eng.	Oakland	СВ						AP				
Engineering	 				0.20%	0.20%	0.2%	1,000		0.2%			
Mechanical Engineering	H&M Mechanical	Oakland	CB		0.20%	0.20%	0.2%	1,000	ΑP	0.2%			
Electrical Engineering	EW Associates	Oakland	СВ		0.20%	0.20%	0.2%	1,000	AP	0.276			
Lieutical Engineening	FYY ASSOCIATES	Cakiania	6				0.5%	3.000		0.0%			
Landscape Architect	Hood Design	Oakland	СВ					3,333	AA	1			
•	_				1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	8,406.38		2.3%			
Electrical Engineering	Zeiger Engineers	Oakland	СВ		2.20/	2 20/	2.20/	04 400 00	С				
Landscape Architect	Addenne Wong	Oakland	СВ		3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	21,199.80	AP	 			
Carioscapo Arcijitect	Adjustite World	Carrano		4.0%		4.0%	4.0%	25,764		4.0%			
Structr	Degenkolb	Oakland	СВ		0.50%	0.50%	0.5%						
·-····································	Pro	ject Totals		12.30%	38.10%	50.40%	100%	650,000.44		33.1%	6.2%		
Requirements: The 20% requirements is a combination of 10% LBE and 10% SLBE participation. An SLBE firm can be counted 100% towards achieving 20% requirements. LBE firms can only be counted up to 10% of the total contract amount.			EBE 410%	SLBE	TOTAL PLBE/SLBE			Ethnicity A = Asian AA = African Ar Al = Asian India		<u> </u>			
				STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P					AP = Asian Pacific				
Legend	LBE = Local Business Enterprise			UB = Uncertified Business				C = Caucasian					
	SLBE = Small Local Business Ente	erprise		CB ≈ Certified Business				<u> </u>	H = Hispanic				
	Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Loc		ses			rity Susiness En			NA = Native An	nerican			
	NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Enterprise			WBE = Women Business Enterprise				1 .	O = Other				
NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Business Enterprise									NL = Not Listed	1			

SCHEDULE E PROJECT CONSULTANT TEAM LISTING

To be completed by prime consultants only.

Note:

Date 10/5/09

The consultant herewith must list all subconsultants regardless of tier and their
respective percentages of the project work. No other subconsultants, other than
those listed below shall be used without prior written approval by the City of
Oakland. Provide all information listed and check the appropriate boxes. Firms
must be certified with he City of Oakland in order to receive Local/Small Local
Business Enterprise credits.

Company Name: Wallace, Roberts & Todd, Inc.

Signed:

	O		Shows Name	% of Project	Dollar Amount	ubcontractor	ocal (LBE)	Small Local (SL	7	* Gender
Type of Work	Company Name	Address and City	Phone Number	Work	Dollar Amount	ျ	┌╧┤		* 	≨ F
Landscape Architecture	Golden Associates	4400 Market Street, Oakland, CA 94608	510-465-4030	6.2%	\$ 40,000.00			X	С	μ
Architecture	Murakami Nelson	100 Filbert St, Oakland, CA 94607	510-444-7959	26.4%	\$ 171,865.01		X		ΑР	М
Civil Engineering	Sandis	1721 Broadway, Suite 201, Oakland, CA 94612	510-873-8866	8.3%	\$ 53,896.25		Х		C.	M
Structural Engineering	Yu Strandberg Eng.	155 Filbert St, Oakland, CA 94607	510-763-0475	0.2%	\$ 1,000.00			Х	AP	M
Mechanical Engineering	H&M Mechanical	8517 Earhart Rd, Oakland, CA 94621	510-261-5000	0.2% \$	1,000.00			Х	AP	М
Electrical Engineering	F.W. Associates	330 Franklin St, Oakland, CA 94607	510-763-7475	0.5%	\$ 3,000.00			Х	ΑР	М
Landscape Architecture	Hood Design	3016 Filbert St Studio 2, Oakland, CA 94608	510-595-0688	1.3%	\$ 8,406.38			X	AΑ	М
Electrical Engineering	Zeiger Engineers	478 Third Street, Oakland, CA 94607	510-452-9391	3.3%	\$ 21,199.80			X	С	М
Landscape Architecture	Adrienne Wong Associates	1212 Broadway, Suite 812, Oakland, CA 94612	510-459-6653	4.0%	\$ 25,764.00			Х	ΑР	F
Structural Engineering	DegenKolb	300 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite #450, Oakland, CA 94612	510.272.9040	0.5%	\$ 3,000.00					

WRT will determine a final consultant team and modify the fee percentages once the tasks and scopes of work are determined.

Attach additional page(s) if necessary.

Contractors are required to identify the ethnicity and gender of all listed firms majority owner. This information will be used for tracking purposes only.

* (AA=African American) (Al=Asian Indian) (AP=Asian Pacific) (C=Caucasian) (H=Hispanic) (NA=Native American) (O=Other) (NL=Not Listed)

^{** (}M = Male) (F = Female)