APPR	OVED AS TO FORM AND L	EGALITY
YAS	recommender	G M
	/\	-16

PARIOR OF THE CLESS OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

2007 PEC 18 PM 3: RESOLUTION NO. $81021 \equiv \text{C.M.S.}$

Introduced	by Councilmember	

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A PARK PROJECT PRIORITIZATION LIST FOR CITY OF OAKLAND PARK CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR, OR HER DESIGNEE, TO APPLY FOR GRANTS WITHOUT FURTHER COUNCIL ACTION FOR PROJECTS ON THE PRIORITIZATION LIST

WHEREAS, the Open Space, Conservation, and Recreation (OSCAR) Element of the Oakland General Plan is the official policy document addressing the management of open land, natural resources, recreation services, and parks in Oakland; and

WHEREAS, City Council approved and established criteria used to prioritize any capital improvement project for parks and open space; and

WHEREAS, City Council wishes to prioritize parks capital improvement projects and develop a project ranking list that would be used as the implementation plan to obtain grants and complete park capital improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, a Council-established priority project list will be useful for responding to grant and other funding opportunities for park projects, expedite the process in applying for grant funds, and serve as the guiding plan for implementing Oakland's parks and open space capital improvements; and

WHEREAS, the Council approved prioritization list will be used to apply for grants and serve as the basis for implementation of any upcoming fund opportunities; and

WHEREAS, the State Department of Parks and Recreation and grant agencies have been delegated the responsibility for the administration of numerous grant programs, setting up necessary procedures governing Project Applications under each individual grant program; and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by the State Department of Parks and Recreation or grant agencies require the Applicant to certify by resolution the approval of Application(s) before submission of said Application(s) to the State or grant agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant will enter into a Contract with the State of California or applicable grant agencies to complete the Project(s); now therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council approves the Park Project Priority list attached hereto and labeled "Attachment A – Park Project Prioritization List;" and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or her designee, is hereby authorized to submit and apply for grant funds for the projects on the approved Park Project Prioritization List to State Department of Parks and Recreation or applicable grant agencies without further Council action when fund opportunities arise; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby:

- 1. Certifies that the City has or will have available, prior to commencement of any work on the Project(s) included in the grant Application(s), the required match, if applicable to any individual grant program, and sufficient funds to complete the Project(s); and
- 2. Certifies that the Application(s) shall meet requirements outlined by the individual grant program. If the application(s) is requesting Habitat Conservation Fund Program (HCF) grant funding, said Applicant shall prepare, with full public participation, a management plan for lands that have been acquired with HCF funds, which plan shall reasonably reduce possible conflicts with neighboring land use and landowners, including agriculturists; and
- 3. Certifies that the park prioritization projects are consistent with the City's general plan or the equivalent planning document; and
- 4. Certifies that the Applicant has reviewed, understands, and agrees to the provisions contained in the Contract shown in the Procedural Guide of each individual grant program; and
- 5. Agrees to comply with all applicable federal, state and local laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and guidelines; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That upon successful application of grants, the City Administrator will return to Council for authorization to accept and appropriate the grant funds, to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents necessary for the completion of the Project(s) and to award project contracts; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Park Project Prioritization List is subject to review and update every two (2) years in conjunction with the budget process; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator, or her designee, is hereby authorized to re-order the projects within a Council District upon direction of the respective Council Member to allow flexibility to address changes in project priority within their district; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That a copy of this Resolution will be transmitted to the appropriate grant agency as required for grant application purposes and be placed on file with the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _	DEC 1 8 2007	, 2007

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES-

BROOKS, BRUNNER, CHANG, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, QUAN, REID, AND PRESIDENT DE LA FUENTE, $\boldsymbol{-}$

NOES- DABSENT- D

ABSTENTION-

ATTECT

City Olerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California

ATTACHMENT A

City of Oakland

Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization Summary

Dec. 2007 (Revised)

Phone is a	Dec. 2007 (Revise		Evaluation System							Estimated		
Rank	Project Name		- TO FEED THE SELECTION		Public Safety or Health Risk	maximize Use and Program Services		_		Project Funding Status	Total Point	O&M Cost Increase (Decrease) per annum
					25	17	10	20	15	10	97	
Measu	re DD projects and Citywide project	S:	10		7.1	1	1		- 3			
1_1	Measure DD - Lake Merritt Park	2, 3	\$_	130,250,000	25	17	10	15	14	5	86	\$6,512,500
3	Measure DD- Waterfront Trails	2,3,5,7	\$_	106,000,000	15	11	10	15	15	2	68	\$5,300,000
8	City Stables*	6	ТВГ	<u> </u>	20	7	0	10	14	2	53	TBD
12	Measure DD - Lake Merritt Channel	2, 3	\$	37,000,000	10	1_	5	10	15	5	46	\$1,850,000
13	Measure DD - East Oakland Sport Center	7	\$_	19,670,000	10	17	10	0	0	5	42	\$712,500
15	Leveling Playing Fields	Citywide	\$_	2,663,400	5	17	10	5	0	2	39	\$96,500
16	Tot Lot Resurfacing	Citywide	\$_	1,748,000	15	10	0	10	0	2	37	\$87,400
Group	A - Priority Projects by District											e e e e e e
2	Tassafaronga Rec. Center	7	\$_	3,140,908	25	17	10	15	0_	2	69	\$22,000
4	Peralta Hacienda Park - Historic Core	5	\$	5,814,300	10	17	10	10	15	2	64	\$290,715
5	Leona Lodge Upgrade*	6	\$_	1,424,153	25	7	10	20	0	0	62	\$1,000
7	Moss House*	3	\$_	1,732,320	25	1	0	15	15	0	56	\$21,200
10	Lincoln Square Park Plan	2	\$_	1,944,000	10	17	10	10	0	2	49	\$72,000
18	Bushrod Park - Soccer Field (Washington Elem. School)	1	\$_	3,225,150	5	17	10	0	0	0	32	TBD
17	Montclair Park	4	\$_	1,644,410	10	11	0	15		0	36_	\$0
19	Chinese Garden	At Large / 2	\$_	1,289,790	5	11	0	5	10	0	31_	\$18,200
Grou	B - Priority Projects by District	VEILE :		44440		7 (5	uis.	14 F		T		
5	2496 Coolidge Ave (Peralta Hacienda Historical Park)*	5	\$	762,480	25	17	10	10	0	0	62	\$6,000
6	Rainbow Recreation Ctr. Expansion	6	\$_	1,439,640	20	17	10	10	0	0	57	\$14,300
13	Clinton Park General Improvement	2	\$	1,825,572	5	17	10	10	0	0	42	\$12,400
10	Raimondi Fields	3	\$_	12,140,000	10	17	10	10	0	2	49	\$607,000
10	Officer Willie Wilkins (Elmhurst) Park	7	\$	2,520,894	10	17	5	15	0	2	49	\$16,500
19	Dimond Park	4	\$	726,840	5	17	0_	5	4	0	31	\$0
13	Bushrod Park - General Improvements	1	\$	2,802,125	10	17	10	5	0	0	42	\$15,400
21	Carter Middle School	At Large /1	\$	3,005,298	5	7	10	0	0	0	22	TBD

Item:

City Council Dec. 18, 2007

ATTACHMENT A

City of Oakland

Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization Summary

Dec. 2007 (Revised)

Rank	Project Name	District Estimated			Evaluation System						Estimated	
			Pro)	ect Budget	Public Safety or Health Risk	Maximize Use and Program Services	Collaborative Opportunities	Operation And Maintenance Efficiency	Protection of Existing Resources	Project Funding Status	Total Point	O&M Cost Increase (Decrease) per annum
					25	17	10	20	15	10	97	
Group	C - Priority Projects by District	3 J. F.		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
11	Jefferson Square Park	3	\$	2,131,569	10	17	10	10	0	0	47	\$22,700
11	Josie De La Cruz Park - Syn. Turf	5	\$	625,536	0	17	10	20	0	0	47	\$3,700
9	Morcom Rose Garden	2	\$	1,988,710	20	1	5	10	14	0	50	\$0
13	Glen Daniel King Estates Trails	7	\$	1,965,490	5	17	5	10	5	0	42	\$7,400
13	Brookdale Park	4	\$	2,079,594	10	17	10	5	0	0	42	\$7,500
20	Caldecott Trail to Skyline Blvd.	11	\$	1,405,730	5	1_1_	0	10	14	0	30	\$7,900
Rema	ining Projects		1711			25				¥".		
14	Durant Park - Urban Mini Park	3	\$	479,736	10	16	5	10	0	0	41	\$7,300
14	25th Street Mini Park	3	\$	680,400	15	16	0	10	0	0	41	\$12,500
17	Madison Square Park Plan	2	\$	2,818,370	10	11	5	10	0	0	36	\$12,400
22	William Wood Park (Dog Park)	5	\$	1,308,766	10	6	0	5	0	0_	21	\$7,100

22 William Wood Park (Dog Park) 5 \$ 1,308,766 10 6 0 5 0 0 5 0 0 21

*O&M cost increase (or decrease) for the site may vary depending on usage and programs for the specific sites.

TBD - The O&M for sites owned by OUSD depends on final real property agreement.

Item:

City Council Dec. 18, 2007