

**CITY OF OAKLAND**  
**AGENDA REPORT**

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

2005 DEC 15 PM 12:05

TO: Office of the City Administrator  
ATTN: Deborah Edgerly  
FROM: Public Works Agency  
DATE: December 20, 2005

RE: RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO DEVELOP A PRIORITIZATION LIST AND APPROVING EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR INITIAL FEASIBILITY ANALYSES FOR CITY OF OAKLAND PARKS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

**SUMMARY**

At the request of Life Enrichment Committee at its December 13, 2005, meeting, Exhibit "B" was revised. (See attached copy.) The revisions were as follows:

- Under "Maximize Use and Program Services" section, the points and criteria wording were revised from:

<b>MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES</b>	Maximum 10 pts.
Improvement will provide programs or services to at-risk youth	6 points
Improvement will expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population	3 points
Improvement will expand programs or services for the city-wide community	1 point
<b>SUBTOTAL PTS</b>	

to:

<b>MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES</b>	Maximum 17 pts.
Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population	10 points
Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth	6 points
Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community	1 point
<b>SUBTOTAL PTS</b>	

- Under "Protection of Existing Resources" section, the word "recreational" was deleted from each of the criterion.
- The "Community Support Level" section was deleted.

Item: \_\_\_\_\_  
December 20, 2005

4. The total points available were reduced from 100 to 97.

Respectfully submitted,



**RAUL GODINEZ II, P.E.**  
Director, Public Works Agency

Reviewed by:  
Michael Neary, P.E.  
Assistant Director, Public Works Agency  
Design & Construction Services Department

Prepared by:  
Jeanne Zastera, Project Manager  
Project Delivery Division

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE  
CITY COUNCIL

  
**OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR**

Attachment: Revised Exhibit B- Project Prioritization Evaluation System

Item: \_\_\_\_\_  
December 20, 2005

## Parks and Recreation Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_

Final Ranking No. \_\_\_\_\_ Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Total Points 97

**PROJECT SCOPE DESCRIPTION:**

**ESTIMATED PROJECT COSTS:**

Pre-Design/Planning	_____
Environmental Remediation	_____
Design	_____
Construction	_____
Construction Survey	_____
Inspection	_____
Project Management/Admin.	_____
Project Contingency	_____
<b>Estimated Total Proj. Cost</b>	<b>\$ _____</b>

**Increased Cost for Operations & Maintenance** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Type:**

- Buildings
- Parks
- Fields
- Playgrounds

**Funding Sources: (Check all that applies)**

- Grant
- Bond Measure
- General Fund
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Instruc \_\_\_\_\_

CRITERIA: The Project will/has	Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
<b>PUBLIC SAFETY OR HEALTH RISK</b>	Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety: Correct conditions that are safety and code deficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	
Health: Remediate environmental health hazard (e.g. lead, asbestos abatement etc.)	5 points	
Access: Insure access to persons with disabilities.	5 points	
Security: Provide safety and security of the property and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing, gate, etc)	5 points	
<b>SUBTOTAL PTS</b>		
<b>MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES</b>	Maximum 17 pts.	
Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population	10 points	
Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth	6 points	
Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community	1 point	
<b>SUBTOTAL PTS</b>		
<b>COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES</b>	Maximum 10 pts.	
Provides new collaborative program/opportunity with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	
Provides new collaborative program/opportunity with non-profit organizations.	5 points	
<b>SUBTOTAL PTS</b>		
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY</b>	Maximum 20 pts.	
Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or	10 points	
Provide minor repairs/and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility,	5 points	
Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs,	5 points	
Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City	5 points	
<b>SUBTOTAL PTS</b>		
<b>PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES</b>	Maximum 15 pts.	
Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources	10 points	
Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources	4 points	
Create new /cultural/historical/natural resources	1 points	
<b>SUBTOTAL PTS</b>		
<b>PROJECT FUNDING STATUS</b>	Maximum 10 pts.	
Full project funding available, or	10 points	
Between 50% to 100% project funds available, or	5 points	
Funds available - up to 50% of project cost, or	2 points	
No funding	0 points	
<b>SUBTOTAL PTS</b>		
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>		97