

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY

Amadis Sotelo

CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO. _____ C.M.S.

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE OAKLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT VEHICLE GPS TRACKER SURVEILLANCE USE POLICY (DEPARTMENTAL GENERAL ORDER I-33) AND SURVEILLANCE IMPACT REPORT

WHEREAS, the Surveillance Technology Ordinance (codified in relevant part at Oakland Municipal Code Section 9.64.030.1.C) requires City Council approval for new and existing surveillance technology; additionally, OMC Section 9.64.020.1 requires that, “Prior to seeking City Council approval for existing city surveillance technology under Section 9.64.030, City staff shall submit a Surveillance Impact Report (SIR) and Surveillance Use Policy (SUP) to the Privacy Advisory Commission (PAC) for its review at a regularly noticed meeting. The SIR and SUP must address the specific subject matter specified for such reports as defined under 9.64.010”; and

WHEREAS, OPD is prohibited from acquiring any new Surveillance Technology, based on the requirements of OMC 9.64, until a SUP and SIR are reviewed by the PAC and approved by the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the Oakland Police Department (OPD) drafted Departmental General Order I-33: Vehicle GPS Tracker (**Attachment A**), a SUP and an accompanying SIR (**Attachment B**), and presented these items to the PAC for feedback at a regularly scheduled PAC meeting on February 5, 2026; and

WHEREAS, on February 5, 2026, the PAC voted unanimously to recommend that the City Council approve OPD’s draft Departmental General Order I-33 and the accompanying SIR for the Vehicle GPS Tracker; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: The City Council approves OPD’s I-33 Vehicle GPS Tracker policy, as a Surveillance Use Policy (**Attachment A**) and also approves the accompanying Surveillance Impact Report (**Attachment B**); and so be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That pursuant to OMC Section 9.64.020.2, for the reasons referenced in the agenda report and in the Surveillance Impact Report accompanying this resolution, the City Council hereby finds that the benefits to the community of the surveillance technology outweigh the costs (cost-benefit determination); that the proposal will safeguard civil liberties and civil rights, and that no alternative with a lesser economic cost or impact on civil rights or civil liberties would be as effective; and so authorizes the City Administrator or designee to use the Vehicle GPS Tracker.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROWN, FIFE, GALLO, HOUSTON, RAMACHANDRAN, UNGER, WANG, AND
PRESIDENT JENKINS

NOES –

ABSENT –

ABSTENTION

ATTEST: _____
ASHA REED
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the
City of Oakland, California