

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERA

2012 SEP 27 AM 11:51

AGENDA REPORT

TO: DEANNA J. SANTANA CITY ADMINISTRATOR

FROM: Sara Bedford

SUBJECT: Alameda County Probation Informational

DATE: September 17, 2012

Report on AB 109

City Administrator
Approval

Date

9/19/12

.3

COUNCIL DISTRICT: Citywide

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Public Safety Committee accept this informational report and oral presentation from the Alameda County Probation Department on the current status of AB 109 the Criminal Justice Realignment Process.

OUTCOME

This report will provide Public Safety Committee with AB 109 update and information on how Alameda County is partnering with City of Oakland-Department of Human Services/Community Housing Services Division and Measure Y Division on AB 109.

SUMMARY

The Alameda County Department of Probation was invited to address the City Council's Public Safety Committee to update the Councilmembers on the current status of criminal justice realignment in Alameda County and Oakland, commonly referred to by its enacting legislation, AB 109. The Chief of Probation and Deputy Chief of Probation for Adult Services will be making an oral presentation regarding the individuals now residing in Oakland and Alameda County who are under community supervision by the Probation Department who were formerly under state supervision. Attached for your information are two one-page summaries describing the characteristics and current status of the individuals who fall under AB 109.

Respectfully submitted,

Sara Bedford, Interim Director Department of Human Services

ATTACHMENTS:

A - Alameda County Probation Department PRCS Demographics October 201i - August 2012

B - Alameda County Probation Department PRCS Status October 2011 – August 2012

Item: Public Safety Committee
October 9, 2012




LADONNA HARRIS Acting Chief Probation Officer

ALAMEDA COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Monthly Post-Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Profile Update October 2011 through August 2012

PRCS Client Demographic Profile & Offense History





-ACPD has received 763 cases from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) since Oct. 2011 when AB109 went into effect. The number of cases received in December 2011 peaked at 143 and has continued to decline since then with a slight rise in May.

On average, ACPD received about 69 new RRCS clients a month.


City of Release for PRCS Clients				
City	#	%		
Oakland	312	50%		
Hayward	69	11%		
San Lean dro	: .32	5%		
Fremont	31	5%		
Berkeley	28	. 4%		
Newark	16:	3%		
Union City	13	2%]		
Alameda		2%		
Livermore	10	2%		
San Lorenzo	10	2%		
Castro Valley	8	1%		
Pleasanton 🔠	- 16	1%		
Düblin	4	1%		
Stockton	3	<1%		
Other	70	11%		
Total	623	100%		

=104 (17%) active PRCS clients were identified as being homeless upon initial release from custody by the CDCR.

The ma	ajority of active PRCS clients:
	African-American,(60%)
, ,	Male (91%)
. 40	Between the ages of 26 – 35 (37%)
:₹ • .	Initially released to the city of Oakland (50%)
1. *•	"Average df 8 prior convictions
•	Spent less than 1 year incarcerated by CDCR (63%)


PRCS Client Race & Gender n = 623		Current Age of RRCS Clients n = 623		
Asian 4% 2% \		18-25 Years	104	17%
Afficación	26-35 Years	.230	37%	
	Male	36-45 Years	152	.24%
	46-55 Years	100:	16%	
	56-65 Years	, ،33	5%:	
		66-75 Years	4	1%
		Total	623	100%

PRCS Client Prior Conviction History n = 623



Average Days in CDCR Custody for PRCS Clients n = 623				
Less than 1 year	53%			
1-2 years	24%			
.2-5 years	12%			
. '5'years + 📏	1%			

Service Needs among PRCS Clients n = 238



-PRCS clients have multiple needs.
The mpst common need identified among the PRCS population is workforce development (78%).
Educational needs were identified for 39% of the population, and 17% of clients were identified as having housing needs. 49% of clients had needs for additional services.

Data Source: ACPD Data Analysis Research & Reporting Team (DARRT) for further information please



LADONNA HARRIS Acting Chief Probation Officer

ALAMEDA COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Monthly Post-Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Caseload Update October 2011 – August 2012

PRCS Caseload Data Oct. 2011 – Au	ıgu st 2012
Total Cases Received	860
Tc tal Inactive Cases/Warrant Bank	13
Total Cases Closed	128
Total Pending Cases	96
Early Termination at: 6 mbnths	24
Early Termination at 1 Year	0
Transfers out of Alamedia County	.55
Transfers into Alameda County	-80
Total Active Cases	. 6 2 3

Other PRCS Population Facts

- All PRCS clients are automatically classified as high risk offenders in Alameda County (minimum of one face-to-face contact per month)
- A total of 80 PRCS clients have been transferred in to Alameda County since
 October 2011.
- A total of 55 PRCS clients have been transferred out of Alameda County since October 2011.

Violations for Active PRCS Clients

Total Cases Actively Supervised in the Community

- Total currently active = 623
- Average caseload size per.D.P.O. = 62 Cases

Violations Filed on PRCS Clients since Oct. 2011

- Total yiolations filed to date = 228
- Total filed for New Arrests = 82
- Total filed for *No Show = 75
- Total filed for **AWOL = 64
- Total filea fbr New Arrests/No Show ≥7


-Out of 623 active PRCS cases, 518 (83%) have had NO yiblations filed to date, while the remaining 105 (17%), have had at least one violation

-Out of 623 active PRCS clients, 554 (89%) have had NO arrest violations filed to date, while the remaining 69 (11%) have had at least one arrest violation.

*No Show violations: Client never reported for their first appointment.

**AWOL violations: Client stopped reporting sometime after their first appointment

Percent of Violation Types




Violations:for?New.Arrests

- Of the total active caseload (n= 623), there were 89 yiolations filed for new arrests. 71% of the violations filed for new arrests were felony level offenses, while 29% were for misdemeanors.
- The majority of PRCS clients

 were re-arrested for property

 offenses (25%), offenses against
 persons (21%), and weapons
 offenses (9%). The remaining
 offense types were for offenses
 against the public (7%) and
 "Other" offenses, (9%).

Percent of Offense Types for New Arrest Violations



Charge Types of Violations filed on PRCS Clients					
for New Arrests since Oct. 2011 n = 89					
Offense Type	#	%			
Drugs	20	. :22%			
Auto Theft	8	9%			
Weapons	8,	9%			
Burglary	' 7	8%			
Robbery 1 Collection of the State of the Sta	7	8%			
Resisting Arrest	3. 4	.5%.			
Theft ' 、	4	5%			
DV Threats	.4	5%			
Misdemeanor Assault	3	3%			
Driving while intoxicated	2	2%			
Possession of Stolen Property		2%			
Drunk in Public	. 2	2%			
Hit and Run		. 2%			
Sex Offenses	2	2%			
Forgery	1	. 1%			
'Felony Assault	1	1%			
Attempted Murder 🔭 😭 🗀	1	1%			
Other Misdemeanor	, 11	12%			
Total	89	100%			

Data Source: ACPD Data Analysis Research & Reporting Team (DARRT) for further information please