## CITY OF OAKLAND FILED OAKLAND CARLAND

2011 SEP 15 PM 1: 07

TO:

Office of the City Administrator

ATTN:

Deanna J. Santana

FROM:

Finance and Management Agency

DATE:

September 27, 2011

RE: RESOLUTION AWARDING A CONTRACT TO FRANCISCO ELECTRIC, A CORPORATION, FOR THE PURCHASE OF STREET LIGHTING AND TRAFFIC SIGNAL STANDARDS IN THE ANNUAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$835,000.00) FOR THE PERIOD OF OCTOBER 1, 2011 TO OCTOBER 31, 2012 PER REQUEST FOR QUOTATION 1773-02, SPECIFICATION NO. 11-550-85-03 AND THE GENERAL CONDITIONS THEREFOR ON FILE IN THE PURCHASING DIVISION AND ACCORDING TO THE CONTRACTOR'S BID SUBMITTED JULY 20, 2011

#### **SUMMARY**

A resolution has been prepared authorizing the City Administrator to award a contract to Francisco Electric Supply, a certified small local business enterprise, to provide Street Lighting and Traffic Signal Standards, for a one-year period in the annual amount not to exceed eight hundred thirty five thousand dollars (\$835,000.00) beginning October 1, 2011 to October 31, 2012 with the option to extend the contract for an additional two-years, one-year at a time, in accordance with the terms and conditions in Request For Quotation (RFQ) 1773-02, Specification No. 11-550-85-03. The Public Works Agency, Electrical Services Division will manage this contract.

#### FISCAL IMPACT

The purchase of street lighting and traffic signal standards will be funded by various capital and maintenance projects and will be encumbered by the requesting departments on an as-needed basis. The lowest responsible bidder is Francisco Electric Supply, a certified small local business enterprise that received the local and small local vendor preferences. There are no additional costs to the City for these preferences.

#### BACKGROUND

RFQ 841 was sent to five companies, and advertised in the City's official newspaper in accordance with Oakland Municipal Code (OMC) 2.04.050. Bids were received and opened on December 20, 2010. It was during this time that staff discovered that due to the volatility of the steel market, vendors were unwilling to enter into a long term contract. Staff recommends that

	Item:
Finance and Ma	nagement Committee
	September 27, 2011

all bids received for RFQ 841 be rejected. Consequently, staff has revised the specifications and rebid the requirements under RFQ 1773. However, prior to the award of RFQ 1773, Purchasing Section staff discovered that the City's official newspaper failed to advertise the notice inviting bids in accordance with OMC 2.04.050 as submitted, due to personnel changes. Staff recommends that all bids received in response to RFQ 1773 be rejected to allow for proper advertising and therefore, comply with the bidding requirement.

On July 12, 2011, RFQ 1773-02 for Street Lighting and Traffic Signal Standards was sent to five prospective bidders: one small local business enterprise, two non-local business enterprises, and two local business enterprises. On July 20, 2011, the City received two bids from, one small local business enterprise, and one non-local business enterprise. Based on the information provided in response to RFQ 1773-02, Francisco Electric Supply was deemed the lowest responsible bidder. RFQ 1773-02 was advertised in accordance with OMC 2.04.050.

#### **KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS**

The Public Works Agency, Electrical Services Division, is responsible for the installation and maintenance of City street lights and traffic signals. This contract is required to allow staff to replace deteriorated and damaged standards. This contract will supply standards for new, modified traffic signals, utility under-grounding projects, and citywide Street Lighting Improvement and Traffic Maintenance Projects.

The Public Works Agency, Electrical Services Division maintains a 90-day stock of material sufficient to expedite projects at anytime. Material is ordered as necessary to replenish stock and in anticipation of planned projects. This material is stored at the Municipal Service Center.

#### SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: This vendor has a valid City of Oakland business tax license. The award of this contract will yield approximately \$10,200.00 in business tax revenues to the City of Oakland.

Environmental: Lighting and traffic signal standards are manufactured from metals that are fully recyclable. They can be dismantled and turned in for scrap metal in keeping with City's Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Policy. Applicable finishes such as enamel or powder coatings are compliant with Environmental Protection Agency standards for being non-volatile and non-toxic.

Social Equity: There are no social equity opportunities affiliated with this report.

#### DISABILITY AND SENIOR CITIZEN ACCESS

The street standards contain a traffic control mechanism that chirps to alert the disabled when it is safe to cross the street.

Item:
Finance and Management Committee
September 27, 2011

#### RECOMMENDATION(S) AND RATIONALE

Staff recommends that the bids received for RFQ 841 and RFQ 1773 be rejected.

Staff further recommends that the City Council award a contract to Francisco Electric Supply, a corporation, for a one-year period in the annual amount not to exceed eight hundred thirty five thousand dollars (\$835,000.00) beginning October 1, 2011 to October 31, 2012, for Street Lighting And Traffic Signal Standards, with the option to extend the contract for two additional years in accordance with the terms and condifions of RFQ 1773-02.

#### ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff recommends that the City Council reject all bids received in response to RFQ 841 and RFQ 1773, and approve the resolution awarding a contract to Francisco Electric Supply, a corporation, in response to RFQ 1773-02.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH T. YEW, Ir.
Finance Director/City Treasurer

Prepared by:

JIMMIE D. JACKSON

Purchasing Supervisor

Reviewed by:

GREGORIA M. TORRES

Assistant Controller

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE FINANCE AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE:

OFFICE OF THÉ CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Item: \_\_\_\_\_

Finance and Management Committee September 27, 2011

RFQ1177332		Bidder	Number I	Bidderi	Number}2	Bidder	lumber}\$	BidderiNu	mber/4	Bldder)	Number 3
Vendor		Franciso	co Electric	JAM S	Services	City Elect	ric Supply	Ameron Pole Pro	ducts Division	Wyse	Lighting
		Quot	e 3037	Quot	e 3036	No Re	sponse	Oakland CA Oak		No Response Oakland CA	
Location 16		Qakla	and CA	Livem	nore CA	San Lea	andro CA				
Telephone		510,5	33,0948		55.5267	510.35	2.8460			510.8	32.6888
Small local vendor	?		/es		no	r	10				no 🏅
Oakland vendor	22 27 27 27	3	/es		no	r	10	no		<u> </u>	/es
		<b>建设有效的数据</b>	Appropriate Company	<b>新型的工作的</b>		海海洲海海	<b>数性记载数符 以</b> 在	er in Pagain	radio Gillar Sens	Company of the Control	2002/10/06/2019
line.	i quantity.	unit cost	(totál	unit cost	total	and unit cost	total	unit cost	(lotal E	unit cost	Englished
1 Item 101	100	\$730.00	\$73,000.00	\$964.00	\$96,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2 Item 101	50	\$659.00	\$32,950.00	\$731.00	\$36,550,00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Item 103	25	\$745.00	\$18,625.00	\$985.00	\$24,625.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Item 104	25	\$659.00	\$16,475.00	\$752.00	\$18,800.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 .
Item 105	10	\$883.00	\$8,830.00	\$996.00	\$9,960.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
item 106	30	\$933.00	\$27,990.00	\$1,039.00	\$31,170.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7 Item 107	10	\$730.00	\$7,300.00	\$939.00	\$9,390.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 ltem 108	10	\$605.00	\$6,050.00	\$726.00	\$7,260.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Item 109	300	\$149.00	\$44,700.00	\$318.00	\$95,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
to 🛍 Item 110	100	\$107.00	\$10,700.00	\$232.00	\$23,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
11 ltem 111	1	\$390.00	\$390.00	\$950.00	\$950.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12ﷺ Item 112	10	\$2,969.00	\$29,690.00	\$4,045.00	\$40,450.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
13 Item 113	5	\$2,770.00	\$13,650.00	\$3,545.00	\$17,725.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	. \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14∰ Item 114	2	\$3,429.00	<b>\$6,858</b> .00	\$3,916.00	\$7,832.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 tem 115	5	\$2,289.00	\$11,445.00	\$2,401.00	\$12,005.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0,00	\$0.00
16 kem 116	5	\$3,167.00	\$15,835.00	\$2,531.00	\$12,655.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0,00 `
17.# Item 117	10	\$1,067.00	\$10,670.00	\$1,094.00	\$10,940.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 .
18 ltem 118	100	\$281.00	\$28,100.00	\$299.00	\$29,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
19 ltem 119	100	\$283.00	\$28,300.00	\$301.00	\$30,100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
20 🗱 Item 120	100	\$311.00	\$31,100.00	\$330.00	\$33,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
21 item 121	100	\$290.00	\$29,000.00	\$309.00	\$30,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
22 ltem 122	100	\$171.00	\$17,100.00	\$182.00	\$18,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0,00	\$0.00	\$0,00
23 ttem 123	100	\$173.00	\$17,300.00	\$184.00	\$18,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
24 🥳 Item 124	100	\$176.00	\$17,600.00	\$186.00	\$18,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
258 Item 125	100	\$181.00	\$18,100.00	\$192.00	\$19,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
26 kg Item 126	10	\$848.00	\$8,480.00	\$919.00	\$9,190.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0,00	\$0.00	\$0.00
27/2 Item 127	10	\$1,113.00	\$11,130.00	\$1,206.00	\$12,060.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
28 item 128	50	\$891.00	\$44,550.00	\$1,206.00	\$60,300.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
29 tem 129	50	\$891.00	\$44,550.00	\$984.00	\$49,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
30 tem 130	50	\$891.00	\$44,550.00	\$984.00	\$49,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0,00	\$0.00	\$0.00 ;
31 ltem 131	50	\$1,202.00	\$60,100.00	\$1,329.00	\$66,450.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
32 kg Item 132	20	\$1,096.00	\$21,920.00	\$1,025.00	\$20,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	. \$0.00
33 <b>2</b> Item 133	20	\$1,096.00	\$21,920.00	\$1,025.00	\$20,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
34 <b>B</b> Item 134	20	\$654.00	\$13,080.00	\$708.00	\$14,160.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
35 ltem 135	20	\$956.00	\$19,120.00	\$1,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
36 ltem 136	20	\$956.00	\$19,120.00	\$1,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
37 Item 137	20	\$1,005.00	\$20,100.00	\$1,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
38 Item 138	20	\$1,401.00	\$28,020.00	\$1,518.00	\$30,360.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
39 Item 139	20	\$1,337.00	\$26,740.00	\$1,449.00	\$28,980.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
(40) Item 140	20	\$1,225.00	\$24,500.00	\$1,167.00	\$23,340.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
41 Item 141	20	\$1,337.00	\$26,740.00	\$1,449.00	\$28,980.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 ,



	_										
42 Item 142	25	\$2,072.00	\$51,800.00	\$2,245.00	\$56,125.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 i
43 ttem 143	25	\$627.00	\$15,675.00	\$596.00	\$14,950.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
44 Item 144	25	\$3,421.00	\$85,525.00	\$3,711.00	\$92,775.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
45 Item 145	25	\$6,329.00	\$158,225.00	\$6,987.00	\$174,675.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
46 Item 146	5	\$38,000.00	\$190,000.00	\$42,000.00	\$210,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00,	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
47 Ilem 147	25	\$4,969.00	\$124,225.00	\$5,461.00	\$137,025.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
(48) Ilem 148	25	\$821.00	\$20,525.00	\$760.00	\$19,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 .	\$0.00	\$0.00
49 Ilem 149	25	\$3,479.00	\$86,975.00	\$3,836.00	\$95,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
50 Item 150	25	\$5,479.00	\$138,975.00	\$6,042.00	\$151,050.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
51 Item 151	1	\$1,100.00	\$1,100.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
SHIPPING								"			
CALCULA CALCULA	TIONS						W. P. LANCON CO.			6 (4)	
SUB	TOTAL		\$ 1,827,603.00	Section 1	\$ 2,079,332.00		Signatur		<b>S</b> -	***	S
# 9.75% SALE	STAX		15 7 178 191 29		\$ 202,734.87		\$ 190		S &	tion of the s	S
SMALL LOCAL VE	NDOR		\$ (50,144.86)		rs & Area -		18.42		S**		\$
OAKLAND VE	NDOR		\$ (50.144.86)		Silv		\$		\$	e a e	\$
EVALUATION	William Charles		\$ 1,905,504.58		\$ 2,282,066.87		<b>S</b> -		S -		\$ -
GRAND	The bridge of the Park		\$2,005,794.29		\$2,282,066.87		\$ 20.00		. Stephale - 1867		<b>\$</b> 2023 25-5
Property of the Control of the Contr	and the second	さんかいぶんけんか ひととかんり	A-100011011FO	海泉 化二氯化氢烷酸甲烷酸	A-1-0-1000.01	TO STATE OF COLUMN AND ASSESSMENT	L M 12.1 (M) (1)	ON A COLOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	$3x + (0.33) \times (0.10) \times (0.35)$	えつもく だいがき たくぎょ かくりんがん	B. W. Bernett St. No. 1

-

#### CITY OF OAKLAND PURCHASING SECTION AWARD RECOMMENDATION STATEMENT



S. VIERTEL	PURCHASI	NG/ACQUISITION/CON	ITRACT: Street Lighting A	nd Traffic Signal	Standards			
COUNCIL AGENDA DATE:		ATION/PROJECT NO.:			BID OPENING DATE:			
SEPTEMBER 13, 2011		-550-85-03		andscaping and Lighting JUNE 30, 3				
·	RF	Q 1773-02	Assessment District Fur					
			2310, Electrical Mainter	nance				
			Organization 30522, Electrical and Plumbing					
			Supplies Account 52511					
	PREVIOUS	LEGISLATION: COUN	NCIL RESOLUTION 79805		21, 2006			
			R 1-2011 to OCTOBER 3					
	extensio	ns.			,			
PURPOSE STATEMENT: To pr	ovide the C	ity of Oakland wi	th Standard Street Light	ing and Traffic Si	gnals as			
requested by the Public			vices Division.					
			PREVIOUS	4.555.014.5	DEBCENT			
ITEM	NO./DESCRIPT	ION	CONTRACT AMOUNT	ANNUAL ESTIMATED COST	PERCENT DIFFERANCE			
	<u></u> ,							
Street Lighting And Traff	fic Signal St	andards	340,000.00	835,000.00 59.28%				
				j				
			Electric, a certified small I	•	ived the local			
COMMENTARY: The recco		preferences. The	ere are no additional cost	•	ved the local			
and small local business	enterprise	preferences. The RANGE OF BI	ere are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED	s to the Ciry.	ived the local			
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECEIVED/DOLLAR	enterprise	preferences. The RANGE OF BI	ere are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION	s to the Ciry.	ived the local			
and small local business	enterprise	preferences. The RANGE OF BI	ere are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION 52,005,794.29	s to the Ciry.	ived the local			
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECeiveD/DOLLAR \$2,005,794.29	enterprise	RANGE OF BI RANGE OF BI E W BIDS NOT MEE	ere are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION S2,005,794.29 TING SPEGIFICATION	ON/DOLLAR RANGE	ved the local			
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECEIVED/DOLLAR \$2,005,794.29  Vendor/contractor	R RANGE LO REASON	RANGE OF BI RANGE OF BI E W BIDS NOT MEE	ere are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION S2,005,794.29 TING SPEGIFICATION RECOMMENDATION ON REJECTION	ON/DOLLAR RANGE	ived the local			
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECeiveD/DOLLAR \$2,005,794.29	enterprise	RANGE OF BI RANGE OF BI E W BIDS NOT MEE	ere are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION S2,005,794.29 TING SPEGIFICATION	ON/DOLLAR RANGE	ived the local			
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECEIVED/DOLLAR \$2,005,794.29  Vendor/contractor	R RANGE LO REASON	RANGE OF BI RANGE OF BI E W BIDS NOT MEE	ere are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION S2,005,794.29 TING SPEGIFICATION RECOMMENDATION ON REJECTION	ON/DOLLAR RANGE	ived the local			
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECeiveD/DOLLAR \$2,005,794.29  Vendor/contractor N/A	R RANGE LO REASON	RANGE OF BI RANGE OF BI B W BIDS NOT MEE	Pre are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION 52,005,794.29 TING SPEGIFICATION RECOMMENDATION ON REJECTION	ON/DOLLAR RANGE	ived the local			
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECEIVED/DOLLAR \$2,005,794.29  Vendor/contractor	enterprise  R RANGE  LO  REASON N/A	RANGE OF BI  W BIDS NOT MEE  AWARD REC	ere are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION S2,005,794.29 TING SPEGIFICATION RECOMMENDATION ON REJECTION	ON/DOLLAR RANGE				
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECEIVED/DOLLAR \$2,005,794.29  VENDOR/CONTRACTOR N/A  VENDOR NAME/ADDRE	R RANGE LO REASON N/A ESS	RANGE OF BI  W BIDS NOT MEE  AWARD REC	Pre are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION 52,005,794.29 TING SPEGIFICATION RECOMMENDATION ON REJECTION WA	ON/DOLLAR RANGE				
and small local business  TOTAL BIDS RECEIVED/DOLLAR \$2,005,794.29  Vendor/Contractor N/A	R RANGE LO REASON N/A ESS	RANGE OF BI  RANGE OF BI  W BIDS NOT MEE  AWARD REC	Pre are no additional cost IDS RECEIVED BIDS WHICH MEET SPECIFICATION 52,005,794.29 TING SPEGIFICATION RECOMMENDATION ON REJECTION WA	ON/DOLLAR RANGE	NUAL AMOUNT			

SUBMITTED BY

#### APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO COUNCIL

DEPARTMENT HEAD		OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR						
TY		LOWEST B	ID BY CATEGORY					
BID CALL	TOTAL	LOCAL BUSINESS	NON-LOCAL					
ASK	5	3	2					
NO RESPONSE	3	2	1					
BIDS RECEIVED	2	1	1					
AWARDS RECOMMENDED	1	1-\$835,000.00	0					

OFFICE OF THE CITY GLERA

ABSTENTION -

# Approved as to Form and Legality Oakland City Attorney's Office

### 2011 SEP 15 PH 1: 07 OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NOC.M.S.
RESOLUTION AWARDING A CONTRACT TO FRANCISCO ELECTRIC, A CORPORATION, FOR THE PURCHASE OF STREET LIGHTING AND TRAFFIC SIGNAL STANDARDS IN THE ANNUAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$835,000.00) FOR THE PERIOD OF OCTOBER 1, 2011 TO OCTOBER 31, 2012 PER REQUEST FOR QUOTATION 1773-02, SPECIFICATION NO. 11-550-85-03 AND THE GENERAL CONDITIONS THEREFOR ON FILE IN THE PURCHASING DIVISION AND ACCORDING TO CONTRACTOR'S BID SUBMITTED JULY 20, 2011
WHEREAS, staff previously conducted two unsuccessful request for quotation (RFQ) processes for vendors to submit pricing for the purchase and supply of street lighting and traffic signal standards under RFQ 841 and RFQ 1773 for a term contract; and
WHEREAS, staff conducted a successful solicitation process under RFQ 1773-02 for these products in July and Francisco Electric Supply was deemed the lowest responsive, responsible bidder with a bid of eight hundred thirty five thousand dollars (\$835,000.00), annually; and
WHEREAS, the Council must reject bids submitted in response to RFQ 841 because bidders took exception to the contract period due to the volatility of the steel market, and RFQ 1773 because it was not properly advertised; now, therefore be it,
RESOLVED: That the Council hereby rejects all bids received in response to RFQ 841 and RFQ 1773 and be it
FURTHER RESOLVED: That a contract for the purchase and furnishing of Street Lighting And Traffic Signal Standards to the City of Oakland in an annual amount not to exceed eight hundred thirty five thousand dollars (\$835,000.00) from October 1, 2011 to October 31, 2012, in accordance with RFQ 1773-02 and the general conditions therefor on file in the Purchasing Section is hereby awarded to Francisco Electric Supply, according to its bid filed with the City on July 20, 2011; and, be it
FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Council hereby authorizes the City Administrator to extend all or part of the contract for an additional two-year period, one-year at a time, at the same prices, terms and conditions.
IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,, 2011
PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:
AYES - BRUNNER, KERNIGHAN, NADEL, SCHAAF, DE LA FUENTE, BROOKS, KAPLAN, AND PRESIDENT REID  ABSENT –

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California

ATTEST: