CITY OF OAKLAND

AGENDA REPORT

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERA
OAKLAND

2009 JUL -2 AM 9: 59

TO:

Office of the City Administrator

ATTN:

Dan Lindheim

FROM:

Community and Economic Development Agency

DATE:

July 14, 2009

RE:

Resolution Awarding A Construction Contract To The Lowest Responsive, Responsible Bidder, Andes Construction, Inc. For The On Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Projects (Project No. C329113) In Accord With Any Plans And Specifications For the Project And Contractor's Bid In The Amount Not-To-Exceed Two Hundred Seventy-Six Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$276,750.00)

SUMMARY

A resolution has been prepared authorizing the City Administrator to award a construction contract in the amount of \$276,750.00 to Andes Construction, Inc. for the On Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Projects (Project No. C329113). The work to be completed under this project is part of the City's sanitary sewer emergency response program and includes emergency sewer main repairs and unscheduled sewer rehabilitation in advance of street resurfacing.

FISCAL IMPACT

Approval of this resolution will authorize the City Administrator to award an on call construction contract to Andes Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$276,750.00. Funding for this project is available in

• Sewer Service Fund (3100); Capital Project – Sanitary Sewer Design Organization (92244); Sewers Account (57417); Project C329113; \$276,750.00.

This project will rehabilitate existing sewer pipes, reduce rain-related sewer overflows, and help reduce the demand for sanitary sewer maintenance.

BACKGROUND

On April 16, 2009, the City Clerk received two bids for this project in the amounts of \$276,750.00 and \$333,825.00 as shown in *Attachment A*. The lowest bidder, Andes Construction, Inc., is deemed responsive and responsible, and therefore is recommended for the award. The Engineer's estimate for the work is \$250,000.00.

Item:	
Public Works	Committee
Ju	ly 14, 2009

Under the proposed contract with Andes Construction, Inc., LBE/SLBE participation of \$276,750.00 (100%) exceeds the City's 20% LBE/SLBE requirement. The contractor shows \$5,000.00 (100%) for trucking exceeding the 20% Local Trucking requirement. The contractor received 5% credit for LBE/SLBE preference, or \$13,838.00. The contractor is required to have 50% of the work hours performed by Oakland residents, and 50% of all new hires are to be Oakland residents. The LBE/SLBE information has been verified by the Contract Compliance Division of the Department of Contracting and Purchasing, and is shown in *Attachment B*.

KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS

Construction is scheduled for one calendar year and shall start from the date of the Notice to Proceed. The contract specifies \$1,000.00 in liquidated damages per working day if the contract completion time is exceeded. The On Call contract allows the City to be highly responsive to unplanned and emergency sewer repair needs. The project schedule is shown in *Attachment A*.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

In general, this project will provide for emergency repairs of the sanitary sewers, rehabilitation or replacement of sewer structures, and other related work throughout Oakland.

EVALUATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE

The Contractor Performance Evaluation for Andes Construction, Inc. from a previously completed project is included as *Attachment C*.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: The contractor is required to have 50% of the work hours performed by Oakland residents, and 50% of all new hires are to be Oakland residents.

Environmental: The replacement of the sanitary sewers will eliminate the possibility of sewer leakage and overflows and thus prevent potential harm to groundwater resources and the bay. The contractor will be required to make every effort to reuse clean fill materials and use recyclable concrete and asphalt products. Best Management Practices for the protection of storm water runoff during construction will be required.

Social Equity: This project is part of the citywide program to eliminate wastewater overflows thereby benefiting all Oakland residents.

DISABILITY AND SENIOR CITIZEN ACCESS

There is no direct impact or benefit to seniors or people with disabilities. Access during construction will be maintained.

Item: Public Works Committee
July 14, 2009

RECOMMENDATION AND RATIONALE

It is recommended that the construction contract be awarded to Andes Construction, Inc., the lowest responsive responsible bidder, in the amount of \$276,750.00 for the On Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Projects (Project No. C329113). Andes Construction, Inc. has met the LBE/SLBE requirements, and there are sufficient funds in the project account.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

Walter S. Cohen, Director

Community and Economic Development Agency

Reviewed by:

Michael Neary, P.E., Deputy Director,

CEDA, Department of Engineering and Construction

Prepared by:

Allen Law, P.E., Supervising Civil Engineer

Engineering Design & R.O.W. Management Division

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:

Office of the City Administrator

Item: Public Works Committee
July 14, 2009

Attachment A

On Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Projects (Project No. C329113)

List of Bidders

Company	Location	Bid Amount
Andes Construction, Inc.	Oakland	\$276,750.00
Casey Construction	Emerald Hills	\$333,825.00

Project Schedule

ID	Task Name	Start	Finish			2009				2010			
	,			Qtr 3	···	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4
1	Project No. C329113	Mon 12/8/08	Thu 7/15/10		<u> </u>	(į		₩.	
2	Pre-Design	Mon 12/8/08	Wed 12/17/08		Ğ,	_							
3	Design	Thu 12/18/08	Tue 3/10/09		ě		.		-				,
4	Bid/Award	Wed 3/11/09	Tue 7/14/09					2					5
5	Construction	Wed 7/15/09	Thu 7/15/10			al mercanical and an article and article article and article article and article article article and article artic						3	1
										1			
	*												1

Attachment B

Contract Compliance Review

Memo



Department of Contracting and Purchasing Social Equity Division

To:

Gunawan Santoso - Project Manager

From

Sophany Hang - Acting Contract Compliance Officer

Through:

Deborah Barnes - DC & P Director

Shelley Darensburg - Sr. Contract Compliance Officer 3. Quantum

CC:

Gwen McCormick - Contract Administrator Supervisor

Date:

May 6, 2009

Re:

C329113- On-Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Projects FY 08-09

The Department of Contracting and Purchasing (DC&P), Division of Social Equity, reviewed two (2) bids in response to the above referenced project. Below is the outcome of the compliance evaluation for the minimum 20% Local and Small Local Business Enterprise (L/SLBE) participation requirement, a preliminary review for compliance with the Equal Benefits Ordinance (EBO), and a brief overview of the lowest responsible bidder's compliance with the 50% Local Employment Program (LEP) and the 15% Oakland Apprenticeship Program on the bidder's most recently completed City of Oakland project.

Respo	nsive	Pr	Proposed Participation			Earned Credits and Discounts			its	ınt?
Company Name	Original Bid Amount	Total LBE/SLBE	LBE	SLBE	Trucking	Total Credited participation	Earned Bid Discounts	Adjusted Bid Arnount	Banked Credits Eligibility	EBO Compliant? Y/N
Andes Construction	\$276,750	100%	0.36%	99.64%	100%	100%	5%	\$262,913	2%	Y

Comments: As noted above, Andes Construction met and/or exceeded the minimum 20% L/SLBE participation requirement. The firm is EBO compliant.

Non-Resp	onsive	Pro	posed Pa	rticipation	1	Earr	ied Cr Disco	edits and unts	its	ınt?
Company Name	Original Bid Amount	Total LBE/SLBE	LBE	SLBE	Trucking	Total Credited participation	Earned Bid Discounts	Adjusted Bid Amount	Banked Credits Eligibility	EBO Compliant?
Casey Construction, Inc.	\$333,825	0%	0%	0%	NA .	0%	0%	\$0	0%	N

Comments: As noted above, Casey Construction, Inc. failed to meet the minimum 20% L/SLBE participation requirement. Therefore, they are deemed non-responsive.



For Informational Purposes

Listed below is the lowest responsible bidder's compliance with the 50% Local Employment Program (LEP) and the 15% Oakland Apprenticeship Program for the lowest bidder's most recently completed City of Oakland project.

Contractor Name: Andes Construction

Project Name: Rehab of Sanitary Sewers in an Area Bounded by Blair Avenue & wood

Drive.

Project No: C282870

50% Local Employment Program (LEP)

Was the 50% LEP Goal achieved?	Yes	If no, shortfall hours?	NA.
Were all shortfalls satisfied?	Yes	If no, penalty amount	NA

15% Oakland Apprenticeship Program

Was the 15% Apprenticeship Goal achieved?	Yes	If no. shortfall hours?	NA.
Was are 1370 Applemicesing Goal achieved:	163	It ito, shortant nodisi	. MA
Were shortfalls satisfied?	Yes	If no, penalty amount?	NA

The spreadsheet below provides details of the 50% LEP and 15% Apprenticeship Programs. Information provided includes the following data: A) total project hours, B) core workforce hours deducted, C) LEP project employment and work hour goal; D) LEP employment and work hours achieved; E)# resident new hires; F) shortfall hours; G) percent LEP compliance; H) total apprentice hours; I) apprenticeship goal and hours achieved; and J) Apprentice shortfall hours.

		50% Local Employment Program (LEP)									enticeship	Program
Total Project Hours	Core Workforce Hours Deducted	LEP Project	Employment and Work Hours Goal	LEP Employment and	Work Hours Achieved	# Resident New Hires	Shortfall Hours	% LEP Compliance	Total Oakland Apprenticeship Hours Achieved	Annenticachin	Goal and Hours	Apprentice Shortfall Hours
A	В	Goal	C Hours	Goal	D Hours	E	F	G	Н	Goal	I Hours	J
4671	2336	50%	2336	100%	4671	0	0	100%	701	15%	701	0

Comments: Andes Construction exceeded the Local Employment Program's 50% resident hiring goal with 100% resident employment and met the 15% Oakland Apprenticeship Program goals with 351 on-site hours and 351 off-site hours.

Should you have any questions, you may contact Sophany Hang at (510) 238-3723.

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NO.: C329113

PR	ROJECT NAME: On-C	all Sanitary Sewers	Emergen	cy Proje	ct FY 08-09	
<u> </u>	CONTRACTOR: Ande	s Construction	***************************************			
<u>Engin</u>	eer's Estimate: \$250,000	Contractors' Bid \$276,75			Over/Under Engineer's (\$26,750)	Estimate
<u>Discounte</u>	d Bid Amount: \$262,913	Amount of Bid D \$13,838			Discount Points: 5%	
•	1. Did the 20% req		<u>YES</u>			
	2. Did the contracto	or meet the 20% req	uirement?		<u>YES</u>	
	b) % e c) % e		0.36% 99.64%	•		
	3. Did the contractor		<u>NA</u>			
•	a) To	tal SLBE/LBE truckir	ng participat	tion	100%	
	4. Did the contracto	or receive bid discou	nts?	•	<u>YES</u>	
	(If yes	s, list the percentage	received)		<u>5%</u>	
	5. Additional Comm	nents.	•	•		
			٠		, ,	
	6. Date evaluation co	empleted and returned	to Contract	Admin./Ini	tiating	
				•	5/6/2009 Date	
Reviewing Officer:	Soften H	ng	Date:	5/6	(09	
Approved By	Shellery 5	<u> Sävävisjinis</u> d	Date:	5/6/5	<u> </u>	2

LBE/SLBE PARTICIPATION

BIDDER 1

Project No.	: C329113	Engi	ineers Est:	250,	000	Under/Ove	r Engineer	s Estimate:				
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total LBE/SLBE	L/SLBE Trucking	Total Trucking	TOTAL Dollars	For Ethn.	Tracking (Only WB
Prime	Andes Construction	Oakland	СВ		270,750	270,750			270,750	Н	270,750	_
Saw Cutting	Bay Line	Oakland	СВ	1,000		1,000]]	1,000	Н	1,000	
Trucking	Irvin Trucking (Oakland	СВ		5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	AA	5,000	ļ
•				;		,	_					
											·	
•												
	Proje	ct Totals		\$1,000	\$275,750	\$276,750	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$276,750		\$276,750	\$0
,				0.36%	99.64%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1	100%	0%
	ents: ements is a combination of 10% LB e counted 100% towards achieving		icipation. An	LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBE/SLBE	and the same of th	BE/SLBE CKING		Al = Asiar AP = Asia	an American Indian In Pacific	
Legend LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise				UB = Uncertified Business CB = Certified Business						C = Caucasian H = Hispanic NA = Native American		
	Total LBE/SLBE = All Certified Local NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business E NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Bus	interprise	1385		• '	rity Business I nen Business I	•			O = Other NL = Not I MO = Mrd		•

DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTING AND PURCHASING



Social Equity Division

PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NO.: C329113

PROJECT NAME: On-Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Project FY 08-09

Engineer's Estimate: 250,000	Contractors' Bid Amount \$333,825	Over/Under Engineer's Estima -83,825				
Discounted Bid Amount: \$0	Amount of Bld Discount \$0	Discount Points:				
	1. Did the 20% requirements apply?					
2. Did the contract	<u>NO</u>					
	b) % of LBE participationc) % of SLBE participation					
3. Did the contractor	meet the Trucking requirement?	<u>NA</u>				
a) [°]	a) Total SLBE/LBE trucking participation					
4. Did the contract	<u>NO</u> .					
(If	yes, list the percentage received)	<u>0%</u>				

6. Date evaluation completed and returned to Contract Admin./Initiating Dept.

		5/6/2009
		Date
Reviewing Officer:	Date:	5/6/09
Approved By: Shollow Garenshum	<u>Date:</u>	5/6/09_
0	_	

LBE/SLBE PARTICIPATION

BIDDER 2

Project Name: On-Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Project FY 08-09

Project No	.: C329113	Engin	eers Est:	25	0,000	Under/O	ver Enginee	rs Estimate:	-83,825			
Discipline	Prime & Subs	Location	Cert. Status	LBE	SLBE	Total LBE/SLBE	L/SLBE Trucking	Total Trucking	TOTAL Dollars	For Ethn.	Tracking C	Only WBE
PRIME	Casey Construction, Inc.	Emerald Hills	ŲΒ	·					333,825	С		
	Projec	t Totals		\$0 0%	\$0 0%	\$0 0%	\$0 0%	\$0 0%	7,		\$0	\$0 0%
	ts: ents is a combination of 10% LBE and counted 100% towards achieving 20% r		ation. An	LBE 10%	SLBE 10%	TOTAL LBEISLBE	** * Yester	E/SLBE KING		Ethnicity AA = African American AI = Asian Indian AP = Asian Pacific		
Legend	LBE = Local Business Enterprise SLBE = Small Local Business Enterprise Total LBE/SLBE = AR Certified Local and NPLBE = NonProfit Local Business Ente- NPSLBE = NonProfit Small Local Busines	Small Local Busines	ses		UB = Uncertified Busin CB = Certified Busin MBE = Minority (WBE = Women E	ess Business Enterp				C = Caucasia; H = Hispanic NA = Native A O = Other NL = Not Liste	American	

Attachment C

Contractor Performance Evaluation

City of Oakland **Public Works Agency CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

Project Title:

KEHABILITATION OF SANITARY SEWELS AND STORM

CULVERT IN THE EXSEMENT OFF BUTTERS DRIVE

Work Order Number:

C135410

Contractor:

ANDES CONSTRUCTION

Date of Notice to Proceed:

9-11-07

Date of Notice of Completion: 12-10-97

Date of Notice of Final Completion: 12-10-07

Contract Amount:

\$ 285, 167.00

Evaluator Name and Title: Jun Osanbo, Resident Excuser

The City's Resident Engineer most familiar with the Contractor's performance must complete this evaluation and submit it to Manager, PWA Project Delivery Division. within 30 calendar days of the issuance of the Final Payment.

Whenever the Resident Engineer finds the Contractor is performing below Satisfactory for any category of the Evaluation, the Resident Engineer shall discuss the perceived performance shortfall at the periodic site meetings with the Contractor. An Interim Evaluation will be performed if at any time the Resident Engineer-finds that the overall performance of a Contractor is Marginal or Unsatisfactory. An Interim Evaluation is required prior to issuance of a Final Evaluation Rating of Unsatisfactory. The Final Evaluation upon Final Completion of the project will supersede interim ratings.

The following list provides a basic set of evaluation criteria that will be applicable to all construction projects awarded by the City of Oakland that are greater than \$50,000. Narrative responses are required to support any evaluation criteria that is rated as Marginal or Unsatisfactory, and must be attached to this evaluation. If a narrative response is required, indicate before each narrative the number of the question for which the response is being provided. Any available supporting documentation to justify any Marginal or Unsatisfactory ratings must also be attached.

If a criterion is rated Marginal or Unsatisfactory and the rating is caused by the performance of a subcontractor, the narrative will note this. The narrative will also note the General Contractor's effort to improve the subcontractor's performance.

Assessment Guidelines:

Outstanding (3 points)- Performance among the best level of achievement the City has experienced.

Satisfactory (2 points) - Performance met contractual requirements.

Marginal (1 point)- Performance barely met the lower range of the contractual requirements or performance only met contractual requirements after extensive corrective action was taken.

Unsatisfactory (0 points) - Performance did not meet contractual requirements. The contractual performance being assessed reflected serious problems for which corrective actions were ineffective.

Contractor Evaluation Form Contractor: AND & CONTRACTOR Project No. C125410

WORK PERFORMANCE	Unsatisfactor	Marginal	Satisfactory	Outstanding	Not Applicabi
Did the Contractor perform all of the work with acceptable Quality and Workmanship?			(99)		
1a If problems arose, did the Contractor provide solutions/coordinate with the designers and work proactively with the City to minimize impacts? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation.				B	ı,
Was the work performed by the Contractor accurate and complete? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment and provide documentation. Complete (2a) and (2b) below.				а	
Were corrections requested? If "Yes", specify the date(s) and reason(s) for the correction(s). Provide documentation.			Yes	No M	N⁄A □
2b If corrections were requested, did the Contractor make the corrections requested? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation.				□.	
Was the Contractor responsive to City staff's comments and concerns regarding the work performed or the work product delivered? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation.		. []		` 	
Were there other significant issues related to "Work Performance"? If Yes, explain on the attachment. Provide documentation.				Yes	No
Did the Contractor cooperate with on-site or adjacent tenants, business owners and residents and work in such a manner as to minimize disruptions to the public. If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment.					
Did the personnel assigned by the Contractor have the expertise and skills required to satisfactorily perform under the contract? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment.			1988		
Overall, how did the Contractor rate on work performance? The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions	0 .	1	2	3	
given above regarding work performance and the assessment guidelines. Check 0, 1, 2, or 3.					

Contractor: ANDES CONSTILLE

outstruction Project No. C135410

		Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Outstanding	Not Applicable	
	TIMELINESS	 			·	,	1
8	Did the Contractor complete the work within the time required by the contract (including time extensions or amendments)?	П	·				
	If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment why the work was not completed according to schedule. Provide documentation.						
9	Was the Contractor required to provide a service in accordance with an established schedule (such as for security, maintenance, custodial, etc.)? If "No", or "N/A", go to Question #8." If			Yes	No	N/A	
	"Yes", complete (9a) below.						
9a	Were the services provided within the days and times scheduled? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment and specify the dates the Contractor failed to comply with this requirement (such as tardiness, fallure to report, etc.). Provide documentation.			. 🗆 .			
	Did the Contractor provide timely baseline schedules and revisions to its construction schedule when changes occurred? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation.	ם					
	Did the Contractor fumish submittals in a timely manner to allow review by the City so as to not delay the work? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation.	D	, D	3			
	Were there other significant issues related to timeliness? If yes, explain on the attachment. Provide documentation.				Yes	No	
					··D	· 🗅	-
13	Overall, how did the Contractor rate on timeliness? The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions	0 .	.1	, 2	3		 •
	given above regarding tmeliness and the assessment guidelines. Check 0, 1, 2, or 3.						ĺ

Arrows Constituction

	FINANCIAL	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Outstanding	Not Applicable
	Were the Contractor's billings accurate and reflective of the contract payment terms? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation of occurrences and amounts (such as corrected invoices).			E5)		
15	Were there any claims to increase the contract amount? If "Yes", list the claim amount. Were the Contractor's claims resolved in a manner reasonable to the City? Number of Claims: Claim amounts: Settlement amount:\$				Yes	No F
16	Were the Contractor's price quotes for changed or additional work reasonable? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment. Provide documentation of occurrences and amounts (such as corrected price quotes).	<u> </u>		53		
17	Were there any other significant issues related to financial issues? If Yes, explain on the attachment and provide documentation.				Yes	No .
18	Overall, how did the Contractor rate on financial issues? The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions given above regarding financial issues and the assessment guidelines. Check 0, 1, 2, or 3.	0 []	1	.2	3	

Contractor Evaluation Form

Contractor: Assocs Construction

P.	· 	COMMUNICATION	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Outstanding	Not Applicable
:_	19	Was the Contractor responsive to the City's questions, requests for proposal, etc.? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment.					
l ! !	20	Did the Contractor communicate with City staff clearly and in a timely manner regarding:					
	20a	Notification of any significant issues that arose? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment.		ם		#	
· ' }	20b	Staffing issues (changes, replacements, additions, etc.)? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment.		Ü	III	□.	
		Periodic progress reports as required by the contract (both verbal and written)? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment.	□				
_	20d	Were there any billing disputes? If "Yes", explain on the attachment.				Yes	No
	. 21	Were there any other significant issues related to communication issues? Explain on the attachment. Provide documentation.				Yes	No
<u> </u>	22	Overall, how did the Contractor rate on communication issues?	n	1	2	٦.	
		The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions given above regarding communication issues and the assessment guidelines. Check 0, 1, 2, or 3.					
				-			

Contractor: ANDES CONSTRUCTION

	SAFETY	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Outstanding	Not Applicable
23	Did the Contractor's staff consistently wear personal protective equipment as appropriate? If				Yes	No
	"No", explain on the attachment.				W.	
24	Did the Contractor follow City and OSHA safety standards? If "Marginal or Unsatisfactory", explain on the attachment.			. 🗆		
25	Was the Contractor warned or cited by OSHA for violations? If Yes, explain on the attachment.				Yes	No
						
26	26. Was there an inordinate number or severity of injuries? Explain on the attachment. If				Yes	No
-	Yes, explain on the attachment.					III .
27	Was the Contractor officially warned or cited for breach of U.S. Transportation Security Administration's standards or regulations? If "Yes", explain on the attachment.				Yes	No
	Administration's standards of regulations in Tes , explain on the attachment.					
28	Overall, how did the Contractor rate on safety issues?	0	1	2	3.	
. •	The score for this category must be consistent with the responses to the questions given above regarding safety issues and the assessment guidelines.					
	Check 0, 1, 2, or 3.	لنا				

Contractor: ANDES CONSTRUCTION

Project No. <u>C13540</u>

ATTACHMENT TO CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION:

Use this sheet to provide any substantiating comments to support the ratings in the Performance Evaluation. Indicate before each narrative the number of the question for which the response is being provided. Attach additional sheets if necessary.

ANDES CONSTRUCTION

iect No C1 3546

OVERALL RATING:

Based on the weighting factors below, calculate the Contractor's overall score using the scores from the four categories above.

1. Enter Overall score from Question 7 ___3 ___ X 0.25 = __.75

2. Enter Overall score from Question 13 2 X 0.25 = ,50

3. Enter Overall score from Question 18 _____ X 0.20 = __.40

4. Enter Overall score from Question 22 ____ X 0.15 = ___ 30

5. Enter Overall score from Question 28 X 0.15 = .45

TOTAL SCORE (Sum of 1 through 5): 2:4

OVERALL RATING: SATISFACTORY

Outstanding: Greater than 2.5

Satisfactory Greater than 1.5 & less than or equal to 2.5

Marginal: Between 1.0-& 1.5

Unsatisfactory: Less than 1.0

PROCEDURE:

The Resident Engineer will prepare the Contractor Performance Evaluation and submit it to the Supervising Civil Engineer. The Supervising Civil Engineer will review the Contractor Performance Evaluation to ensure adequate documentation is included, the Resident Engineer has followed the process correctly, the Contractor Performance Evaluation has been prepared in a fair and unbiased manner, and the ratings assigned by the Resident Engineer are consistent with all other Resident Engineers using consistent performance expectations and similar rating scales.

The Resident Engineer will transmit a copy of the Contractor Performance Evaluation to the Contractor. Overall Ratings of Outstanding or Satisfactory are final and cannot be protested or appealed. If the Overall Rating is Marginal or Unsatisfactory, the Contractor will have 10 calendar days in which they may file a protest of the rating. The Public Works Agency Assistant Director, Design & Construction Services Department, will consider a Contractor's protest and render his/her determination of the validity of the Contractor's protest. If the Overall Rating is Marginal, the Assistant Director's determination will be final and not subject to further appeal. If the Overall Rating is Unsatisfactory and the protest is denied (in whole or in part) by the Assistant Director, the Contractor may appeal the Evaluation to the City Administrator, or his/her designee. The appeal must be filed within 14 calendar days of the Assistant Director's ruling on the protest. The City Administrator, or his/her designee, will hold a hearing with the Contractor within 21 calendar days of the filing of the appeal. The decision of the City Administrator regarding the appeal will be final.

Contractor Evaluation Form Contractor: ANDES CONSTITUCION Project No. C135'410

Contractors who receive an Unsatisfactory Overall Rating (i.e., Total Score less than 1.0) will be allowed the option of voluntarily refraining from bidding on any City of Oakland projects within one year from the date of the Unsatisfactory Overall Rating, or of being categorized as non-responsible for any projects the Contractor bids on for a period of one year from the date of the Unsatisfactory Overall Rating. Two Unsatisfactory Overall Ratings within any five year period will result in the Contractor being categorized by the City Administrator as non-responsible for any bids they submit for future City of Oakland projects within three years of the date of the last Unsatisfactory overall rating.

Any Contractor that receives an Unsatisfactory Overall Rating is required to attend a meeting with the City Administrator, or his/her designee, prior to returning to bidding on City projects. The Contractor is required to demonstrate improvements made in areas deemed Unsatisfactory in prior City of Oakland contracts.

The Public Works Agency Contract Administration Section will retain the final evaluation and any response from the Contractor for a period of five years. The City shall treat the evaluation as confidential, to the extent permitted by law.

COMMUNICATING THE EVALUATION: The Contractor's Performance Evaluation has been communicated to the Contractor. Signature does not signify consent or agreement.

		<u> </u>
A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUM	- (Tul	1-10-08
Contractor / Date	Resident I	Engineer / Date
0 000		
Jum Murray	1/11/2008	

Supervising Civil Engineer / Date

Contractor Evaluation Form Contractor: Project No. Project No.

FILED CLERT OAL	KLAND CITY	COUNCIL	Approved as to Fø	rm and Legality
DEFICE OF THE CHO	ion No	С.М.	S.	
- 1111 - 6	I by Councilmember			

RESOLUTION AWARDING A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT TO THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE, RESPONSIBLE BIDDER, ANDES CONSTRUCTION, INC. FOR THE ON CALL SANITARY SEWERS EMERGENCY PROJECTS (PROJECT NO. C329113) IN ACCORD WITH ANY PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE PROJECT AND CONTRACTOR'S BID IN THE AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED TWO HUNDRED SEVENTY-SIX THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS (\$276,750.00)

WHEREAS, on April 16, 2009, two bids were received by the Office of the City Clerk of the City of Oakland for the On Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Projects (Project No. C329113); and

WHEREAS, Andes Construction, Inc., a certified SLBE bidding as a prime, is the lowest responsive and responsible bidder for the project; and

WHEREAS, there are sufficient funds in the project budget for the work. Funding for this project is available in the following project account:

Sewer Service Fund (3100); Capital Projects - Sanitary Sewer Design
Organization (92244); Sewers Account (57417); Project No. C329113; \$276,750; and
these funds were specifically allocated for this project; this project will help reduce the
amount of sanitary sewer maintenance requirement; and

WHEREAS, the City lacks the equipment and qualified personnel to perform the necessary work; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds and determines that the performance of this contract is in the public interest because of economy or better performance; and

WHEREAS, Andes Construction, Inc. complies with all LBE/SLBE and trucking requirements; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds and determines that the performance of this contract shall not result in the loss of employment or salary by any person having permanent status in the competitive services; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the construction contract for the On Call Sanitary Sewers Emergency Projects (Project No. C329113) is hereby awarded to Andes Construction Inc. in accordance with the terms of its bid therefore, dated April 16, 2009, for the amount of two hundred seventy-six thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$276,750.00); and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the plans and specifications prepared by the Deputy Director of the Community and Economic Development Agency for this project are hereby approved; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the amount of the bond for faithful performance, \$276,750.00, and the amount for a bond to guarantee payment of all claims for labor and materials furnished and for the amount due under the Unemployment Insurance Act, \$276,750.00, with respect to such work are hereby approved; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator is hereby authorized to enter into a construction contract with Andes Construction, Inc. on behalf of the City of Oakland and to execute any amendments or modifications to said agreement within the limitations of the project specifications; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That all other bids are hereby rejected; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the construction contract shall be reviewed and approved by the City Attorney and placed on file in the Office of the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Clerk is hereby directed to post conspicuously forthwith notice of the above award on the official bulletin board in the Office of the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,	, 20
PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:	
AYES - BROOKS, DE LA FUENTE, KAPLAN, KERNIGHA	N, NADEL, QUAN, REID, and PRESIDENT BRUNNER
NOES -	
ABSENT -	•
ABSTENTION -	ATTEST:
	LaTonda Simmons
	City Clerk and Clerk of the Council
	of the City of Oakland, California