

2016 NOV -4 AM 10:29



AGENDA REPORT

TO: Sabrina B. Landreth
City Administrator

FROM: Sara Bedford
Director, Human Services

SUBJECT: Homeless Encampments/
Compassionate Communities

DATE: November 1, 2016

City Administrator Approval

Date:

11/4/16

RECOMMENDATION

Staff Recommends That The City Council Accept An Informational Report On The Compassionate Communities Pilot Program And Adopt A Resolution Authorizing The City Administrator To:

- 1. Enter Into A Grant Agreement With Bay Area Community Services In An Amount Not To Exceed \$130,000 To Provide Services To The Homeless Encampment At 35th Street And Peralta Avenue For The Period Of October 1, 2016 Through June 30, 2017; And**
- 2. Accept And Appropriate Any Additional Encampment Services Funds That May Become Available From Alameda County, State Of California Community Services Block Grants, The City Of Emeryville, And Any Other Sources During The Period Of October 1, 2016 Through June 30, 2017 Without Returning To Council; And**
- 3. Amend The Above Grant Agreement To Increase The Grant Amount For Additional Encampment Services Efforts Within The Grant Term Of October 1, 2016 Through June 30, 2017 Without Returning To Council.**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In the past year there have been several staff reports to the City Council addressing the issue of homelessness within Oakland. These reports have resulted in the City Council providing additional funding to enhance and expand existing strategies for ending homelessness. This report builds upon these previous reports and suggests a new, three tiered approach for targeting literally homeless individuals. These three inter related and inter dependent components must be in place in order to reduce street homelessness and unsanctioned encampments along rights of way, under/over passes and bridges, and similar context. The three key components are:

Item: _____
Life Enrichment Committee
November 15, 2016

1. Interim Housing models that address the population of unsheltered people.
2. Resources to permanently house people from Interim Housing Programs within four to six months.
3. Create and preserve permanent housing stock for extremely low to no income people.

It is critical that these three areas of emphasis be looked at as a whole as no interim model will be effective at ending an individual's episode of homelessness without permanent housing with supportive services as the primary objective. To focus actions otherwise would result in having encampments from place to place with no actual reductions of homeless people.

The first component identified in this report, Interim Housing to address unsheltered people, has some initial funding dedicated to it. A pilot project, **Compassionate Communities** began October 3, 2016. Adoption of the proposed resolution would allow the City Administrator to enter into grant agreements with Bay Area Community Services (BACS) for up to \$130,000 for the provision of services to homeless residents in an encampment at 35th Street and Peralta Avenue. This pilot is explained in detail in the Analysis Section of this report.

The second and third components outlined in this report do not have a current identified funding source. Staff recommends that part of the City Council's consideration include an analysis of whether cost savings from reduced abatement of encampments could contribute to these efforts as well as what level of funding could be put towards implementing all three tiers, as City 2017-2019 Budget discussions begin in late fall and early winter. Part of the pilot includes the collection and review of City expenses and whether cost savings in one area, such as cleaning-up encampments can be redirected to providing sustainable stable housing with commensurate services to reduce the overall homeless population.

BACKGROUND/LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

- On April 14, 2015, an informational report on the City's Permanent Access to Housing (PATH) strategy was presented to the City Council. This report summarized key programs and outcomes, and included staff recommendations regarding strategic investments to address chronically homeless singles that are unsheltered, living on the street or other locations not meant for human habitation. Following the presentation of that report the City Council allocated an additional \$260,000 in City General Purpose Funds to the Human Services Department budget for additional homeless services. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-2016 and in FY 2016-2017, these funds were allocated for:
 1. Winter Relief Efforts.
 2. Expansion of the OPRI (Oakland Path Rehousing Initiative) program which moves people directly into housing from homelessness.
 3. Enhanced street based case management and outreach.

- On September 29, 2015 a report was presented to the City Council regarding Winter Shelter Strategy for FY 2015-2016. This agenda report outlined the most recent data available about who is homeless in Oakland and presented a comprehensive multi-tiered approach to addressing homelessness during the winter. Following the presentation of that report the City Council authorized accepting and appropriating funds from the City of Oakland, City of Emeryville and County of Alameda, in the total amount of \$306,656 for the winter homelessness relief activities.
- On January 7th, 2016 an additional informational report on the Homeless Crisis in the City of Oakland was presented to the City Council. That report outlined six investment options for the City Council to consider. Following the presentation of that report the City Council allocated an additional \$180,000 to winter relief efforts for FY 2015-2016. A summary of the Winter Shelter Strategy and Outcomes is attached as **Attachment A**.
- In addition, on January 7th, 2016 the City Council adopted an Ordinance declaring a shelter crisis in Oakland. As an additional motion, the Council requested that city staff return with options for how to increase sanctioned facilities to house more homeless individuals.
- In the 2016-2017 mid cycle budget, the City Council added \$80,000 for a Tiny Homes prototype and added \$190,000 for an encampment strategy.

ANALYSIS AND POLICY ALTERNATIVES

Throughout the Bay Area and throughout the country, communities are grappling with how to best meet the needs of literally homeless people in their areas. Oakland must decide what interventions and strategies are the best responses to homelessness locally. However, there are some commonalities and best practices that are emerging nationally which staff recommend be integrated into Oakland's homelessness strategies and programs. The following three best practices are all necessary components of any successful approach:

1. Interim Housing models that focus on the unsheltered population of single adults.
2. Resources to permanently house people from Interim Housing Programs within four to six months.
3. Create and preserve permanent housing stock for extremely low to no income people.

1. INTERIM HOUSING MODEL(S) WITH EXTREMELY LOW BARRIERS TO ENTRY, INTENSIVE SERVICE DELIVERY, AND LONG TERM RAPID REHOUSING SUBSIDIES UPON EXIT

Interim Housing can take many forms. Based on staff research of models around the country (please see summary of these models in **Attachment B**) what most successful Interim Housing models have in common are:

1. The ability to be extremely low barrier with very few rules and restrictions.
2. The ability to accept entire encampments or groups of people together.
3. Willingness to accommodate people's personal belongings and provide safe storage.
4. Accepting people's pets.
5. Access to toilets and showers.
6. Staffing and services that are adequate to ensure safety within the Interim Housing site and to ensure that people get linked quickly to services and housing.
7. Funding to create a rapid rehousing pipeline to access permanent housing. This component ensures that the Interim Housing model is truly interim and the longer term outcome is safe and stable permanent housing.

If appropriate funding and locations can be identified, staff recommends that the City Council use these seven core components to create two pilot Interim Housing models, one for people currently living in their vehicles and one for people currently living in encampments. In both cases staff recommends that the Interim Housing target unsheltered homeless people who are assessed to have high levels of need and high levels of vulnerability on the streets. Interim Housing models may take place at locations specifically identified and designated for this purpose (Safe Camping/Safe Parking) or may take place in the immediate area of an existing encampment (Services In Place). Both options are discussed below.

***Interim Housing - Services In Place - Short Term Site
Compassionate Communities***

Funding for a pilot Interim Housing model addressing people living in encampments was allocated in the FY 2016-2017 mid-cycle budget in an amount of \$190,000. Planning for the pilot began in the summer of 2016 with the intention of serving the encampment at 35th Street and Peralta Avenue in West Oakland as the first pilot location. A Services In Place model, called Compassionate Communities, began October 1, 2016. The goals of the Compassionate Communities pilot are to reduce harm and lessen negative health impacts to the encampment residents and to reduce the negative impact of the encampment on the housed neighbors and surrounding neighborhood. Services include outreach, case management, portable bathrooms, garbage pick-up, and linkages to housing programs, and health care including substance abuse and mental health treatment.

The pilot started with intensive outreach and community building among the homeless residents in that area. At the time this report was written, it is expected that approximately two weeks later, Magnolia St. between 35th and 36th will be closed and all homeless residents will be asked to move their tents to the designated space. The goal is to end unsheltered homelessness for the residents there in the next four to six months. During the four to six month period, it is expected that the population will gradually decrease at this site. The goal is for all individuals to be transitioned to shelter and/or permanent housings. The site will be closed and prevented from being re-camped. If there are individuals not yet housed after six months they will move to an alternate "safe camping" site or will be referred to winter shelter which will be open during the implementation of this pilot. (Winter Shelter will be in operation November 14, 2016 – April 17, 2017).

The two-fold goal of this pilot model is to provide a concentrated effort of services and housing to a single encampment and then to close the encampment at that location and prevent it from being re-encamped. If the pilot is successful, and funding allows, the model will be replicated in other encampment sites. As an ongoing effort data and analysis will be completed to see what can be improved and keep track of costs and how City resources are being used.

Costs for Interim Housing Models vary depending on the depth of services provided at the site. For the Compassionate Communities pilot, costs include staffing (a half time case manager and a half time outreach/community engagement staff), operations (supplies, storage fees), flex funds (security deposits, obtaining ID's, supplies), portable toilets, fence rental, and garbage pick-up. The total cost for a six month pilot is projected to be approximately \$132,000.

Interim Housing - Safe Camping/Safe Parking – Longer Term Site

The City of Oakland is also actively seeking sites (open lots, parking lots, etc.) that could support small scale "safe camping/safe parking" for approximately 30 individuals at one time. Safe camping/parking sites are a platform from which services can be delivered and housing goals achieved. It is not a solution to homelessness. Rather it is a way to manage the current crisis.

Unlike the services in place model, safe camping /safe parking sites would function as longer term locations that could serve specific encampments or groups of people for approximately four-six months. Most encampments and vehicle dwellers represent a natural collection of individuals who function as a community and have possessions, pets and other items that most of us associate with "home." As such, moving into a shelter bed, if it was available, may not be a viable option as it would require relinquishing or losing personal possessions. Safe camping and safe parking provides a mechanism for intensifying services to these individuals including but not limited to case management, portable bathrooms and garbage pick-up, linkage to housing programs, and health care including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment. Locations for safe camping/safe parking will be identified so that the impact to the surrounding community can be managed and a cleaner and safer environment for all can be maintained.

Safe camping sites could use individual tents as the interim housing or could use more substantial wooden sheds (Tuff Sheds) that have doors and windows and can accommodate two people. Tents have the advantage of being able to accommodate individuals living separately and Tuff Sheds have the advantage of being more durable and offering more weather protection and security. In practice, tents and Tuff Sheds could be used interchangeably, however staff recommends using Tuff Sheds due to their durability, stability, and capacity to create an organized arrangement.

There is currently a great deal of interest in Tiny Houses as a solution to homelessness. Many communities around the country are using some form of Tiny Houses as part of their approach to addressing homelessness. However the definition of Tiny Houses can vary greatly. A generally accepted definition is that the Tiny Home is constructed on a standard trailer and can be moved. In most communities a Tiny House that is designed to address homelessness is neither a fully functioning house (no electricity or plumbing) nor is it so small that it can be portable and residents must lie down when in it. Instead, many communities are using some

version of a large shed with windows – approximately 100-200 square feet. In some communities this is a pre-built Tuff-Shed and in some communities it is a similar shed structure that is built from scratch.

Generally each Tiny Home/Shed can accommodate two people and a limited amount of belongings. Most communities that are using this model of housing have many sheds on a designated site with services attached. Even without electricity and plumbing each structure provides more shelter from the elements than a tent. However, some communities use a mixture of both tents and Tiny Houses/Sheds so that they can accommodate more people and so that the residents have a choice of what type of Interim Housing they want to use. A basic Tuff-Shed model structure costs between \$1500-\$2000 to build. In one community that staff researched, local businesses and civic organizations had “adopted” a shed and had contributed funds for its construction and upkeep.

Recently, the Governor signed AB 2176, allowing San Jose to enact its own housing, health, habitability, and safety standards for what is called an emergency housing community. This Bill would provide substantial flexibility to develop Tiny Home communities with supportive services, for the next five years (sunsets on January 1, 2022).

When funding and a site are identified, staff recommends that the City create a Tiny House/Tuff-Shed Interim Housing Program by siting 15 sheds (to accommodate 30 people) on a property along with portable toilets, showers, storage units, portable office space and portable common space. Tiny House/Tuff-Shed Interim Housing Program must include adequate funding for services and for housing upon exit. The City’s Declaration of Shelter Emergency will provide flexibility in meeting habitability and other standards.

Interim Housing- Safe Parking

Many of Oakland’s homeless population are living in their vehicles. This includes single adults, couples, and families with small children. For these individuals and families, finding a safe and legal place to park is challenging. Vehicle dwellers face the same challenges as other literally homeless people such as risks to safety, health issues, and a lack of stability and predictability in their lives. Similarly to tent encampments, car dwellers face being moved along by police due to neighborhood complaints. In addition, this population faces the risk of losing their place to sleep due to unpaid parking tickets, or lack of current registration. For some people the vehicle is solely used as their dwelling. For others the vehicle is also their only means of transportation to take children to school or to get to a job. A Safe Parking lot would provide both a safer environment for those people living in their cars and also reduce the impact in neighborhoods of people sleeping in cars on residential blocks. There are several models to explore including a single lot to house many vehicles or several lots each of which dedicate a few parking spaces to car dwellers.

If funding and a site could be identified staff recommends that the City create a Safe Parking Interim Housing program in a lot that can accommodate up to 30 vehicles along with portable toilets, showers, storage units, portable office space and portable common space. In addition, a facility that would allow waste removal on vehicle storage to a sewer clean out or similar facility would be helpful. The Safe Parking Interim Housing Program must include adequate funding for services and for housing upon exit.

Interim Housing Impact: All types of Interim Housing models provide stability, safety, and services. By providing people with a sanctioned place to be, it ends the costly cycle of abating encampments and having them re-form down the block or around the corner. This cycle of abatements is increasing as homelessness becomes more and more visible in residential neighborhoods. For example, in the first nine months of FY 2015-2016 there were 281 abatements of homeless encampments. This represents a 22% increase from the same period in the prior year.

Having people living in a specific location reduces the impact of unsanctioned encampments on other neighborhood residents and reduces the impact on the encampment residents of being constantly uprooted. An Interim Housing model provides increased safety and improved health and hygiene for its residents. It also provides a platform to engage clients further in services and housing.

Although an Interim Housing Model can have many positive impacts for individuals and communities it alone does not end a person's homelessness. In order to end a person's homelessness there must be permanent housing available for people to exit to and the resources and supports to maintain that housing.

2. RESOURCES TO PERMANENTLY HOUSE PEOPLE FROM INTERIM HOUSING PROGRAMS WITHIN FOUR TO SIX MONTHS

All Interim Housing, regardless of the model, must have the resources to move people into permanent housing after a short (four to six months) stay. It is expected that residents of Interim Housing will have a range of needs and require a range of subsidy and case management assistance.

If a pilot program is created serving 30 people at a time and an estimated 75 people over the course of a year we estimate that 60% of residents (45 people) will successfully move on to permanent housing if services and financial support are provided. The cost to provide housing to this population is varied and ranges from very little investment to a significant investment per person. The following costs have been identified to provide context:

- We estimate that 10% (approximately five people) may be able to reunify with friends or family or primarily resolve their homelessness on their own at a cost of \$1500/person. This might cover costs such as initial move in assistance; payments to friends/family to assist with initial utilities or grocery costs; or bus tickets to reunify with family/friends in other areas (once case managers confirm this is a safe, stable living arrangement).
Total estimated cost for 5 people: \$7500

- We estimate that another 10% (approximately five people) will require short term (six months) graduated (clients contributions to rent increase over time) assistance. The cost to provide case management and subsidy assistance for six months is approximately \$6,000 per person.
Total estimated cost for 5 people: \$30,000
- Based on the City's three years of experience with the Oakland Path Rehousing Initiative (OPRI) program, moving people directly from encampments into housing, we know and expect that the majority of people housed by a pilot Interim Housing program (80%, or approximately 35 people) will require intensive services and deep subsidy support for at least a year or longer after moving into housing. The current costs within OPRI for one year of housing and intensive services are \$20,000 per person.
Total Estimated Cost for 35 people: \$700,000.

Although these costs are high, it is important to note that costs do not necessarily remain this high for all people placed into permanent housing. In the OPRI program, we are seeing clients who have gained significant levels of stability in their lives due to being permanently housed with intensive services. These clients may still require subsidized housing, but their services needs are much less and they are able to step down to lower and less expensive levels of services support.

3. CREATE AND PRESERVE PERMANENT HOUSING STOCK FOR EXTREMELY LOW INCOME TO NO INCOME

In Oakland's rental market, Rapid Rehousing dollars alone are not enough to end people's homelessness. We must also fight to preserve existing units of extremely low income housing that we have and we must create new policies to increase the stock of very low income housing as well. Some policy and action steps for consideration are listed below and could be further analyzed for upcoming 2017-2019 City Budget discussions.

- **Update the City's housing policy to carve out an ongoing ten to twenty percent of units to be set aside as permanent supportive housing for those at zero to ten percent of area median income.** Current affordable housing set asides often do not reach those at the very lowest income levels of 0%-20% of Area Median Income (AMI). This investment creates a stock of units affordable to those that are on General Assistance (GA) or Social Security Income (SSI) and in need of permanent supportive housing. Additional funds for supportive services would need to be leveraged. This approach is aligned with the Alameda County Housing Bond which will seek to set aside 20% of funds (which will be approximately 20% of rehabilitated or newly built affordable units) for people at 0%-20% of AMI.

In addition to City General Funds there are several other sources of funds that might be able to contribute to the development and services for extremely low AMI units. These might include Mental Health Services Administration (MHSA), other state funds, housing bonds on the City or County level, County Boomerang Funds, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), Oakland Housing Authority, and existing services dollars within the Human Services Department.

There are also creative revenue sources that the City could explore. For example, Portland, Oregon, is one of the cities, like Oakland, which recently began charging taxes on AirBnB rentals. In Portland these taxes are expected to generate approximately \$1 million a year in funding that will go toward affordable housing.

- **Preserve Single Room Occupancy (SROs) currently in stock by converting them to city or non-profit owned when at risk of loss.** In addition to recommending the creation of affordable housing outlined above, the preservation of SRO housing stock is integral to addressing homelessness in Oakland. The city must take actions to retain this type of housing and not let it be taken over by market rate landlords. Large SRO type buildings within Oakland, such as the Claridge Hotel, and other hotels currently vacant and on the market in Oakland, could be used to create additional Interim Housing models such as the Housing Fast Support Network (HFSN) or could be used to create housing for a mix of incomes with a focus on those with low and very low incomes.
- **Create a new source of Permanent Supportive Housing using a Tiny Houses model.** Some communities around the country are using a version of Tiny Homes to create permanent “villages” to house formerly homeless people. In some communities the Tiny Houses continue to be the “shed” type of model. In other communities the permanent Tiny Houses may include plumbing and electricity and be sturdier than the shed model.

Utilizing a similar staffing and operations structure to the low barrier interim housing described above, this option could provide sustainable permanent supportive housing for clients who are assessed to need that level of support for a longer term.

FISCAL IMPACT

Funds are available in the amount of up to \$190,000 for the Compassionate Communities Homeless Pilot efforts as identified in the table below.

Table 1: Funding Source

Source	Fund	Organization	Account	Project	Program	Amount
City of Oakland	1010 General Fund	78411 Community Housing Services	54912 Third Party Contract	A501710 Homeless Pilot Program	1TYM One-Time Rev & Exp Appropriations	\$190,000
TOTAL						Up To \$190,000

These funds will be allocated to fund grant agreements with Bay Area Community Services (BACS) for an amount up to \$130,000 for the provision of intensive services including but not limited to outreach, community building, housing case management and rapid rehousing to all encampment residents at 35th Street and Peralta Avenue from October 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017.

Furthermore, staff is requesting authority to accept and appropriate additional funds from Alameda County, State of California, Community Services Block Grant, the City of Emeryville, or any other source during the time period of October 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017, and amend the grant agreement with BACS to increase the grant amount for the provision of additional encampment services efforts without returning to Council.

PUBLIC OUTREACH/INTEREST

This report did not require public outreach other than the posting of this report on the website.

COORDINATION

Coordination has occurred between the Human Services Department, Office of the City Attorney, Controller's Bureau, and the City Administrator's Office.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic: As noted in the report, all funds identified in this report are for the purpose of providing housing and services to the homeless to eliminate and prevent homelessness. Such outcomes are achieved through rapid rehousing assistance

Environmental: The provision of housing for at-risk and homeless persons is intended to address the environmental degradation caused by homeless families and individuals precariously housed or living on the streets.

Social Equity: The expenditure of these funds is targeted to the most vulnerable and at-risk populations in this City and is providing essential and basic human services, housing and support.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Staff Recommends That The City Council Accept An Informational Report On The Compassionate Communities Pilot Program And Adopt A Resolution Authorizing The City Administrator To:

1. Enter Into A Grant Agreement With Bay Area Community Services In An Amount Not To Exceed \$130,000 To Provide Services To The Homeless Encampment At 35th Street And Peralta Avenue For The Period Of October 1, 2016 Through June 30, 2017; And
2. Accept And Appropriate Any Additional Encampment Services Funds That May Become Available From Alameda County, State Of California Community Services Block Grants, The City Of Emeryville, And Any Other Sources During The Period Of October 1, 2016 Through June 30, 2017 Without Returning To Council; And
3. Amend The Above Grant Agreement To Increase The Grant Amount For Additional Encampment Services Efforts Within The Grant Term Of October 1, 2016 Through June 30, 2017 Without Returning To Council.

For questions regarding this report, please contact Susan Shelton, Community Housing Services Manager, at 510-238-6186.

Respectfully submitted,


SARA BEDFORD
Director, Human Services Department

Community Housing Services Division
Reviewed by: Susan Shelton, Manager
Prepared by: Lara Tannenbaum, Planner

ATTACHMENTS:

- Attachment A: 2015-2016 Winter Shelter Strategy and Outcomes
Attachment B: Staff research into Interim Housing Models

Item: _____
Life Enrichment Committee
November 15, 2016

FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Macaulay
City Attorney

2016 NOV -4 AM 10:30 RESOLUTION No. _____ C.M.S.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO:

- 1. ENTER INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH BAY AREA COMMUNITY SERVICES IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$130,000 TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO THE HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT AT 35TH STREET AND PERALTA AVENUE FOR THE PERIOD OF OCTOBER 1, 2016 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017; AND**
- 2. ACCEPT AND APPROPRIATE ANY ADDITIONAL ENCAMPMENT SERVICES FUNDS THAT MAY BECOME AVAILABLE FROM ALAMEDA COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANTS, THE CITY OF EMERYVILLE, AND ANY OTHER SOURCES DURING THE PERIOD OF OCTOBER 1, 2016 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017 WITHOUT RETURNING TO COUNCIL; AND**
- 3. AMEND THE ABOVE GRANT AGREEMENT TO INCREASE THE GRANT AMOUNT FOR ADDITIONAL ENCAMPMENT SERVICES EFFORTS WITHIN THE GRANT TERM OF OCTOBER 1, 2016 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017 WITHOUT RETURNING TO COUNCIL**

WHEREAS, approximately 1384 persons are living outside and unsheltered in the City of Oakland at any given time; and

WHEREAS, approximately 55% of homeless individuals in Oakland are in Downtown and West Oakland; and

WHEREAS, the City wishes to create a four to six (4-6) month pilot program to provide intensive services in place to the encampment located around 35th St and Peralta Avenue; and

WHEREAS, the funds are available in the amount of up to \$190,000 for the Homeless Pilot efforts as identified in the table below:

Source	Fund	Organization	Account	Project	Program	Amount
City of Oakland	1010 General Fund	78411 Community Housing Services	54912 Third Party Contract	A501710 Homeless Pilot Program	1TYM One-Time Rev & Exp Appropriations	\$190,000
TOTAL						Up To \$190,000

; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to enter into a grant agreement with Bay Area Community Services (BACS) for an amount up to \$130,000 for the provision of intensive services including but not limited to outreach, community building, housing case management and rapid rehousing to all encampment residents at 35th and Peralta Ave, from October 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017; and

WHEREAS, other funding sources may be identified to contribute to the contracting of encampment services efforts and due to the urgent need of the 4-6 month pilot program, the City seeks authority for the City Administrator to accept and appropriate these funds in any amount from any source for encampment services efforts from October 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 and staff is seeking this authority now because it is critical that City grantees can receive funding and provide services as quickly as possible during the 4-6 month encampment pilot project; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorizes the City Administrator to enter into a grant agreement with Bay Area Community Services (BACS) for an amount up to \$130,000 for the provision of intensive services including but not limited to outreach, community building, housing case management and rapid rehousing to all encampment residents at 35th Street and Peralta Avenue, from October 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council authorizes the City Administrator to accept and appropriate any additional funds that may become available from Alameda County, State of California Community Services Block Grant, the City of Emeryville, or any other source during the time period of October 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 without returning to Council; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Administrator is hereby authorized to amend the grant agreement with BACS to increase the grant amount for the provision of additional encampment services efforts within the term of October 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 without returning to Council; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council authorizes the City Administrator to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents, including but not limited to applications, agreements, amendments, modifications, payment requests, and related actions which may be necessary for the above-referenced grant agreements without returning to Council; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That said grant agreements shall be reviewed as to form and legality by the Office of the City Attorney and copies will be filed in the Office of the City Clerk.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, _____

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - BROOKS, CAMPBELL WASHINGTON, GALLO, GUILLEN, KALB, KAPLAN, REID, AND PRESIDENT GIBSON MCELHANEY

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST: _____
LaTonda Simmons
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council
of the City of Oakland, California