CITY OF OAKLAND

AGENDA REPORT DEFIGE C. F. To . C. T. 1

2006 PEC -7 PH 5: 56

TO: Office of the City Administrator

ATTN: Deborah Edgerly
FROM: Public Works Agency
DATE: December 19, 2006

RE: Resolution Adopting A Parks Project Prioritization List For City Of Oakland

Park Capital Improvement Projects

SUMMARY

A resolution has been prepared adopting a project prioritization list for City of Oakland parks capital improvement projects and authorizing the City Administrator to proceed with grant applications based on the adopted prioritized list without further action by City Council. The City Administrator would continue to request Council approval in advance of grant applications when required by grant agencies and to request Council acceptance and appropriation of funds upon grant award.

In October 2004, Council directed the City Administrator to develop a method to prioritize parks capital improvement projects. The purpose of the prioritization is to produce a project ranking list that would be used as the implementation plan by the City Administrator to obtain funds and complete the projects.

The City Administrator presented a report in February 2005 identifying various methodologies to analyze and prioritize parks projects. The report described a comprehensive process for assessing City parks and open spaces, which would involve extensive community outreach and lead to development of full program needs. The report also offered an alternative, limited process of identifying a select number of parks and facilities deemed as high priority for analysis and project development. Each process required varying levels of resource to complete.

Council approved the alternative method involving a limited scope by Resolution No. 79638 C.M.S. (December 20, 2005). The resolution adopted evaluation criteria for assessing park capital improvement projects and directed the City Administrator to proceed with development of a prioritized list of parks and open space capital improvement projects, using these evaluation criteria with parks and facilities selected by the Councilmembers for each of their Council districts.

The evaluation process consisted of the following:

- 1. Identify a maximum of three priority projects by each Council Office for the respective Council district that require assessment and development of project scope.
- 2. Conduct site evaluation and review program and scope needs. Evaluation and analysis were conducted with the participation of Council Offices and related City departments (typically Office of Parks and Recreation and Public Works Agency).
- 3. Develop preliminary concept plans based on evaluation and analysis.
- 4. Review preliminary concept plans with Council Offices and relevant City departments.
- 5. Develop project budget cost estimates and evaluate projects according to the Council adopted criteria.
- 6. Finalize evaluation based on adopted criteria and rank each project according to evaluation results.
- 7. Establish prioritization list based on evaluation results.

The prioritized list of 23 projects is presented in Attachment A for Council approval and adoption. Council direction is requested regarding the order of precedence between the prioritized project list of 23, and the other park projects at varying stages of development that require additional funds, which are presented in Attachment D.

Having an adopted priority project list will be useful for responding to grant and other funding opportunities for park projects and serve as the plan for implementing Oakland's parks and open space capital improvements.

The adopted prioritization list and the proposed projects will contribute to Mayor and City Council goals to build community and foster livable neighborhoods and to provide effective programs for Oakland youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

FISCAL IMPACT

This report recommends that Council adopt the parks project prioritization list which was developed in accordance with the criteria and process adopted by the City Council. There are no direct fiscal impacts associated with this report until the projects are funded and implemented.

The prioritization process is funded by a one-time project fund of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000.00) allocated under Capital Reserve Fund (5510), Project No. P286110.

Evaluation of each proposed project includes estimated total project cost and potential operation and maintenance impact based on the proposed scope. Once funds are available to implement a specific project, detailed evaluation of the impact of the project on operation and maintenance costs will be presented.

Item:
City Council
December 19, 2006

BACKGROUND

In June 1996, the City Council adopted the Open Space, Conservation, and Recreation (OSCAR) Element of the Oakland General Plan. The OSCAR is the official policy document addressing the management of open land, natural resources, recreation services, and parks in Oakland. Park capital improvement project criteria should adhere to OSCAR and address the recommendations provided by the policy. OSCAR outlines a proposed procedure for improvements or changes to Oakland parks but does not offer specific evaluation methods.

In recent years, the operation and maintenance of Oakland's park system has been faced with significant budget and staffing constraints. This has presented a challenge for the City to define and implement projects critical to maintain services and programs for the public. These budget constraints make prioritization more important than ever to provide for a systematic approach in selecting the most critical projects for the limited available funding. By developing an evaluation system and assessing each park against the approved criteria, the City will establish an objective priority list of projects for implementation. The priority project list will serve as the guiding plan for pursuing funds that become available either from the City or outside grant sources in order to protect and improve Oakland's treasured open space assets.

KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS

Prioritization of Selected Projects:

On July 20, 2004, City Council approved Resolution No. 78747 C.M.S. establishing criteria used to prioritize any capital improvement project for parks and open space. The OSCAR was used as the basis for selection of past projects. The prioritization criteria are:

- Projects that resolve existing health and safety issues, including liability exposure.
- Projects that replace existing deteriorated facilities, fields, tot lots, etc.
- Projects that leverage existing improvements that are already funded, or in design or construction, particularly those that are approved by Citywide vote.
- Project that are partially funded and suitable for grant-funding opportunities.
- Projects that increase access to existing parks for school children.

Even with the established criteria, funding was still required to systematically identify and prioritize park projects' long-term capital improvement. On December 20, 2005, Council approved Resolution No. 79638 C.M.S., authorizing funding for staff to contract with Wallace Roberts & Todd, Inc. (WRT) to provide consulting services to develop a prioritization plan for parks and open space projects. The City tasked WRT to assess, develop concept plans for the selected sites, and prioritize the projects based on Council adopted evaluation criteria to arrive at a priority list. The list could be used by the City to budget, seek potential funds, and implement

Item:
City Council
December 19, 2006

projects on a priority basis. The number of projects was set at a maximum of three projects selected by each Council office.

City Council offices identified a total of 23 projects for prioritization. The list of projects is presented in Attachment C. All 23 projects required existing condition evaluations, scope development, concept plan development, and project budget estimate preparation.

In addition to prioritizing the currently selected projects, allowance must also be made for future updates and modifications to the prioritization list as new projects are identified. It is recommended that the prioritization list be reviewed and updated biennially in conjunction with the budget development process.

The City is in the process of developing the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Plan for Fiscal Years 2007 – 2012. It is recommended that the budget process incorporates projects from the park prioritization list as a part of the CIP Plan.

On-going Park Capital Improvement Projects:

Besides the 23 newly prioritized projects, there are other park projects at varying stages of development that require additional funds (see Attachment D). Two projects have previously completed concept plans and project budget estimates but have not been implemented due to lack of funds. There are other on-going capital improvement projects under design or construction that also require additional funding to complete. These projects have not been incorporated into the prioritization list.

Council direction is sought to determine the order of precedence between on-going projects and the newly prioritized projects. Based on Council's direction, the City Administrator will refer to the ordered listing and select the project that best meets the specific grant application criteria and apply for those grants when funding opportunities arise. In cases where projects are equal in ranking, potential determining factors may be based on OSCAR standards for parks, recreation and open space in the neighborhood, whether the maximum grant size of a funding program would be sufficient to fully meet the funding shortfall to enable project completion, or the magnitude of future operations and maintenance cost.

Grant Process & Fund Opportunities:

In Fiscal Year 2005-2006, City received a total of \$1,780,000 in grants for parks and open space improvement projects:

• Recreational Trail Program - \$450,000 for Waterfront Trail projects.

- California Integrated Waste Management Tire Derived Product Program \$100,000 for Raimondi Fields.
- Alameda County Waste Management Green Building and Bay Landscaping Grants -\$30,000 for Studio One Art Center.
- State Habitat Conservation Grant for Trail \$200,000 for Lion Creek Restoration Project.
- Proposition 40, State 2002 Bond, Youth Soccer & Recreation Development Program Grant \$1,000,000 for Leveling the Playing Fields (girls' baseball fields in 7 Districts)

Development of project scope and budget in the prioritized project list is the first step necessary to apply for potential grants or other funding opportunities. As presented by staff at the September 19, 2006, City Council meeting, grant programs require that projects meet grant objectives and criteria. In order to submit a grant application, the granting agencies often require the preparation of the following for projects to be eligible or competitive:

- <u>Develop Project Scope:</u> Define the project in terms of site, location, scope, type of project, etc.
- <u>Conduct Community Outreach</u>: Coordinate proposed project with community stakeholders
- <u>Determine Impact on Programs:</u> Coordinate proposed project with various City departments.
- Define Project Scope: Clearly define achievable scope which meets grant program goals.
- <u>Project Plans and Documents</u>: Prepare project plans and documents in sufficient detail to demonstrate the intent of proposed project.
- <u>Project Costs:</u> Develop a valid project cost estimate based on project scope. Estimate must be clearly organized and provide sufficient detail to allow easy evaluation by grant agency.
- <u>Documentation of Ownership</u>: City must demonstrate land ownership that meets grant program requirements or secure site control via long-term leases if property is not owned outright by the City.
- Environmental Clearances: City must conduct an environmental review process and obtain environmental clearance (CEQA / NEPA approval) for the proposed project.
- Site Investigation: Conduct site environmental investigation.
- <u>Determine Regulatory Requirements:</u> Evaluate project to determine potential regulatory oversight and permits required for the project.
- Matching Funds: Demonstrate availability of matching funds.
- Approval: Secure approved resolution from governing body.
- <u>Supplemental Information</u>: Research data as required to meet grant program, i.e. demographic information, public access availability, etc.

As a grant opportunity becomes available, the Council approved prioritization list will be used to determine the next highest ranking project that meets the eligibility requirements of the available

Item:	
	City Council
Decem	ber 19, 2006

grant and apply for the grant. The ranked prioritization list will allow staff to proceed with grant applications based on adopted ranking without further City Council action. The City Administrator would request Council approval in advance of grant applications whenever grant agencies require such approval by the applicant's governing entity. Having the adopted prioritization list will enable staff to submit grant applications that meet short grant deadlines. Upon successful application of grants, the City Administrator will request Council acceptance and appropriation of the grant funds. To update the Council, informational reports on the applications submitted for project funding will be presented.

There are limited grant opportunities in the near future. A summary of grant sources was presented at the September 19, 2006, City Council meeting. A brief update is summarized below:

- California Cultural and Historical Endowment (CCHE): Round-Three application deadline is March 1, 2007. The Legislative Agenda report presented to Council on July 18, 2006 and another scheduled to be heard by Council on December 19, 2006, listed Peralta Hacienda Historical Park as the only City-managed park candidate for the grant. Other projects are listed that are either not parks-specific or are City facilities under management agreement by non-City entities. A report and resolution will be prepared in early 2007 for Council's authorization to apply for the grant.
- <u>Federal Saving America's Treasure</u>: Information for 2007 grant will not be available until January 2007.
- <u>California Integrated Waste Management Grants</u> Recycled rubber tires grants fund only material costs.
- State Annual Grants (Recreational Trails Program, Habitat Conservation Fund, and Land and Water Conservation Fund): Applicable projects will be submitted for annual grants based on the prioritization list, if approved.

There will be potential funding sources through Proposition 84 and Alameda County Measures that were approved by voters in November 2006. Staff is awaiting further information from the State, pending actions of the Legislature.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Using OSCAR and the prioritization criteria adopted by Council on July 20, 2004, in Resolution No. 78747 C.M.S. as the policy framework, Council adopted the following evaluation system and scoring sheet to prioritize park capital improvement projects on December 20, 2005:

- Public Safety or Health Risks Evaluate project value in correcting existing risk of physical safety conditions, environmental health hazard, safety/security of users, and code deficiencies. (25 points maximum)
- Maximize Use and Program Services Evaluate project ability to meet program demand and ability to meet service needs of the neighborhood(s). Evaluate potential to enhance existing assets and sites that will maximize use at a lower cost. Evaluate level of services provided by the program. Repair physical deficiencies that will enhance programs and remove obstacles meeting community needs. (17 points maximum)
- Collaborative Opportunities Evaluate project potential for collaboration with other organizations/schools/agencies to meet high program needs and enhance underutilized space and facilities. (10 points maximum)
- Improve Operation and Maintenance Efficiency Evaluate project ability to reduce maintenance cost while maintaining or increasing program use. Evaluate maintenance cost impacts of the proposed improvements. Determine additional O&M needs and funding impact. (20 points maximum)
- **Protection of Existing Resources** Evaluate extent of project contribution to conservation and protection of existing assets and ability to restore natural resources. (15 points maximum)
- Evaluate Project Funding Need versus Availability Determine project funding availability and suitability for grant funding. (10 points maximum)

Each Council office could identify a maximum of three projects requiring assessment and project scope development for its respective Council district. A total of 23 projects were identified. The consultant and staff (including members of Office of Parks and Recreation and Public Works Agency) conducted field visits and evaluations of each site, met to review program and scope needs, and developed preliminary concept plans for each site. The concept plans were reviewed with Council offices in September 2006 for their respective projects. WRT then incorporated comments from Council offices and City staff, developed project budget cost estimates, and applied the project against above adopted evaluation criteria. The evaluation scoring was performed collectively by the consultant, Office of Parks and Recreation, and Public Works Agency (including Parks and Building Maintenance and Project Delivery Division). The project ranking summary and evaluation result for each project are shown in Attachment A.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

<u>Environmental</u>: In general, park capital improvement projects will promote environmental goals, conserve natural resources, and maintain existing natural and park assets.

<u>Economic</u>: Park capital improvement projects will improve the economic value of the surrounding neighborhoods.

<u>Social Equity:</u> Park capital improvement project will provide recreational and open space amenities to youths, seniors, and communities at large.

DISABILITY AND SENIOR CITIZEN ACCESS

There are no direct disability and senior access opportunities associated with this report. However, future projects will provide a direct benefit to the City for improving access to City parks, facilities, and programs for persons with disabilities.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND RATIONALE

It is recommended that the Council adopt the prioritization list and direct the City Administrator regarding whether or not the list takes precedence over existing projects with funding shortfalls. Existing projects were presented to Council in the November 30, 2006, Legislative report, but were not prioritized. The adopted and approved prioritization list for parks and open space projects will serve as the basis for seeking City and outside fund sources for implementation of parks capital improvement projects. The City Administrator would use the list and proceed without obtaining Council approval in advance of submitting grant applications. Informational reports would then be presented to Council on applications submitted for project funding. Upon successful application for grants, the City Administrator will request Council acceptance and appropriation of the grant funds.

In addition, the prioritization list will be a tool to enable the City to periodically review and assess the progress the City is making towards managing City assets and providing the level of service essential to the public. The list will be reviewed every two years in conjunction with the budget process and updated as required.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Approve a resolution adopting the proposed park capital improvement project prioritization list for implementation of City's parks and recreational facilities projects and provide direction to the City Administrator regarding the order of precedence between existing projects and the adopted prioritization project list.

Respectfully submitted,

for

Raul Godinez, II P. I

Director, Public Works Agency

Reviewed by: Michael Neary, P.E. Assistant Director, Public Works Agency Design & Construction Services Department

Prepared by: Lily Soo Hoo, Project Manager Project Delivery Division

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE CITY/COUNGIL:

Office of the City Administrator

Attachments:

A - Park Prioritization Project List Summary

B – Individual Project Evaluation Forms

C – List of projects selected for Park Prioritization (sorted by District)

D – Other Park Capital Improvement Projects Needing Funding

City Council

ATTACHMENT A

City of Oakland Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization Summary Nov-06

Rank	Project Name	District	Estimated	Evaluation System						O&M Cost	
- - -			Project Budget	Public Safety or Health Risk	Maximize Use and Program Services	Collaborative Opportunities	Operation And Maintenance Efficiency	Protection of Existing Resources	Project Funding Status	Total Point	Increase (Decrease)
				25	17	10	20	15	10	97	
1	Tassafaronga Rec. Center	7	\$ 3,140,908	25	17	10	15	0	2	69	\$22,000
2	Leona Lodge Upgrade*	6	\$ 1,424,153	25	7	10	20	0	2	64	\$1,000
3	2496 Coolidge Ave (Peralta Hacienda Historical Park)*	5	\$ 762,480	25	17	10	10	0	0	62	\$6,000
4	Moss House*	3	\$ 1,732,320	25	1	0	15	15	2	58	\$21,200
5	Rainbow Recreation Ctr. Expansion	6	\$ 1,439,640	20	17	10	10	0	0	57	\$14,300
6	City Stables*	6	\$ 17,522,869	20	7	0	10	14	2	53	TBD
7	Morcom Rose Garden	2	\$ 1,988,710	20	1	5	10	14	0	50	\$0
8	Officer Willie Wilkins (Elmhurst) Park	7	\$ 2,520,894	10	17	5	15	0	2	49	\$16,5 ₀₀
9	Jefferson Square Park	3	\$ 2,131,569	10	17	10	10	0	0	47	\$22,700
9	Josie De La Cruz Park - Syn. Turf	5	\$ 625,536	0	17	10	20	0	0	47	\$3,800
10	Bushrod Park - General Improvements	1	\$ 2,802,125	10	17	10	5	0	0	42	\$15,400
10	Clinton Park	2	\$ 1,825,572	5	17	10	10	0	0	42	\$12,4 ₀₀
10	Brookdale Park	4	\$ 2,079,594	10	17	10	5	0_	0	42	\$7,500
10	Glen Daniel King Estates Trails	7	\$ 1,965,490	5	17	5	10	5	0	42	\$ 7,4 <u>00</u>
11	Durant Park - Urban Mini Park	3	\$ 479,736	10	16	5	10	0	0	41	\$ 7,3 <u>00</u>
12	Madison Square Park	2	\$ 2,818,370	10	11	5	10	0	0	36	\$12,400
12	Montclair Park	4	\$ 1,644,410	10	11	0	15	0	0	36	\$0
13	Bushrod Park - Soccer Field (Washington Elem. School)	1	\$ 3,225,150	5	17	10	0	0	0	32	TBD
14	Dimond Park	4	\$ 726,840	5	17	0	5	4	0	31	\$0
14	Chinese Garden	At Large	\$ 1,289,790	5	11	0	5	10	0	31	\$18,200
15	Caldecott Trail to Skyline Blvd.	1	\$ 1,405,730	5	1	0	10	14	0	30	\$1,900
16	Carter Middle School	At Large	\$ 3,005,298	5	7	10	0	0	0	22	TBD
17	William Wood Park	5	\$ 1,308,766	10	6	0	5	0	0	21	\$ 7,100

*O&M cost increase (or decrease) for the site may vary depending on usage and programs for the specific sites.

TBD - The O&M for sites owned by OUSD depends on final real property agreement.

Item:	
	City Council
Dε	ecember 19, 2006

DEPT: Office	of Parks and Recreation	<u>. </u>	Date: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)
Final Ranking	ı No. 10	Project Nam	ne: Brookdale Park		Total Points	42
_					(Total Points Available - 97)	
PROJECT SC	OPE DESCRIPTION:				_	
		survey and com	m, outreach to dev, scope p	priorities. General	ESTIMATED PROJECT COS	
* Add upper p					Construction Cost	\$ 1,540,440
					Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 15,404
* Add upper to	basketball court				Design Construction Management	\$ 184,853 \$ 107,831
* Add terraced					Inspection/Permits	
Add terracet	u garden				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 30,809 \$ 92,426
					Project Contingency	\$ 107,831
					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,079,594
			,		•	-,,
Project Type:				heck all that applic	e Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	
	Buildings		rant		Operations & Maintenance	7,500
	Parks	_	ond Measure			
	Fields	□ G	eneral Fund			
	Playgrounds		ther:		_	
Instruction:						
CRITE	RIA: The Project will/ha	 as			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAF	ETY OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	·
Safety	: Correct conditions that	t are safety and c	ode deficiencies (e.g. seisn	nic upgrade)	10 points	
Health	: Remediate environme	ntal health hazard	d (e.g. lead contamination,	asbestos		1
	nent.etc.)				5 points	
Acces	s: Insure access to perso	ons with disabilitie	98		5 points	5
	-	ecurity of the pro	perty and the users (e.g. sit	e lighting, fencing		_
gate, e					5 points	
SOBI	OTAL PTS				<u> </u>	10
MAYIMIZE II	SE AND PROGRAM SEI	DVICES			Maximum 17 pts.	
			ices for an underserved ne	ahhorhood	waximum 17 pts.	1
popula		programs or serv	ices for all underserved he	griborriood	10 points	10
	t will improve or expand	nrograms or sen	ices to at-risk youth		6 points	
			ices for the city-wide comm	unity	1 point	
	OTAL PTS	programs or serv	locs for the dry-wide commi	army	1 point	17
	017.21 10					1
COLLABORA	TIVE OPPORTUNITIES				Maximum 10 pts.	
Provid	e new collaborative prog	rams with outside	e public agencies (e.g. OUS	D)	5 points	
Provid	e new collaborative prog				5 points	
SUBT	OTAL PTS					10
OPERATION	AND MAINTENANCE EI	FFICIENCY			Maximum 20 pts.	
Provid	e major repairs/improver	ments to an existi	ng facility, or		10 points	
			enance to an existing facilit	у	5 points	
	vements are expected to				5 points	
	vements are expected to	generate increas	ed revenues for the City		5 points	
SUBT	OTAL PTS					5
PROTECTION	N OF EXISTING RESOU	BCEG			Maximum 15 pts.	
	rve cultural/historical/natu				10 points	
	ve/enhance cultural/histo		urces		4 points	
	e new cultural/historical/n		ui vov		1 points	
	OTAL PTS	a.a.a. rosouroes			1 points	0
0001	OTACT TO					
	INDING STATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
Full pr	oject funding available, c	or			10 points	
Betwe	en 50% to 100% project	fund available, o			5 points	
	available up to 50% of p	roject cost, or			2 points	
No fur					0 points	
SUBT	OTAL PTS				····	0

DEPT: Of	ffice of Pa	rks and Recreation		Date	10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	
Final Rani	kina No	10	Projec	t Name:	Bushrod Park	Conoral	Total Points	42
FIIIQI INDIII	Killy NO.		riojec	t ivailie.	Busiliou Falk	- Gelieral	(Total Points Available - 97)	42
PROJEC1	T SCOPE	DESCRIPTION:					_	
		upper Bushrod field					ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
		ark space at transitio	n between	upper and	lower field		Construction Cost	\$ 2,075,648
		th at Shattuck entry		01-			Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 20,756
		ts from Shattuck enti			J;	0 04-	Design	\$ 249,078
		nic area, tree planting istoric structure as ki		antain outsic	de or adjacent to	Comm. Ctr.	Construction Management Inspection/Permits	\$ 145,295 \$ 41,513
incorpor	audii di n	ISTORIC STRUCTURE 25 KI	OSK				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 124,539
							Project Contingency	\$ 145,295
							Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,802,124
····				_			,,	*,
Project Ty	/pe:		Existin	g Available	Funding Sources	s: (Check all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost for	
		Buildings		Grant			Operations & Maintenance	15,400
		Parks		Bond Me				
		Fields		General	Fund		·	
		Playgrounds		Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
Instruction	٦٠							
III Struction	<u>1.</u>							
CF	RITERIA:	The Project will/has	3				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC S	SAFETY (OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
_								·
		rect conditions that a nediate environment					10 points	
	atement.		ai Heailii i	iazaiu (e.g.	ieau contaminat	ion, aspesios	5 points	
		ure access to person	s with disa	abilities			5 points	5
					ind the users (e.	g. site lighting, fencing,		
	ite, etc.)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	- 1	(,	JJ	5 points	5
ŞL	JBTOTAL	PTS					·	10
		ND PROGRAM SER					Maximum 17 pts.	
	_	mprove or expand pr	rograms o	r services fo	or an underserve	d neighborhood		
	pulation						10 points	10
		mprove or expand pr					6 points	6
	JBTOTAL	mprove or expand pr	rograms o	services to	or the city-wide of	ommunity	1 point	
	JBTOTAL	PIS						17
COLLABO	ORATIVE	OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.	
		collaborative progra	ms with o	utside public	c agencies (e.g.	OUSD)	5 points	5
		collaborative progra				0000)	5 points	5
	JBTOTAL			<u>_</u>	,			10
		MAINTENANCE EF					Maximum 20 pts.	
		or repairs/improveme					10 points	
		or repairs and/or pre				acility	5 points	5
		nts are expected to rents are expected to g				4	5 points	
	JBTOTAL		enerate iii	creased rev	enues for the Ci	ıy	5 points	
	JOIOTAL	13						5
PROTECT	TION OF	EXISTING RESOUR	CES				Maximum 15 pts.	
		ıltural/historical/natur		es			10 points	
Īm	prove/en	hance cultural/historic	cal/natural	resources			4 points	
		cultural/historical/nat	tural resou	ırces			1 points	
SU	JBTOTAL	PTS			•			0
		IG STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
		funding available, or					10 points	
		% to 100% project fu able up to 50% of pro					5 points	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	inds avail funding	able up to 50% of pro	oject cost,	UI			2 points 0 points	
	JBTOTAL	PTS					ο μοιπιε	0
	-5.01AL						Item:	

DEPT: Office of	Parks and Recreation		Date	e: <u>10/27/2006</u>	Prepared b	y PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)
Final Ranking No	o. 13	Project	Name:	Bushrod Park	- Soccer Field	Total Points	32
						(Total Points Available - 97)	
	PE DESCRIPTION: oint-use synthetic-turf	scoccer fie	ld with OLL	2 transition and a	chool property	TESTIMATED PROJECT COST	те.
(Washington Ele		SCUCCEI IIE	iu wiai OO	SD on adjacent s	criodi property	Construction Cost	\$ 2,389,000
(** domington E.o						Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 23,890
						Design	\$ 286,680
į .						Construction Management	\$ 167,230
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 47,780
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 143,340
						Project Contingency	\$ 167,230
						_Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 3,225,150
Project Type:		Existing	. Available	Funding Sources	s: (Check all that appli	e Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	ər
	Buildings		Grant	7 0	. (onock on that appli	Operations & Maintenance	TBD
	Parks		Bond M	easure			
	Fields		General	l Fund			
	Playgrounds		Other:			_	
In a to vation .							
Instruction:							
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/ha	15				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY	Y OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety: C	Correct conditions that	are safety a	nd code de	eficiencies (e.g. s	eismic upgrade)	10 points	
Health: F	Remediate environmer	ntal health h	azard (e.g.	lead contaminati	on, asbestos		
abatemer						5 points	
	nsure access to perso					5 points	5
	Provide safety and se	ecurity of the	property a	and the users (e.g	3. site lighting, fencing		j l
gate, etc.						5 points	
SUBTOTA	ALPIS						5
MAXIMIZE USE	AND PROGRAM SER	RVICES				Maximum 17 pts.	
	ill improve or expand		services fo	or an underserve	neighborhood	waxiiiaii 11 pto.	<u> </u>
population	n					10 points	10
	ill improve or expand p					6 points	
	ill improve or expand p		services for	or the city-wide co	ommunity	1 point	
SUBTOTA	AL PTS (Jack London	Soccer Re					17
COLLABORATIV	/E OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.	
	ew collaborative progr	rams with or	tside nubli	ic agencies (e.g.	OUSD)	5 points	5
	ew collaborative progr					5 points	
SUBTOTA				9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10
							
	D MAINTENANCE EF					Maximum 20 pts.	
	najor repairs/improven					10 points	
	ninor repairs and/or pr				acility	5 points	
	ents are expected to					5 points	
SUBTOT	nents are expected to	generate inc	reased rev	venues for the Cit	<u>.y</u>	5 points	
308101	ALFIS						0
PROTECTION O	F EXISTING RESOU	RCES				Maximum 15 pts.	
Preserve	cultural/historical/natu	iral resource	s			10 points	
Improve/e	enhance cultural/histor	rical/natural	resources			4 points	
Create ne	w cultural/historical/na	atural resour	ces			1 points	
SUBTOT	AL PTS						0
DDO JECT EURIS	NING STATUS					Maximum 40 -1-	
PROJECT FUND	ct funding available, o	r				Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	
	50% to 100% project		e or		····	5 points	
	ailable up to 50% of p					2 points	
No fundin					······································	0 points	
SUBTOTA						- pointe	0
						Item:	

DEPT: Office of	Parks and Recreation	Dat	e: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	
Einal Danking Me	o. 15	Project Name:	Caldonott Trail		Total Points	30
Final Ranking No). <u>19</u>	rioject Name.	Caldecott Trail		Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	30
PROJECT SCOR	PE DESCRIPTION:				(Total Folinis Available - 31)	
Improve and exp	and existing trail from	North Oakland Sports	s Field to Skyline Blvd.		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	ľ S :
* Provide access					Construction Cost	\$ 1,041,280
* Provide trail si	gnage describing wayf	finding and ecological	cultural conditions		Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 10,413
					Design	\$ 124,954
					Construction Management	\$ 72,890
					Inspection/Permits	\$ 20,826
					Project Management/Admin.	\$ 62,477
					Project Contingency	\$ 72,890
					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,405,730
Brolost Tuno:	■ Trail	Evictina Available	- Eurodina Courona: (Chaol	all that analis	Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	-
Project Type:	Buildings	☐ Grant	e runding Sources, (Check	Сан изасаррне	Operations & Maintenance	7,900
	Parks		/leasure		Operations & Maintenance	7,900
	Fields		al Fund			
	Playgrounds	☐ Other:	ai ruiiu			
Instruction:	riaygrounus	□ Oulei.			-	
mondonori.						
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/ha	is			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFET	Y OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	r
Safatu: C	orract conditions that	are eafety and code	doficionaios (a a saismia u	ingrado)	10 points	
			deficiencies (e.g. seismic u j. lead contamination, asbe		10 points	
abatemer		ital Health Hazalu (e.g	, lead contamination, asse	:5105	5 points	ĺ
	nsure access to perso	ne with disabilities			5 points	-
			and the users (e.g. site lig	hting fencing		<u></u>
gate, etc.	-	outly of the property	and the docto (e.g. one ng	mang, renomg	5 points	ĺ
SUBTOTA					o pointo	5
						<u> </u>
MAXIMIZE USE	AND PROGRAM SER	RVICES			Maximum 17 pts.	
			for an underserved neighb	orhood		
populatio					10 points	
	ill improve or expand p	programs or services	to at-risk youth		6 points	
			for the city-wide community	У	1 point	
SUBTOTA	AL PTS				·	1
	VE OPPORTUNITIES				Maximum 10 pts.	
			lic agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points	
	ew collaborative progr	ams with non-profit o	rganizations.		5 points	
SUBTOT	AL PIS					Ō
OPERATION AN	ID MAINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY			Maximum 20 pts.	
	najor repairs/improven		cility or		10 points	10
			ce to an existing facility		5 points	- '
	nents are expected to				5 points	
	nents are expected to				5 points	
SUBTOT		gonerate morecoou i	, randoo 10, 110 Oxy			10
						<u> </u>
PROTECTION O	F EXISTING RESOUR	RCES			Maximum 15 pts.	
Preserve	cultural/historical/natu	ral resources			10 points	10
Improve/e	enhance cultural/histor	rical/natural resources	3		4 points	
Create ne	ew cultural/historical/na	atural resources			1 points	
SUBTOT.	AL PTS				•	14
PROJECT FUND	DING STATUS		. = -		Maximum 10 pts.	
Full proje	ct funding available, o	r			10 points	
	50% to 100% project t				5 points	
	ailable up to 50% of p				2 points	
No fundir					0 points	
SUBTOT	AL PTS					0

Item: _____City Council

DEPT:	: Office of F	arks and Recreation	<u>1</u>	Date:	10/27/2006	Prepared by	/: City/WRT		
Final F	Ranking No.	16	Project I	Name:	Carter Middle Sc	hool	Total Points	_	22
	_				Park Conversion		(Total Points Available - 97)		
		E DESCRIPTION:					Teath 14 Tea and 1507 000		
		lan to use the buildir		tration. Ci	ty & community des	sire to convert	ESTIMATED PROJECT COS Construction Cost		2,226,147
remair	mig open s	pace to a park/sport	ileius.				Pre-Design/Planning	\$	22,261
							Design	\$	267,138
							Construction Management		155,830
1							Inspection/Permits	<u>\$</u> \$	44,523
1							Project Management/Admin.	\$	133,569
							Project Contingency	\$	155,830
							Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$	3,005,298
L.,								•	0,000,200
Projec	<u>ct Type:</u>				Funding Sources: (Check all that applic	e Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	3 ۲	
		Buildings		Grant			Operations & Maintenance		TBD
	III	Parks		Bond Me					
		Fields		General	Fund				
		Playgrounds		Other:			_		
Instruc	ction:								
	CRITERIA	: The Project will/h	120				Max. Points Available	Pat	ing/Points
	ONITERIA	. The Project which	100				WIBA. T OITES AVAILABLE	Mai	ng/r ourts
PUBLI	I <u>C SAFETY</u>	OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.		
	Safety: Cr	orrect conditions that	t are safety a	nd code de	ficiencies (e.a. seis	smic ungrade)	10 points		
		emediate environme					To points		
	abatement	t.etc.)					5 points		
		sure access to pers					5 points	1_	5
	•	Provide safety and s	security of the	property a	nd the users (e.g. s	site lighting, fencing		ĺ	
	gate, etc.)						5 points	╙	
	SUBTOTA	LPIS						L	5
MAXIR	MIZE USE 4	AND PROGRAM SE	RVICES				Maximum 17 pts.		
		I improve or expand		services fo	r an underserved n	eighborhood	11 510	T	
	population					•	10 points		
	Project wil	I improve or expand	programs or	services to	at-risk youth		6 points		6
	Project will	I improve or expand	programs or	services fo	r the city-wide com	munity	1 point		1
	SUBTOTA	L PTS							7
COLL		E OPPORTUNITIES		-14 11-		(00)	Maximum 10 pts.		
		w collaborative prog				ISD)	5 points		5
		w collaborative prog	rams with noi	n-profit org	anizations.		5 points	╙	5
	SUBTOTA	L PTS					- <u>-</u> -		10
OPER	ATION AND	MAINTENANCE E	EFICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.		
0, 2		ajor repairs/improve		xisting faci	lity, or		10 points	Ţ	
	Provide m	inor repairs and/or p	reventative m	aintenance	to an existing faci	litv	5 points		
		ents are expected to				<u>y</u>	5 points		
		ents are expected to					5 points	_	
	SUBTOTA	<u> </u>	gondia.		on the only		э ротко	\vdash	0
	000.0.7								
PROT	ECTION OF	EXISTING RESOU	IRCES				Maximum_15 pts.		
		cultural/historical/nat					10 points	_	
	Improve/e	nhance cultural/histo	orical/natural r	esources			4 points		
		w cultural/historical/r	natural resour	ces			1 points		
	SUBTOTA	L PTS							0
יי ספם	ECT ELIND	NG STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	_	
FROJI		t funding available, o	or				10 points	Γ	
		0% to 100% project		e. or		•	5 points		
	Funds ava	ilable up to 50% of p	project cost o	r			2 points		
	No funding			<u> </u>			0 points		
	SUBTOTA							\vdash	0

Item: _____City Council

DEPT: Office of Parks and Recreation			Date:	10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT))
Final Ranking No	14	Projec	t Name:	Chinese Garden		Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	31
PROJECT SCOP	E DESCRIPTION:					(Total Civile Available Civ	
* Multi-use plaza * Entry improven	dscape improvements /accessible parking an nents to buffer front fro ancements to adjacen	d play are m busy st	a for neighb reet	I enhance its use orhood uses (PENDING)	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 955,400 \$ 9,554 \$ 114,648 \$ 66,878 \$ 19,108 \$ 57,324 \$ 66,878 \$ 1,289,790
Designat Trans.		Eviatio	a Avallabla	Funding Sourges: (Choo	المساد المساد	- -	_
Project Type: Instruction:	Buildings Parks Fields Playgrounds		Grant Grant Bond Me General Other:	easure	ж аш тпат аррію	e Increase (Decrease) in cost fo Operations & Maintenance	18,200
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/has	<u> </u>				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
				ficiencies (e.g. seismic lead contamination, asb		10 points	
abatemen			_ L :0:4:			5 points	
				nd the users (e.g. site li	ghting, fencing,	5 points 5 points	5
	CT TO						'
Project wi			r services fo	r an underserved neighb	oorhood	Maximum 17 pts.	
population Project wi	ı II improve or expand pa	rograms o	r services to	at-risk youth		10 points 6 points	10
				r the city-wide communi	ty	1 point	1
SUBTOTA	AL PTS						11
	E OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.	
Provide no	ew collaborative progra ew collaborative progra	ams with o	utside public	agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points	
SUBTOTA		ams with n	on-pront org	anizations.		5 points	0
	D MAINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.	<u> </u>
	ajor repairs/improvem					10 points	5
	inor repairs and/or pre ents are expected to re					5 points	
	ents are expected to g		, ,			5 points	
SUBTOTA	AL PTS			-		,	5
PROTECTION O	F EXISTING RESOUR	CES				Maximum 15 pts.	
	cultural/historical/natur					10 points	10
	nhance cultural/histori w cultural/historical/na					4 points	
SUBTOTA		toral resoc	11003			1 points	10
PROJECT FUND						Maximum 10 pts.	
	ct funding available, or					10 points	·
Between :	50% to 100% project fu	ınd availal				5 points	
	ailable up to 50% of pro	oject cost,	or			2 points	
No fundin						0 points	0
	-						<u> </u>

DEPT: Office	e of Parks and Recreation	<u>on</u>	Date	: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	<u> </u>
Final Rankin	g No6	Projec	t Name:	City Stables		Total Points	53
DRO IECT S	COPE DESCRIPTION:					(Total Points Available - 97)	
PENDING	COI L DESCRIPTION.					TESTIMATED PROJECT COST	TS:
	n city-wide youth resource	ce for science	and natura	l education		Construction Cost	\$ 12,979,904
	hborhood park destination				ea, etc.	Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 129,799
* Maintain s	ome equestrian uses	•		• • •		Design	\$ 1,557,588
	·					Construction Management	\$ 908,593
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 259,598
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 778,794
						Project Contingency	\$ 908,593
		_				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 17,522,869
		_					
Project Type				Funding Sources:	: (Check all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	
_	Buildings		Grant			Operations & Maintenance	TBD
=	Parks		Bond Me				
	Fields		General	Fund			
lnatruotion:	Playgrounds		Other:			_	
Instruction:							
CRIT	ERIA: The Project will/	has				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAF	ETY OR HEALTH RISE	<				Maximum 25 pts.	
Safet	y: Correct conditions th	at are safety	and code de	eficiencies (e.a. se	eismic upgrade)	10 points	10
Healt	h: Remediate environm	ental health	nazard (e.g.	lead contamination	on, asbestos	10 50	<u>'</u>
abate	ment.etc.)	_				5 points	
	ss: Insure access to per			•		5 points	5
Secu	rity: Provide safety and	security of th	e property a	and the users (e.g	. site lighting, fencing,		
gate,						5 points	
SUB	TOTAL PTS	-					20
	JSE AND PROGRAM S ct will improve or expan		r consison fo	r an undamoniad	naighborhaad	Maximum 17 pts.	
popul		u programs o	i services id	n an unuerserveu	neignbornood	10 points	?
	ct will improve or expan	d programs a	r anniana ta	ot rick youth		6 points	
	ct will improve or expan				mmunity	1 points	1
	TOTAL PTS	d programs o	I SELVICES IC	i the city-wide co	mandanty .	Гропп	7
	1017.21.10						<u> </u>
COLLABOR	ATIVE OPPORTUNITIE	s				Maximum 10 pts.	
	de new collaborative pro				DUSD)	5 points	
Provi	de new collaborative pro	grams with n	on-profit org	janizations.	•	5 points	
SUB	TOTAL PTS	- 					0
	AND MAINTENANCE			1114		Maximum 20 pts.	
	de major repairs/improv				_:I(A	10 points	10
	de minor repairs and/or				CIIITY	5 points	
	ovements are expected t					5 points	
	ovements are expected to FOTAL PTS	io generate in	creased rev	renues for the City	<u>/ </u>	5 points	40
308	IOIALPIS						10
PROTECTIO	N OF EXISTING RESO	URCES				Maximum 15 pts.	
	erve cultural/historical/na		es			10 points	10
	ve/enhance cultural/his					4 points	
	e new cultural/historical					1 points	
	TOTAL PTS			-			14
					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		<u>.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
*****	UNDING STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
	roject funding available,					10 points	
	een 50% to 100% projec					5 points	
	s available up to 50% of	project cost.	or			2 points	2
	nding					0 points	
SUBT	TOTAL PTS						2

DEPT: Office of Parks and	Recreation	_ Da	te: 10/2//2006 Prepared	by PVVA/OPR/Consultants (VVR))
Final Ranking No.	10	Project Name:	Clinton Park	Total Points	42
Tiliai Raikilig No.	10	- rojectivanie.	Ciliton Faix	(Total Points Available - 97)	42
PROJECT SCOPE DESC	RIPTION:			(Total Folitis / Vallable 37)	
* Park redesign and renor		neet community r	eeds	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
* Relocate pathways				Construction Cost	\$ 1,352,275
* Restroom				Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 13,523
* Event stage with electric				Design	\$ 162,273
 Planting and irrigation re 	eplacement			Construction Management	\$ 94,659
				Inspection/Permits	\$ 27,046
				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 81,137
				Project Contingency	\$ 94,659
				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,825,572
Project Type: □ Buildin ■ Parks □ Fields □ Playgro	-	☐ Grant ☐ Bond I	le Funding Sources: (Check all that app Measure al Fund	olie Increase (Decrease) in cost for Operations & Maintenance	12,400
Instruction:	34,143	_ outor.			
CRITERIA: The P	roject will/has			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY OR HEA	ALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety: Correct co	nditions that are	safety and code	deficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	
			g. lead contamination, asbestos		
abatement.etc.)				5 points	
Access: Insure acc	ess to persons	with disabilities.		5 points	
•	safety and secu	rity of the property	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencin	•	اء
gate, etc.) SUBTOTAL PTS				5 points	5 5
SUBTUTALFIS					
MAXIMIZE USE AND PRO	COAM SEDVI	ree		Maximum 17 pts.	
			for an underserved neighborhood	Maximum 17 pts.	
population	o or oxperio pro:	9.0 01.00.1.000	to an anasyserva neighborhood	10 points	10
Project will improve	e or expand proc	grams or services	to at-risk youth	6 points	
			for the city-wide community	1 point	
SUBTOTAL PTS	·	-			17
COLLABORATIVE OPPO				Maximum 10 pts.	_
			olic agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	5
Provide new collab	orative program	is with non-profit o	organizations.	5 points	5
SUBTOTAL PTS					10
OPERATION AND MAINT	ENANCE EEE	CIENCY		Maximum 20 pts.	
Provide major repa			acility or	10 points	10
			nce to an existing facility	5 points	10
Improvements are				5 points	
			evenues for the City	5 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS			<u> </u>		10
PROTECTION OF EXIST				Maximum 15 pts.	
Improve/enhance				10 points 4 points	
Create new cultura			<u> </u>	1 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS	Birlistoricalirlator	ai resources		1 points	0
0001017.0110				·	
PROJECT FUNDING STA	TUS			Maximum 10 pts.	
Full project funding	available, or			10 points	
Between 50% to 1	00% project fund			5 points	
Funds available up	to 50% of proje	ect cost, or		2 points	
No funding				0 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS					0

DEPT:	Office of Pa	arks and Recreation	_ Dat	e: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	ed by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT/MN)	
Einal D	anking No.	3	Project Name:	2496 Coolidae	Ave (Peralta Haciend	Total Daints	62
FIIIdi K	anking No.	<u>ა</u>	_ Project Name.	2496 Coolidge	Ave (Peraita Hacieno	(Total Points Available - 97)	62
PROJE	CT SCOPE	DESCRIPTION:				(Total Folits Available - 57)	
PENDI	NG					ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>rs:</u>
Major b	ouilding repa	air and restoration				Construction Cost	\$ 564,800
						Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 5,648
						Design	\$ 67,776
						Construction Management	\$ 39,536
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 11,296
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 33,888
l		9				Project Contingency	\$ 39,536
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 762,480
						·	
<u>Project</u>	Type:			e Funding Sources	: (Check all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost for	
		Buildings	☐ Grant			Operations & Maintenance	5,900
		Parks		<i>l</i> leasure			
		Fields		al Fund			
		Playgrounds	☐ Other:				
Instruct	<u>tion:</u>						
	COITCOIA	The Project will/has				May Dainta Available	Datina (Dainta
	CRITERIA:	The Project Will/lias				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLI	C SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	
	Safety: Co.	rrect conditions that are	safety and code i	deficiencies (e.a. s	eísmíc ungrade)	10 points	10
		mediate environmental				To points	10
	abatement.			,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5 points	5,
	Access: Ins	sure access to persons	with disabilities.			5 points	5
		rovide safety and secu		and the users (e.g	, site lighting, fencing,		
	gate, etc.)			` `	, G G,	5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL	PTS					25
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MAXIM	IIZE USE AI	ND PROGRAM SERVI	CES			Maximum 17 pts.	
		improve or expand pro		for an underserved	neighborhood	<u>.</u>	
	population		•		•	10 points	10
		improve or expand pro	grams or services	to at-risk vouth		6 points	6
		improve or expand pro			mmunity	1 point	1
	SUBTOTAL		<u> </u>			•	17
•							
COLLA		OPPORTUNITIES				Maximum 10 pts.	
	Provide nev	w collaborative program	is with outside pub	lic agencies (e.g. (DUSD)	5 points	5
		w collaborative program				5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL		·			· .	10
			1 1 1				
OPER/	ATION AND	MAINTENANCE EFFI	CIENCY			Maximum 20 pts.	
•	Provide ma	jor repairs/improvemer	its to an existing fa	cility, or		10 points	10
•	Provide mir	nor repairs and/or preve	entative maintenan	ce to an existing fa	acility	5 points	
		nts are expected to red				5 points	
•	Improveme	nts are expected to ger	nerate increased re	evenues for the Cit	y	5 points	
•	SUBTOTAL	PTS				,	10
		EXISTING RESOURCE				Maximum 15 pts.	
		ultural/historical/natural				10 points	
		hance cultural/historica				4 points	
		cultural/historical/natu	ral resources			1 points	
	SUBTOTAL	_ PTS					0
	CT ELINDIA	NG STATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
		funding available, or				Maximum 10 pts.	
		101011g available, or 1% to 100% project fun-	d available or			5 points	
		lable up to 50% of project					
			501 0081, 01			2 points	
	No funding SUBTOTAL					0 points	
	SUBTUTAL	. ۲ 1 5					0

DEPT: Office of	Parks and Recreation	Da	te: 10/2//2006 Prepa	red by PVVA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	
Cinal Dankins N	. 44	Drainet Name:	Dimend Dayle	Tatal Bainta	31
Final Ranking N	lo. <u>14</u>	Project Name:	Dimond Park	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	<u>ال</u>
PPO IECT SCO	PE DESCRIPTION:			(Total Points Available - 97)	
	nprovements for acces	s and identity		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	-S-
*Ensituale Ave	entry improvements fo	r accessibility and ide	ntity	Construction Cost	\$ 538,400
	ements at upper parkii		ricty	Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 5,384
	d directional signage	ig ioi alea		Design	\$ 64,608
vvayinding and	directional signage			Construction Management	\$ 37,688
				Inspection/Permits	\$ 10,768
					\$ 32,304
				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 37,688
				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 726,840
				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 120,04U
Project Type:		Evicting Available	le Funding Sources: (Check all that	t applie Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	r
Project Type: □	Buildings	☐ Grant	ie i driging Obdites. (Oneck all trial	Operations & Maintenance	· _
<u></u>	Parks		Measure	Operations a maintenance	
_	Fields		al Fund		
	Playgrounds	☐ Other:			
Instruction:	i laygrounus	D Guici.			
III GUUGUUII.					
CRITER	IA: The Project will/h	as		Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
		· ·			
PUBLIC SAFET	Y OR HEALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts.	
. 052. <u>0 0A. 21</u>	T OITHERE III			Warming 25 pts	
Safety:	Correct conditions that	t are safety and code	deficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	
			g. lead contamination, asbestos		
abateme	ent.etc.)	• •	-	5 points	
	Insure access to person			5 points	5
Security:	: Provide safety and s	ecurity of the property	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fe	encing,	
gate, etc	c.)			5 points	
SUBTOT	TAL PTS				5
MAXIMIZE USE	AND PROGRAM SE	RVICES		Maximum 17 pts.	
Project v	will improve or expand	programs or services	for an underserved neighborhood		
populatio				10 points	10
	will improve or expand			6 points	6
		programs or services	for the city-wide community	1 point	1
SUBTOT	TAL PTS				17
	_				
	IVE OPPORTUNITIES			Maximum 10 pts.	
			olic agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	
	new collaborative prog	rams with non-profit o	organizations.	5 points	
SUBTOT	TAL PTS				. 0
	ND MAINTENANCE E			Maximum 20 pts.	
	major repairs/improver			10 points	
			nce to an existing facility	5 points	5
	ments are expected to			5 points	
	ments are expected to	generate increased r	evenues for the City	5 points	
SUBTO	TAL PTS			<u> </u>	5
	OF EXISTING RESOU			Maximum 15 pts.	
	e cultural/historical/nati			10 points	
	/enhance cultural/histo		<u>s</u>	4 points	4
	new cultural/historical/n	aturai resources		1 points	
SUBTO	TAL PTS				4
PROJECT FUN				Maximum 10 pts.	
	ect funding available, o			10 points	
	50% to 100% project			5 points	
	vailable up to 50% of p	project cost, or		2 points	
No fundi				0 points	
SUBTOT	TAL PTS				0

DEPT: Office of Parks and Recreation	_ Da	te: 10/2//2006 Prepa	red by PVVA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	
Final Ranking No. 11	Project Name:	Durant Park	Total Points	41
Traditional state of the state		Data it i alik	(Total Points Available - 97)	71
PROJECT SCOPE DESCRIPTION:				
General park improvements	_		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
* Improve lighting and visibility to rear of	park		Construction Cost	\$ 355,360
* Replace/repair drinking fountain			Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 3,554
* Repair/replace play equipment			Design	\$ 42,643
			Construction Management	\$ 24,875
			Inspection/Permits	\$ 7,107
			Project Management/Admin.	\$ 21,322
			Project Contingency	\$ 24,875
			Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 479,736
Project Type:	Existing Available	le Funding Sources: (Check all that	applie Increase (Decrease) in cost for	r
☐ Buildings	☐ Grant		Operations & Maintenance	7,300
■ Parks	☐ Bond I	Measure		
☐ Fields	☐ Gener	al Fund		
□ Playgrounds	□ Other:			
Instruction:				
CRITERIA: The Project will/has			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
			With Tollie Freditorio	T total ig. 1 out to
PUBLIC SAFETY OR HEALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts.	·
Safety: Correct conditions that an	e safety and code	deficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	į
Health: Remediate environmenta			To points	-
abatement.etc.)		,	5 points	
Access: Insure access to persons	with disabilities.		5 points	5
Security: Provide safety and secu	rity of the property	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fe	ncing,	
gate, etc.)			5 points	5
SUBTOTAL PTS				10
			-	
MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVI			Maximum 17 pts.	
Project will improve or expand pro	grams or services	for an underserved neighborriood	10 mainta	10
population Project will improve or expand pro	arame or consider	to at rick youth	10 points 6 points	10 6
Project will improve or expand pro			1 point	
SUBTOTAL PTS	grams or services	Tot the only what dominantly	r pont	16
			-	
COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES			Maximum 10 pts.	
Provide new collaborative program			5 points	5
Provide new collaborative progran	ns with non-profit o	organizations.	5 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS				5
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFI	CIENCY		Maximum 20 pts.	
Provide major repairs/improvement		acility, or	10 points	10
Provide minor repairs and/or prevent	entative maintenar	nce to an existing facility	5 points	
Improvements are expected to rec			5 points	
Improvements are expected to ge	nerate increased re	evenues for the City	5 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS				10
PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURC	EQ		Maximum 15 pts.	
Preserve cultural/historical/natural			10 points	
Improve/enhance cultural/historica		e	4 points	
Create new cultural/historical/natu			1 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS			1 points	0
		-		
PROJECT FUNDING STATUS			Maximum 10 pts.	
Full project funding available, or			10 points	
Between 50% to 100% project fun			5 points	
Funds available up to 50% of project	ect cost, or		2 points	
No funding			0 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS				0

Final Ranking No. 10 Project Name: Glee Daniel King Total Points (10tal Points Available - 97) PROJECT SCOPE DESCRIPTION. Trail Improvements and first phase environmental restoration Resiliance Project Construction Services Se	DEPT: Office of P	arks and Recreation	<u> </u>	Date: 10/27/2006 Prepare			d by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)		
PROJECT SCOPE DESCRIPTION Trail improvements and first phase environmental restoration ESTIMATED PROJECT COSTS	Final Ranking No.	10	Project N	lame:			-	42	
Buildings			vironmental re	estoration			Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency	\$ 1,455,920 \$ 14,559 \$ 174,710 \$ 101,914 \$ 29,118 \$ 87,355 \$ 101,914	
PUBLIC SAFETY OR HEALTH RISK Safety: Correct conditions that are safety and code deficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade) Health: Remediate environmental health hazard (e.g. lead contamination, asbestos abatement.etc.) Access: Insure access to persons with disabilities. Security: Provide safety and security of the property and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing, gate, etc.) SUBTOTAL PTS MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community 10 points 10 points 11 point 1 SUBTOTAL PTS COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility or Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance oals Improvements are expected to educe on-going maintenance costs Freserve cultural/historical/natural resources 10 points Preserve cultural/historical/hatural resources 10 points Preserve cultural/historica		Buildings Parks Fields		Grant Bond M Genera	easure	heck all that applie			
Safety: Correct conditions that are safety and code deficiencies (e.g. selsmic upgrade) Health: Remediate environmental health hazard (e.g. lead contamination, asbestos abatement.etc.) Access: Insure access to persons with disabilities. Security: Provide safety and security of the property and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing, gate, etc.) SUBTOTAL PTS MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community 10 points 10 points 11 points Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility or Provide major repairs/improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance to an existing facility Substotal PTS OPERATION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/matural resources 10 points Improvee/enhance cultural/historical/matural resources 10 points Provide major repairs/instorical/matural resources 11 points Provide major repairs/instorical/matural resources 12 points Provide major repairs/instorical/matural resources 13 points Provide major repairs/instorical/matural resources	CRITERIA	: The Project will/h	as				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points	
Safety: Correct conditions that are safety and code deficiencies (e.g. selsmic upgrade) Health: Remediate environmental health hazard (e.g. lead contamination, asbestos abatement.etc.) Access: Insure access to persons with disabilities. Security: Provide safety and security of the property and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing, gate, etc.) SUBTOTAL PTS MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community 10 points 10 points 11 points Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility or Provide major repairs/improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance to an existing facility Substotal PTS OPERATION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/matural resources 10 points Improvee/enhance cultural/historical/matural resources 10 points Provide major repairs/instorical/matural resources 11 points Provide major repairs/instorical/matural resources 12 points Provide major repairs/instorical/matural resources 13 points Provide major repairs/instorical/matural resources	PURI IC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 nts		
Health: Remediate environmental health hazard (e.g. lead contamination, asbestos abatement.etc.) 5 points Access: Insure access to persons with disabilities. 5 points Access: Insure access to persons with disabilities. 5 points Security: Provide safety and security of the property and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing, gate, etc.) 5 points SUBTOTAL PTS 5 MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES Maximum 17 pts. Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population 10 points 10 Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth 6 points 6 Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community 1 point 1 SUBTOTAL PTS 17 COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES Maximum 10 pts. Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) 5 points 5 Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. 5 points 5 SUBTOTAL PTS 5 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Maximum 20 pts. Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or 10 points 10 Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility 5 points 10 Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City 5 points 5 SUBTOTAL PTS 10 PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 10 points 10 PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 11 points 1 SUBTOTAL PTS 5 PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Maximum 10 pts. Full project funding available, or 5 points 5 Full project funding available, or 5 points 5 Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 5 points 5 Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 5 points 5 Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 6 points 6 p							<u> </u>		
Access: Insure access to persons with disabilities. Security: Provide safety and security of the property and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing, gate, etc.) SUBTOTAL PTS MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Maximum 20 pts. Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide major repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS 10 PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURGES Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/hatural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/hatural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/hatural resources Full project funding available, or SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project fund available, or Subtotal points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding Opinits No funding Opinits Provide maior for provide maior funding available, or Substance of points Provide maior funding available, or Substance of points Provide maior funding available, or Substance of points Provide maior funding available, or Substance funding funding available or Substance fu	Health: Re	emediate environme	t are safety an ntal health ha:	id code d zard (e.g.	eficiencies (e.g. seism lead contamination, a	nic upgrade) asbestos			
gate, etc.) 5 points SUBTOTAL PTS 5 MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES	Access: In	sure access to perso					5 points		
SUBTOTAL PTS MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Maximum 20 pts. Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide minor repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PRESERVE cultural/historical/natural resources 10 points Provide new cultural/historical/hatural resources 10 points Improve/enhance cultural/historical/hatural resources 11 points Preserve cultural/historical/hatural resources 12 points SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or 10 points Entween 50% to 100% project fund available, or 10 points Full project funding available, or 10 points Provide ment and available or 10 points Provide ment are expected to sex provide ment and available, or 10 points Provide main to put the city of the	•	Provide safety and s	ecurity of the	property	and the users (e.g. site	e lighting, fencing,			
Project will improve or expand programs or services for an underserved neighborhood population Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth 6 points 6 Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community 1 point 1 SUBTOTAL PTS 17 COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES Maximum 10 pts. Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) 5 points 5 Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. 5 points SUBTOTAL PTS 5 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Maximum 20 pts. Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or 10 points 10 Provide major repairs/improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance to an existing facility 5 points Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City 5 points SUBTOTAL PTS 10 PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 10 points Improve/enhance cultural/historical/hatural resources 11 points 11 SUBTOTAL PTS 5 PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Maximum 10 pts. Full project funding available, or 5 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding 0 points		L PTS							
population Project will improve or expand programs or services to at-risk youth 6 points 6 project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community 1 point 1 SUBTOTAL PTS 17 COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES Maximum 10 pts. Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) 5 points 5 provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. 5 points SUBTOTAL PTS 5 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Maximum 20 pts. Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or 10 points 10 Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility 5 points Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs 5 points SUBTOTAL PTS 10 PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 10 points Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance on the City 5 points SUBTOTAL PTS 10 PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 10 points Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources 11 points 11 SUBTOTAL PTS 5 PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Maximum 10 pts. Full project funding available, or 5 points Funds available or 5 points Funds available up to 50% to 100% project fund available, or 5 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding 0 points				onios f	or an undersoned soi	abbarband	Maximum 17 pts.		
Project will improve or expand programs or services for the city-wide community SUBTOTAL PTS COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS COPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide minor repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance costs Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES PRESERVE cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Full project funding available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or OSDUSD 10 PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Provide main 10 points Provide main 10	population					gnoomood			
SUBTOTAL PTS COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS SUBTOTAL PTS COPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhanc									
COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS COPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance costs Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources In points UBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Maximum 10 pts. Full project funding available, or Full project funding available, or Substantial points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or Project funding O points Provide new cultural/historical/natural resources Project funding O points Provide new cultural/historical/natural resources Project funding O points Provide new cultural/historical/natural resources Project funding O points Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. Substantial points Substantia			programs or s	ervices f	or the city-wide comm	unity	1 point		
Provide new collaborative programs with outside public agencies (e.g. OUSD) 5 points Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS 5 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Maximum 20 pts. Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or 10 points 10 Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility 5 points Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs 5 points Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City 5 points SUBTOTAL PTS 10 PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 10 points Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources 4 points 4 Create new cultural/historical/natural resources 1 points 1 SUBTOTAL PTS 5 PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Maximum 10 pts. Full project funding available, or 10 points Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or 5 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding 0 points	3001018	LFIS							
Provide new collaborative programs with non-profit organizations. SUBTOTAL PTS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Inprove/enhance cultural/historical/natural reso							Maximum 10 pts.		
SUBTOTAL PTS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide major repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources In points SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or Points No funding Maximum 10 pts. SUBTOTAL PTS Available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding O points						D)			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIENCY Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or 10 points 10 Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility 5 points 10 Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs 5 points 10 Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City 5 points 10 PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Maximum 15 pts. Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 10 points 10 Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources 4 points 1 Create new cultural/historical/natural resources 11 points 1 SUBTOTAL PTS 5 PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Maximum 10 pts. Full project funding available, or 10 points 10 points 11 points 12 points 14 points 15 points 15 points 15 points 16 points 16 points 16 points 17 point			rams with nor	i-profit of	ganizations.		o points		
Provide major repairs/improvements to an existing facility, or Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Impr	0001017								
Provide minor repairs and/or preventative maintenance to an existing facility Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Create new cultural/historical/natural resources SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding Points 5 points 5 points 10 points									
Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Create new cultural/historical/natural resources 1 points 1 SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding Improvements are expected to reduce on-going maintenance costs Maximum 15 pts. Maximum 10 pts. Full project funding available, or 5 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding									
Improvements are expected to generate increased revenues for the City SUBTOTAL PTS PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Create new cultural/historical/natural resources SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding No funding 10 Maximum 15 pts. 4 Create new cultural/historical/natural resources 1 points 1 Maximum 10 pts. Full project funding available, or 5 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding						у			
PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Create new cultural/historical/natural resources SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding Maximum 15 pts. 4 points 1 points 5 Maximum 10 pts. 10 points									
Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Create new cultural/historical/natural resources SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 1 points 1 Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	SUBTOTA	L PT\$						10	
Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Create new cultural/historical/natural resources SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding Preserve cultural/historical/natural resources 1 points 1 Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	PROTECTION OF	EVICTING BESON	DCES				Marrimum 15 ata		
Improve/enhance cultural/historical/natural resources Create new cultural/historical/natural resources SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding No funding				<u> </u>					
SUBTOTAL PTS PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding SUBTOTAL PTS Maximum 10 pts 10 points 5 points 7 points 0 points								•	
PROJECT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or No funding Maximum 10 pts 10 points 5 points 2 points 0 points			atural resourc	es			1 points		
Full project funding available, or 10 points Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or 5 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding 0 points	SUBTOTA	L PTS						5	
Full project funding available, or 10 points Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or 5 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding 0 points	PROJECT FUNDI	NG STATUS					Maximum 10 nte		
Between 50% to 100% project fund available, or 5 points Funds available up to 50% of project cost, or 2 points No funding 0 points			or			·			
No funding 0 points	Between 5	0% to 100% project	fund available				5 points		
			roject cost, o	r					
							0 points	0	

Item:

DEPT	Office of Pa	irks and Recreation		Date	10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WR))
Final Ra	anking No.	9	Project Nan	na.	Jefferson Square F	Park	Total Points	47
1 11101 1 12	25 HUNG 140.		110,0001114		bonorson oquare i	ur.	(Total Points Available - 97)	
		DESCRIPTION:					_	
		s to expand program	ming and use.				ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>rs:</u>
	٠,	storage, bldg.					Construction Cost	\$ 1,578,940
* Restr							Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 15,789
	de skateboa						Design	\$ 189,473
		hance existing bask					Construction Survey	\$ 110,526
* Provid	de improvei	ments to existing ba	seball field and i	fencing	g		Inspection/Permits	\$ 31,579
							Project Management/Admin.	\$ 94,736
							Project Contingency	\$ 110,526
							Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,131,569
Project 1	Type:		Existing Av	ailahle	Funding Sources: (Cl	neck all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	r
		Buildings		rant	T dilding Coordoo, (O	TOOK OIL THE COPPIN	Operations & Maintenance	22,700
		Parks			easure		- por a	
		Fields		eneral				
	_	Playgrounds		ther:				
Instructi		r laygrounds					-	
								5 // (5 //
	CRITERIA:	The Project will/ha	18				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC	SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
_								
					eficiencies (e.g. seism		10 points	
			ital health hazar	d (e.g.	lead contamination, a	isbestos		
_	abatement.		201 15 1 2025				5 points	
		ure access to perso			1.11 2 2	1. 1	5 points	5
	-	rovide safety and se	ecurity of the pro	perty a	and the users (e.g. site	e lighting, tencing		ا _ ا
	gate, etc.)	DTO					5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL	. 118						10
МАХІМІ	IZE LISE AL	ND PROGRAM SER	NICES				Maximum 17 pts.	
				rices fo	or an underserved nei	ahborhood	triaximani 11 pts.	
	population	•	J			J	10 points	10
		improve or expand p	programs or serv	ices to	o at-risk youth		6 points	6
					or the city-wide comm	unity	1 point	1
	SUBTOTAL		Ţ.		•			17
		OPPORTUNITIES	211 4 2 1			D)	Maximum 10 pts.	
					ic agencies (e.g. OUS	D)	5 points	5
		v collaborative progr	ams with non-pi	ont or	ganizations.		5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL	PIS						10
OPERA	TION AND	MAINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.	
		jor repairs/improven		ing fac	cility, or	- ,	10 points	10
					e to an existing facility	/	5 points	
_		nts are expected to				<u></u>	5 points	
Ī	Improveme	nts are expected to	generate increas	sed rev	venues for the City		5 points	
	SUBTOTAL		Y		•			10
		EXISTING RESOUR					Maximum 15 pts.	
		ıltural/historical/natu					10 points	
_		hance cultural/histor		urces			4 points	
_		cultural/historical/na	aturai resources				1 points	
	SUBTOTAL	. 115						0
PROJE	CT FUNDIN	IG STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
		funding available, o	r				10 points	·
		% to 100% project f		r			5 points	
		able up to 50% of pr		-			2 points	
_	No funding	op 10 00 /0 01 pi	_,				0 points	
	SUBTOTAL	PTS					- pointo	0
`								تــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ

DEPT: Office	DEPT: Office of Parks and Recreation			te: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT))
Final Ranking	No. 9	Project	Namo:	Josie de la Cruz Park		Total Points	47
rillai Kaliking	NO. 3		Mairie.	Synthetic Turf Field		(Total Points Available - 97)	7/
PROJECT SO	OPE DESCRIPTION:					(101011 01110 1110 01)	
Convert existi	ng lawn to synthetic turf	field for mult	-purpose	use.		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>ΓS:</u>
						Construction Cost	\$ 463,360
						Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 4,634
						Design	\$ 55,603
						Construction Management	\$ 32,435
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 9,267
1						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 27,802
						Project Contingency	\$ 32,435
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 625,536
Duning A Town		Cvieties	Aveilebl	la Fundina Caurasa, (Chas	t all that applic	Increase (Decrease) is seet to	
Project Type:	Quildings		Grant	ie Funding Sources: (Chec	k air triat applit	Increase (Decrease) in cost fo Operations & Maintenance	3,700
_	Buildings Parks			Measure		Operations of Maintenance	3,700
.	Fields			al Fund			
	Playgrounds		Other:			_	
Instruction:							
CRITE	RIA: The Project will/h	as	•			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
							
PUBLIC SAF	ETY OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety	· Correct conditions that	t are safety a	nd code (deficiencies (e.g. seismic u	ingrade)	10 points	ļ
				g. lead contamination, asbe			
	nent.etc.)					5 points	
Acces	s: Insure access to perso	ons with disa	bilities.			5 points	ļ
	•	ecurity of the	property	and the users (e.g. site lig	hting, fencing		
gate, e						5 points	
SUBT	OTAL PTS						0
		D) ((050					
	SE AND PROGRAM SEI			for an implemental extent	a-b-od	Maximum 17 pts.	Ι
-		programs or	services	for an underserved neighb	ornoua	40 1-4-	ا م
popula				An at Malescouth		10 points	
	t will improve or expand					6 points	
		programs or	services	for the city-wide communit	у	1 point	
SOBIL	OTAL PTS						17
COLLABORA	TIVE OPPORTUNITIES	;				Maximum 10 pts.	
Provid	e new collaborative prog	rams with ou	tside pub	olic agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points	5
	e new collaborative prog					5 points	5
	OTAL PTS			_ _			10
	AND MAINTENANCE E					Maximum 20 pts.	
	e major repairs/improver					10 points	10
	e minor repairs and/or pr					5 points	
	rements are expected to					5 points	
	rements are expected to	generate inc	reased re	evenues for the City		5 points	5
SUBTO	OTAL PTS						20
PROTECTION	OF EXISTING RESOU	RCES				Maximum 15 pts.	
	ve cultural/historical/natu		<u>s</u>	10.111		10 points	
	/e/enhance cultural/histo			s	<u> </u>	4 points	
	new cultural/historical/n			• •		1 points	
	OTAL PTS					- Political	O
	INDING STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
	oject funding available, c					10 points	
	en 50% to 100% project					5 points	<u> </u>
	available up to 50% of p	project cost, i	<u>r</u>			2 points	
No fun					_	0 points	
SUBT	OTAL PTS						0

DEPT: Office of	Parks and Recreation	Da	te: 10/2//2006 Prepared by	PVA/OPR/Consultants (VVR)	MN)
Final Ranking No	o. 2	Project Name:	Leona Lodge Upgrade	Total Points	64
3				(Total Points Available - 97)	
	PE DESCRIPTION:			7	
Update/upgrade				ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
	I mold and dry rot issue	es		Construction Cost	\$ 1,054,928
* Seismic study	and upgrade			Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 10,549 \$ 126,591
* ADA upgrade		dli		Design	
Removal/repla	cement of damaged/ol-	d paneling		Construction Management Inspection/Permits	\$ 73,845 \$ 21,099
				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 63,296
				Project Contingency	\$ 73,845
				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,424,153
				,	* 1,121,122
Project Type:	- ""		le Funding Sources: (Check all that applied		
_	Buildings	☐ Grant	Manager	Operations & Maintenance	1,000
=	Parks		Measure		
	Fields		al Fund		
Instruction:	Playgrounds	□ Other:		-	
mondenon.					
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/ha	8		Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY	Y OR HEALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts.	
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Safety: C	correct conditions that	are safety and code	deficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	10
		tal health hazard (e.	g. lead contamination, asbestos		_[
abatemer				5 points	5
	nsure access to persor		the state of the s	5 points	5
-		curity of the property	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing		_
gate, etc. SUBTOTA				5 points	5 25
3061017	ALPIO				
MAXIMIZE USE	AND PROGRAM SER	VICES		Maximum 17 pts.	
			for an underserved neighborhood	•	
population	n			10 points	
	ill improve or expand p			6 points	6
		rograms or services	for the city-wide community	1 point	1
SUBTOTA	AL PTS	-			7
COLLABORATIV	VE OPPORTUNITIES			Maximum 10 pts.	
		ams with outside put	olic agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	5
	ew collaborative progra			5 points	5
SUBTOTA					10
	ID MAINTENANCE EF		1)te	Maximum 20 pts.	
Provide n	najor repairs/improvem	ents to an existing fa	acility, or	10 points	10
			nce to an existing facility	5 points	
	nents are expected to renerts are expected to or			5 points	5
SUBTOT		generate increased r	evenues for the City	5 points	5 20
3061017	ALFIS				20
PROTECTION O	F EXISTING RESOUR	RCES		Maximum 15 pts.	
Preserve	cultural/historical/natur	ral resources		10 points	
	enhance cultural/histori		S	4 points	
	ew cultural/historical/na	tural resources		1 points	
SUBTOTA	AL PTS				0
PROJECT FUND	NING STATUS			Maximum 10 pts.	
	ct funding available, or			Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	
	50% to 100% project fi		 	5 points	
	ailable up to 50% of pr			2 points	2
No fundin		ojeot oost, o i		0 points	
SUBTOTA	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	о рокка	2
300.017					

DEPT: Office of	Parks and Recreation		Date	: 10/27/2006	Prepared b	d by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)		
Final Ranking N	o. <u>12</u>	Projec	ct Name:	Madison Square	Park	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	36	
PROJECT SCO	PE DESCRIPTION:					(Total Folitis Available - 91)		
Long term impro community proc * Improve play * Address safet * Expand passi	ovements for community less is conducted and fi area and other uses for my through additional light we activities such as se as ping pong, tai-chi, et	nal design children hting, visib ating, ope	recommend	dations are made.		ESTIMATED PROJECT COS' Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	TS: \$ 2,087,680 \$ 20,877 \$ 250,522 \$ 146,138 \$ 41,754 \$ 125,261 \$ 146,138 \$ 2,818,370	
Duals A Tours		F:-4:-		F. and in a Course	(Charle all the steer and		_	
Project Type: □ □ □ □ □ □ Instruction:	Buildings Parks Fields Playgrounds		ng Available Grant Bond M General Other:	easure	Cneck all that appl	lie Increase (Decrease) in cost fo Operations & Maintenance	12,400	
CRITER	IA: The Project will/ha	ıs				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points	
	Y OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	Tracing/F onto	
	Correct conditions that					10 points		
	Remediate environmen	tal health i	hazard (e.g.	lead contamination	i, asbestos	E noints		
abateme Access:	Insure access to persor	ns with dis	abilities.			5 points 5 points		
	Provide safety and se			and the users (e.g.	site lighting,		_	
	gate, etc.)					5 points		
SUBTOT	AL PTS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			10	
MAXIMIZE USE	AND PROGRAM SER	VICES				Maximum 17 pts.		
Project v	vill improve or expand p		or services fo	or an underserved r	neighborhood			
population				at dala		10 points		
Project v	vill improve or expand p vill improve or expand p	rograms o	r services to	or the city-wide com	munity	6 points 1 point		
SUBTOT						1 500.1	11	
							<u> </u>	
	VE OPPORTUNITIES	246			ICD	Maximum 10 pts.		
	new collaborative programew collaborative programe.				JSD)	5 points 5 points		
SUBTO		21713 TYTETT	ion pront or	Junzations.		Оронна	5	
								
	ND MAINTENANCE EF			M1		Maximum 20 pts.		
	major repairs/improvem minor repairs and/or pre				ilitu	10 points 5 points		
	ments are expected to r					5 points		
	ments are expected to	generate ir	ncreased rev	enues for the City		5 points		
SUBTOT	AL PTS						10	
PROTECTION O	OF EXISTING RESOUR	CES				Maximum 15 pts.		
	cultural/historical/natu		es			10 points		
	enhance cultural/histor					4 points		
	ew cultural/historical/na	itural reso	urces			1 points		
SUBTOT	ALPIS						0	
PROJECT FUN	DING STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	ľ	
Full proje	ect funding available, or					10 points		
Between	50% to 100% project for	und availa				5 points		
Funds av	vailable up to 50% of pr	oject cost,	, or			2 points		
SUBTOT			· ·-			0 points	0	
	-							

DEPT: Office	or Parks and Recreatio	<u>on</u>	Date	e: 10/2//2006 Prepared	by PVVA/OPR/Consultants (VVR1)	<u> </u>
Final Ranking	No. 12	Project N	ama.	Montclair Park	Total Points	36
i iilai ivaiikiily	110.		airię.	HOHEIAH FAIR	(Total Points Available - 97)	
PROJECT SC	OPE DESCRIPTION:				(Total Forms Available - 57)	
		irculation within	the parl	k. Provide other improvements and	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	rs:
school interfac	e to the park.		·	·	Construction Cost	\$ 1,218,080
* Path from re	ecreation center to scho	ool and play are	as		Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 12,181
* Moraga Rd.	at pedestrian bridge la	nding to central	park are	ea	Design	\$ 146,170
* Accessible	oath from Mountain Roa	ad down into pa	rk		Construction Management	\$ 85,266
	e between park and sci				Inspection/Permits	\$ 24,362
* Improve pla	yground for accessibilit	y, safety, draina	ige, and	use	Project Management/Admin.	\$ 73,085
					Project Contingency	\$ 85,266
					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,644,410
Desired Tone		Eviatina (اطمانمينا	Funding Courses (Charle all that an	atio Ingresso (Degresso) is cost to	_
Project Type:	Buildings		Grant	e Funding Sources: (Check all that ap	Operations & Maintenance	r _
=	Parks		Bond M	Measure	Operations & Maintenance	
<u>-</u>	Fields		Genera			
	Playgrounds		Other:	ari aria		
Instruction:	i laygroundo	_	Quioi.	-		
CRITE	RIA: The Project will/h	has			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
DUD! 10 0 4 5	-D(0D UEA) TU DIOIG			•	M-1	
PUBLIC SAFE	ETY OR HEALTH RISK	\			Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety	: Correct conditions tha	at are safety and	d code d	deficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	
				lead contamination, asbestos	10 possible	
	nent.etc.)		. •		5 points	
Acces	s: Insure access to pers	sons with disabi	lities.		5 points	5
Securi	ty: Provide safety and	security of the p	roperty	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fenci	ng,	
gate, e					5 points	5
SUBTO	OTAL PTS					10
	SE AND PROGRAM SE		aniinaa f	for an undersonied pointherhood	Maximum 17 pts.	
popula	·	programs or se	ervices	for an underserved neighborhood	10 points	10
	t will improve or expand	1 programe or ea	anvicas t	to at-risk youth	10 points 6 points	10
				for the city-wide community	1 point	1
	OTAL PTS	a programa or a	3. 1.000	io no organización	, point	11
COLLABORA	TIVE OPPORTUNITIES	S			Maximum 10 pts.	
				lic agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	
Provide	e new collaborative pro-	grams with non-	-profit or	rganizations.	5 points	
SUBTO	OTAL PT\$					0
	AND MAINTENANCE E		·	-114	Maximum 20 pts.	40
	e major repairs/improve			ce to an existing facility	10 points	10
	e minor repairs and/or prements are expected to				5 points	
	rements are expected to				5 points	5
	OTAL PTS	o generate incre	ascu ic	verides for the City	5 points	15
- 30810	JIALITIS					15
PROTECTION	OF EXISTING RESOL	URCES			Maximum 15 pts.	
	ve cultural/historical/na				10 points	
	e/enhance cultural/hist		sources		4 points	
Create	new cultural/historical/	natural resource	es	1 110 100	1 points	
OLID T	OTAL PTS					0
SUBT						
SUBTO						
PROJECT FU	NDING STATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
PROJECT FU	oject funding available,				10 points	
PROJECT FU Full pro	oject funding available, en 50% to 100% projec	t fund available,			10 points 5 points	
PROJECT FU Full pro Between Funds	oject funding available, en 50% to 100% project available up to 50% of	t fund available,			10 points 5 points 2 points	
PROJECT FU Full pro Between Funds No fun	oject funding available, en 50% to 100% project available up to 50% of	t fund available,			10 points 5 points	0

DEPT: O	ffice of Parks and	Recreation	_	Date	10/27/2006		Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT) <u> </u>
Final Ran	king No	7	_Project Na	ame:	Morcom Rose	Garden		Total Points	50
PROJEC [*]	T SCOPE DESCRI	IPTION:						(Total Points Available - 97)	
PENDING		ii tioit.						ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	r s :
	eous repairs to pa	rk and select s	ite improve	ments				Construction Cost	\$ 1,473,120
	inage - engineerin							Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 14,731
* Entry cl	haracter	• , .						Design	\$ 176,774
	edding area at Gre	ater Florentine						Construction Management	\$ 103,118
	om repair and ADA							Inspection/Permits	\$ 29,462
	n system repairs	•						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 88,387
	upgrades for safe	etv						Project Contingency	\$ 103,118
	for rainwater capti							Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,988,710
Project Ty	vne.		Existing A	vailable	Funding Source	s: (Check	all that annie	Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	r
<u> </u>		8		Grant	anding codico	o. (Onoon	ан или иррпп	Operations & Maintenance	· _
		=		Bond Me	easure				
				General					
_		ınds		Other:	. una				
Instruction			_	0.1101.				_	
	DITEDIA: The Dro	ioot will/hoo						Max. Points Available	Pating/Paints
Ci	RITERIA: The Pro	Ject Will/lias						Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC S	SAFETY OR HEAL	LTH RISK						Maximum 25 pts.	
Sa	afety: Correct cond	ditions that are	safety and	code de	eficiencies (e.g.	seismic up	grade)	10 points	10
He	ealth: Remediate	environmental	health haza	ard (e.g.	lead contaminat	tion, asbes	stos		
	atement.etc.)							5 points	
	ccess: Insure acce							5 points	5
	ecurity: Provide sa	afety and secur	rity of the pr	operty a	nd the users (e.	g. site ligh	iting, fencing,		
	ite, etc.)	i.						5 points	5
SI	JBTOTAL PT\$								20
84 A VIBALT	E USE AND PROC	CDAM CEDVIC	~E0					Maximum 17 pts.	
	oject will improve			rvices fo	r an underserve	d neighbo	rhood	Maximum 17 pts.	
	pulation	or oxpand prog	,			.ugu		10 points	
	oject will improve	or expand proc	rams or se	rvices to	at-risk youth			6 points	
	oject will improve					ommunity		1 point	1
	JBTOTAL PTS	, , ,			,			•	1
	ORATIVE OPPOR		***		· · · · · ·	011000		Maximum 10 pts.	
	ovide new collabor					OUSD)		5 points	
	ovide new collabor	rative program	s with non-	profit org	anizations.			5 points	5
SI	JBTOTAL PTS								5
OPERATI	ON AND MAINTE	NANCE EFFIC	CIENCY					Maximum 20 pts.	
Pr	ovide major repair	s/improvemen	ts to an exi	sting fac	lity, or		1	10 points	
	ovide minor repair					facility		5 points	5
	provements are e							5 points	
	provements are e	xpected to gen	erate incre	ased rev	enues for the C	ity		5 points	. 5
SI	JBTOTAL PTS								10
PROTEC	TION OF EXISTIN	G RESOURCE	S					Maximum 15 pts.	
Pr	eserve cultural/his	torical/natural	resources					10 points	10
- Im	prove/enhance cu	Itural/historical	/natural res	ources			•	4 points	4
Cr	eate new cultural/l	historical/natur	al resource	s				1 points	
SI	JBTOTAL PTS								14
DBU IEU	T FUNDING STAT	119						Maximum 10 pts.	
_	Ill project funding a				****		 -	10 points	
	etween 50% to 100		available	or	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			5 points	
	inds available up t				,			2 points	
	o funding	o oo /o or proje	or odar, o r					2 points 0 points	
	JBTOTAL PTS						 -	o points	0
	JUI OTALI IU								

DEPT: Office of	of Parks and Recreation		Date:	10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	/MN)
Final Ranking	No. <u>4</u>	Project	Name:	Moss House		Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	58
PROJECT SC	OPE DESCRIPTION:					_	
PROGRAM PE	ENDING					ESTIMATED PROJECT COS	<u>ΓS:</u>
Architectural a	ssessment/rehabilitation	for accessil	oility and pro	ogram use.		Construction Cost	\$ 1,283,200
i						Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 12,832
						Design	\$ 153,984
						Construction Management	\$ 89,824
Ì						Inspection/Permits	\$ 25,664
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 76,992
						Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 89,824 \$ 1,732,320
Project Type:	5			Funding Sources: (C	heck all that applied	Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	
	Buildings		Grant			Operations & Maintenance	21,200
	Parks		Bond Me	asure			
	Fields		General I	Fund			
	Playgrounds		Other:				
Instruction:	• •					-	
CRITE	RIA: The Project will/ha					May Dainta Available	Detina/Deinte
CRITE	NA. The Project will/ha	3				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFE	TY OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety:	Correct conditions that a	are safety a	nd code det	ficiencies (e.g. seisn	nic upgrade)	10 points	10
	Remediate environment	ial health ha	azard (e.g. l	ead contamination,	asbestos		_
	ent.etc.)		L 11141			5 points	
	: Insure access to persor				- Kalatia - f	5 points	5
	y: Provide safety and see	curity of the	property ar	na the users (e.g. si	e lighting, tencing,		5
gate, et	TAL PTS					5 points	25
30010	TALT 13			· ·			25
MAXIMIZE US	E AND PROGRAM SER	VICES				Maximum 17 pts.	
Project	will improve or expand p	rograms or	services for	r an underserved ne	ighborhood		
<u>populat</u>	ion					10 points	
	will improve or expand p					6 points	
Project	will improve or expand p	rograms or	services for	r the city-wide comm	unity	1 point	1
SUBTO	TAL PTS		· ·				1
	TIVE OPPORTUNITIES	114				Maximum 10 pts.	,
	new collaborative progra				5D)	5 points	
	new collaborative progra	ams with no	n-profit orga	anizations.		5 points	
SUBTO	TAL PTS						0
OPERATION A	AND MAINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.	
	major repairs/improvem		visting facil	lity or		10 points	10
	minor repairs and/or pre				v	5 points	
	ements are expected to r						
	ements are expected to g					5 points	
		jenerate inc	reased reve	enues for the City		5 points	
SOBIC	TAL PTS						15
PROTECTION	OF EXISTING RESOUR	CES				Maximum 15 pts.	
	e cultural/historical/natur		s			10 points	10
Improve	e/enhance cultural/histori	cal/natural	resources			4 points	
	new cultural/historical/na					1 points	1
	TAL PTS					, points	15
30010	rardii I I V						10
PROJECT FUI	NDING STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
	ject funding available, or					10 points	
	n 50% to 100% project fu		e, or			5 points	
	available up to 50% of pro				· · · • ·	2 points	2
No fund						0 points	
	TAL PTS					2 50****	2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<u> </u>

DEPT: Office of Parks and Rec	reation Da	ite: 10/27/2006 Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	'MN)
Final Ranking No. 5	Project Name:	Rainbow Recreation Ctr Expansion	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	57
PROJECT SCOPE DESCRIPTI			_	
Expand building to accommoda * Front entrance redesign to be * Replace windows throughout * Create a computer lab room * ADA upgrade/access * Create an outdoor plaza area * Prove minor vegetative and a	ewelcoming for gatherings, BBQ, and a	ccess from the parking lot	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$
Project Type: ■ Buildings Parks □ Fields □ Playgrounds Instruction:	☐ Grant☐ Bond☐ Gener	Measure ral Fund	e Increase (Decrease) in cost fo Operations & Maintenance	r 14,300
CRITERIA: The Project	will/has		Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY OR HEALTH	RISK		Maximum 25 pts.	
		deficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade) g. lead contamination, asbestos	10 points	10
abatement.etc.)	ioninental health hazalu (e.	g. lead containmation, aspestos	5 points	
	o persons with disabilities.		5 points	5
-	and security of the property	y and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing,		_
gate, etc.) SUBTOTAL PTS			5 points	5 20
MAXIMIZE USE AND PROGRA Project will improve or e population		for an underserved neighborhood	Maximum 17 pts.	10
	xpand programs or services		6 points	6
	xpand programs or services	for the city-wide community	1 point	1
SUBTOTAL PTS				17
	e programs with outside pu		Maximum 10 pts. 5 points	5
SUBTOTAL PTS	e programs with non-profit	organizations.	5 points	5 10
30DIOIALF13				10
OPERATION AND MAINTENAL	NCE EFFICIENCY provements to an existing f	acility or	Maximum 20 pts. 10 points	10
Provide minor repairs ar	nd/or preventative maintena	nce to an existing facility	5 points	
	cted to reduce on-going mai		5 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS	cted to generate increased i	evenues for the City	5 points	10
PROTECTION OF EXISTING R	ESOURCES		Maximum 15 pts.	10
Preserve cultural/historic	cal/natural resources		10 points	
Improve/enhance cultura Create new cultural/histo	al/historical/natural resource	es	4 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS	oncalmatural resources		1 points	0
			Maximum 10 ste	
Full project funding avair	lable, or		Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	
	project fund available, or		5 points	
Funds available up to 50			2 points	
No funding			0 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS				0

DEPT: Office of Parks an	d Recreation	_ Dar	te: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	<u>'MN)</u>
Final Ranking No.	11	_Project Name:	Tassafaronga Rec Ce	enter	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	69
PROJECT SCOPE DESC	RIPTION:				(10121101111111111111111111111111111111	
Expand bldg, to accomme * Enlarge kitchen * Replace gym floor due * Expand facility/program * Provide Game room, la * Improve visibility and si * Provide outdoor gather	to water damage to accommodate rger open-space ite control for stat	e. changing neigh rooms ff through entry m	borhood (new housing) odifications and office loca	ation	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,326,598 \$ 23,266 \$ 279,192 \$ 162,862 \$ 46,532 \$ 139,596 \$ 162,862 \$ 3,140,908
Project Type: ■ Buildir ■ Parks □ Fields □ Playgo	•	☐ Grant ☐ Bond M	e Funding Sources: (Chec Measure al Fund	k all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost fo Operations & Maintenance	22,000
CRITERIA: The P	roject will/has				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY OR HE	ALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	-
			deficiencies (e.g. seismic p. lead contamination, asb		10 points	10
abatement.etc.)	e enviolinental	rieditir riazaru (e.ţ	j. icau contamination, asb	Calua	5 points	5
Access: Insure ac	cess to persons	with disabilities.			5 points	5
Security: Provide gate, etc.)	safety and secui	rity of the property	and the users (e.g. site li	ghting, fencing,	5 points	5
SUBTOTAL PTS						25
MAXIMIZE USE AND PR	OGRAM SERVI	~E@			Maximum 17 pts.	
			for an underserved neight	borhood	Maximum 17 pts.	
population			·		10 points	10
Project will improv					6 points	6
Project will improv	e or expand prog	grams or services	for the city-wide communi	ty	1 point	1 17
SUBTOTALFIS						17
COLLABORATIVE OPPO					Maximum 10 pts.	
			lic agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points	5
Provide new collal SUBTOTAL PTS	borative program	s with non-profit o	rganizations.		5 points	5 10
SUBTUTALFIS						10
OPERATION AND MAIN	TENANCE EFFIC	CIENCY			Maximum 20 pts.	
Provide major rep	airs/improvemen	ts to an existing fa	cility, or		10 points	10
Improvements are			ce to an existing facility		5 points 5 points	
			evenues for the City		5 points	5
SUBTOTAL PTS						15
PROTECTION OF EXIST	INC PERCURCE	-0			Maximum 15 pts.	
Preserve cultural/					10 points	
Improve/enhance			3		4 points	
Create new cultura	al/historical/natur	al resources			1 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS						0
PROJECT FUNDING STA	ATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
Full project fundin		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			10 points	
Between 50% to 1	00% project fund				5 points	
Funds available u	p to 50% of proje	ct cost, or			2 points	2
No funding					0 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS						2

DEPT: Office of	Parks and Recreation	n Date	:: <u>10/27/2006</u> Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	<u> </u>
Final Ranking N	108	Project Name:	Officer Willie Wilkins Park	_Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	49
PROJECT SCO	PE DESCRIPTION:			(Total Control Control	
	vation and improveme	ents.		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>Γ\$:</u>
* Play area				Construction Cost	\$ 1,867,329
* Restroom and				Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 18,673
* Par course, fit	tness equipment, jogg	ing track course		Design	\$ 224,079
	nic and gathering area			Construction Management	\$ 130,713
* Address safet	ty with lighting, remova	al of vegetation, open a	reas	Inspection/Permits	\$ 37,347
* Provide park				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 112,040
* Preserve mati	ure heritage trees			Project Contingency	\$ 130,713
				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,520,894
Project Type:			Funding Sources: (Check all that appli		
	Buildings	☐ Grant		Operations & Maintenance	16,500
	Parks	☐ Bond M			
	Fields	☐ Genera	Fund		
la eta cationi	Playgrounds	☐ Other:		_	
Instruction:					
CRITER	IA: The Project will/h	nas		Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFET	Y OR HEALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety: (Correct conditions tha	t are safety and code d	eficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	
			lead contamination, asbestos	TO POINTS	
abateme				5 points	
	Insure access to pers		and the coop (a a site lighting foreign	5 points	5
gate, etc		security of the property	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing	, 5 points	5
SUBTOT			·	о рошио	10
					
	AND PROGRAM SE			Maximum 17 pts.	
Project v	will improve or expand	programs or services f	or an underserved neighborhood		
population				10 points	10
		programs or services t		6 points	6
		programs or services f	or the city-wide community	1 point	1
SUBTOT	IAL PIS				17
COLLABORATI	IVE OPPORTUNITIES	3		Maximum 10 pts.	
			ic agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	5
		grams with non-profit or		5 points	
SUBTOT	TAL PTS			•	5
OPERATION A	ND MAINTENANCE E	FFICIENCY		Maximum 20 pts.	
Provide i	major repairs/improve	ments to an existing fac	cility, or	10 points	10
Provide i	minor repairs and/or p	reventative maintenand	e to an existing facility	5 points	
Improve	ments are expected to	reduce on-going maint	enance costs	5 points	
Improve	ments are expected to	generate increased re	venues for the City	5 points	5
SUBTOT	TAL PTS				15
PROTECTION (OF EXISTING RESOU	IRCES		Maximum 15 pts.	
	e cultural/historical/nat			10 points	
		prical/natural resources		4 points	
	new cultural/historical/n			1 points	
SUBTOT					0
PROJECT FUN	DING STATUS ect funding available, o	07		Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	
	50% to 100% project			5 points	
	vailable up to 50% of p		-	2 points	3
No fundi		project cost, v i		2 points 0 points	2
SUBTOT				o politis	2
300101	INCLID				

DEPT: Office of Par	ks and Recreation	_ Date	e: <u>10/27/2006</u> Prepared	by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)
Final Ranking No.	17	Project Name:	William Wood Dog Park	Total Points	21
_		_		(Total Points Available - 97)	
PROJECT SCOPE					
Provide a new dog p	oark.			ESTIMATED PROJECT COS	
				Construction Cost	\$ 969,456
				Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 9,695
				Design	\$ 116,335
				Construction Management	\$ 67,862
				Inspection/Permits	\$ 19,389
				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 58,167
				Project Contingency	\$ 67.862
1				, ,	
				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,308,766
Project Type:		Existing Available	Funding Sources: (Check all that ap	plie Increase (Decrease) in cost fo	or
	Buildings	☐ Grant		Operations & Maintenance	7,100
	Parks	☐ Bond M	leasure	•	
_	Fields	☐ Genera			
	Playgrounds	☐ Other:	, , sile		
	- laygrounds	LI Other.			
Instruction:					
CRITERIA:	The Project will/has			Max, Points Available	Rating/Points
	•				
PUBLIC SAFETY O	R HEALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts.	
Cofotus Corr	ant conditions that ar	a safaty and goda d	eficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 nainta	}
			. lead contamination, asbestos	10 points	_
abatement.e		, nealth nazard (e.g.	. lead containination, aspestos	5 points	
	ire access to persons	with dischilities		5 points	
Access: insu	ire access to persons	with disabilities.	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fenci	5 points	- 5
	ovide safety and secu	inty of the property	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fenci		ا _
gate, etc.)				5 points	
SUBTOTAL	PTS				10
	D PROGRAM SERVI			Maximum 17 pts.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	nprove or expand pro	grams or services t	or an underserved neighborhood		
population				10 points	
	nprove or expand pro			6 points	
Project will in	nprove or expand pro	grams or services f	or the city-wide community	1 point	1
SUBTOTAL	PTS				6
COLLABORATIVE				Maximum 10 pts.	
			ic agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	
Provide new	collaborative progran	ns with non-profit or	ganizations.	5 points	
SUBTOTAL	PTS	······			0
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
OPERATION AND I	VIAINTENANCE EFFI	CIENCY		Maximum 20 pts.	
	or repairs/improvemen		cility, or	10 points	
			ce to an existing facility	5 points	
	ts are expected to rec			5 points	
	ts are expected to rec			5 points	
		lerate increased re	venues for the City	5 points	
SUBTOTAL	PIS				5
DDOTECTION OF F	XISTING RESOURC	F6		Marian va 45 ata	
	tural/historical/natural		· · ·	Maximum 15 pts.	
				10 points	
	ance cultural/historica			4 points	
	cultural/historical/natu	rai resources		1 points	
SUBTOTAL	PTS				0
PROJECT FUNDING	C STATUS			Maximum 40 min	
				Maximum 10 pts.	<u></u>
	unding available, or	d available +-		10 points	+
	% to 100% project fun			5 points	
	ible up to 50% of proj	ect cost, or		2 points	
No funding				0 points	
SUBTOTAL	PTS				0

PARK PROJECT PRIORITIZATION PROPOSED PARK PROJECTS BY DISTRICT

Updated 10/23/2006

DISTR.	PARK NAME	LOCATION	<u>PROJ.</u> TYPE	DESIRED SCOPE	COMMENTS
1	Bushrod Park - General Improvements	569-59th Ave.	Park	Potential Elements: * Running track at upper Bushrod Fields * Possible dog park within park space at transition between upper and lower field * HC accessible path at Shattuck entry * Path improvement from Tennis Ct. to Comm. Ctr. * Landscaping, picnic area, tree planting, plaza/fountain outside or adjacent to Comm. Ctr. * Coffee kiosk along Shattuck Entry.	See May 2004 Alternatives booklet from UC Student's work.
1	Bushrod Park - Soccer Field	569-59th Ave.	Field	Create a new synthetic-turf soccer field with proper drainage. Joint use site on OUSD property (Washington Elem. School).	Need to develop lease or joint use agreement for use of OUSD property. OPR to use for after-school programs and weekend uses.
1	Caldecott Trail to Skyline Blvd.		Trails	Improve existing trail from North Oakland Sports Field to Skyline Blvd.	Difficult topography to meet accessiblity.
2	Clinton Park	1250 6th Ave. & E. 1	2¹ Park	Has an existing community-driven Master Plan. Update community plan and seek implementation funding.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2	Madison Square Park	9th Street and Madis	o Park	Urgent need to meet Tai-Chi and Senior users being displaced by BART Admin. Bldg. demolition as well as determine long-term park plan.	
	Morcom Rose Garden	700 Jean St.	Park	Need new drainage system, repair PWA era rock wall, enhance site lighting. Formalize community developed plan for implementation. Address security and visibility of the garden.	

Attachment C Page 1 of 4 City Council
December 19, 2006

PARK PROJECT PRIORITIZATION PROPOSED PARK PROJECTS BY DISTRICT Updated 10/23/2006

DISTR.	PARK NAME	LOCATION	<u>PROJ.</u> TYP <u>E</u>	DESIRED SCOPE	COMMENTS
3	Jefferson Square	618 Jefferson St.	Park	Demolition of existing storage bldg. Conflicting desired park use for community open space vs. skatepark interest. OPR scope to combine community desired elements and skateboarder interests. Keep existing ball field, upgrade/replace existing tot lot, continue to have a basketball court, add new restrooms, and provide a skatepark on part of the park property.	Homeless encampment issue; site is isolated.
3	Moss House	3612 Webster St.	Bldg.	Architectural assessment for accessiblity and program usability.	
	Urban Mini-Parks: Durant Park, 25th St.	29th St. @ MLK Jr. W	Park	Prepare improvements/concept plan for Durant park.	25th St. already has existing concept plan and cost.
4	Brookdale Park	2535 High Street	Park	Council Office's preliminary survey calls for children's play areas, add'l basketball court, picnic and gathering spaces, security lighting and access.	No specific scope yet.
4	Dimond Park	3860 Hanly Rd.	Park	Entry way improvements for ADA accessiblity: *Various park improvements for access and identity. *Fruitvale Ave. entry improvements for accessibility and identity *Access improvements at upper parking lot area *Wayfinding and directional signage	Various sides of entry. Cannon St. side has ADA access. Fruitvale side has no access from parking lot. Need to look at 3 diff. entries and incl. accessibility for all.
4	Montclair Park ADA accessible path	6300 Moraga Ave.	Park	Accessible path from Mountain Road (Montclair School) down to Park area and from Albertsons (Moraga Rd.) down to Park area.	
5	Josie De La Cruz Park - Syn. Turf	1637 Fruitvale Ave.	Field	Convert existing lawn to synthetic turf field for multi- purpose use.	Consider maintainability and durability issues.

Attachment C Page 2 of 4

PARK PROJECT PRIORITIZATION PROPOSED PARK PROJECTS BY DISTRICT

Updated 10/23/2006

DISTR.	PARK NAME	LOCATION	PROJ. TYPE	DESIRED SCOPE	COMMENTS
5	Coolidge House at Peralta Hacienda Historical Park	2496 Coolidge Ave.	Bldg.	Evaluation & assessment of improvements required for program use.	Master Plan for the park keeps the existing house. Existing water intrusion issues in basement/crawl space as well as significant building system and code deficiencies.
5	William Wood Park	2920 McKillop Rd.	Park	Provide a new dog park.	
6	City Stables	13560 Skyline Blvd.	Park	Pending concessionaire agreement status, provide concept plans to maintain equestrian activities along with community park use elements, such as agriculture/gardening area, nature study and educational centers, potential retreat facilities, picnic areas, children's play areas, etc.	Pending status of concessionaire/operator agreement before determining scope. Struc'l assessmt only avail for the barns.
6	Leona Lodge Upgrade	4444 Mountain Blvd.	Bldg.	Update/upgrade existing facility: Potential mold and dry rot issues. Seismic upgrade, ADA upgrade. Old paneling requiring removal/replacement.	
<u> </u>	Rainbow Recreation Ctr. Expansion	5800 International Blv	≀Bldg.	Consider building expansion for programs. Front entrance redesign to be welcoming. Replacement of windows throughout. Create a computer lab room. ADA upgrade/access.	Location of center is not well integrated with access and parking. Consider overall bldg. access in relation to the park. Security/visibility concerns.
7	Glen Daniel King Estates Trails	Fontaine Street	Trails	Trail improvements and assoc. drainage improvements.	

Attachment C Page 3 of 4

City Council
December 19, 2006

PARK PROJECT PRIORITIZATION
PROPOSED PARK PROJECTS BY DISTRICT
Updated 10/23/2006

DISTR.	PARK NAME	<u>LOCATION</u>	PROJ. TYPE	DESIRED SCOPE	COMMENTS
7	Officer Willie Wilkins (Elmhurst) Park	9700 Cherry Street	Park Bldg.	Re-design to make park user friendly and inviting for public. Provide visibility. Look at relocating or better locate elements within the park. Possibly adding par course, fitness equipment, track course. Existing amphitheater requires review of its location and use. Need new play equipment and upgrade or replace existing restrooms. Potentially adding picnic area and walking tracks.	
7	Tassafaronga Rec. Center	975 - 85th Ave.	Bldg.	Upgrades: Enlarge kitchen; replace gym floor due to water damage; expand facility/program to accommod. changing neighborhood (new housing); Provide a game room, larger open-space rooms; upgrade entry and make more visible/welcoming.	
At Large	Chinese Garden	7th and Harrison Stre	e∈ Park	Irrigation & Landscape improvements	···
At Large	Carter Middle School Park Conversion	4521 Webster St.	Park	OUSD plans to use the building for administration. City & community desire to convert remaining open space to a park/sport fields.	Issue of land control and commitment from OUSD to use site as a park.
Ttl # Proj	23				

Attachment C Page 4 of 4

City Council December 19, 2006

Attachment D

On-Going Park Capital Improvement Projects:

Existing Projects	District	Additional	Existing Fund Sources	Current
		Funding		Funding
		Needed		Amount
25 th Street Mini Park	3	\$435,000	None	None
Clinton Park – Tot Lot	2	\$138,000	• Prop. 40 RZH Grant	\$275,000
			Workforce Housing	;
			Grant	
Dunsmuir House –	7	Cost estimate	• Prop. 40 Per Capita	\$70,000
Misc. Repairs		pending	Block Grant	
Leveling Playing Fields	City-	\$1,300,000	• Prop. 40 Youth Soccer	\$1,164,000
	wide		& Rec. Grant	
			Raiders Surcharge	
			Fund	
Lincoln Square Park	2	\$2,235,600	None	None
Peralta Hacienda	5	\$5,000,000	• Prop. 40 MH Grant	\$814,3000
Historical Park			• Comm. Dev. Block	
			Grant (CDBG)	
Raimondi Park	3	\$4,000,000	• Prop. 40 MH Grant	\$2,700,000
			CIWMB Recycled	
			Rubber Surf.	
			• Friends of Parks &	
			Rec. Grants	
Tot Lot Re-surfacing	City-	\$1,600,000	• Prop. 12 Per Capita	\$148,000
	wide		Block Grant	

Attachment D

Measure DD Program On- Going Projects:

Existing Projects	Additional Funding Needed	District	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Amount
East Oakland Sports	\$37,000,000	7	Measure DD	\$16,000,000
Complex			Measure I	
Lake Merritt and Lake	\$52,000,000*	2, 3	Measure DD	\$115,250,000
Merritt Channel Projects				

- 7th Street Flood Control Station
- 10th Street Bridge
- 12th Street Improvement
- Children's Fairyland
- Cleveland Cascade
- E 18th St. Pier Restoration
- East 18th St Gateway
- Lakeshore Pergola/Colonnade
- LM Fire Protection Lakeside Park
- LM Lakeside Park Central Irrigation Control
- LM El Embarcadero
- Municipal Boathouse
- Other Channel/Shoreline Imp.
- Pathway Imp. Lakeshore Avenue Path Impr.

Waterfront Trail	\$53,000,000**	2, 3,	Measure DD	\$53,000,000
		5, 7		

- 66th Ave. Gateway
- Alameda Avenue Trail
- Cryer Site
- Derby Avenue Trail
- Fruitvale Bridge
- High St. Bridge Trail
- Lancaster St. to Fruitvale Br. Trail
- Lancaster St. Trail
- Livingston Pier
- Oakland Women's Museum Trail
- Park Street Bridge
- Park Street Triangle Traffic Study
- Pier 29 Restaurant
- Union Point Park
- US Audio/Capture Technologies

Item:	
	City Council
Dece	ember 19, 2006

Attachment D

Existing Projects	Additional Funding Needed	District	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Amount
Waterfront Environ Remediation Cry				:
Watershed Preservation/ Acquisition	\$7,700,000	City- wide	Measure DD	\$10,000,000

^{*} Lake Merritt project costs increased from \$47,000,000

** Waterfront Trail project costs reflect trails along the Oakland Estuary to San Leandro Bay. The cost of \$10 million in the Legislative Agenda report reflects only trail projects under three Alameda bridges.



Approved as to Form and Legality

OFFICE CT THE CASE OF CREE

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL 2006 DEC -7

006 DEC -7 PM 5:56

RESOLUTION No:	C.M.S.

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A PARKS PROJECT PRIORITIZATION LIST FOR CITY OF OAKLAND PARK CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

WHEREAS, the City of Oakland desires to establish a prioritization list of park capital improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, an established parks project prioritization list would serve as the basis for seeking project funding and for project implementation; and

WHEREAS, the City established evaluation criteria for park capital improvement projects on July 20, 2004, in Resolution No. 78747 C. M.S.; and

WHEREAS, funds were approved on December 20, 2005, in Resolution No. 79638 C.M.S. to proceed with evaluation and analysis to compile a prioritized project list; and

WHEREAS, each member of the Council Office could submit up to three projects for analysis and a total of 23 projects were submitted; and

WHEREAS, the projects submitted by Council members have been evaluated and analyzed; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the Oakland City Council approves and adopts the park capital improvement project prioritization list for parks and recreational facilities set forth in Attachment A and authorizes the City Administrator to apply for funds for and to implement projects on the ranked prioritization list without further action by the City Council; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the parks prioritization list shall be submitted to the City Council for review every two years beginning in Fiscal Year 2009-11 in conjunction with the City budget process.

IN COUNCIL,	OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,			, 2006			
PASSED BY	THE FOLLOWING VOTE:						
AYES -	BRUNNER, KERNIGHAN, PRESIDENT OF THE COUN	•			REID,	CHANG,	AND
NOES-	TREGIDENT OF THE GOOD	IOIL DL LA	OENIE				
ABSENT -							
ABSTENTION	1 –	ATTEST:		NIDA GUA			
			1 4 7 7	ARRIO A CILAR	ONIC		

City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California

ATTACHMENT A

City of Oakland Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization Summary Nov-06

Rank						ition System				O&M Cost		
				Project Budget	Public Safety or Health Risk	Maximize Use and Program Services	Collaborative Opportunities	Operation And Maintenance Efficiency	Protection of Existing Resources	Project Funding Status	Total Point	Increase (Decrease)
					25	17	10	20	15	10	97	
1	Tassafaronga Rec. Center	7	\$	3,140,908	25	17	10	15	0	2	69	\$22,000
2	Leona Lodge Upgrade*	6	\$	1,424,153	25	7_	10	20	0	2	64	\$1,000
3	2496 Coolidge Ave (Peralta Hacienda Historical Park)*	5	\$	762,480	25	17	10	10	0	0	62	\$6,000
4	Moss House*	3	\$	1,732,320	25	1_	0	15	15	2	58	\$21,200
5	Rainbow Recreation Ctr. Expansion	6	\$	1,439,640	20	17	10	10	0	0	57	\$14,300
6	City Stables*	6	\$	17,522,869	20	7	0	10	14	2	53	TBD
7	Morcom Rose Garden	2	\$	1,988,710	20	1_	5	10	14	0	50	\$0
8	Officer Willie Wilkins (Elmhurst) Park	7	\$	2,520,894	10	17	5	15	0	2	49	\$16,500
9_	Jefferson Square Park	3	\$	2,131,569	10	17	10	10	0	0	47	\$22,700
9	Josie De La Cruz Park - Syn. Turf	5	\$	625,536	0	17	10	20	0	0	47	\$3,800
10	Bushrod Park - General Improvements	1	\$	2,802,125	10	17	10	5	0	0	42	\$ <u>15,400</u>
10	Clinton Park	2	\$	1,825,572	5	17	10	10	0	0	42	\$12,400
10	Brookdale Park	4	\$	2,079,594	10	17	10	5	0	0	42	\$7,500
10	Glen Daniel King Estates Trails	7	\$	1,965,490	5	17	5	10	5	0	42	\$7,400
11	Durant Park - Urban Mini Park	3	\$	479,736	10	16	5	10	0	0	41	\$7,300
12	Madison Square Park	2	\$	2,818,370	10	11_	5	10	0	0	36	\$12,400
12	Montclair Park	4	\$	1,644,410	10	11_	0	15	0	0	36	\$0
13	(Washington Elem. School)	1	\$	3,225,150	5	17	10	0	0	0	32	TBD
14	Dimond Park	4	\$	726,840	5	17	0	5	4	0	31	\$0_
14	Chinese Garden	At Large	\$	1,289,790	5	11	0	5	10	0	31	\$18,200
15	Caldecott Trail to Skyline Blvd.	1	\$	1,405,730	5	1_	0	10	14	0	30	\$1,900
16	Carter Middle School	At Large	\$	3,005,298	5	7_	10	0	0	0	22	TBD
17	William Wood Park	5	\$	1,308,766	10	6_	0	5	0	0	21	\$7,100

*O&M cost increase (or decrease) for the site may vary depending on usage and programs for the specific sites.

TBD - The O&M for sites owned by OUSD depends on final real property agreement.

Item:	
	City Council
De	ecember 19, 2006