**CITY OF OAKLAND** 

BILL ANALYSIS

2036 55

Date: Thursday, February 16, 2006

Bill Number: AB 1101

Bill Author: Oropeza, Horton

## **DEPARTMENT INFORMATION**

Contact: Nancy Humphrey, Environmental Program Specialist Department: PWA/Environmental Services Division Telephone: 238-6259 FAX # 238-7286 E-mail: nhumphrey@oaklandnet.com

**RECOMMENDED POSITION:** Support if Amended

## Summary of the Bill

Under existing law, certain facilities are required to prepare inventories of their air emissions and submit the inventories to local air districts. The air districts prioritize these facilities based on the magnitude and toxicity of their emissions, and high priority facilities are required to perform health risk assessments to determine the maximum probable health risks to the public exposed to the facility's emissions. Each district board has established a risk threshold at which facilities must notify the public of potential health risks, and state law also requires that very high risk facilities reduce their risks to acceptable levels within five years or cease operation. Typically, the facilities that have done this are stationary sources. Stationary sources as large as petroleum refineries and as small as gas stations and dry cleaners have been required to comply with this law.

AB 1101 would subject "diesel magnet sources" (those facilities that attract large numbers of diesel-fueled vehicles) to these same requirements. The facilities affected by the bill are large ports, airports, and rail yards. The key difference is that these facilities would be responsible for diesel emissions from all vehicles related to their facility, including those not owned or operated by the facility itself.

The bill would give regional districts such as the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) the authority to establish region-specific standards and guidelines. It would require affected facilities to submit emissions inventories to the regional districts, and the facilities with the highest emissions levels would also need to make their emissions inventories public, and would be required to reduce the risk to the public from these emissions over time, or cease operations.

The legislative analysis of this bill points out that existing regulations covering stationary sources could be used to regulate diesel magnet sources as well, although this is not the current practice of the Air Resources Board. The bill text and legislative analysis are attached.





## Suggested Amendments

As currently written, AB 1101 provides little guidance on what methodology the required health risk assessments should apply. While allowing some local discretion in this matter seems reasonable, there ought to be state-wide consistency with respect to two basic modeling assumptions: (1) acceptable cancer risk (e.g., one in one hundred thousand or one in one million); and (2) the geographical extent to which off-site impacts must be considered (e.g., only at the diesel magnet facility itself, within one mile radius of the facility, etc.). Absent such agreement on basic modeling assumptions, this legislation may be enforced inequitably.

## **Positive Factors for Oakland**

Passage and enactment of AB 1101 would likely ensure that Oakland residents have access to more detailed information than is currently available regarding the potential health risks posed by nearby diesel magnet facilities, such as the Port of Oakland. Depending on the levels of emissions by Oakland's diesel magnet facilities and how BAAQMD interprets the risk from these emissions, diesel magnet facilities may be required to reduce air emissions in Oakland.

## **Negative Factors for Oakland**

AB 1101 sets very few parameters for the risk assessments that are to be performed. Additionally, the shift to local control of diesel emission limits may create a situation in which different areas of the state are subject to significantly different standards. Were BAAQMD to apply very broad parameters to the risk assessment process or enforce relatively much stricter standards, Oakland might experience job losses.

## PLEASE RATE THE EFFECT OF THIS MEASURE ON THE CITY OF OAKLAND:

- **Critical** (top priority for City lobbyist, city position required ASAP)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Very Important (priority for City lobbyist, city position necessary)
- x Somewhat Important (City position desirable if time and resources are available)
- \_\_\_\_ Minimal or \_\_\_\_ None (do not review with City Council, position not required)

## Known support:

California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (sponsor) American Lung Association Bay Area Air Quality Management District Sacramento Air Quality Management District South Coast Air Quality Management District

### **Known Opposition:**

APM Terminals California Chamber of Commerce California Manufacturers & Technology Association California Railroad Association California Trade Coalition California Trucking Association

Respectfully Submitted,

for R& 11

Raul Godinez, II Director, Public Works Agency

Approved for Forwarding to the Rules & Legislation Committee:

Office of City Administrate



#### AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JANUARY 26, 2006

#### AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JANUARY 9, 2006

#### AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 27, 2005

#### AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 31, 2005

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE-2005-06 REGULAR SESSION

#### ASSEMBLY BILL

## No. 1101

#### Introduced by Assembly Member Oropeza Members Oropeza and Jerome Horton

February 22, 2005

An act to amend Sections 44320, 44322, 44342, 44360, 44390, and 44391 of, and to add Sections 44303.5, 44323.5, 44395, and 44396 to, the Health and Safety Code, relating to air pollution.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1101, as amended, Oropeza. Air pollution: diesel magnet sources.

(1) Existing law imposes various limitations on emissions of air contaminants for the control of air pollution from vehicular and nonvehicular sources. Existing law generally designates the State Air Resources Board as the state agency with the primary responsibility for the control of vehicular air pollution, and air pollution control districts and air quality management districts with the primary responsibility for the control of air pollution from all sources other than vehicular sources, including stationary sources. The Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act of 1987 requires the state board to compile a list of substances that present a chronic or acute threat to public health when present in the ambient air, subjects



<sup>95</sup> 

certain facilities to the act, according to a schedule, and requires the operator of a subject facility to prepare and submit to an air district a proposed comprehensive emissions inventory plan, for approval by the district. The act requires an air district to prepare an industrywide emissions inventory for certain facilities. The act, under certain circumstances, requires a facility operator to conduct a facility toxic air contaminant risk reduction audit and to develop an emissions reduction plan.

This bill would make a facility that is a diesel magnet source, as defined, subject to the act. The bill would require the state board, on or before July 1, 2007, in consultation with the air districts, to prepare and make available to the public a list of diesel magnet sources, as prescribed. The bill would require any facility for which a district is preparing an industrywide emissions inventory or health risk assessment to provide to the district, within 60 days of the date of the request, all information as may be specified by the district as necessary for the preparation of the inventory or assessment.

The bill would provide for an extended period for a diesel magnet source to comply with the risk reduction audit and plan requirements. By expanding the types of facilities subject to the act, the bill would impose new dutics on air districts, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program.

(2) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

#### The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the 2 following:

3 (1) The people of California have a right to know when

4 industrial or commercial operations result in emission of toxic air

5 contaminants that may pose a significant health risk to the people

6 exposed to those emissions.

1 (2) Existing law requires facilities whose operations result in 2 emission of toxic air contaminants to prepare inventories of those 3 emissions and submit them to the local air districts for 4 prioritization.

-3-

5 (3) Existing law also requires facilities that are designated 6 high-priority to prepare health risk assessments, and if the 7 assessment shows the potential health risks to be significant, to 8 notify the public of those risks.

9 (4) Existing law further requires facilities that pose 10 unacceptably high risks to public health to prepare plans to 11 reduce those risks, and to implement the plans according to a 12 specified schedule.

(5) Traditional stationary sources, both large and small, have
already complied with these requirements by preparing
inventories of their emissions, and where applicable, preparing
health risk assessments, notifying the public, and implementing
risk reduction.

(6) Recent studies show that particulate emissions in diesel
exhaust are highly toxic, and account for upwards of 70 percent
of the statewide cancer risk due to toxic pollutants in ambient air.

(7) Industrial and commercial operations that involve or attract
high levels of diesel traffic or other diesel engine use can pose
substantially higher risks to the public near the facilities.

24 (8) Available data indicate that these diesel magnet sources 25 may pose risks to the surrounding communities that are far 26 greater than risks posed by most traditional stationary sources, 27 and that far greater numbers of people are affected by the 28 emissions. These diesel magnet sources meet the statutory 29 definition of "facility" under existing law, but to date have not 30 submitted inventories or taken other actions in compliance with 31 existing statutes.

32 (9) Large diesel magnet sources should comply with 33 requirements to prepare and submit inventories of their 34 emissions, prepare health risks assessments, notify the public of 35 significant risks, and reduce unacceptably high risks.

36 (10) Local air pollution control districts and air quality 37 management districts should review policies and procedures that 38 implement existing law and, if necessary, revise them to 39 appropriately address large diesel magnet sources. Review of 40 existing policies and procedures, and the preparation of

1 inventories, health risk assessments, public notification, and risk

2 reduction should be carried out under a coordinated process and3 schedule.

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature to define diesel magnet
sources to include ports, airports, railyards, and intermodal sites *and railyards*, and to establish the timeframe for districts to

7 review and, if necessary, revise policies and procedures, and for 8 the largest diesel magnet sources to comply with these 9 requirements.

10 SEC. 2. Section 44303.5 is added to the Health and Safety 11 Code, to read:

44303.5. "Diesel magnet source" means a facility that, by the
nature of its operation, attracts diesel engines in large numbers,
and includes all of only the following:

- 15 (a) Ports.
- 16 (b) Airports.

17 (c) Railyards.

18 SEC. 3. Section 44320 of the Health and Safety Code is 19 amended to read:

20 44320. This part applies to all of the following:

(a) Any facility that manufactures, formulates, uses, or
releases any of the substances listed pursuant to Section 44321 or
any other substance that reacts to form a substance listed in
Section 44321 and that releases or has the potential to release
total organic gases, particulates, or oxides of nitrogen or sulfur in
the amounts specified in Section 44322.

27 (b) Except as provided in Section 44323, any facility that is 28 listed in any current toxics use or toxics air emissions survey, 29 inventory, or report released or compiled by a district. A district 30 may, with the concurrence of the state board, waive the 31 application of this part pursuant to this subdivision for any 32 facility that the district determines will not release any substance 33 listed pursuant to Section 44321 due to a shutdown or a process 34 change.

(c) Any facility that is a diesel magnet source, as defined in
Section 44303.5, with the greatest potential impact on public
health determined on a statewide basis, as listed by the state
board under subdvision (e) of Section 44322.

39 SEC. 4. Section 44322 of the Health and Safety Code is 40 amended to read:

95

1 44322. This part applies to facilities specified in subdivision 2 (a) of Section 44320 in accordance with the following schedule:

3 (a) For those facilities that release, or have the potential to
4 release, 25 tons per year or greater of total organic gases,
5 particulates, or oxides of nitrogen or sulfur, this part becomes
6 effective on July 1, 1988.

7 (b) For those facilities that release, or have the potential to 8 release, more than 10 but less than 25 tons per year of total 9 organic gases, particulates, or oxides of nitrogen or sulfur, this 10 part becomes effective July 1, 1989.

11 (c) For those facilities that release, or have the potential to 12 release, less than 10 tons per year of total organic gases, 13 particulates, or oxides of nitrogen or sulfur, the state board shall, 14 on or before July 1, 1990, prepare and submit a report to the 15 Legislature identifying the classes of those facilities to be 16 included in this part and specifying a timetable for their 17 inclusion.

(d) On and after January 1, 2006, facilities that are subject to 18 19 this part but have not submitted inventories as required under 20 Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 44340) shall have one year 21 from the date of inclusion on a list of subject facilities, 22 established pursuant to this part, to prepare and submit to the 23 district an emissions inventory plan. Except for any calendar date 24 deadline before January 1, 2006, all schedules for action set forth 25 in Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 44340), Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 44360), or Chapter 6 (commencing 26 27 with Section 44390) shall apply.

(e) On or before July 1, 2007, the state board shall, in
consultation with the districts, prepare and make available to the
public a list of diesel magnet sources subject to this part, as
follows:

- 32 (1) The list of subject facilities shall include all of only the 33 following:
- 34 (A) Five ports.
- 35 (B) Ten airports.

36 (C) Twenty-five railyards.

37 (2) In listing these facilities, the state board shall use the 38 following criteria, unless clear and compelling data-are readily

39 available and demonstrate is readily available and demonstrates

40 that other criteria and ranking should be used, to include on the

list the facilities likely to pose the greatest potential risk to public
 health:

3 (A) A port that moves at least 1,500,000, metric tons per year 4 of *dry* cargo, inbound and outbound, combined.

5 (B) An airport through which at least 2,000,000, passengers 6 travel per year.

7 (C) Any railyard site that locomotive engines operate at least 8 10,000 hours per year, including movement and idling.

9 SEC. 5. Section 44323.5 is added to the Health and Safety 10 Code, to read:

11 44323.5. Any facility for which a district is preparing an 12 industrywide emissions inventory or health risk assessment shall

13 provide to the district, within 60 days of the date of the request,

14 all information as may be specified by the district as necessary

15 for the preparation of the inventory or assessment.

16 SEC. 6. Section 44342 of the Health and Safety Code is 17 amended to read:

44342. (a) The state board shall, on or before May 1, 1989,
in consultation with the districts, develop criteria and guidelines
for site-specific air toxics emissions inventory plans which shall

be designed to comply with the conditions specified in Section
44340 and which shall include at least all of the following:

(1) For each class of facility, a designation of the hazardous
materials for which emissions are to be quantified and an
identification of the likely source types within that class of
facility. The hazardous materials for quantification shall be
chosen from among, and may include all or part of, the list
specified in Section 44321.

(2) Requirements for a facility diagram identifying each actual
or potential discrete emissions point and the general locations
where fugitive emissions may occur. The facility diagram shall
include any nonpermitted and nonprocess sources of emissions,
and shall provide the necessary data to identify emissions
characteristics. An existing facility diagram that meets the
requirements of this section may be submitted.

36 (3) Requirements for source testing and measurement. The
37 guidelines may specify appropriate uses of estimation techniques,
38 including, but not limited to, emissions factors, modeling, mass
39 balance analysis, and projections, except that source testing shall
40 be required wherever necessary to verify emissions estimates to

the extent technologically feasible. The guidelines shall specify
 conditions and locations where source testing, fenceline
 monitoring, or other measurement techniques are to be required
 and the frequency of that testing and measurement.

5 (4) Appropriate testing methods, equipment, and procedures, 6 including quality assurance criteria.

7 (5) Specifications for acceptable emissions factors, including, 8 but not limited to, those which are acceptable for substantially 9 similar facilities or equipment, and specification of procedures 10 for other estimation techniques and for the appropriate use of 11 available data.

12 (6) Specification of the reporting period required for each 13 hazardous material for which emissions will be inventoried.

(7) Specifications for the collection of useful data to identify
toxic air contaminants pursuant to Article 2 (commencing with
Section 39660) of Chapter 3.5 of Part 2.

17 (8) Standardized format for preparation of reports and18 presentation of data.

19 (9) A program to coordinate and eliminate any possible 20 overlap between the requirements of this chapter and the 21 requirements of Section 313 of the Superfund Amendment and 22 Reauthorization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-499).

23 (10) On and after January 1, 2007, any specific criteria for the 24 preparation of emissions inventory plans by diesel magnet 25 sources, including, but not limited to, methods for quantifying air 26 releases of diesel particulate exhaust that occur within the 27 boundaries of the facility, and for characterizing for the public 28 potential impacts of releases that occur outside of the boundaries 29 of the facility but in the same general location and associated 30 with mobile source trips to and from the facility. Air releases of 31 diesel particulate exhaust from diesel magnet sources shall 32 include emissions from motor vehicles, and may address 33 mechanisms to integrate data prepared by the state board 34 pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 44345.

(b) The state board shall design the guidelines and criteria to
ensure that, in collecting data to be used for emissions
inventories, actual measurement is utilized whenever necessary
to verify the accuracy of emission estimates, to the extent
technologically feasible.

1 SEC. 7. Section 44360 of the Health and Safety Code is 2 amended to read:

3 44360. (a) (1) Within 90 days of completion of the review of 4 all emissions inventory data for facilities specified in subdivision 5 (a) of Section 44322, but not later than December 1, 1990, the 6 district shall, based on examination of the emissions inventory data and in consultation with the state board and the State 7 8 Department of Health Services, prioritize and then categorize those facilities for the purposes of health risk assessment. The 9 10 district shall designate high, intermediate, and low priority categories, and shall include each facility within the appropriate 11 category based on its individual priority. In establishing priorities 12 pursuant to this section, the district shall consider the potency, 13 toxicity, quantity, and volume of hazardous materials released 14 15 from the facility, the proximity of the facility to potential 16 receptors, including, but not limited to, hospitals, schools, day care centers, worksites, and residences, and any other factors that 17 18 the district finds and determines may indicate that the facility 19 may pose a significant risk to receptors. The district shall hold a 20 public hearing prior to the final establishment of priorities and 21 categories pursuant to this section.

(2) On or before January 1, 2007, the districts, collaboratively,
and in consultation with the state board, shall review, and if
appropriate, revise or augment guidelines and procedures for
facility prioritization to address diesel magnet sources pursuant
to this chapter.

(b) (1) Within 150 days of the designation of priorities and categories pursuant to subdivision (a), the operator of every facility that has been included within the highest priority category shall prepare and submit to the district a health risk assessment pursuant to Section 44361. The district may, at its discretion, grant a 30-day extension for submittal of the health risk assessment.

(2) Health risk assessments required by this chapter shall be
prepared in accordance with guidelines established by the Office
of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. The office shall
prepare draft guidelines, which shall be circulated to the public
and the regulated community, and shall adopt risk assessment
guidelines after consulting with the state board and the Risk
Assessment Committee of the California Air Pollution Control

Officers Association and after conducting at least two public 1 2 workshops, one in the northern and one in the southern part of 3 the state. The adoption of the guidelines is not subject to Chapter 4 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of 5 Title 2 of the Government Code. The scientific review panel 6 established pursuant to Section 39670 shall evaluate the 7 guidelines adopted under this paragraph and shall recommend 8 changes and additional criteria to reflect new scientific data or 9 empirical studies.

10 (3) The guidelines established pursuant to paragraph (2) shall impose only those requirements on facilities subject to this 11 12 subdivision that are necessary to ensure that a required health 13 risk assessment is accurate and complete, and shall specify the type of site-specific factors that districts may take into account in 14 determining when a single health risk assessment may be allowed 15 16 under subdivision (d). The guidelines shall, in addition, allow the operator of a facility, at the operator's option, and to the extent 17 that valid and reliable data-are is available, to include for 18 19 consideration by the district in the health risk assessment any or 20 all of the following supplemental information:

(A) Information concerning the scientific basis for selecting
 risk parameter values that are different than those required by the
 guidelines and the likelihood distributions that result when
 alternative values are used.

25 (B) Data from dispersion models, microenvironment 26 characteristics, and population distributions that may be used to 27 estimate maximum actual exposure.

28 (C) Risk expressions that show the likelihood that any given 29 risk estimate is the correct risk value.

30 (D) A description of the incremental reductions in risk that 31 occur when exposure is reduced.

32 (4) To ensure consistency in the use of the supplemental 33 information authorized by subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D) 34 of paragraph (3), the guidelines established pursuant to paragraph 35 (2) shall include guidance for use by the districts in considering 36 the supplemental information when it is included in the health 37 risk assessment.

(c) Upon submission of emissions inventory data for facilities
 specified in subdivisions (b) and (c) of Section 44322, the district
 shall designate facilities for inclusion within the highest priority

1 category, as appropriate, and any facility so designated shall be 2 subject to subdivision (b). In addition, the district may require the

3 operator of any facility to prepare and submit health risk

4 assessments, in accordance with the priorities developed pursuant

5 to subdivision (a).

6 (d) The district shall, except where site-specific factors may

affect the results, allow the use of a single health risk assessment
for two or more substantially identical facilities operated by the
same person.

10 (e) Nothing contained in this section, Section 44380.5, or

11 Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 44390) shall be interpreted

12 as requiring a facility operator to prepare a new or revised health 13 risk assessment using the guidelines established pursuant to

14 paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of this section if the facility

15 operator is required by the district to begin the preparation of a

16 health risk assessment before those guidelines are established.

17 SEC. 8. Section 44390 of the Health and Safety Code is 18 amended to read:

44390. For purposes of this chapter, the following definitionsapply:

21 (a) "Airborne toxic risk reduction measure" or "ATRRM"

22 means those in-plant changes in production processes or 23 feedstocks that reduce or eliminate toxic air emissions subject to

24 this part. ATRRM's may include:

25 (1) Feedstock modification.

26 (2) Product reformulations.

27 (3) Production system modifications.

28 (4) System enclosure, emissions control, capture, or 29 conversion.

30 (5) Operational standards and practices modification.

(b) Airborne toxic risk reduction measures do not include
 measures that will increase risk from exposure to the chemical in
 another media or that increase the risk to workers or consumers.

34 (c) "Airborne toxic risk reduction audit and plan" or "audit 35 and plan" means the audit and plan specified in Section 44392.

36 (d) "Diesel magnet source risk reduction measure" or
 37 "DMSRRM" means those changes to equipment or method of
 38 operation that reduce or eliminate toxic air releases subject to this

39 part. DMSRRMs shall be considered a form of airborne toxic risk

reduction measure for the purposes of this chapter, and may
 include, but are not limited to, all of the following:

3 (1) Modification of operational standards or practices.

4 (2) Application of emissions control technology.

5 (3) System enclosure and emissions control, capture, or 6 conversion.

7 (4) Use of alternative fuels or fuel additives.

8 (5) Engine replacement, retrofit, or repowering.

9 (6) Electrification of diesel-fueled internal combustion 10 engines.

11 SEC. 9. Section 44391 of the Health and Safety Code is 12 amended to read:

13 44391. (a) Whenever a health risk assessment approved 14 pursuant to Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 44360) 15 indicates, in the judgment of the district, that there is a significant 16 risk associated with the emissions from a facility, the facility 17 operator shall conduct an airborne toxic risk reduction audit and 18 develop a plan to implement airborne toxic risk reduction 19 measures that will result in the reduction of emissions from the 20 facility to a level below the significant risk level within five years 21 of the date the plan is submitted to the district. The facility 22 operator shall implement measures set forth in the plan in 23 accordance with this chapter.

(b) The period to implement the plan required by subdivision
(a) may be shortened by the district if it finds that it is technically
feasible and economically practicable to implement the plan to
reduce emissions below the significant risk level more quickly or
if it finds that the emissions from the facility pose an
unreasonable health risk.

30 (c) (1) A district may lengthen the period to implement the 31 plan required by subdivision (a) by up to an additional five years 32 if it finds that a period longer than five years will not result in an 33 unreasonable risk to public health and that requiring 34 implementation of the plan within five years places an 35 unreasonable economic burden on the facility operator or is not 36 technically feasible.

37 (2) A district may lengthen the period for a diesel magnet
38 source to implement the plan required by subdivision (a) in
39 increments of five years, consistent with the quadrennial review

1 pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 44392, if all of the 2 following conditions are met:

3 (A) The facility prepares and implements a plan, subject to 4 district approval in a public hearing, to make real and measurable 5 progress reducing risks using all technically and economically 6 feasible DMSRRMs, including those measures already 7 implemented by a similar diesel magnet source.

8 (B) The facility convenes an advisory group, subject to district 9 approval, that includes at least two members of the affected 10 residential community, two members of the affected business 11 community, and one representative each from the district, the 12 state board, and the city or county within which the facility is 13 located.

14 (C) The facility reviews its risk reduction implementation 15 progress with the advisory group, in a public meeting, at least 16 once each year until the risk has been reduced to below the 17 significance thresholds.

(d) (1) The state board and districts shall provide assistance to
 smaller businesses that have inadequate technical and financial
 resources for obtaining information, assessing risk reduction
 methods, and developing and applying risk reduction techniques.

(2) Risk reduction audits and plans for any industry subject to
this chapter which is comprised mainly of small businesses using
substantially similar technology may be completed by a
self-conducted audit and checklist developed by the state board.
The state board, in coordination with the districts, shall provide a
copy of the audit and checklist to small businesses within those
industries to assist them to meet the requirements of this chapter.

(e) The audit and plan shall contain all the informationrequired by Section 44392.

31 (f) The plan shall be submitted to the district, within six 32 months of a district's determination of significant risk, for review 33 of completeness. Operators of facilities that have been notified prior to January 1, 1993, that there is a significant risk associated 34 35 with emissions from the facility shall submit the plan by July 1, 1993. The district's review of completeness shall include a 36 substantive analysis of the emissions reduction measures 37 included in the plan, and the ability of those measures to achieve 38 39 emissions reduction goals as quickly as feasible as provided in 40 subdivisions (a) and (b).

1 (g) The district shall find the audit and plan to be satisfactory 2 within three months if it meets the requirements of this chapter, 3 including, but not limited to, subdivision (f). If the district 4 determines that the audit and plan does not meet those 5 requirements, the district shall remand the audit and plan to the 6 facility specifying the deficiencies identified by the district. A 7 facility operator shall submit a revised audit and plan addressing 8 the deficiencies identified by the district within 90 days of receipt 9 of a deficiency notice.

(h) Progress on the emissions reductions achieved by the plan
shall be reported to the district in emissions inventory updates.
Emissions inventory updates shall be prepared as required by the
audit and plan found to be satisfactory by the district pursuant to
subdivision (g).

(i) If new information becomes available after the initial risk
reduction audit and plan, on air toxics risks posed by a facility, or
emissions reduction technologies that may be used by a facility
that would significantly impact risks to exposed persons, the
district may require the plan to be updated and resubmitted to the
district.

(j) This section does not authorize the emission of a toxic air
contaminant in violation of an airborne toxic control measure
adopted pursuant to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section
39650) or in violation of Section 41700.

25 SEC. 10. Section 44395 is added to the Health and Safety 26 Code, to read:

44395. Nothing in this chapter requires the operator of a
diesel magnet source to implement any DMSRRM that is
preempted by federal law.

30 SEC. 11. Section 44396 is added to the Health and Safety 31 Code, to read:

44396. Notwithstanding the amendments to this part enacted by Assembly Bill 1101 of the 2005–06 Regular Session of the Legislature, all provisions of this part remain in full force and effect, and nothing in this part limits the authority of a district under any other provision of this code.

37 SEC. 12. All costs incurred by the State Air Resources Board,

38 the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and air

39 districts, in complying with this act shall be recovered through

## AB 1101

- fees collected pursuant to Section 44380 of the Health and Safety 1
- 2 Code.
- SEC. 13. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant 3
- to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution 4
- because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy 5
- service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the 6
- 7 program or level of service mandated by this act, within the
- meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code. 8

0

95

,

ı.

	<u>AB 1101</u> Page 1
REPLACE - 01/26/2006	
ASSEMBLY THIRD READING AB 1101 (Oropeza) As Amended January 9, 2006 Majority vote	
TRANSPORTATION 8-4	APPROPRIATIONS 13-5
Ayes: Oropeza, Chan, Karnette, Liu, Pavley, Ridley-Thomas, Salinas, Torrico	Ayes: Chu, Bass, Berg, Calderon, Mullin, Karnette, Klehs, Leno, Nation, Oropeza, Ridley-Thomas, Saldana, Yee
Nays: Huff, Bogh, Mountjoy,	Nays: Sharon Runner, Emmerson, Haynes, Nakanishi, Walters

<u>SUMMARY</u> : Requires facilities that attract large numbers of diesel engines to take steps to reduce the levels of exhaust emissions from those engines. Specifically, <u>this bill</u> :

- Makes legislative findings and declarations regarding the emission of toxic air contaminants from industrial and commercial establishments and the need for local air districts to address the operations of facilities that attract large numbers of diesel-burning engines.
- 2)Defines "diesel magnet source" as a facility that, by nature of its operation, attracts diesel engines in large numbers, and is either a port, an airport, or a railyard.
- 3) Specifies that a center for distribution of products or materials may include a single distribution operation or an aggregation of such operations in the same general location, where there may be cumulative impacts of such aggregations.
- 4)Subjects the facilities in each of the four subcategories of diesel magnet source with the greatest potential impact on public health determined on a statewide basis, as listed by



MAR 0 9 2006

AB 1101 Page 2

the Air Resources Board (ARB) to the requirements of toxic hot spots statutes.

- 5)Allows, on or after January 1, 2006, facilities that are subject to hot spot statutes but have not submitted required inventories one year from the date of inclusion on a list of subject facilities, to prepare and submit to the appropriate air district an emission inventory plan.
- 6) Provides that all schedules for action set forth in hot spot statutes shall apply except for any calendar date deadlines prior to January 1, 2006.
- 7)Requires ARB, in consultation with the districts, not later than July 1, 2007, to prepare and make available to the public a list of diesel magnet sources that includes five ports, 10 airports and 25 rail yards for the distribution of products or materials.
- 8)Requires ARB to include on the list ports that move at least 1.5 million metric tons of cargo, inbound and outbound combined; airports through which at least two million passengers travel per year; and railyard sites where locomotive engines operate, including moving and idling, at least 10,000 hours per year.
- 9) Requires any facility for which a district is preparing an industrywide emissions inventory or health risk assessment to provide to the district, within 60 days of the date of the request, all information as may be specified by the district as necessary for the preparation of the inventory or assessment.
- 10) Requires ARB's criteria and guidelines for site-specific air toxics emissions inventory plans to include, on and after January 1, 2006, any specific criteria for the preparation of inventory plans by diesel magnet sources, including, but not limited to, specified quantification methods. Air releases of diesel particulate exhaust from diesel magnet sources would be required to include emissions from motor vehicles, and would be allowed to address mechanisms to integrate data prepared by ARB.
- 11) Requires air districts, collaboratively and in consultation with ARB, on or before January 1, 2007, to

AB 1101 Page 3

review, and if appropriate, revise or augment guidelines and

http://info.sen.ca.gov/pub/bill/asm/ab 1101-1150/ab 1101 cfa 20060127 120604 asm flo... 2/8/2006

procedures for facility prioritization to address diesel magnet sources pursuant to this bill.

- 12) Defines "diesel magnet source risk reduction measure" (DMSRRM) as those changes to equipment or method of operation that reduce or eliminate toxic air releases subject to this statute.
- 13) Requires DMSRRMs to be considered a form of airborne toxic risk reduction measure for the purposes of this bill, and specifies that they may include, but are not limited to, all of the following:
  - a) Modification of operational standards or practices;
  - b) Application of emissions control technology;
  - c) System enclosure and emissions control, capture, or conversion;
  - d) Use of alternative fuels or fuel additives;
  - e) Engine replacement, retrofit, or repowering; and,
  - f) Electrification of diesel fueled internal combustion engines.
- 14) Allows an air district to lengthen the period for a diesel magnet source to implement its plan for reducing toxic air emissions in increments of five years, if all of the following conditions are met:
  - a) The facility prepares and implements a plan, subject to district approval in a public hearing, to make real and measurable progress reducing risks using all technically and economically feasible DMSRRMs, including those measures already implemented by a similar diesel magnet source;
  - b) The facility convenes an advisory group, subject to district approval, that includes at least two members of the affected residential community, two members of the affected business community, and one representative each from the district, ARB, and the city or county within which the facility is located; and,

<u>AB</u> 1101 Page 4

c) The facility reviews its risk reduction implementation progress with the advisory group, in a public meeting, at least once each year until the risk has been reduced to below the significance thresholds.

http://info.sen.ca.gov/pub/bill/asm/ab\_1101-1150/ab\_1101\_cfa\_20060127\_120604\_asm\_flo... 2/8/2006

- 15) Specifies that nothing in this bill requires the operator of a diesel magnet source to implement any DMSRRM that is preempted by federal law.
- 16) Requires all costs incurred by ARB, the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the air districts resulting from the bill to be recovered through fees imposed on the regulated entities.

EXISTING LAW makes ARB responsible for the control of emissions from motor vehicles and the coordination, management, and review of the efforts of all level of government as they affect air quality.

<u>FISCAL EFFECT</u> : According to Assembly Appropriations staff, there will be nominal implementation costs for ARB. All other costs will be reimbursed through fees.

COMMENTS : Under existing law, "facilities" are required to prepare inventories of their air emissions and submit the inventories to local air districts. The air districts prioritize the facilities based on the magnitude and toxicity of their emissions, and high priority facilities are required to perform health risk assessments to determine the maximum probable health risks to the public exposed the facility's emissions. Each district board has established a risk threshold at which facilities must notify the public of potential health risks, and state law also requires that very high risk facilities reduce their risks to acceptable levels within five years or cease operation. Typically, the facilities that have done this are stationary sources. Stationary sources as large as petroleum refineries and as small as gas stations and dry cleaners have been required to comply with this law. Risk analysis results are reported to the state air board and are available graphically on ARB's Web site.

Air monitors throughout the state indicate that stationary sources account for only a small percentage of the cancer risk from air pollution, however. Over 90% of the cancer risk is

> <u>AB 1101</u> Page 5

caused by pollutants emitted from mobile sources, and, on average, diesel particulate emissions are responsible for about 75% of the statewide cancer risk from air pollution. Standards for new engines, reformulated diesel fuel, and efforts to replace or retrofit existing engines will slowly reduce the diesel particulate emissions from mobile sources, but the process is slow.

Certain activities attract very large numbers of diesel engines

1

in trucks, rail engines, ships, and other types of diesel equipment. These "magnet sources" include large ports, airports, rail yards, and intermodal sites. Although the emissions come from the activity of mobile sources at the site, the site itself behaves as a stationary source in so far as its operations cause emissions to occur that impact the surrounding public in a predictable and long-term way. Because of the very large numbers of diesel engines operating at the site, the risks to the surrounding public are expected to be very, very high. Preliminary studies have borne this out.

The author argues that the public has a basic right to know about the potential health risks posed by these magnet sources. Existing magnet sources should be required to minimize risks in the same fashion that other stationary sources have done, and new sources or expansions, should be constructed with the minimum possible risk to the public. In fact, these magnet sources meet the definition of "facility" under current law and could be required to comply with existing law as written.

Supporters of this bill within the environmental community point to the toxicity of diesel exhaust and believe air districts should be given the tools to disclose and mitigate diesel emissions that are currently unregulated. Some environmental organizations, however, feel this bill does not go far enough and, instead of relying on risk assessments, should simply mandate the use of best available control technologies at the facilities in question.

Opponents argue that the state should continue to be the regulatory authority over ports and rail yards, rather than shifting this jurisdiction to local air districts. Otherwise, businesses that operate in many parts of the state will be subject to inconsistent regulations. They also complain that this bill "requires the magnet sources to mitigate emissions from other sources over which it does not have control, such as

	AB	110	01
 	Paç	je	6

ships, trains, and trucks."

-7

Analysis Prepared by : Howard Posner / TRANS. / (916) 319-2093

FN: 0013546

# RULES & LEGISLATION

## MAR 0 9 2006

http://info.sen.ca.gov/pub/bill/asm/ab\_1101-1150/ab\_1101\_cfa\_20060127\_120604\_asm\_flo... 2/8/2006

i.