

**CITY OF OAKLAND  
MEMORANDUM**

FILED *cm3*  
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK  
OAKLAND

2003 SEP 24 PM 3:48

**TO:** Rules & Legislation Committee  
**ATTN:** Assistant to the City Manager  
**FROM:** Name Chris Candell  
Phone No. (510) 238-6986  
Address/Agency/Dept 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza Suiet 2114 CEDA -  
Planning & Zoning  
**DATE:** September 22, 2003

**SUBJECT: REQUEST TO SCHEDULE AGENDA ITEM**

---

**TITLE:** Appeal of Planning Commission Denial of a Major Conditional Use Permit to conduct a Service Enriched Permanent Housing Residential Activity, Case File Number CM03-257, located at 2375 Fruitvale Avenue, Grace V. Mangrobang applicant

**SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION:**

- A. Committee \_\_\_\_\_  
(Please specify Committee. Committees meet 2<sup>nd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> Tuesdays)
- City Council \_\_\_\_\_ Redevelopment Agency  
(Council/Agency meets on 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 5<sup>th</sup> Tuesdays)
- B. Meeting Date: October 21, 2003

Is there a statutory, regulatory, financial or grant deadline? Specify:

Is a staff report required/requested? Yes

What is the fiscal impact on the City/Agency? None

If the ten-day (Sunshine Ordinance) agenda deadline cannot be met, please indicate reason:

- Item constitutes an "emergency" (Crippling disaster, work stoppage or other activity which severely impacts public health and/or safety)
- It was not reasonably possible to place the proposed item on the two-week agenda AND any of the following exist:
- Item requires immediate action to avoid a substantial adverse impact if action is deferred to a subsequent special or regular meeting;
  - Item requires immediate action relating to federal or state legislation;
  - Item requires immediate action relating to eligibility for a grant or gift; OR
  - Item is ceremonial.

Explain why item could not have been placed on the 10-day agenda: