



CITY HALL 2020 FEB 13 PM 4:00 1 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

REBECCA KAPLAN
Council President
atlarge@oaklandnet.com

(510) 238-7008
FAX: (510) 238-6910
TDD: (510) 839-6451

Date: February 13, 2020
To: Members of City Council and Members of the Public
From: Council President Kaplan
Re: File No. 18-2211
Resolution Proposing To Amend City Charter Section 604 To Strengthen The Independence Of The Oakland Police Commission, Directing The City Administrator To Give Written Notice To Employee Organizations That May Be Affected, And Directing The City Administrator To Meet And Confer, As Appropriate, Subject To Further Council Instructions

Dear Colleagues on the City Council and Members of the Public,

OVERVIEW AND PROCESS

Attached please find proposed Resolution for police commission reform. This item was initially discussed as an Informational Report in Public Safety on October 22, 2019, as part of File No. 18-2228, and is now scheduled to be heard as a Resolution, under File No. 18-2211, before the Rules Committee on February 27, 2020. This version is for our discussion, input and includes suggestions from multiple stakeholders and the City Attorney & President Pro Tem, Dan Kalb.

RECOMMENDATION

I am asking my colleagues to adopt a Resolution proposing to amend city charter Section 604 to strengthen the independence of the Oakland Police Commission, directing the city administrator to give written notice to employee organizations that may be affected, and directing the city administrator to meet and confer, as appropriate, subject to further council instructions. After that process, the proposal will return to Council for adoption along with any changes or feedback arising from that process.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

On November 8, 2016, Oakland voters approved Measure LL with 83.19% (137,032 votes) in favor of the measure. Measure LL establishes a Police Commission to oversee the Police Department's policies and procedures, and a Community Police Review Agency (CPRA) to investigate police misconduct and recommend discipline.

The Measure LL City Charter amendment allowed for "enabling legislation" that furthers the goals and purposes of Measure LL. The City Council adopted the enabling Ordinance (C.M.S. 13498) on July 10, 2018: "Enabling implementation of the city charter amendment creating the Oakland Police Commission and the community police review agency, (2) establishing a civilian inspector

general, and (3) requiring an annual report and presentation by the community policing advisory board to the commission.”

Since that time, other Resolutions and Ordinances were introduced by City Councilmembers to address, as they were arising, the need to expand and/or clarify the independence and powers of the Police Commission. This included: an Ordinance (C.M.S.13555) from the City Attorney amending to establish authorization and compliance requirements for the police commission to purchase professional services; a Resolution (C.M.S. 87635) by Council President Kaplan, Bas and Gallo to expedite the process to obtain civil service board approval of and post the job description for the position of Inspector General to support the police commission; and an Ordinance (C.M.S. 13547) from Councilmembers Taylor and Gallo to authorize the Police Commission to purchase professional services. However, some changes and improvements that are desired require Charter Amendments, which must be done by ballot measure.

LEGISLATIVE SUMMARY

This legislation is being offered to enhance police accountability and improve public trust.

The proposed changes to our existing Police Commission are summarized as follows:

1. Clarifies that “The City Administration may not exercise any managerial authority over Commissioners or their designated staff, and may not initiate an investigation for the purpose of removing a Commissioner;”
2. Adds new powers and duties to the Police Commission to oversee the Inspector General and contract with professional service providers;
3. Allows the Commission to propose changes to any OPD policy, instead of being restricted to current subject matter limitations, still subject to approval or veto by the City Council;
4. Requires the Chief of Police or his or her designee to attend Commission meetings and submit an annual report to the Commission with added detail on Department expenditures on community priorities as identified by the Commission, and to respond to requests made by the Commission by a majority;
5. Changes the number of minimum candidates for Chief of Police from four to three that the Commission transmits to the Mayor;
6. Ensures that no person appointed to the position of Interim, Acting, or Permanent Chief of Police also hold employment as a non-sworn employee of the City, or serves as an elected official or officer of the City;
7. Prohibits current employees of a municipal police department to serve as commissioners;
8. Transfers the power of the mayor to appoint commissioners to the Selection Panel;
9. Prohibits the following categories of individuals from serving on the Selection panel:
 - a. current Department employee;
 - b. current or former sworn employee of a municipal police department;
 - c. current or former sworn employee of the Alameda County Sheriff’s Office;
 - d. current employee of the Alameda County District Attorney’s Office; or
 - e. current or former employee, official or representative of an employee association representing sworn police officers.
10. Ensures that all Commission members receive training from the Department of Race and Equity on “racial bias” within 90 days;

CITY OF OAKLAND



CITY HALL • 1 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

REBECCA KAPLAN
Council President
atlarge@oaklandnet.com

(510) 238-7008
FAX: (510) 238-6910
TDD: (510) 839-6451

11. Entrusts and clarifies that the Public Ethics Commission is vested with the authority to investigate allegations under Section 601 of the Charter, which could be cause for removal of a Commissioner and to refer the findings to the City Council;
12. Allows the Commission to hire and fire the head of the Agency and the Inspector General and to hire or contract for attorneys;
13. Strengthen the Commission's review of the Police Department budget to reflect the community's priorities;
14. Adds administrative support to the Commission by providing the attendance of a staff member to the Commission;
15. Clarifies that the Commission may terminate, with cause, the Agency Director and or the Inspector General with an affirmative vote of 5, or 4 votes with the support of the City Administrator;
16. Clarifies that Agency, OIG and Commission staff, but not the Agency Director and Inspector General, shall be civil service employees;
17. Adds new language to investigations to ensure that the Police Department forwards a copy of each complaint it receives to the Agency within one business day and that the Agency Director may report to the Commission any resistance by the Department in conducting investigations, and that the Agency Director submits to the Commission each month a list of all investigations it is conducting and answer questions to that effect;
18. Ensures that the Commission, OIG, and Agency have the same access to all Department files and records, including the Department's Internal Affairs Division (IAD) files and records as it relates to sworn employees of the Department.
19. Clarifies that request for access to files and records shall be made by a majority vote of the Commission, by the Agency Director, or by the Inspector General and that the Chief of Police or her or his designee shall respond to such requests;
20. Reinforces that all those who have access to confidential information shall maintain confidentiality as required by law;
21. Clarifies that the Department and other City departments and agencies shall make every reasonable effort to respond to the provide all documents responsive to the Commission's, OIG's, or Agency's requests for files and records within ten (10) days, and that this includes records relevant to Police Department policies or practices, and personnel and disciplinary records of Police Department sworn employees;
22. Adds the requirement to Agency investigations to "issue written findings and proposed discipline within twenty-four (24) hours of completion of any investigation involving Level 1 misconduct."
23. Adds the following new paragraph: "The Office of the Inspector General may review legal claims, lawsuits, settlements, complaints, and investigations, by, against, or involving the Department and the Agency, to ensure that all allegations of police officer misconduct are thoroughly investigated, and to identify any systemic issues regarding Department and Agency practices and policies. The OIG shall review the Mayor's proposed budget to determine whether budgetary allocations are aligned with community priorities as defined

by the Commission. The OIG shall have access and authority to review Department data, investigative records, personnel records, and staffing information for the purpose of conducting audits of the Department. The OIG shall have access and authority to review Agency data, investigative records, personnel records, and staffing information for the purpose of conducting audits of the Agency. OIG shall report the results of its audits to the Commission and may publicly report on the results of any audits in a manner consistent with all applicable confidentiality requirements.”

24. Sets forward the expectation that when a Serious Incident occurs, the Chief or her or his designee shall immediately notify the Agency Director and the Inspector General;
25. Makes changes to the adjudication process including:
 - a. “If the Chief of Police agrees with the Agency’s findings and proposed discipline, he or she shall notify the Agency Director who shall notify the Chair of the Commission of the agreed-upon findings and proposed discipline. Except in cases involving complaints of a Level 1 misconduct for which the Commission convenes a Discipline Committee pursuant to section 604(g)(5), the Chief shall send to the subject officer notification of the agreed-upon findings and intent to impose discipline.
26. Adds a whole new Section under Adjudication that allows “The Commission may convene a Discipline Committee to review the findings and proposed discipline in any Level 1 misconduct, even if the Chief and the Agency agree on the findings and proposed discipline. The Commission must convene such a Discipline Committee by a vote of no fewer than five (5) affirmative votes, and within thirty (30) days of the Commission’s receipt of notification of the Chief’s and the Agency’s agreed-upon findings and proposed discipline.”

ANALYSIS

While the city and the Oakland Police Department (“OPD”) have made strides to address the Negotiated Settlement Agreement, public mistrust in our police force remains significant and the belief persists that some police officers are not properly held accountable for misconduct. Even in cases where officers did not engage in misconduct, the lack of a trusted method to make that decision undermines community trust in the outcome. Maintaining public trust and confidence in OPD is necessary for the Department to be able to provide the highest level of service to the community. In order to increase the public trust and confidence in OPD, improvements are necessary to our existing charter to ensure a functional police commission that is independent of our city administration. The City Administration holds the responsibility of supervising and managing the Oakland Police Department, therefore a potential conflict can arise if it also oversees the civilian body that is overseeing OPD. Major cities across the country, such as New York City, Los Angeles, and San Francisco, have civilian police commissions with varying degrees of oversight authority over their police departments. In recent years, more and more law enforcement jurisdictions have increased the involvement of civilians in their review systems.

FISCAL IMPACT

In the approved fiscal year 2019-21 biennial budget, codified in Resolution 87759 C.M.S., the Police Commission was allotted 17 FTEs at an estimated \$4,227,362. However, the described minimum staffing levels may require additional FTEs subject to discussion with the Department of Finance. Long-term, however, citizen oversight will help the Police Dept. move into compliance with the NSA and identify costly practices and policies that may result in cost savings long-term.



CITY HALL • 1 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

REBECCA KAPLAN
Council President
atlarge@oaklandnet.com

(510) 238-7008
FAX: (510) 238-6910
TDD: (510) 839-6451

PUBLIC OUTREACH

The development of the legislation was initiated at the request of community groups, including Coalition for Police Accountability (“CPA”), the Anti-Police Terror Project (“AFTP”), Ella Baker Center, and many others. Our office attended a publicly held forum hosted by CPA and to attend another public forum hosted by community groups on October 16, 2019 as well as receiving input from the public at noticed Public Safety Committee on October 22nd.

COORDINATION

We obtained input and information relevant to the legislation from the Coalition for Police Accountability, the Executive Director of the CPRB, from police commissioners and other stakeholders. The City Attorney's office was consulted in the development of the legislation, as was Councilmember Kalb's office.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

Economic. Promoting independent citizen oversight of the police department will ensure better efficiencies that may result in cost savings.

Environmental: There are no environmental opportunities associated with this report.

Social Equity: Providing a more independent and strengthened Police Commission will result in increased civilian oversight of the Police Department and increased accountability. Given that our Department of Race and Equity seeks to ensure that “racial disparities have been eliminated and racial equity has been achieved,” ensuring that our police department has effective civilian oversight will help address documented abuses of civil rights as they pertain to Black and Latinx communities and other communities with disproportionate contact with the department.

For questions regarding this report, please email us at blopez@oaklandca.gov or call 510.238.7081.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Kaplan
Oakland City Council President

Rules Committee
February 27, 2019

Item: _____

2020 FEB 13 PM 4:00

~~DRAFT~~

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO. _____ C.M.S.

INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL PRESIDENT KAPLAN

RESOLUTION PROPOSING TO AMEND CITY CHARTER SECTION 604 TO STRENGTHEN THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE OAKLAND POLICE COMMISSION, DIRECTING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO GIVE WRITTEN NOTICE TO EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATIONS THAT MAY BE AFFECTED, AND DIRECTING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO MEET AND CONFER, AS APPROPRIATE, SUBJECT TO FURTHER COUNCIL INSTRUCTIONS.

WHEREAS, on April 15, 1980, the City Council established the Citizens' Police Review Board (hereinafter Board) with jurisdiction to review certain complaints alleging Oakland Police Department officer misconduct, to conduct fact-finding investigations, and to make advisory reports to the City Administrator; and

WHEREAS, on July 30, 1996, the City Council expanded the Board's jurisdiction to include complaints involving the excessive use of force, and bias based on an individual's legally protected status (race, gender, national origin, religion, sexual orientation or disability); and

WHEREAS, on November 12, 2002, the City Council passed Ordinance No. 12454 C.M.S., which further refined the Board's powers to include making recommendations to the City Administrator regarding litigated cases, and enlarged the amount of time for the Board to complete its investigations. The Board, however, was not empowered to oversee Department policy, impose discipline or adjudicate disciplinary appeals; and

WHEREAS, in 2003, *Delphine Allen et al. v. City of Oakland* (the Riders case) where multiple Police Department officers violated plaintiffs' civil rights and were found to have planted evidence and used excessive force which resulted in the Negotiated Settlement Agreement ("NSA"); and

WHEREAS, the voters of the City of Oakland overwhelmingly voted yes (83.19%) for Measure LL on November 8, 2016, that established an independent Police Commission; and

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2018, the City Council approved for final passage an enabling ordinance 13498 C.M.S. to create the Oakland Police Commission and the Community Police Review Agency; and

WHEREAS, on the October 11, 2018 Police Commission meeting, a job description for Inspector General was adopted by a 5-0 vote but to date has not been implemented by the City Administrator; and

WHEREAS, on April 30, 2019, the City Council passed a Resolution 87635 C.M.S. requesting that the City Administrator expedite the process to obtain civil service board approval of and post the job description for the position of Inspector General to support the Police Commission of which the City Administration has refused to act upon; and

WHEREAS, on July 16, 2019, the Oakland City Council passed Ordinance No. 13555 C.M.S. to allow the Police Commission the authority to bind the City by written contract, for professional services, again reflecting the need for independence; and

WHEREAS, a report by the Oakland Police Department's Inspector General released in July 2019, found that officers failed to report using force against a suspect in over a third of cases from July to September 2018, disproportionately impacting Black Oakland residents; and

WHEREAS, on August 19, 2019, the 63rd Report of the Independent Monitor for the Oakland Police Department was released indicating that the City was slipping in its compliance with the Negotiated Settlement Agreement ("NSA") and stated that "the City and OPD leadership continue to struggle with using the specific stipulations of the NSA to increase the Department's capacity to identify problems-and, most importantly, to implement effective solutions" and

WHEREAS, public perception persists that the Department and the City do not adequately hold its officers accountable for misconduct; and

WHEREAS, maintaining public trust and confidence in the Police Department is essential for the Department to be able to provide the highest level of service to the community; and

WHEREAS, ensuring the independence of the Police Commission from the affairs of the City Administration promotes the public trust, police accountability, and ensures that the Department complies with the requirements of the NSA; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby proposes to amend Charter section 604 to add, delete, or modify sections as set for the below (section numbers and titles are indicated in capitalized **bold type**; additions are indicated by underscoring, deletions are indicated by ~~strike-through-type~~; portions of the provisions not cited or not shown in underscoring or strike-through type are not changed).

SECTION 604 – POLICE COMMISSION

(a) Creation and Role.

1. There hereby is established the Oakland Police Commission (hereinafter, Commission), which shall oversee the Oakland Police Department (hereinafter, Department) in order to ensure that its policies, practices, and customs conform to national standards of constitutional policing. The Commission shall have the functions and duties enumerated in this Charter Section 604, as well as those assigned to the Commission by Ordinance.
2. There hereby ~~is~~ are established a Community Police Review Agency (hereinafter, Agency) and an Office of Inspector General (hereinafter, OIG), which shall have the functions and duties enumerated in this Charter Section 604, as well as those assigned to the Agency ~~them~~ by Ordinance.
3. Nothing herein shall prohibit the Chief of Police or a commanding officer from investigating the conduct of a Department sworn employee under his or her command, nor shall anything herein prohibit the Chief of Police from taking disciplinary or corrective action with respect to complaints investigated solely by the Department.
4. No later than two (2) years after the City Council has confirmed the first set of Commissioners and alternates, the City Auditor shall conduct a performance audit and a financial audit of the Commission and the Agency. Nothing herein shall limit the City Auditor's authority to conduct future performance and financial audits of the Commission and the Agency.
5. The City Administration may not exercise any managerial authority over Commissioners or their designated staff, and may not initiate an investigation for the purpose of removing a Commissioner.

(b) Powers and Duties.

The powers and duties of the Commission are as follows:

1. Organize, reorganize and oversee the Agency and the OIG, and contract with professional service providers as authorized by Ordinance.
2. Conduct public hearings at least once a year on Department policies, rules, practices, customs, and General Orders. The Commission shall determine which Department policies, rules, practices, customs, or General Orders shall be the subject of the hearing.
3. Consistent with state law and in accordance with Section 1207 of the City Charter, entitled "Oaths and Subpoenas," issue subpoenas to compel the production of books, papers and documents and take testimony on any matter pending before it. If any person subpoenaed fails or refuses to appear or to produce required documents or to testify, the majority of the members of the Commission may find him in contempt, and shall have power to take proceedings in that behalf provided by the general law of the State.
4. Propose changes, including modifications to the Department's proposed changes, to any policy, procedure, custom, or General Order of the Department which governs use of force, use of force review boards, profiling based on any of the protected characteristics identified by federal, state, or local law, or First Amendment assemblies, or which contains elements expressly listed in federal court orders or federal court settlements which pertain to the Department and are in effect at the time this Charter Section 604 takes effect for so long as such federal court orders and settlements remain in effect. Upon the Commission's recommendation, the City Council may authorize the Commission, by ordinance, to propose changes, including modifications, to the Department's proposed changes to all other policies, procedures, customs, or General Orders of the Department which govern the subject matter recommended by the Commission. All such proposed changes and modifications shall be submitted by the Commission Chair or his or her designee to the City Council for approval or rejection. If the City Council does not approve, modify and approve, or reject the Commission's proposed changes or modifications within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the Commission's vote on the proposed changes, the changes or modifications will become final.
5. Approve or reject the Department's proposed changes to all policies, procedures, customs, and General Orders of the Department which govern use of force, use of force review boards, profiling based on any of the protected characteristics identified by federal, state, or local law, or First Amendment assemblies, or which contains elements expressly listed in federal court orders or federal court settlements which pertain to the Department and are in effect at the time this Charter Section 604 takes effect for so long as such federal court orders

and settlement remain in effect. Upon the Commission's recommendation, the City Council may authorize the Commission, by ordinance, to approve or reject the Department's proposed changes to all other policies, procedures, customs, or General Orders of the Department which govern the subject matter recommended by the Commission. If the Commission does not approve or reject the Department's proposed changes within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the Department's submission of the proposed changes to the Commission, the Department's proposed changes will become final. If the Commission rejects the Department's proposed changes, notice of the Commission's rejection, together with the Department's proposed changes, shall be submitted by the Commission Chair or her or his designee to the City Council for review. If the City Council does not approve or reject the Commission's decision within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the Commission's vote on the Department's proposed changes, the Commission's decision will become final.

6. Review and comment, at its discretion, on all any other policies, procedures, customs, and General Orders of the Department. All such comments shall be submitted to the Chief of Police who shall provide a written response to the Commission upon request.

7. Review the Mayor's proposed budget to determine whether budgetary allocations for the Department are aligned with the Department's policies, procedures, customs, and General Orders. The Commission shall conduct at least one public hearing on the Department budget per budget cycle and shall forward to the City Council any recommendations for change.

8. Require the Chief of Police or his or her designee to attend Commission meetings and require the Chief of Police to submit an annual report to the Commission regarding such matters as the Commission shall require, including but not limited to a description of Department expenditures on community priorities as identified by the Commission. The Chief of Police or her or his designee shall also respond to requests made by the Commission by a majority vote of those present.

9. Report at least once a year to the Mayor, the City Council, and to the public to the extent permissible by law, the information contained in the Chief's report in addition to such other matters as are relevant to the functions and duties of the Commission.

10. Acting Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter or any provision of the Oakland Municipal Code, and acting separately or jointly with the Mayor, remove the Chief of Police by a vote of not less no fewer than five affirmative votes. If acting separately, the Commission may remove the Chief of Police only after adopting a finding or findings of cause, which shall be defined by City ordinance. The Commission must make its finding of just cause by not less no fewer than five affirmative votes. Upon removal, by the Commission, by the Mayor, or by the

Mayor and the Commission acting jointly, or upon the notice of vacancy of the position of Chief of Police, the Mayor, in consultation with the Chair of the Commission, shall immediately appoint an Interim Chief of Police. No person appointed to the position of Interim Chief of Police shall simultaneously hold additional non-sworn employment with the City, or simultaneously serve as an elected official or officer of the City. Such appointment shall not exceed six (6) months in duration unless an extension to a date certain is approved by a majority vote of the Commission. The Commission, with the assistance of the City Administrator, shall prepare and distribute a job announcement and prepare a list of at least ~~four~~ three (3) candidates and transmit the names and relevant background materials to the Mayor. The Mayor shall appoint one person from this list, or reject the list in its entirety and request a new list from the Commission. This provision shall not apply to any recruitment for the position of Chief of Police that is pending at the time of the Commission's first meeting.

11. ~~Send the Chairperson of the Commission or another Commissioner appointed by the Chairperson Agency Director and the Inspector General or their designees to serve as a non-voting members of any level one Oakland Police Force Review Board.~~

12. Hire and/or contract for, by an affirmative vote of at least five (5) members, one or more attorneys to provide legal advice to the Commission related to and within the scope of any of its powers or duties, in accordance with Section 604(i) of this Charter. The dollar amount of all such employees and/or contracts, in aggregate, in a single fiscal year shall not exceed the amount budgeted by the City Council for such fiscal year; and such contracts shall be in the form established by the City for professional legal services contracts. By an affirmative vote of at least five (5) members, the Commission may terminate such contracts or, subject to any applicable personnel rules or collective bargaining agreements, terminate such employment.¹

~~12.13. Perform such other functions and duties as may be prescribed by this Charter or by City ordinance.~~

(c) Appointment, Terms, Vacancies, Removal.

1. The Commission shall consist of seven (7) regular members and two (2) alternate members, all of whom shall be Oakland residents of at least eighteen (18) years of age. To the extent practicable, appointments shall be broadly representative of Oakland's diversity and shall include members with knowledge and/or experience in the fields of human resources practices, management, policy development, auditing, law, investigations, law enforcement, youth representation, civil rights and civil liberties, as well as representation from communities experiencing the most frequent contact with the Department. Background checks

¹ This language suggested by the City Attorney recently - not been vetted. Included for the purposes of discussion.

shall be required for all Commission members and alternates. Such background checks shall not be performed by the Department. The following shall not be eligible to serve as a Commissioner:

- a. current sworn police officer employee of a municipal police department;
- b. current City employee;
- c. former Department sworn employee; or
- d. current or former employee, official or representative of an employee association representing sworn police officers.

~~2. Within two hundred and ten (210) days of the enactment of this Section, the Mayor shall appoint three (3) Oakland residents as Commissioners, at least one of whom shall be a retired judge or lawyer with trial experience in criminal law or police misconduct, and one (1) Oakland resident as an alternate, and submit the names of these appointees to the Council for confirmation. The Council shall have sixty (60) days after the completion of the background checks and from the date of receipt of the Mayor's submission to accept or reject each of the Mayor's appointees as Commissioners. The Mayor shall appoint an Oakland resident to fill any Commission vacancies that were previously filled by a Mayor's appointee. If the City Council does not accept or reject the Mayor's appointee within sixty (60) days after the completion of the background check and receipt of the Mayor's submission, the appointee shall be deemed appointed.~~

~~32. All other Commissioners seven (7) regular members and the other two (2) alternates shall be appointed as follows:~~

~~a. There is hereby established a nine (9) member Selection Panel. Within ninety (90) days of the enactment of this Section, each City Council member shall appoint one (1) person, and the Mayor shall appoint one (1) person, to the Selection Panel. No current Department employee is eligible to be a member of the Selection Panel. The Selection Panel, with the assistance of the City Administrator, will solicit applications from those willing to serve on the Commission. The Selection Panel will review the applications, and interview applicants to serve as members of the Commission. The following shall not be eligible to serve as a Selection Panel member:~~

- i. current Department employee;
- ii. current or former sworn employee of a municipal police department;
- iii. current or former sworn employee of the Alameda County Sheriff's Office;
- iv. current employee of the Alameda County District Attorney's Office; or

v. current or former employee, official or representative of an employee association representing sworn police officers.

b. Within one hundred and twenty days (120) of its formation, the Selection Panel, by a two-thirds vote, shall submit a slate of ~~four (4)~~ seven (7) regular members and ~~one (1)~~ two (2) alternate members to the City Council. The City Council may require the nominees to appear before the Council or a Committee of the Council. If the City Council does not accept or reject the slate in its entirety within sixty (60) days after the completion of the background checks and submission by the Selection Panel, the ~~four (4)~~ seven (7) regular members and ~~one (1)~~ two (2) alternate members shall be deemed appointed.

c. Each year the Selection Panel shall re-convene, as needed, to designate replacements for the ~~five (5) Commissioner (four (4) regular members and one (1) alternate) vacancies initially filled by the Selection Panel and shall all regular and alternate vacancies, including vacancies created by members previously appointed by the Mayor. The Selection Panel shall, by a two-thirds vote of the members present but by a vote of no fewer than five (5) members, submit a slate of names of such designated persons to the City Council for acceptance or rejection, provided that a vacancy created by a retired judge or lawyer with trial experience in criminal law or police misconduct shall be filled by a person with the requisite credentials.~~ If the City Council does not accept or reject the entire slate within sixty (60) days after the completion of the background checks and submission by the Selection Panel, all designated replacements shall be deemed appointed.

d. Each year the Mayor and each Councilmember may replace her or his assigned person on the Selection Panel. Selection Panel members may serve up to five (5) years.

43. With the exception of the first group of Commissioners which shall serve staggered terms, the term for each regular and alternate Commissioner shall be three (3) years.

54. Commission members are limited to no more than two (2) consecutive terms, except that a Commissioner serving a term of no more than one (1) year shall be allowed to serve two (2) additional consecutive terms.

65. To effect a staggering of terms among the Commissioners, the duration of the first group of Commissioners shall be determined by the Selection Panel as follows: Three (3) regular members, including one (1) of the mayoral appointees, shall have an initial term of three (3) years; two (2) regular members, including one (1) of the mayoral appointees, shall have an initial term of two (2) years; two (2) regular members, including one (1) of the mayoral appointees, shall have an initial term of four (4) years. The alternate member appointed by the Selection Panel

shall have an initial term of two (2) years and the alternate member appointed by the Mayor shall have an initial term of three (3) years.

76. A vacancy on the Commission shall exist whenever a member dies, resigns, ceases to be a resident of the City, is convicted of a felony, or is removed.

87. For vacancies occurring for reasons other than the expiration of a regular member's term, the Commission shall select one of the alternates to replace the regular member for that regular member's remaining term of office. If the alternate chosen to replace the regular member was appointed by the Selection Panel, the Selection Panel shall appoint another alternate. If the alternate chosen to replace the regular member was appointed by the Mayor, the Mayor shall appoint another alternate.

98. All Commission members shall receive orientation regarding Department operations, policies and procedures, including but not limited to discipline procedures for police officer misconduct and failure to act. All Commission members shall receive training regarding Procedural Justice, conflict resolution, national standards of constitutional policing, best practices for conducting investigations, and other subject matter areas which are specified by City ordinance. All Commissioner members shall receive training from the Department of Race and Equity on "racial bias" within 90 days of the amendment of this section 604(c)(8) or within 90 days of their confirmation to the Commission by the City Council.

409. The City Council may remove members of the Commission for cause as provided in Section 601 of the Charter, or members of the Commission may be removed by a majority vote of the Commission only for conviction of a felony, conviction of a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude, a material act of dishonesty, fraud, or other act of moral turpitude, substantial neglect of duty, gross misconduct in office, inability to discharge the powers and duties of office, absence from three consecutive regular Commission meetings or five regular meetings in a calendar year except on account of illness or when absent by permission. The Public Ethics Commission shall have the authority to investigate all allegations which, if true, could be cause for removal of a Commissioner under Section 601 of the Charter and to refer the findings to the City Council.

(d) Meetings, Rules and Procedures.

1. The Commission shall meet at least twice each month unless it determines that one meeting is sufficient in a particular month. The Commission shall notify the public of the time and place of the meeting and provide time for public comment at each meeting. The Commission shall meet at least twice each year in locations other than City Hall.

2. The Commission shall establish rules and procedures for the conduct and operations of its business. Such rules shall be made available to the public.

3. Five (5) members shall constitute a quorum. If a quorum is not established by the regular members in attendance, the Chairperson of the Commission may designate one or more alternate members to establish a quorum and cast votes. Motions on all matters may be approved by a majority of those Commission members present unless otherwise specified in this Charter Section 604.

(e) Budget and Staffing.

1. The City shall allocate a sufficient budget for the Commission, including the Agency and the OIG, to perform its functions and duties as set forth in this Charter section 604, including at least one full-time equivalent non-City Attorney legal advisor that is specifically charged with providing legal services to the Agency related to investigations and recommended discipline. The one full-time equivalent non-City Attorney legal advisor shall be assigned by the City Attorney after consultation with the Chair of the Commission. The non-City Attorney legal advisor shall not in the regular course of his or her legal practice defend law enforcement officers and shall not participate in, nor serve as counsel to the City or any of its Council members or employees in defense of any lawsuit arising from any incident involving an Oakland police officer. budgeting for no fewer than two full-time legal advisors for the Agency (hereinafter Agency Attorneys). The budget set-aside for Agency Attorneys may be suspended for a fiscal year or two-year budget cycle upon a finding in the budget resolution that the City is facing an extreme fiscal necessity, as defined by City Council resolution. The Agency Director shall have authority to hire and/or contract with legal advisors subject to said budget. The Agency, including the Agency Staff Attorneys, may consult with the City Attorney on police-officer investigations and discipline, including related hearings, provided there is no conflict of interest.

2. Within sixty (60) days of the City Council's confirmation of the first group of Commissioners and alternates, the Oakland Citizens' Police Review Board (hereinafter Board) shall be disbanded and its pending business transferred to the Commission and to the Agency. The Executive Director of the Board shall become the Interim Director of the Agency, and all other staff will be transferred to the Agency.

3. After the effective date of this Charter section 604, the Commission may identify special qualifications and experience that candidates for Agency and OIG staff positions must have. Candidates for future vacancies may be selectively certified in accordance with the Civil Service Personnel Manual, as may be amended from time to time; said selective certification shall be subject to discretionary approval by the City Administrator or his or her designee Commission.

4. The staff of the Agency shall consist of no fewer than one line investigator for every one hundred (100) sworn officers in the Department, rounded up or down to the nearest one hundred (100). The number of investigators shall be determined at the beginning of each budget cycle based on the number of sworn officers employed by the Department the previous June 1. At least one investigator shall be a licensed attorney. The budget set-aside for such minimum staffing may be suspended for a fiscal year or two-year budget cycle upon a finding in the budget resolution that the City is facing an extreme fiscal necessity, as defined by City Council resolution.

5. The City Administrator shall assign a staff member to act as liaison to the Commission and to provide administrative support to the Commission, including attending Commission meetings.

6. Upon a vacancy, the Agency Director of the Agency and the Inspector General shall be hired by the City Administrator from among two (2) or three (3) candidates submitted by the Commission. By an affirmative vote of at least five (5) members, or by an affirmative vote of four (4) members with the approval of the City Administrator, the Commission may terminate the Agency Director of the Agency or the Inspector General. If acting separately the Commission may remove the Inspector General only after adopting a finding or findings of cause, which may be defined by City Ordinance. The Commission shall periodically conduct a performance review of the Agency Director and Inspector General. The Agency Director and Inspector General shall be classified as a Department heads, and shall have the authority to hire and fire Agency staff and OIG staff, respectively, including Agency Attorneys, in consultation with the City Administrator consistent with state law, City Civil Service Rules and any applicable collective bargaining agreement.

7. Agency, OIG and Commission staff, with the exception of the Agency Director and Inspector General, shall be civil service employees in accordance with Article IX of the City Charter. Background checks shall be required for all Agency investigator applicants before they are hired by the Agency. Such background checks shall not be performed by the Department. Staff of the Board who are transferred to the Agency as discussed in section (e)(2) above shall not be subject to background checks.

8. ~~No current or former sworn employee of the Department, or current official, employee or representative of an employee association representing sworn police officers, is eligible for any staff position in the Agency, or the Commission.~~

(f) Investigations.

1. Beginning sixty (60) days after the City Council's confirmation of the first group of Commissioners and alternates, the Agency shall receive, review and prioritize all public complaints concerning the alleged misconduct or failure to act

of all Department sworn employees, including complaints from Department non-sworn employees. The Agency shall not be required to investigate each public complaint it receives, beyond the initial intake procedure, but shall investigate public complaints involving uses of force, in-custody deaths, profiling based on any of the protected characteristics identified by federal, state, or local law, untruthfulness, and First Amendment assemblies. The Agency shall also investigate any other possible misconduct or failure to act of a Department sworn employee, whether or not the subject of a public complaint, as directed by the Commission. The Agency shall forward a copy of each complaint received it receives to the Internal Affairs Division of the Oakland Police Department within one business day of receipt, and the Department shall forward a copy of each complaint it receives to the Agency within one business day of receipt. The Agency Director may report to the Commission any resistance by the Department to the Agency conducting reasonable investigative tasks. The Agency Director shall submit to the Commission each month a list of all investigations it is conducting and shall, as permitted by law, answer any questions raised by any Commissioner regarding such investigations at a Commission meeting.

2. Subject to applicable law, the The Commission, OIG, and Agency shall have the same access to all Department files and records, including the Department's Internal Affairs Division (IAD) files and records, related to sworn employees of the Department with the exception of personnel records, in addition to all files and records of other City departments and agencies related to sworn employees of the Department, as the Department's Internal Affairs Division (IAD) IAD, including but not limited to the same access to electronic data bases as IAD as permitted by law. Requests for access to such files and records shall be made by a majority vote of the Commission, by the Agency Director, or by the Inspector General. By majority vote, the Commission shall have the authority to request information from the Department, and the Chief of Police or her or his designee shall respond to such requests. Access to personnel records shall be limited to the Agency Director who All those who have access to confidential information shall maintain confidentiality as required by law. The Department and other City departments and agencies shall make every reasonable effort to respond to the provide all documents responsive to the Commission's, OIG's, or Agency's requests for files and records within ten (10) days, including but not limited to: (1) records relevant to Police Department policies or practices, and (2) personnel and disciplinary records of Police Department sworn employees.

3. The Agency shall make every reasonable effort to complete its investigations within one hundred and eighty (180) days of the filing of the complaint with the Agency. Within thirty (30) days of completion of the investigation, the Agency Director of the Agency shall issue written findings and proposed discipline regarding the allegations stated in the complaint to the Chair of the Commission and the Chief of Police. The Agency Director shall issue written findings and proposed discipline within twenty-four (24) hours of completion of any investigation involving Level 1 misconduct (as defined by Department policy). The

City Administrator shall not have the authority to reject or modify the Agency's findings and proposed discipline.

4. To the extent allowed by law and after consultation with the Commission, the Agency shall forward information to other enforcement agencies, including but not limited to the Alameda County District Attorney, when such information establishes a reasonable basis for believing that a crime may have been committed by a sworn Department employee.

5. The Office of the Inspector General may review legal claims, lawsuits, settlements, complaints, and investigations, by, against, or involving the Department and the Agency, to ensure that all allegations of police officer misconduct are thoroughly investigated, and to identify any systemic issues regarding Department and Agency practices and policies. The OIG shall review the Mayor's proposed budget to determine whether budgetary allocations are aligned with community priorities as defined by the Commission. The OIG shall have access and authority to review Department data, investigative records, personnel records, and staffing information for the purpose of conducting audits of the Department. The OIG shall have access and authority to review Agency data, investigative records, personnel records, and staffing information for the purpose of conducting audits of the Agency. OIG shall report the results of its audits to the Commission and may publicly report on the results of any audits in a manner consistent with all applicable confidentiality requirements.

6. Upon the occurrence of a Serious Incident, as defined by Ordinance, the Chief or her or his designee shall immediately notify the Agency Director and the Inspector General.

(g) Adjudication.

1. If the Chief of Police agrees with the Agency's findings and proposed discipline, he or she shall notify the Agency Director who shall notify the Chair of the Commission of the agreed-upon findings and proposed discipline. Except in cases involving complaints of a Level 1 misconduct for which the Commission convenes a Discipline Committee pursuant to section 604(g)(5), the Chief shall send to the subject officer notification of the agreed-upon findings and intent to impose discipline. The Chief of Police may send such notification to the subject officer before IAD has begun or completed its investigation.

2. If the Chief of Police disagrees with the Agency's findings and/or proposed discipline, the Chief of Police shall prepare-notify the Agency Director of his or her own findings and/or proposed discipline which shall be submitted to. The Agency Director shall submit the Chief's findings and proposed discipline in addition to the Agency's findings and proposed discipline to the Chair of the Commission. The Chair of the Commission shall appoint a Discipline Committee

comprised of three Commissioners. The City Administrator shall not have authority to reject or modify the Chief of Police's findings and proposed discipline. ~~The Agency's findings and proposed discipline shall also be submitted to the Discipline Committee which shall review both submissions and resolve any dispute between the Agency and the Chief of Police. Based solely on the record presented by the Agency and the Chief of Police, the~~ The Discipline Committee may further investigate any complaint of Level 1 misconduct (as defined by Department policy) before it, including calling and interviewing witnesses, subject officers, and subject-matter experts, requesting additional records, requesting that Agency investigators collect additional evidence, and other investigative measures. The Discipline Committee shall submit its final decision regarding the appropriate findings and proposed discipline to the Chief of Police who shall notify the subject officer. The City Administrator shall not have the authority to reject or modify the Discipline Committee's final decision regarding the appropriate findings and level of discipline. ~~The Discipline Committee shall not have the authority to conduct its own investigation.~~

3. If the Chief of Police prepares his or her own findings and proposed discipline and provides it to the Agency before the Agency's investigation is initiated or completed, the Agency may close its investigation or may choose not to conduct its own investigation in order to allow final discipline to proceed as proposed by the Chief, except that if the Agency is required to conduct an investigation by subsection (f) above in investigations concerning Level 1 uses of force (as defined by Department policy), the Commission must approve the Agency's decision by a majority vote. If the Agency chooses not to close its investigation, imposition of final discipline shall be delayed until the Agency's investigation is completed and the Agency makes its findings and recommendations for discipline. The Agency shall notify the Chief of its final decision regarding how it will proceed within five (5) business days of the Chief's notice of completion of his or her investigation.

4. All employees are afforded their due process and statutory rights including Skelly rights. Subject to state law, the Agency Director and the Inspector General may attend Skelly meetings. After the findings and imposition of discipline have become final, the subject officer shall have the right to grieve/appeal the findings and imposition of discipline if such rights are prescribed in a collective bargaining agreement. An Agency Attorney shall represent the City whenever the discipline determination of a Discipline Committee is the subject of a hearing before the Civil Service Board or a labor arbitrator.

5. The Commission may convene a Discipline Committee to review the findings and proposed discipline in any Level 1 misconduct investigation, even if the Chief and the Agency agree on the findings and proposed discipline. The Commission must convene such a Discipline Committee by a vote of no fewer than five (5) affirmative votes, and within thirty (30) days of the Commission's

receipt of notification of the Chief's and the Agency's agreed-upon findings and proposed discipline.

(h) Enabling Legislation.

The Commission may make recommendations to the City Council for enacting legislation or regulations that will further the goals and purposes of this Charter section 604. The City Council may, on its own initiative, enact legislation or regulations that will further the goals and purposes of this Charter section 604. Once the Commission is seated, subsequent legislation or regulations shall be submitted to the Commission for review and comment. The Commission shall have forty-five (45) days to submit its comments to the City Council, such time to be extended only by agreement of the City Council.

(i) Legal Counsel to the Commission²

1. Attorneys hired or engaged pursuant to section 604(b)(12) of this Charter (hereinafter Commission Attorneys) shall represent the City as an organization and shall not commence any claim or other legal proceeding against the City on behalf of the Commission. Commission Attorneys shall respond to any petition or application for a writ of mandate, restraining order or injunction brought against the Commission or against Commissioners in its or their official capacity unless the City Council votes to refer the matter to the City Attorney for response. The City Attorney shall act as legal counsel in behalf of the Commission and Commissioners in all other litigation involving it or them in their official capacity in accord with section 401(6) of this Charter.

2. In accord with their role, Commission Attorneys shall not disclose the confidences of the Commission on any legal matter to any other officer of the City unless:

a. The Commission, either as a body or through its Chair, or the Vice Chair if the Chair is unavailable and the matter is time sensitive, gives Commission Attorney informed consent in writing;

b. The Commission, either as a body or through its Chair, or Vice Chair if the Chair is unavailable and the matter is time sensitive, refers the same legal matter to the City Attorney pursuant to section 401(6) of this Charter;

c. Commission Attorney, in her/his professional discretion, determines it is in the best interests of the Commission to consult with the City Attorney;

d. Commission Attorney, in her/his professional discretion, determines that the Rules of Professional Conduct require referral of the matter to one of the following

² This language suggested by the City Attorney recently - not been vetted. Included for the purposes of discussion.

City officers: City Administrator, Mayor, City Attorney, Council president, Vice Mayor; or

e. The legal matter becomes, in whole or in part, the subject of litigation involving the City or any City officer, board, commission, including the Police Commission, or other agency in their official capacity.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby directs the City Administrator to notify, in writing, any and all employee organizations that may be affected by the proposed amendments; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby directs the City Administrator meet and confer with employee organizations, as may be required by law, subject to and in accordance with the direction of the Council.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES - FORTUNATO BAS, GALLO, GIBSON MCELHANEY, KALB, REID,
TAYLOR, THAO AND PRESIDENT KAPLAN

NOES -

ABSENT -

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST: _____

LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the
Council of the City of Oakland,
California