

jmb

**CITY OF OAKLAND  
MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Rules & Legislation Committee  
**ATTN:** Assistant to the City Manager  
**FROM:** Name : Vice Mayor Henry Chang, Jr.  
Phone No. : 238-7008  
Address/Agency/Dept: At-Large Council Office  
**DATE:** January 20, 2004

**SUBJECT: REQUEST TO SCHEDULE AGENDA ITEM**

---

**TITLE: A RESOLUTION URGING OAKLAND'S STATE LEGISLATORS TO OPPOSE GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S PROPOSED 2004-2005 BUDGET BECAUSE OF ITS NEGATIVE IMPACT ON POLICE, FIRE AND OTHER ESSENTIAL SERVICES, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND THE CITY'S ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS**

**SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION:**

A. Committee \_\_\_\_\_  
(Please specify Committee. Committees meet 2<sup>nd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> Tuesdays)

City Council \_\_\_\_\_ Redevelopment Agency  
(Council/Agency meets on 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 5<sup>th</sup> Tuesdays)

B. Meeting Date: **February 3, 2004**

Is there a statutory, regulatory, financial or grant deadline? **No**

Is a staff report required/requested? **No**

What is the fiscal impact on the City/Agency? **Adoption of the governor's proposed budget will result of a city loss of at least \$7.5 million.**

If the ten-day (Sunshine Ordinance) agenda deadline cannot be met, please indicate reason:

\_\_\_ Item constitutes an "emergency" (Crippling disaster, work stoppage or other activity which severely impacts public health and/or safety)

\_\_\_ It was not reasonably possible to place the proposed item on the two-week agenda AND any of the following exist:

Item requires immediate action to avoid a substantial adverse impact if action is deferred to a subsequent special or regular meeting;

Item requires immediate action relating to federal or state legislation;

\_\_\_ Item requires immediate action relating to eligibility for a grant or gift; OR

\_\_\_ Item is ceremonial.

Explain why item could not have been placed on the 10-day agenda:

04-0090

# OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Attorney

RESOLUTION No. \_\_\_\_\_ C.M.S.

# DRAFT

**A RESOLUTION URGING OAKLAND'S STATE LEGISLATORS TO OPPOSE  
GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S PROPOSED 2004-2005 BUDGET  
BECAUSE OF ITS NEGATIVE IMPACT ON POLICE, FIRE AND OTHER  
ESSENTIAL SERVICES, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND THE CITY'S ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT**

**WHEREAS**, Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger has recently released his proposed 2004-2005 State Budget;  
and

**WHEREAS**, this proposal would result in the loss of \$4.5M to the City of Oakland's General Fund which  
pays for essential services such as police and fire protection; and

**WHEREAS**, the Governor's proposed budget would result in the loss of \$500,000 in gas sales tax revenues,  
guaranteed to the City of Oakland through Proposition 42, and which pay for essential street  
maintenance/improvements and traffic safety projects; and

**WHEREAS**, the Governor's proposed budget would result in the loss of \$2.4M in tax increment revenues to  
the Oakland Redevelopment Agency which pays for neighborhood commercial revitalization, downtown  
redevelopment, blight abatement, and affordable housing; and

**WHEREAS**, Cities and Counties have contributed their fair share in order to help resolve the State's budget  
crisis; and

**WHEREAS**, the Governor's proposal would place an unfair burden on local governments by mandating  
further reductions in important revenue sources that pay for essential local services; and

**WHEREAS**, Oakland residents are already paying their fair share of taxes and are being asked to approve  
additional property taxes to fund libraries, fire safety and violence prevention; and

**WHEREAS**, at the same time the Governor's budget proposal is made, Oakland residents are demanding an  
increase in police and fire services, and repairs to our deteriorating infrastructure; now therefore, be it

**RESOLVED**: That the Oakland City Council opposes the Governor's proposed state budget cuts to local  
and redevelopment agencies, and urges State legislators to oppose these cuts; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**: That the City will support the League of California Cities in its efforts to protect  
funding to local government.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_

**PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:**

AYES- BROOKS, BRUNNER, CHANG, NADEL, REID, QUAN, WAN and PRESIDENT DE LA FUENTE

NOES-

ABSENT-

ABSTENTION-

**04-0090**

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_