

# CITY OF OAKLAND



CITY HALL

1 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA

OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

3

Office of the City Administrator Dan Lindheim City Administrator (510) 238-3301

May 12, 2009

Finance & Management Committee Oakland City Council Oakland, California

Chairperson Quan and Members of the Committee:

# RE: Status Report on Implementing the Transfer of Eligible Charges of 14 Problem Solving Officers to Measure Y

### SUMMARY

At its March 31, 2009 meeting, the Oakland City Council approved several cost-reducing and revenue-generating measures to address the anticipated \$8 million shortfall in the current fiscal year (FY 2008-09). One such measure was a transfer of eligible costs for 14 Problem-Solving Officers (PSOs) in the Police Department to Measure Y, to save an estimated \$530,000. Since the March 31, 2009 action, additional facts became known and additional events occurred that require reconsideration of the decision.

### FISCAL IMPACT

The transfer of eligible costs of the 14 PSOs would have saved an estimated \$530,000 in the General Purpose Fund (GPF). Without this transfer, other balancing measures must he identified to address the GPF's current-year projected shortfall. A separate report to the Finance & Management Committee on May 12, 2009 will show that FY 2008-09 fiscal outlook has become considerably worse since the latest Council action to balance the current budget.

Item: \_\_\_\_\_ F&M Committee May 12, 2009

### BACKGROUND

On March 31, 2009, the Oakland City Council authorized the transfer of eligible costs for 14 Problem-Solving Officers (PSOs) to Measure Y, to save an estimated \$530,000. A legal opinion from the Office of the City Attorney (copy presented as *Attachment A*) supported the validity of this decision, based on the facts known at the time.

### **KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS**

The City Attorney advised in a public legal opinion dated March 31, 2009 (*Attachment A*) that under current circumstances, the salary and benefits costs of 14 PSOs could be transferred to the Measure Y Fund. The circumstances noted at the time were: (1) the City continues to appropriate non-Measure Y funds in amounts sufficient to support at least 739 police officers and (2) the City has filled at least 63 Measure Y positions.

However, since March 31, 2009, additional facts and events have created possible complications in implementing the decision to transfer the costs of eligible PSOs to Measure Y. At this time, staff recommends taking time to analyze such additional developments, and returning to the City Council with final recommendations at a later date.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Receive this informational status report.

Respectfully submitted,

Dan Lindheim City Administrator

Prepared by: Marianna Marysheva-Martinez Assistant City Administrator

Attachment A:

<u>Legal Opinion</u>, Office of the City Attorney, March 31, 2009: "Budgeting Measure Y Funds to Pay Police Officers Assigned to Neighborhood Beat Positions that the City Filled Before the Voters Approved Measure Y"

> Item: \_\_\_\_\_ F&M Committee

> > May 12, 2009

# CITY OF OAKLAND

# **Office of the City Attorney**

# Legal Opinion

- TO: City Council President Brunner and Members of the City Council
- CC: Dan Lindheim, City Administrator Marianna Marysheva-Martinez, Assistant City Administrator Cheryl Taylor, Director of Budget Office Felicia Silva, Budget Administrator Howard Jordan, Acting Police Chief David Kozicki, Deputy Chief Police Gilbert Garcia, Principal Financial Analyst, OPD
- FROM: John Russo, City Attorney
- DATE: March 31, 2009

SUBJECT: Budgeting Measure Y Funds to Pay Police Officers Assigned to Neighborhood Beat Positions that the City Filled Before the Voters Approved Measure Y

### I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this memorandum is to memorialize advice we orally. provided to the Oakland Police Department (OPD) and Budget Office regarding the use of Measure Y funds to pay officers assigned to positions that the City originally filled before the voters passed Measure Y.

### II. QUESTION

May the City budget Measure Y funds to pay the salaries and benefits of police officers currently assigned to the 14 neighborhood beat positions originally filled prior to the adoption of Measure Y?

### III. SUMMARY CONCLUSION

Yes, as long as: (a) the officers are assigned to neighborhood beat positions described in Part 1, Section 3, of Measure Y, and (b) the City continues to appropriate funds sufficient to maintain 739 sworn officer positions pursuant to Part 2, Section 4, of Measure Y.

# IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

OPD has informed the City Attorney's Office of the following facts.

There are 57 neighborhood beats. Prior to the adoption of Measure Y, OPD had filled 14 of the 57 neighborhood beat positions with sworn officers (who are also known as Problem Solving Officers, or PSOs).

Since the adoption of Measure Y, OPD has filled all 57 neighborhood beat positions. OPD has filled a total of more than 63 Measure Y positions (which includes neighborhood beat positions, per the terms of Measure Y).

The City has not used Measure Y funds to pay the salaries and benefits of officers who have been assigned to the 14 neighborhood beat positions that were filled prior to the adoption of Measure Y. OPD and the Budget Office have asked whether Measure Y funds could be used to pay the salaries and benefits of all police officers assigned to neighborhood beat positions since Measure Y states that the Measure Y revenues can be used to hire and maintain officers for neighborhood beat positions.

### V. <u>ANALYSIS</u>

Measure Y, the Violence Prevention and Public Safety Act of 2004, provides the City with revenues, for a ten year period, to fund specified police, fire and other services. (See Measure Y, Part 1, Section 3, and Part 2, Sections 2 & 5.)

Part 1, Section 3, describes the permitted uses of Measure Y funds. With respect to police services, Section 3 states that the City may:

Hire and maintain a[t] least a total of 63 police officers assigned to the following community-policing objectives:

a. *Neighborhood beat officers*: each community policing beat shall have at least one neighborhood officer assigned solely to serve the residents of that beat to provide consistent contact and familiarity between residents and officers, continuity in problem solving and basic availability of police response in each neighborhood.

The purposes of Measure Y include providing funds to fill, and to keep filled, at least 63 community policing positions, including the neighborhood beat positions. (See Part 1, Sections 1 & 3.) No provision of Measure Y expressly prohibits the use of Measure Y funds to pay an officer based upon when the position to which he or she is assigned was originally filled (e.g., prior to or after the adoption of Measure Y). Measure Y has no cap on the number of positions that Measure Y may fund, as long as those officers are serving the objectives described therein. (See Part 1, Section 3 (permitting Measure Y funds to be used to "[h]ire and maintain a[t] least at total of 63 police officers ....").)

Having filled at least 63 community policing positions described in Measure Y, the City may budget Measure Y funds to the salaries and benefits of all officers who are assigned to neighborhood beat positions described in Part 1, Section 3.

However, Measure Y does prohibit the City from collecting Measure Y taxes "in any year that the appropriation for staffing of sworn uniformed police officers is at a level lower than the amount necessary to maintain the number of uniformed officers employed by the City of Oakland for the fiscal year 2003-2004 (739)." (Part 2, Section 4.) To avoid any potential challenge to the City's right to collect the taxes, we strongly advise that the City appropriate and set aside non-Measure Y funds in amounts sufficient to fund 739 sworn positions.

# VI. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The City may budget Measure Y funds to pay the salaries and benefits of police officers currently assigned to the 14 neighborhood beat positions that the City originally filled prior to the adoption of Measure Y, subject to the facts and conditions described above.

Very truly yours,

For JOHN A. RUSSO City Attorney

Attorneys Assigned: Mark T. Morodomi Kevin D. Siegel