Nadel

1. INTENT: Exceed Title 24 Energy Standards by 15%.

a. **Requirement:** Utilize free services provided by the Oakland Energy Partnership's Energy Efficiency Design Assistance (EEDA) Program to help evaluate potential energy saving measures to meet the energy efficiency requirements that follow. Contact EEDA by calling Alex Alzugaray, Energy Solutions, 510/482-4420, ext. 225.

Submittal: Brief memo summarizing which recommended measures were adopted and which were not. State reasons why any recommended measures were not adopted.

b. Requirement: Reduce-Work to reduce source energy use 15% below Custom Energy Budget for the project as determined by the performance compliance method of Title 24. Regulated energy components include HVAC systems, building envelope, service hot water systems, lighting and other regulated systems as defined by Title 24.

Submittal: Provide a narrative highlighting energy saving measures incorporated in the building design. Include a table listing baseline and proposed comparisons of all model variables that are different.

Submittal: Demonstrate Show the extent that the design source energy use is 15% lower than the Title 24 Custom Budget for the project. Provide a completed and signed copy of the Title 24 Report for the project (including Performance Certificate of Compliance, Form PERF-1).

c. **Requirement:** Use Energy Star Appliances for all appliances provided by the developer. Energy Star Appliances are those receiving an official label through the Environmental Protection Agency's Energy Star program.

Submittal: Provide a cut sheet for each appliance provided that shows the Energy Star label.

d. Requirement: Work collaboratively with City of Oakland staff and the Oakland Energy Partnership in evaluating the costs and benefits of using renewable energy production methods. City contacts: Scott Wentworth, Public Works Agency, 615-5421; Carol Misseldine, Mayor's Office, 238-6808; Randy Hayes, 238-7157.

Submittal: Listing of dates and outcomes of meetings held, with a particular emphasis on the feasibility of installing a solar or other renewable energy system.

14.4-3 6.15.04 Full Council

A. CHANGES TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

Additional Design Review of Site G: The design review of this building will not be limited to the criteria set forth in the draft D.A.; instead the criteria will be broadened to be the same as those for new FDPs However, the height, bulk and mass of the Site G structure shall remain as approved by the Planning Commission.

<u>New FDPs</u>: Final development plans new and substantially different from those being approved now must be approved by the Planning Commission (instead of the Design Review Committee) and may be appealed to the City Council.

B. CHANGES TO CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Sign Program: The required sign program must be approved by the Planning Commission and may be appealed to the City Council.

C. CHANGES TO FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS

66 Franklin (With Two Story Addition): Withdraw this final development plan, so that any proposal to implement this design in the future would be a "New FDP" as described above and must be approved by the Planning Commission and may be appealed to the City Council.

Item 14.4-3 City Councie 6-15-04