CITY OF OAKLAND

AGENDA REPORT

TO:

Office of the City Administrator

ATTN: FROM: Deborah Edgerly Public Works Agency

DATE:

June 12, 2007

RE:

Update Report on Park Prioritization and Action on a Recommendation That the City Council Establish A Parks Project Prioritization List for City Of Oakland Park Capital Improvement Projects and Authorize the City Administrator, or Her Designee, to Apply for Grants for Projects on the

Prioritization List

SUMMARY

Presented for Council approval is an update report and a recommendation to establish a project prioritization list for City of Oakland parks capital improvement projects and to authorize the City Administrator to apply for, but not accept, grants based on the approved prioritization list without further action by City Council. The City Administrator would continue to request Council approval in advance of grant applications when required by grant agencies or when the approved prioritized list is not used in selecting a project for grant application due to unique circumstances. In all cases, grant awards obtained by staff will be submitted to the City Council for acceptance and appropriation of funds upon grant award.

In October 2004, Council directed the City Administrator to develop a method to prioritize parks capital improvement projects. The purpose of the prioritization is to produce a project ranking list that would be used as the implementation plan by the City Administrator to obtain grants and complete the projects.

The City Administrator presented a report in February 2005 identifying various methodologies to analyze and prioritize parks projects. The report described two processes: 1) a comprehensive process to assess City parks and open spaces involving extensive community outreach leading to development of full program needs, and 2) an alternative, limited process of identifying a selected number of parks and facilities deemed as high priority by its respective District Council person.

Council approved Resolution No. 79638 C.M.S. (December 20, 2005) which implemented the alternative method using a limited process based on a selected number of parks and facilities by the Councilmembers for each of their Council districts, established evaluation criteria for assessing park capital improvement projects, and directed the City Administrator to proceed with development of a prioritized list of parks and open space capital improvement projects.

Item:
Life Enrichment Committee
June 12, 2007

On December 19, 2006, the City Administrator presented the results of the evaluation based on selected projects. The proposed prioritized list of 23 projects was presented for Council approval and adoption. Because there are on-going projects that were not incorporated in the evaluation process, Council directed the City Administrator to evaluate and incorporate existing park capital improvement projects, including Measure DD Bond projects, into the process and develop a comprehensive list for review and approval.

A Council-established priority project list will be useful for responding to grant and other funding opportunities for park projects, expedite the process in applying for grant funds, and serve as the guiding plan for implementing Oakland's parks and open space capital improvements.

FISCAL IMPACT

This report recommends that Council establish the parks project prioritization list to be used for grant applications and project implementation. There are no direct fiscal impacts associated with this report until the projects are funded and implemented.

Evaluation of each proposed project includes estimated total project cost and potential operation and maintenance impact based on the proposed scope. Once funds are available to implement a specific project, detailed evaluation of the impact of the project on operation and maintenance costs will be presented to the City Council.

BACKGROUND

In June 1996, the City Council adopted the Open Space, Conservation, and Recreation (OSCAR) Element of the Oakland General Plan. The OSCAR is the official policy document addressing the management of open land, natural resources, recreation services, and parks in Oakland. Park capital improvement project criteria should adhere to OSCAR and address the recommendations provided by the policy. OSCAR outlines a proposed procedure for improvements or changes to Oakland parks but does not offer specific evaluation methods.

In recent years and with the lack of any increase in the Landscape and Lighting Assessment District fund, the operation and maintenance of Oakland's park system faces significant budget and staffing constraints. This has presented a challenge for the City to define and implement projects critical to maintain services and programs for the public. These budget constraints make prioritization more important than ever to provide for a systematic approach in selecting the most critical projects for the limited available funding. Further, because of a lack of discretionary funding within the City's budget, the primary source of revenue for park capital improvement projects has been grants, most of which are competitive.

The priority project list will serve as the guiding plan for pursuing funds that become available either from the City or outside grant sources in order to protect and improve Oakland's treasured open space assets.

KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS

On July 20, 2004, City Council approved Resolution No. 78747 C.M.S. establishing criteria used to prioritize any capital improvement project for parks and open space. The OSCAR was used as the basis for selection of past projects. The prioritization criteria are:

- Projects that resolve existing health and safety issues, including liability exposure.
- Projects that replace existing deteriorated facilities, fields, tot lots, etc.
- Projects that leverage existing improvements that are already funded, or in design or construction, particularly those that are approved by Citywide vote.
- Projects that are partially funded and suitable for grant-funding opportunities.
- Projects that increase access to existing parks for school children.

With the established criteria, a long-term capital improvement plan is still required to systematically identify and prioritize park projects. On December 20, 2005, Council approved Resolution No. 79638 C.M.S. authorizing funding for staff to contract with a consultant to develop a prioritization plan for a limited set of parks and open space projects. The City tasked Wallace Roberts & Todd to assess, develop concept plans for the selected sites, and prioritize the projects based on Council adopted evaluation criteria to arrive at a project priority list. The list could be used by the City to budget, seek potential funds, and implement projects on a priority basis. The number of projects was set at a maximum of three projects selected by each Council office.

City Council Offices identified a total of 23 projects for prioritization. All 23 projects required existing condition evaluations, scope development, concept plan development, and project budget estimate preparation. The results of the 23 prioritized project list was presented on December 19, 2006 Council meeting. In addition to the 23 prioritized projects, there are other park projects at varying stages of development that require additional funds. Council directed staff to evaluate existing projects and incorporate the results with the 23 prioritized projects to develop final recommendations. **Attachment A** lists the prioritized project results based on total evaluation points from highest to lowest. Alternatively, **Attachment B** lists the prioritized project results based on geographical distribution. **Attachment C** is the individual evaluation forms for each of the evaluated projects. **Attachment D** is a summary list of the projects evaluated.

Prioritization/Ranking Methods Considered:

At the December 19, 2006, Council meeting, Council expressed concerns and directed staff to review the project list after incorporating existing projects and develop alternative methods of prioritizing the list based on the evaluation results. The concerns ranged from geographic disparity of the priority projects, high percentage of green space against population in certain districts that results in less competitive needs and lower priority for the district, inadequately addressing fields as they do not place high in the established evaluation system, lack of LLAD funds impacting on-going maintainability of the projects, and the importance to complete projects called for in the Measure DD program as a voter-approved measure that is intended to be supplemented by other funds.

The park evaluation summary list (Attachment A) ranks the projects based on total points resulting from assessment against the evaluation system and scoring sheet adopted by Council in December 20, 2005. The maximum total point projects represent projects that 1) address public safety or health risks, 2) produce maximum program and service potentials, 3) enable collaborative opportunities, 4) maximize operation and maintenance efficiencies, 5) improve operation and maintenance efficiency, 6) preserve/protect existing cultural/natural/ historical resources, and 7) have available sources of funding to implement the project. The adopted evaluation criteria emphasize preserving existing facilities and do not include new neighborhood needs as a consideration. The resulting list provides a City-wide prioritization list without regards to geographic area.

To address the geographic disparity, staff used the prioritized project list (Attachment A) and arranged the projects into three groups with each group incorporating a project from each Council District (Attachment B). Each group of projects will be implemented and considered for funding opportunities by the order of ranking. However, this prioritizing method does not address citywide projects or multi-district projects. In addition, the Measure DD program, in particular, meets City Council approved Resolution No. 78747 C.M.S. criteria for projects that leverage existing improvements that are already funded, or in design or construction, and those that are approved by Citywide vote. It is recommended that Council consider placing Measure DD projects and on-going citywide projects as the highest priority since the projects within the program provides citywide services and amenities and have existing funding.

Funding Opportunities:

As a grant opportunity becomes available, the Council approved prioritization list will be used to determine the highest ranking project that meets the eligibility requirements of the available grant. The approved ranked prioritization list will allow staff to apply for grants based on adopted ranking without further City Council action. The City Administrator would request Council approval in advance of grant applications whenever grant agencies require such approval by the applicant's governing entity or when the prioritized list is not used in selecting the project

due to unique circumstances. Having the approved prioritization list will enable staff to submit grant applications to meet short grant deadlines. Upon successful application of grants, the City Administrator will request Council acceptance and appropriation of the grant funds. To update the Council, informational reports on the applications submitted for project funding will be presented periodically or as required by the Council.

Grant opportunities in the near future are limited. With the prioritized project list, staff will be able to target project application for suitable grants. The recent passage of Proposition 84 in November 2006 offers potential additional funding opportunities. Once the funding programs are defined for Proposition 84, the prioritized project list can be revisited and presented to Council for direction to match the projects on the list with the appropriate grant programs that meet the eligibility requirements.

A brief update of anticipated grant opportunities is summarized below:

- <u>Federal Saving America's Treasure</u>: 2007 grant application is closed. Information for 2008 grant will not be available until January 2008. Grant is managed by National Park Services. Grant deadlines are typically in spring annually.
- <u>California Integrated Waste Management Grants:</u> Recycled rubber tires grants fund **only** material costs. Grants include Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Use Grants, Targeted Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Incentive Grants, and Tire-Derived Product (TDP) Grants. Grants are annually applied or on an on-going monthly basis.
- State Annual Grants (Recreational Trails Program (RTP), Habitat Conservation Fund (HCF), and Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)): Applicable projects will be submitted for annual grants based on the prioritization list, if approved. RTP grant application deadline is typically on May 1st annually, HCF and LWCF grant application deadline is typically on October 1st annually.
- Proposition 84 2006 Safe Drinking Water Bond Act: Pending Legislature action.
- 2006 Housing Bond Act Proposition 1C: Pending Legislature action.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The park prioritization evaluation process consisted of the following:

- 1. Identify a maximum of three priority projects by each Council Office for the respective Council district that require assessment and development of project scope.
- 2. Conduct site evaluation and review program and scope needs. Evaluation and analysis were conducted with the participation of Council Offices and related City departments

Item:
Life Enrichment Committee
June 12, 2007

- (typically Office of Parks and Recreation and Public Works Agency).
- 3. Develop preliminary concept plans based on evaluation and analysis (Readiness of project).
- 4. Review preliminary concept plans with Council Offices and relevant City departments.
- 5. Develop project budget cost estimates and evaluate projects according to the Council adopted criteria evaluation form.
- 6. Finalize evaluation based on adopted criteria and rank each project according to evaluation results.
- 7. Establish prioritization list based on evaluation results.
- 8. Apply for grants based on priority list projects, with highest-ranked projects that meet the specific grant criteria.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

<u>Environmental</u>: In general, park capital improvement projects will promote environmental goals, conserve natural resources, and maintain existing natural and park assets.

<u>Economic:</u> Park capital improvement projects will improve the economic value of the surrounding neighborhoods by providing open recreational spaces.

Social Equity: Park capital improvement project will provide recreational and open space amenities to youths, seniors, and communities at large.

DISABILITY AND SENIOR CITIZEN ACCESS

There are no direct disability and senior access opportunities associated with this report. However, future projects will provide a direct benefit to the City for improving access to City parks, facilities, and programs for persons with disabilities.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND RATIONALE

It is recommended that Council select and approve the Attachment A prioritization list as a basis for existing and upcoming grant opportunities as the list represents the results of the evaluation process. If Council desires to achieve greater geographic equity in the prioritization, staff recommends approving Attachment B and placing the Measure DD program and on-going citywide projects as the highest priority before the geographically grouped projects. The prioritization list will be reviewed when future new programs are announced under Proposition 84. Staff will seek Council direction to match appropriate projects on the list with the grant programs.

It is also recommended that the Council direct the City Administrator to use the list and proceed without obtaining Council approval in advance of submitting grant applications. Informational reports would then be presented to Council on applications submitted for project funding. When unique projects arise outside of the prioritization list, Council approval will be sought in advance

Item:
Life Enrichment Committee
June 12, 2007

prior to applying for grants. Upon award of grants, the City Administrator will request Council acceptance and appropriation of the grant funds.

In addition, the prioritization list will be reviewed by the City periodically to assess the progress the City is making towards managing City assets and providing the level of service essential to the public. The list will be reviewed every two years in conjunction with the budget process and updated as required.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

It is recommended that the City Council approve or establish a prioritization project list for proposed park capital improvement projects to implement the City's parks and recreational facilities projects and authorize the City Administrator to apply for grants based on the approved prioritized list without further action by City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

Raul Godinez II P/E.

Director, Public Works Agency

Reviewed by:
Michael Neary, P.E.
Assistant Director, Public Works Agency
Design & Construction Services Department

Prepared by: Lily Soo Hoo, Project Manager Project Delivery Division

APPROVED AND FORWARDED TO THE CITY COUNCIL:

Office of the City Administrator

Attachments: A – Park Prioritization Project List Summary

B – Park Prioritization Project List by Geographic District Groups

- C Individual Project Evaluation Forms
- D Summary List of projects evaluated for Park Prioritization (sorted by District)

ATTACHMENT A

City of Oakland Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization Summary May 2007 May 2007 Bestimated Evaluation System

Rank	Project Name	District		Estimated		_	Evalu	Evaluation System	vstem			Estimated
			שַ	Project Budget	c Safety or alth Risk	imize Use Program ervices	aborative ortunities	ration And ntenance ficiency	tection of xisting sources	ct Funding Status	tal Point	O&M Cost Increase (Decrease)
					Я))	'n	5 P	97	
<u> </u>	Measure DD - Lake Merritt Park	2, 3	↔	130,250,000	25	17	10	15	4	ഗ	86	\$6,512,500
2	Tassafaronga Rec. Center	7	\$	3,140,908	25	17	10	15	0	2	69	\$22,000
ω	Measure DD - Waterfront Trails	2,3,5,7	\$	106,000,000	15	11	10	15	15	2	68	\$5,300,000
4	Peralta Hacienda Park - Historic Core	5	\$	5,814,300	10	17	10	10	5	2	64	\$290,715
(J)	Leona Lodge Upgrade*	တ	↔	1,424,153	25	7	10	20	0	0	62	\$1,000
5	2496 Coolidge Ave (Peralta Hacienda Historical Park)*	5	\$	762,480	25	17	10	10	0	0	62	\$6,000
6	Rainbow Recreation Ctr. Expansion	6	↔	1,439,640	20	17	10	10	0	0	57	\$14,300
7	Moss House*	ω	↔	1,732,320	25	_	0	15	ऊ	0	56	\$21,200
œ	City Stables*	G	↔	17,522,869	20	7	0	10	14	2	53	ТВО
9	Morcom Rose Garden	2	₩	1,988,710	20	_	Çī	õ	4	0	50	\$0
1 0	Officer Willie Wilkins (Elmhurst) Park	7	↔	2,520,894	10	17	Cħ	15	0	2	49	\$16,500
10	Raimondi Fields	ω	↔	12,140,000	10	17	10	10	0	2	49	\$607,000
10	Lincoln Square Park Plan	2	↔	1,944,000	10	17	10	10	0	2	49	\$72,000
1	Jefferson Square Park	ω	↔	2,131,569	10	17	10	10	0	0	47	\$22,700
1	Josie De La Cruz Park - Syn. Turf	ڻ.	cs	625,536	0	17	10	20	0	0	47	\$3,700
12	Measure DD - Lake Merritt Channel	2, 3	⇔	37,000,000	10	_	5	10	햣	σı	46	\$1,850,000
13	Improvements	<u></u>	₩	2,802,125	10	17	10	თ	0	0	42	\$15,400
13	Clinton Park General Improvement	2	₩.	1,825,572	C)	17	10	10	0	0	42	\$12,400
13	Brookdale Park	4	₩.	2,079,594	10	17	10	თ	0	0	42	\$7,500
13	East Oakland Sport Center	7	€9	19,670,000	10	17	10	0	0	رب ن	42	\$712,500
13	Glen Daniel King Estates Trails	7	₩	1,965,490	σı	17	5	10	σı	0	42	\$7,400
14	Durant Park - Urban Mini Park	ω	€9	479,736	1 0	16	5	10	0	0	4	\$7,300
14	25th Street Mini Park	ω	€\$	680,400	15	16	0	10	0	0	4	\$12,500
<u>1</u> 5	Leveling Playing Fields	Citywide	G	2,663,400	5	17	10	Ŋ	0	2	39	\$96,500

Item: ______ Life Enrichment Committee June 12, 2007

ATTACHMENT A

City of Oakland Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization Summary

May 2007

Rank	Project Name	District	Γ.	Estimated	l		Evalu	ation S	vstem			Estimated
	. rojectiamo		j	Project Budget	Public Safety or Health Risk	Maximize Use and Program Services	οχ	Operation And Maintenance Efficiency	Protection of Existing Resources	Project Funding Status	Total Point	O&M Cost Increase (Decrease) per annum
					25	17	10	20	15	10	97	
16	Tot Lot Resurfacing	Citywide	\$	1,748,000	15	10	0	10	0	2	37	\$87,400
17	Madison Square Park Plan	2	\$	2,818,370	10	11	5	10	0	0	36	\$12,400
17	Montclair Park	4	\$	1,644,410	10	11	0	15	0_	0	36	\$0
18	Bushrod Park - Soccer Field (Washington Elem. School)	11	\$	3,225,150	5	17	10	0_	0	0	32	TBD
19	Dimond Park	4	\$	726,840	5	17	0	5	4	0	31	\$0
19	Chinese Garden	At Large	\$	1,289,790	5_	11	0	5	10_	0	31	\$18,200
20	Caldecott Trail to Skyline Blvd.	1	\$	1,405,730	5	1	0	10	14	0	30	\$7,900
21	Carter Middle School	At Large	\$	3,005,298	5	7	10	0	0	0	22	TBD
22	William Wood Park (Dog Park)	5	\$\$	1,308,766	10	6	0	5	0	0	21	\$7,100

^{*}O&M cost increase (or decrease) for the site may vary depending on usage and programs for the specific sites.

TBD - The O&M for sites owned by OUSD depends on final real property agreement.

ATTACHMENT B

City of Oakland Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization Summary May 2007 Me District Estimated Evaluation Syster

TBD	22	0	0	0	10	7	5	\$ 3,005,298	At Large / 1	Carter Middle School	21
авт	32	0	0	0	1	17	ഗ	\$ 3,225,150		(Washington Elem. School)	18
\$0	36	0	0	15	0	1	5	\$ 1,644,410	4		17
\$16,500	49	N	0	15	O1	17	10	\$ 2,520,894	7	Officer Willie Wilkins (Elmhurst) Park	10
\$607,000	49	2	0	10	ō	17	10	\$ 12,140,000	3	Raimondi Fields	10
\$72,000	49	2	0	10	10	17	10	\$ 1,944,000	2	Lincoln Square Park Plan	6
\$14,300	57	0	0	10	5	17	20	\$ 1,439,640	တ	Rainbow Recreation Ctr. Expansion	თ
\$6,000	62	0	0	5	1 0	17	25	\$ 762,480	σ ₁	2496 Coolidge Ave (Peralta Hacienda Historical Park)*	ഗ
				1				TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	THE PERSON NAMED IN	B - Priority Projects by District	Group
\$18,200	31	0	10	Сī	0	11	5	\$ 1,289,790	At Large / 2	Chinese Garden	19
\$7,500	42	0	0	5	10	17	10	\$ 2,079,594	4	Brookdale Park	13
\$15,400	42	0	0	ڻ ن	10	17	10	\$ 2,802,125		Bushrod Park - General Improvements	ప
\$0	50	0	14	10	თ		20	\$ 1,988,710	2	Morcom Rose Garden	9
\$21,200	56	0	15	15	0		25	\$ 1,732,320	3	Moss House*	7
\$1,000	62	0	0	20	1	7	25	\$ 1,424,153	တ	Leona Lodge Upgrade*	ഗ
\$290,715	64	2	15	10	10	17	10	\$ 5,814,300	5	Peralta Hacienda Park - Historic Core	4
\$22,000	69	2	0	15	- 0	17	25	\$ 3,140,908	7	Tassafaronga Rec. Center	2
		医								A Priority Projects by District	Group
\$87,400	37	2	0	10	0	5	15	\$ 1,748,000	Citywide	Tot Lot Resurfacing	16
\$96,500	39	2	0	5	5	17	5	\$ 2,663,400	Citywide	Leveling Playing Fields	15
\$1,850,000	46	Δı	15	10	On .		10	\$ 37,000,000	2, 3	Lake Merritt Channel - Measure DD	12
\$5,300,000	68	2	15	1 5	10	11	15	\$ 106,000,000	2,3,5,7	Waterfront Trails - Measure DD	ω
\$6,512,500	86	υı	14	15	10	17	25	\$ 130,250,000	2, 3	Lake Merritt Park - Measure DD	
									19: *	Measure DD projects and Citywide projects:	Measu
	97	10	15	20	10	17	25				
O&M Cost Increase (Decrease) per annum	Total Point	Project Funding Status	Protection of Existing Resources	Collaborative Opportunities Operation And Maintenance Efficiency Protection of Existing Resources	Collaborative Opportunities	Maximize Use and Program Services	Public Safety or Health Risk	Project Budget	Ç iye	riojact wallie	2
Cotimated			10407	מ בילו	П S			Π, ±1, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 1	フジン・デント		ָ קַלָּילַ

Item:
Life Enrichment Committee
June 12, 2007

Rank by District

Page 1 of 2

ATTACHMENT B

City of Oakland

Park Capital Improvement Project Prioritization Summary

May 2007

Rank	Project Name	District		Estimated			Evalu	ation S	ystem			Estimated
			P	roject Budget	Public Safety or Health Risk	Maximize Use and Program Services	Collaborative Opportunities	Operation And Maintenance Efficiency	Protection of Existing Resources	Project Funding Status	Total Point	O&M Cost Increase (Decrease) per annum
					25	17	10	20	15	10	97	
Group	C - Priority Projects by District		7.9 7			A Part						ANTO STATE
8	City Stables*	6	\$	17,522,869	20	7	0	10	14	2	53	TBD
11	Jefferson Square Park	3	\$	2,131,569	10	17	10	10	0	0	47	\$22,700
11	Josie De La Cruz Park - Syn. Turf	5	\$	625,536	0	17	10	20	0_	0	47	\$3,700
13	Clinton Park General Improvement	2	\$	1,825,572	5	17	10	10	0	0	42	\$12,400
13	East Oakland Sport Center	7	\$	19,670,000	10	17	10	0	0	5	42	\$712,500
19	Dimond Park	4	\$	726,840	5	17	0	5	4	0	31	\$0
20	Caldecott Trail to Skyline Blvd.	1	\$	1,405,730	5	1	0	10	14	0	30	\$7,900
Rema	Ining Projects	e sistema si Ziberana si		Le dans 200 200		maros y Drain, e		en de la collina. La collina de la collina d		, di kas	- TO 100	
13	Glen Daniel King Estates Trails	7	\$	1,965,490	5	17	5	10	5	0	42	\$7,400
14	Durant Park - Urban Mini Park	3	\$	479,736	10	16	5	10	0_	0	41	\$7,300
14	25th Street Mini Park	3	\$	680,400	15	16	0	10	0	0	41	\$12,500
17	Madison Square Park Plan	2	\$	2,818,370	10	11	5	10	0	0	36	\$12,400
22	William Wood Park (Dog Park)	5	\$	1,308,766	10	6	0	5	0	0	21	\$7,100

^{*}O&M cost increase (or decrease) for the site may vary depending on usage and programs for the specific sites.

TBD - The O&M for sites owned by OUSD depends on final real property agreement.

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office of P	Parks and Recreation	<u>n</u>	Date	e: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	/MN)	
Final Ranking No.	3	Project N	ame:	2496 Coolidge	Ave (Peralta Hacien	d Total Points		62
BBO IECT CCOB	IT DECORIDEION.					(Total Points Available - 97)		
PENDING	E DESCRIPTION:					STIMATED PROJECT COS	TS:	
	pair and restoration					Construction Cost		564,800
·	An and restoration					Pre-Design/Planning	\$	5,648
						Design		67,776
						Construction Management		39,536
İ						Inspection/Permits		11.296
						Project Management/Admin.		33,888
						Project Contingency		39,536
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost		762,480
Project Type:		Existing /	Available	e Funding Source	s: (Check all that appli	= e Increase (Decrease) in cost p	er annur	m
FTOJECT TYPE.	Buildings		Grant	s I dilaling boarbo	o. (Oneok ali tilat appli	for Operations & Maintenance		6,000
_	Parks			/leasure		tor operations a maintenance		0,000
	Fields			al Fund				
			Other:	ai Fullu				
Instruction:	Playgrounds	Ш	Offier:			-		
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/h					Max. Points Available	Rating/	/Points
CRITERIA	t. The Project will/h	las				Wax. Points Available	rating/	FUILLS
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK	'			 -	Maximum 25 pts.		
	orrect conditions tha					10 points		10
Health: R abatemen	temediate environme	ental health haz	:ard (e.g	j. lead contaminat	ion, asbestos	5 points		5
	nsure access to pers	one with disabi	lities			5 points		5
				and the users (e.	g. site lighting, fencing		 	
gate, etc.)	-	scoulity of the p	, opolity	and the doors (o.	g. atte lighting, lending	, 5 points		5
SUBTOTA						3 points	 	25
3081017	LF13							
MAXIMIZE USE A	AND PROGRAM SE	RVICES				Maximum 17 pts		<u>.</u>
Project wil	Il improve or expand	programs or s	ervices	for an underserve	d neighborhood			
population	1					10 points		10
Project wil	Il improve or expand	programs or so	ervices	to at-risk youth		6 points	Ī	6
Project wil	Il improve or expand	programs or so	ervices	for the city-wide c	ommunity	1 point		1
SUBTOTA	AL PTS							17
COLLABORATIV	/E OPPORTUNITIES	•				Maximum 10 pts.		
	ew collaborative prog		ide nub	lic agencies (e.g.	OUSDY	5 points		5
	ew collaborative prog				0030)	5 points		
SUBTOTA		grains with non	-pront o	iganizations.		5 points		_
308101A	ILF15			-			<u> </u>	10
OPERATION AND	D MAINTENANCE E	FFICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.		•
Provide m	ajor repairs/improve	ments to an ex	isting fa	icility, or		10 points		10
Provide m	ninor repairs and/or p	reventative ma	intenan	ce to an existing f	acility	5 points		
Improvem	ents are expected to	reduce on-goi	ng mair	ntenance costs		5 points		
Improvem	ents are expected to	generate incre	eased re	evenues for the Ci	ty	5 points		
SUBTOTA	AL PTS							10
PROTECTION OF	F FYICTING BESOI	IBCES				Mayimus 45 sta		
	F EXISTING RESOU					Maximum 15 pts.		
	cultural/historical/nat				·	10 points		
	enhance cultural/histo			<u> </u>		4 points		
	w cultural/historical/r	natural resource				1 points		
SUBTOTA	ALPIS					44.4	<u> </u>	0
PROJECT FUND					·	Maximum 10 pts.		
	ct funding available, o					10 points		
Between 5	50% to 100% project	fund available	, or			5 points		
Funds ava	ailable up to 50% of p	project cost, or				2 points		
No funding	g				_	0 points		
SUBTOTA	AL PTS	······································						0

Life Enrichment Committee
June 12, 2007

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Offi	ice of Parks and Recreation	_ D	ate: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	<u>-</u>
Final Rank	ing No.	Project Name:	25th Street Mini P	ark	_Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	41
	SCOPE DESCRIPTION:				, _	
* Replace * Replace : * Install ne * New fenc	play structure	ompleted concep	t plan and cost estimate	for park (in 2004).	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 504,000 \$ 5,040 \$ 60,480 \$ 35,280 \$ 10,080 \$ 30,240 \$ 35,280 \$ 680,400
Designed Total		Evietina Aveila	ble Eusding Courses (Chack all that analis	· Increase (Degreese) is goot su	** ******
Project Typ	Buildings Parks Fields Playgrounds	☐ Gran	it I Measure eral Fund	neck all that applie	e Increase (Decrease) in cost pe for Operations & Maintenance	
CR	ITERIA: The Project will/has			<u> </u>	Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
	AFETY OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	
Saf	fety: Correct conditions that ar	re safety and code	e deficiencies (e.a. seis:	mic upgrade)	10 points	0
Hea	alth: Remediate environmenta	l health hazard (e	g. lead contamination,	asbestos		
	atement.etc.) cess: Insure access to persons	with disabilities	·		5 points 5 points	
	curity: Provide safety and secu		ty and the users (e.g. s	ite lighting, fencing		<u>_</u>
	e, etc.)				5 points	
SU	BTOTAL PTS	··				15
MAXIMIZE	USE AND PROGRAM SERV	ICES			Maximum 17 pts.	-
	ject will improve or expand pro		s for an underserved no	eighborhood		
	oulation		a ta at siate youth		10 points	
Pro	ject will improve or expand pro ject will improve or expand pro	ograms or service	s for the city-wide comr	nunity	6 points 1 point	
	BTOTAL PTS	29.4	to to the one made do the	y	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16

	RATIVE OPPORTUNITIES			CD)	Maximum 10 pts.	
	vide new collaborative program			30)	5 points 5 points	
	BTOTAL PTS	prom	0.922			0
	ON AND MAINTENANCE EFF ovide major repairs/improveme		facility or		Maximum 20 pts. 10 points	10
	ovide miajor repairs/improveme			itv	5 points	
Imp	provements are expected to re-	duce on-going ma	aintenance costs		5 points	0
	provements are expected to ge	nerate increased	revenues for the City		5 points	
SU	BTOTAL PTS					10
PROTECT	ION OF EXISTING RESOURC	ES			Maximum 15 pts.	
	eserve cultural/historical/natura				10 points	
	prove/enhance cultural/historic		es		4 points	
	eate new cultural/historical/natu BTOTAL PTS	arai resources			1 points	0
301	DIOIALFIS					
	FUNDING STATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
	l project funding available, or				10 points	0
	tween 50% to 100% project fur nds available up to 50% of proj				5 points	
	nds available up to 50% of proj funding	ect cost, or			2 points 0 points	0
	BTOTAL PTS				o politica	0

Item: _____ Life Enrichment Committee

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Of	ffice of Pa	rks and Recreation		Date	e: <u>10/27/2</u> 006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)
Final Ran	iking No.	10	Projec	t Name:	Brookdale Par	k	Total Points	42
	•						(Total Points Available - 97)	
		DESCRIPTION:		10000-00-00-	trough to day, on	na princitica. Canaral	lestimated project cos	ro.
	nents to a		survey and	a comm. ou	treach to dev. Sco	ope priorities. General	Construction Cost	<u>s.</u> \$ 1,540,440
	per picnic .						Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 15,404
		etball court					Design	
* Add upp		etoaii court					Construction Management	\$ 184,853 \$ 107,831
	raced gard	lan					Inspection/Permits	\$ 30,809
Add tell	aceu garc	leli					Project Management/Admin.	
							, ,	
]							Project Contingency	\$ 107,831
							Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,079,594
Project Ty	vne.		Existin	o Available	Funding Sources	s: (Check all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost po	er annum
<u>,</u>		Buildings		Grant			for Operations & Maintenance	
		Parks		Bond M	leasure			
		Fields		General				
		Playgrounds		Other:				
Instruction		, laygrounds	-	Other.	-		•	
111011 551101	<u></u>							
CI	RITERIA:	The Project will/ha	s				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
DUDUIC O	PAECTV (OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
- PODLIC	SAFETT	ON HEALTH NISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
		rect conditions that					10 points	
		mediate environmen	tal health l	hazard (e.g.	. lead contaminat	on, asbestos		
	patement.						5 points	
		ure access to persor					5 points	5
		rovide safety and se	curity of th	e property a	and the users (e.	g. site lighting, fencing,	_	_
	ate, etc.)						5 points	5
SI	UBTOTAL	PTS						10
B4 6 W18417		ID 20000111 0FD	14050				11	
MAXIMIZ	ciect will i	ND PROGRAM SER mprove or expand p	VICES	r services fo	or an underserve	d neighborhood	Maximum 17 pts.	
	pulation	inplove of expand b	iograms o	1 SCIVICES I	or all dideiserve	a neighborhood	10 points	10
		mprove or expand p	roorams o	r services t	o at-risk youth		6 points	
		mprove or expand p				ommunity	1 point	
	UBTOTAL					.,,		· 17
	<u> </u>				····		<u> </u>	
COLLABO	ORATIVE	OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.	
Pr	rovide nev	collaborative progra	ams with o	utside publi	ic agencies (e.g.	OUSD)	5 points	5
		collaborative progra					5 points	5
	UBTOTAL				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			10
		<u> </u>						
OPERATI	ION AND	MAINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY	•			Maximum 20 pts.	ł
		or repairs/improvem			cility, or		10 points	
Pr	rovide min	or repairs and/or pre	eventative	maintenand	e to an existing f	acility	5 points	5
		nts are expected to r					5 points	
		nts are expected to d				tv	5 points	
SI	UBTOTAL	PTS					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5
		EXISTING RESOUR				· 	Maximum 15 pts.	
		ıltural/historical/natu				. <u> </u>	10 points	
		nance cultural/histori					4 points	
		cultural/historical/na	itural resoi	лсег			1 points	
SU	UBTOTAL	PTS						0
DRO IEC	T EHAIDIA	IG STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
		funding available, or	•				10 points	
		% to 100% project fi		hle or			5 points	
		able up to 50% of pr			 -		2 points	
	o funding	avie up to 50 % of pr	vjevi vost,	<u></u>			2 points	<u> </u>
	UBTOTAL	DTC					o points	
	ODIOTAL	. () 3						0

Item: _____ Life Enrichment Committee June 12, 2007

DEPT: Office of Parks and Recreation	Date	: <u>10/27/2006</u> Prepar	red by <u>PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)</u>	
Final Ranking No. 10	Project Name:	Bushrod Park - General	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	42
PROJECT SCOPE DESCRIPTION:			(Total Tollita Available - 37)	
* Running track at upper Bushrod field			ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
* Dog park within park space at transition	n between upper and	lower field	Construction Cost	\$ 2,075,648
* HC accessible path at Shattuck entry			Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 20,756
* Path improvements from Shattuck entr			Design	\$ 249,078
* Landscaping, picnic area, tree planting		de or adjacent to Comm. Ctr.	Construction Management	\$ 145,295
* Incorporation of historic structure as kie	osk		Inspection/Permits	\$ 41,513
			Project Management/Admin.	\$ 124,539
			Project Contingency	\$ 145,295
			Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,802,124
Project Type: Buildings	Existing Available Grant	Funding Sources: (Check all that	applie Increase (Decrease) in cost pe for Operations & Maintenance	
■ Parks	☐ Bond Me	easure	·	
☐ Fields	☐ General	Fund		
□ Playgrounds	☐ Other:			
Instruction:				
CRITERIA: The Project will/has		·	Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
CRITERIA: THE PTOJECT WINDHAS	<u> </u>		Wax. Folinis Available	Kalingirolilis
PUBLIC SAFETY OR HEALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts.	L
		eficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	
Health: Remediate environment	al health hazard (e.g.	lead contamination, asbestos	5	
abatement.etc.)			5 points	-
Access: Insure access to person	s with disabilities.	and the coors to a gite limbing to	5 points	5
	surity of the property a	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fe		_
gate, etc.) SUBTOTAL PTS			5 points	
SUBTOTAL PIS				10
MAYIMIZE LICE AND DOCCDAM CED	ALCE C		Maximum 47 nte	•
Project will improve or expand pr		or an underscaved neighborhood	Maximum 17 pts.	·
population	ogranis or services in	or art diderserved heighborhood	10 points.	10
Project will improve or expand pr	ragenme or convices to	at rick youth	6 points	
Project will improve or expand pr			1 point	_
SUBTOTAL PTS	ogranis or services it	of the city-wide community	1 point	17
SUBTUTAL F13				
COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES			Maximum 10 pts.	
Provide new collaborative progra	me with auteida publi	c agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	5
Provide new collaborative progra			5 points	5
SUBTOTAL PTS	ms with horr-profit org	janizations.	3 роппа	10
GOBTOTALTTO			· · 	70
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EFF	FICIENCY		Maximum 20 pts.	
Provide major repairs/improvement		ility, or	10 points	
Provide minor repairs and/or pre-			5 points	5
Improvements are expected to re			5 points	
Improvements are expected to g			5 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS		<u></u>		5
				
PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOUR	CES		Maximum 15 pts.	
Preserve cultural/historical/natural	al resources		10 points	
Improve/enhance cultural/historic	cal/natural resources		4 points	
Create new cultural/historical/nat	ural resources		1 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS				0
PROJECT FUNDING STATUS			Måximum 10 pts.	
Full project funding available, or			10 points	i
Between 50% to 100% project fu			5 points	
Funds available up to 50% of pro			2 points	i
No funding	<u> </u>		0 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS	 -			0

DEPT: Office of Pa	irks and Recreation	Da	ite: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)
Final Ranking No.	13	Project Name:	Bushrod Park - 5	Soccer Field	_Total Points _(Total Points Available - 97)	32
PROJECT SCOPE	DESCRIPTION:				(Total Towns / Wallacio OT)	
		scoccer field with O	USD on adjacent sch	ool property	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>rs:</u>
(Washington Elem.			•		Construction Cost	\$ 2,389,000
ľ	· ·				Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 23,890
					Design	\$ 286,680
					Construction Management	\$ 167,230
					Inspection/Permits	\$ 47,780
					Project Management/Admin.	\$ 143,340
					Project Contingency	\$ 167,230
					1	
<u> </u>					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 3,225,150
Project Type:		<u>Existing Availab</u>	le Funding Sources: ((Check all that applied	g Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	
	Buildings	☐ Grant			for Operations & Maintenance	TBD
	Parks	□ Bond	Measure			
	Fields	☐ Gene	ral Fund			
	Playgrounds	□ Other	:			
	,,				=	
Instruction:						
mon dottom.						
CRITERIA:	The Project will/ha	s			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
ORTE ENTA	The Troject Williams				Max. I dillo / Walladio	Truthing/T Olive
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	
						1
Safety: Co.	rrect conditions that	are safety and code	deficiencies (e.g. sei	smic upgrade)	10 points	
Health: Re	mediate environment	tal health hazard (e.	g. lead contamination	ashestos		
abatement.		to nouth nazara (o.	g. laud contamination	,, 20000100	5 points	
	sure access to persor	as with disabilities			5 points	
			y and the users (e.g.	cita lighting, fanaing		
•	rovide salety and se	curity of the propert	y and the users (e.g.	site lighting, tending		
gate, etc.)	OTO.				5 points	
SUBTOTAL	PIS					5
	ND PROGRAM SER				Maximum 17 pts.	
Project will	improve or expand p	rograms or services	s for an underserved r	neighborhood		
population					10 points	10
Project will	improve or expand p	rograms or services	to at-risk youth		6 points	6
Project will	improve or expand p	rograms or services	for the city-wide com	nmunity	1 point	1
SUBTOTAL	PTS (Jack London	Soccer Re				17
			-			
COLLABORATIVE	OPPORTUNITIES				Maximum 10 pts.	
		ams with outside nu	blic agencies (e.g. Ol	JSD)	5 points	5
Provide nev	v collaborative progra	ams with non-profit	organizations	5007	5 points	
SUBTOTAL		ams with flott-profit	Jigarnzations.		3 points	10
SUBTUTAL	13				<u> </u>	10
000004710414410		FIGIFIAN			14	
	MAINTENANCE EF		*		Maximum 20 pts.	τ
	jor repairs/improvem				10 points	
			nce to an existing fac	ility	5 points	
	nts are expected to r				5 points	4
Improveme	nts are expected to g	generate increased	revenues for the City		5 points	
SUBTOTAL	PTS					0
*****				<u> </u>		
	EXISTING RESOUR				Maximum 15 pts.	
Preserve cu	ultural/historical/natur	ral resources			10 points	
Improve/en	hance cultural/histori	ical/natural resource	S ·		4 points	•
	cultural/historical/na				1 points	
SUBTOTAL	PTS					0
				<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
PROJECT FUNDIN	NG STATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
	funding available, or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			10 points	
	0% to 100% project for				5 points	
	lable up to 50% of pr			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 points	
No funding	ap 10 00 /s of pt	ajaar woor, or	····	· · · ·	0 points	
SUBTOTAL	DTS				o points	0
SUBTUTAL	. 1 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 	Item:	
					itein'	

DEPT: Office of Parks	s and Recreation		Date	: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	
Final Ranking No.	15	Proiec	t Name:	Caldecott Trail		Total Points	30
		<u> </u>				(Total Points Available - 97)	<u> </u>
PROJECT SCOPE D						7	
Improve and expand * Provide accessible		North Oak	land Sports	Field to Skyline Blvd.		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
* Provide trail signag	•	inding and	ecological/	cultural conditions		Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 1,041,280 \$ 10,413
Frovide trait signay	e describing wayn	mung and	ecologicant	Juliural Conditions		Design	\$ 124,954
						Construction Management	\$ 72,890
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 20,826
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 62,477
						Project Contingency	\$ 72,890
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,405,730
						-	
Project Type: ■ Tr				Funding Sources: (Che-	ck all that applic	e Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	
	ıildings		Grant			for Operations & Maintenance	7,900
	arks		Bond M				
	elds		General	Fund			
. □ Pi	aygrounds		Other:			_	
Instruction:							
CRITERIA: TI	ne Project will/ha		 -	<u>.</u>		Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
							-
PUBLIC SAFETY OR	HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
Cofohu Come	at aanditions that	ara aafatu	and seds di	oficionaica (o a poismia	uparada)	10 painta	ļ
				eficiencies (e.g. seismic lead contamination, ast		10 points	
abatement.etc		nai neann	nazaru (e.g.	lead contamination, ast	103103	5 points	ĺ
	e access to persor	ns with dis	ahilities			5 points	5
				and the users (e.g. site l	ahtina fencina		
gate, etc.)	vide salety and se	ounty or ti	o property t	3/10 tilo 000/3 (0.g. 0/10 //	griding, lettering	, 5 points	
SUBTOTAL P	TS				······································		5
OODIOTALI							
MAXIMIZE USE AND	PROGRAM SER	VICES				Maximum_17 pts.	
Project will imp	prove or expand p	rograms o	r services fo	or an underserved neigh	borhood		
population						10 points	
	prove or expand p					6 points	l
		rograms o	r services fe	or the city-wide commun	ity	1 point	1
SUBTOTAL P	TS						1
COLLABORATIVE O	PROPERMITIES					Maximum 10 pts	
COLLABORATIVE O		ama with s	utaida subli	c agencies (e.g. OUSD)		Maximum 10 pts.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ollaborative progra					5 points 5 points	
SUBTOTAL P		anis with i	ion-pront or	yanızatıons.		5 points	
SUBTUTALP	13						0
OPERATION AND M.	AINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY	,			Maximum 20 pts.	
	repairs/improvem			cility, or		10 points	10
				e to an existing facility		5 points	
	are expected to r				·- -	5 points	
				venues for the City		5 points	
SUBTOTAL P					············		10
PROTECTION OF EX						Maximum 15 pts.	
	ral/historical/natu					10 points	
	nce cultural/histori					4 points	4
	ultural/historical/na	itural reso	urces			1 points	
SUBTOTAL P	19				····		14
PROJECT FUNDING	STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
	nding available, or	r	·			10 points	
	to 100% project for		ble, or			5 points	
	le up to 50% of pr					2 points	
No funding						0 points	Í
SUBTOTAL P	TS						0

DEPT: Offi	ce of Parl	ks and Recreation	<u></u>	Date:	10/27/2006	Prepared by	y: City/WRT		
Final Ranki	ina No.	16	Project Na	ame:	Carter Middle Schoo	i	Total Points		22
	_				Park Conversion		(Total Points Available - 97)		
		DESCRIPTION:							
				ation. Cit	y & community desire t	o convert	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST		C 447
remaining of	open spac	ce to a park/sport fi	ields.				Construction Cost		6,147
							Pre-Design/Planning		2,261
							Design		7,138
1							Construction Management		5,830
							Inspection/Permits		4,523
							Project Management/Admin.		3,569
							Project Contingency		5,830
							Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 3,00	5,298
			- · · · ·		- 11 0 (0)		1 /Danes		
Project Typ	_				unding Sources: (Che	ck all that appli	g Increase (Decrease) in cost pe		
		Buildings	_	Grant			for Operations & Maintenance	TBI	<u>U</u>
		arks		Bond Me					
	F	ields		General I	-und				
	P	Playgrounds		Other:			_		
Instruction:	:								
CPI	ITEDIA: 1	he Project will/ha				<u></u> .	Max. Points Available	Rating/P	Points
CR	IIEKIA. I	ne Project will/lia	13				Max. 1 dints Available	reating/i	Ullita
PUBLIC SA	AFETY O	R HEALTH RISK	<u></u>				Maximum 25 pts.		
Cat	atu Carr	not conditions that	are patety and	Loodo do	Folonaios (o a solamia	uparada)	10 points	ſ	
Hea	ety: Com	ediate environmen	are salety and	ard (e.g. l	ficiencies (e.g. seismic ead contamination, ast	upgrade) restos	TO points		
	tement.et		itat ileatii ilazi	a (c.g. 1	caa comanimication, aos	300103	5 points		
		re access to persor	ns with disabili	ities			5 points		. 5
					nd the users (e.g. site li	ighting fencing			
	e, etc.)	mad danciy and do	rounty of the pr	· opolty u	ia the accide (o.g. o.co	.ga.i.g, ioiloilig	5 points		
	BTOTAL I	PTS						-	5
	31017L1				·		<u>-</u>		
MAXIMIZE	USE AN	D PROGRAM SER	RVICES				Maximum 17 pts.		
Pro	ject will in	prove or expand r	programs or se	rvices for	r an underserved neigh	borhood			
	ulation		_		_		10 points		
Pro	iect will in	nprove or expand p	programs or se	rvices to	at-risk youth		6 points		6
					the city-wide commun	ity	1 point	•	1
	BTOTAL F								7
		OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.		•
Pro	vide new	collaborative progr	ams with outsi	ide public	agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points		5
Pro	vide new	collaborative progr	ams with non-	profit orga	anizations.		5 points		5
SUE	BTOTAL I	PTS							10
OPERATIO	N AND N	MAINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.		
Pro	vide majo	r repairs/improvem	nents to an exi	sting facil	ity, or		10 points		
Pro	vide mino	r repairs and/or pre	eventative mai	ntenance	to an existing facility		5 points		
lmp	rovemen	ts are expected to r	reduce on-goir	ng mainte	nance costs		5 points		
Imp	rovemen	ts are expected to	generate incre	ased reve	enues for the City		5 points		
SUI	BTOTAL I	PTS	-						0
		XISTING RESOUR					Maximum 15 pts.		
Pre	serve cul	tural/historical/natu	iral resources				10 points		
Imp	rove/enh	ance cultural/histor	rical/natural res	sources			4 points		
		cultural/historical/na	atural resource	s			1 points		
SUE	BTOTAL I	PTS							0
DD0:-4-	= 11151511								
PROJECT							Maximum 10 pts.		
		inding available, or					10 points		
		6 to 100% project f		or			5 points		
		ble up to 50% of pr	roject cost, or				2 points		
	funding						0 points		
SUI	BTOTAL I	PT\$						l	0

DEPT: Office of Park	s and Recreation		Date:	10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	<u> </u>
Final Ranking No.	14	Project N	lame:	Chinese Garden		Total Points	3.
Filial Natiking 140.		Projectiv	iailie.	Onliese Garden		(Total Points Available - 97)	<u> </u>
PROJECT SCOPE							
	ape improvements t					ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
				orhood uses (PENDING)		Construction Cost	\$ 955,400
	ts to buffer front froi					Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 9,554
* Pedestrian enhance	ements to adjacent	intersection	IS			Design	\$ 114,648
						Construction Management	\$ 66,878
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 19,108
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 57,324
						Project Contingency	\$ 66,878
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,289,790
Project Tuno:		Eviation	Avoitable I	Funding Courage: /Chack	all that applie	g Increase (Decrease) in cost po	or annum
Project Type:	uildings		Grant	<u>runging Sources, (Check</u>	ali triat applii	for Operations & Maintenance	
	arks		Bond Me	acure.		ioi Operations & Maintenance	10,200
	ields		General				
	laygrounds		Other:	i dila			
Instruction:	laygrounds	Ц	Other.		-	-	
mstruction.							
CRITERIA: T	he Project will/has	3				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
							
PUBLIC SAFETY OF	R HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety: Corre	ot conditions that a	re cafety an	d codo de	ficiencies (e.g. seismic uj	narada)	10 points	
				lead contamination, asbe			
abatement.et			, ,	,		5 points	
Access: Insur	e access to person	s with disab	ilities.			5 points	
Security: Pro	vide safety and sec	curity of the	property a	nd the users (e.g. site ligh	nting, fencing		
gate, etc.)		•			_	5 points	
SUBTOTAL F	PTS						
MAXIMIZE USE AND						Maximum 17 pts.	
•	iprove or expand pr	ograms or s	ervices fo	r an underserved neighbo	orhood		i
population						10 points	
	prove or expand pr					6 points	
		ograms or s	ervices to	r the city-wide community		1 point	
SUBTOTAL F	PTS					···	1
COLLABORATIVE (DDODTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.	
COLLABORATIVE C		ma with aut	nido public	agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points	
	collaborative progra					5 points	
SUBTOTAL F		IIIS WILLI HOL	-pront org	anizations.		5 points	
GOBIOTALI	13			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>
OPERATION AND N	IAINTENANCE EFF	FICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.	
	r repairs/improveme		kisting faci	lity, or		10 points	
				to an existing facility		5 points	
	s are expected to re					5 points	
	s are expected to g					5 points	
SUBTOTAL F				-			- :
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>		
PROTECTION OF E						Maximum 15 pts.	
	ural/historical/natur					10 points	<u> </u>
	ance cultural/historic				_	4 points	
	ultural/historical/nat	ural resourc	es			1 points	
SUBTOTAL F	PTS						10
PROJECT FUNDING	STATUS					Maximum 10 nta	
	inding available, or				_	Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	
			0.5				
Eugle availal	to 100% project fu ble up to 50% of pro	ing available	·, UI		_	5 points 2 points	
No funding	me ab to 20 % or bid	gen cost, O			_	2 points 0 points	
SUBTOTAL F	ors .					o points	(
SOUTOIAL	10						1 '

DEPT: Office of P	arks and Recreation	1	Date	e: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	y PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	<u> </u>
Final Ranking No.	6	Project	t Name:	City Stables		_Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	53
PROJECT SCOPE	E DESCRIPTION:					(Total Politis Available - 91)	
PENDING						ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>ΓS:</u>
* Destination city-	-wide youth resource	e for science	and natura	al education		Construction Cost	\$ 12,979,904
* Local neighborh	nood park destination	n: passive u	ses, tot lot,	seating, picnic are	ea, etc.	Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 129,799
* Maintain some e		•		• ,		Design	\$ 1,557,588
						Construction Management	\$ 908,593
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 259,598
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 778,794
						Project Contingency	\$ 908,593
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 17,522,869
L						Justinated rotal ritoj, cost	\$ 11,022,000
Project Type:		<u>Existin</u>	g Available	Funding Sources	: (Check all that applie	e Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	
	Buildings		Grant			for Operations & Maintenance	TBD
	Parks		Bond M	/leasure		·	
Ö	Fields		General	il Fund			
ö	Playgrounds		Other:				
Instruction:	1 1-79	•				-	
						A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	B-d/B-i-t-
CRITERIA	: The Project will/h	as				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK	<u>.</u>				Maximum 25 pts.	
Safatus Co		1 250 20foty		f-Colomping (o.g. p.	-isiaacrada)	10 points	. 10
Safety: Ot	orrect conditions that emediate environme	l are salety	and code o	eficiencies (e.g. se	asheetos	10 points	10
abatement		Illai Heamin	iazaiu (c.y.	. Itau comanina	M, asucsius	5 points	
	nsure access to perso	ane with die	abilities	 		5 points	
				and the users (e.g.	site lighting, fencing		
gate, etc.)	-	obuing or	o biobair)	and the access (c.5	, olto lighting, reneg	5 points	5,
SUBTOTA						- 1,	20
<u>-</u>							
MAXIMIZE USE A	AND PROGRAM SEI	RVICES			 	Maximum 17 pts.	
	t improve or expand	programs or	r services f	or an underserved	neighborhood	40	_
population						10 points	
	l improve or expand					6 points	
	l improve or expand	programs or	r services t	or the city-wide co	mmunity	1 point	
SUBTOTA	L PTS						7
COLLABORATIVE	E OPPORTUNITIES	ł.				Maximum 10 pts.	
	ew collaborative prog		utside publ	lic agencies (e.g. (ומפוור	5 points	
	ew collaborative prog				70007	5 points	
SUBTOTA		101110 111111	on prom c.	gameatorio	· ·-		0
	D MAINTENANCE E					Maximum 20 pts.	
Provide ma	ajor repairs/improver	ments to an	existing far	cility, or		10 points	
	inor repairs and/or p				cility	5 points	
Improveme	ents are expected to	reduce on-g	going maint	tenance costs		5 points	•
Improveme	ents are expected to	generate in	creased re	venues for the City	<u></u>	5 points	
SUBTOTA				r a	:		10
			-				
	F EXISTING RESOU					Maximum 15 pts.	
	cultural/historical/nat					10 points	
	nhance cultural/histo			1		4 points	
	w cultural/historical/n	natural resou	ırces	·		1 points	
SUBTOTA	L PTS						14
PROJECT FUNDS	ING STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
	t funding available, o	or				10 points	T
	50% to 100% project		ble. or			5 points	
	ailable up to 50% of p					2 points	
No funding		nojoot saa.,				0 points	
SUBTOTA							2

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office	e of Parks and Rec	reation	_ Da	ite: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT))
Final Rankir	ng No10		_Project Name:	Clinton Park		Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	42
PROJECT S	SCOPE DESCRIPTI	<u>ON:</u>				-	
* Relocate * Restroom * Event stag			neet community (needs		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency	\$ 1,352,275 \$ 13,523 \$ 162,273 \$ 94,659 \$ 27,046 \$ 81,137 \$ 94,659
	·					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,825,572
Project Type	<u>e:</u> Buildings Parks Fields Playgrounds		☐ Grant ☐ Bond	Measure ral Fund	Check all that applie	e Increase (Decrease) in cost per for Operations & Maintenance	
CRIT	TERIA: The Project	will/has		<u> </u>		Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
	FETY OR HEALTH					Maximum 25 pts.	1
Safe	ety: Correct conditio	ns that are	safety and code	deficiencies (e.g. seis	mic upgrade)	10 points	,
Heal	lth: Remediate envi	ronmental	health hazard (e.	g. lead contamination	asbestos		
	ement.etc.) ess: Insure access to	o poreone	with disabilities		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5 points 5 points	
Secu gate	urity: Provide safety , etc.)			y and the users (e.g. s	ite lighting, fencing		5
SUB	TOTAL PTS						5
MAXIMIZE	USE AND PROGRA	M SERVI	CES			Maximum 17 pts.	
-	*	xpand pro	grams or services	for an underserved n	eighborhood		
	ulation ect will improve or e	vnand nro	grams or convices	to at-risk youth		10 points 6 points	
				for the city-wide com	munity	1 point	
SUB	TOTAL PTS						17
COLLABOR	ATIVE ODDODTU	UTIES				Marrianum 40 -4-	
	RATIVE OPPORTUNGE PROPERTIES		s with outside pu	blic agencies (e.g. OU	(SD)	Maximum 10 pts. 5 points	
	ride new collaborativ					5 points	
SUB	TOTAL PTS						10
OPERATIO	N AND MAINTENAI	NCE EEEI	CIENCY			Maximum 20 pts.	
	ide major repairs/im			acility, or		10 points	
				nce to an existing facil	ity	5 points	
Impr	ovements are expen	cted to red	uce on-going ma	ntenance costs revenues for the City		5 points 5 points	
	STOTAL PTS	cted to get	ierate increased	revenues for the City	_	5 points	10
							
	ON OF EXISTING R					Maximum 15 pts.	
	serve cultural/historic rove/enhance cultura					10 points 4 points	
	ate new cultural/histo			<u></u>		4 points	
	TOTAL PTS		4,000		<u>, </u>	- Politice	0
_							-
	Project funding avail	lable ==				Maximum 10 pts.	
	project funding avail veen 50% to 100% p		d available or				
	ds available up to 50					2 points	
No f	unding					0 points	
SUB	TOTAL PTS						0

Item: _____ Life Enrichment Committee June 12, 2007

DEPT: Office of	Parks and Recreation	<u></u>	Date	: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	y <u>PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT</u>	<u> </u>	_
Final Ranking No	o. <u>14</u>	Project	i Name:	Dimond Park		_ Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	3	1
	PE DESCRIPTION:							
	provements for access					ESTIMATED PROJECT COST		
*Fruitvale Ave. e	entry improvements for	r accessibilit	y and ident	ity		Construction Cost	\$ 538,400)
	ements at upper parkin			,		Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 5,384	ī
	I directional signage	-3				Design	\$ 64,608	
7.47	4110000011401413111131					Construction Management	\$ 37,688	
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 10,768	
						1	\$ 32,304	
						Project Contingency	\$ 37,688	_
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 726,840)
Project Type:		Existing	a Availahle	Funding Sources: (Check :	all that anolic	e Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	er annum	
	Duildings		g Available Grant	Fulluling Sources, (Onco.)	all manapping	for Operations & Maintenance		
	Buildings					for Operations or maintenance	'	-
	Parks		Bond Me					
	Fields		General	. Fund				
	Playgrounds		Other:					
Instruction:		_	•			-		
						And the second second	D. C. (Delete	_
CRITERI	IA: The Project will/ha	as				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points	_
PUBLIC SAFET	Y OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Safety: (Correct conditions that	t are safety :	and code de	eficiencies (e.g. seismic upo	orade)	10 points		0
Health: f	Remediate environmen	ntal health h	azard (e.g.	lead contamination, asbest	tos	10 points	 	<u>~</u>
abateme				,	•••	5 points		0
Access:	Insure access to perso	ons with disa	bilities.		-	5 points		5
Security:	Provide safety and sr	ecurity of the	e property a	and the users (e.g. site light	ting, fencing			_
gate, etc.		•		· -	-	5 points	. <u> </u>	0
SUBTOT								5
		-					-	_
	AND PROGRAM SEF					Maximum 17 pts.		
•		programs or	services for	or an underserved neighbor	rhood			-
populatio			-,			10 points		0
	vill improve or expand p					6 points		6
				or the city-wide community		1 point		1
SUBTOT		·					1	7
								_
	VE OPPORTUNITIES			···		Maximum 10 pts.		_
Provide r	new collaborative progr	rams with or	utside publi	c agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points		0
	new collaborative progr					5 points		0
SUBTOT		TOTAL TARREST	211 P. G	JOHN HEAD WINDS		<u> </u>		0
								_
	ND MAINTENANCE EI					Maximum 20 pts.		_
	major repairs/improven					10 points	L	0
Provide r	minor repairs and/or pr	reventative r	naintenanc	e to an existing facility		5 points		5
	ments are expected to					5 points		Ó
	ments are expected to					5 points		ŏ
		generate	JICAGES IC.	relides for the Oily		о ролло		
SUBTOT	ALPIS						<u> </u>	5
PROTECTION C	OF EXISTING RESOU	PCFS				Maximum 15 pts.		
	cultural/historical/natu					10 points		0
	/enhance cultural/histor					4 points	<u> </u>	4
	ew cultural/historical/n	atural resour	rces			1 points		0
SUBTOT	AL PTS						<u> </u>	4
PROJECT FUNI	DING STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.		-,
	ect funding available, o	Ar .				10 points		0
			12 24					
	50% to 100% project					5 points		ó
	vailable up to 50% of p	roject cost,	or			2 points		0
No fundir						0 points		0
SUBTOT	AL PTS						i	0

DEPT: Office of F	Parks and Recreation	<u> </u>	Date	Prep	ared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	<u>) </u>	
Final Ranking No	. 11	Projec	t Name:	Durant Park		Total Points		41
•						(Total Points Available - 97)		
	E DESCRIPTION:					EOTIMATED DDO 1507 000	το.	
General park imp	rovements a and visibility to rear	of north			41	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST Construction Cost	15:	255 260
	j and visibility to fear drinking fountain	or park					<u>*</u>	355,360 3,554
1 '1 '	•					Pre-Design/Planning	\$	
* Repair/replace	play equipment					Design	\$	42,643
						Construction Management	\$	24,875
						Inspection/Permits	\$	7,107
						Project Management/Admin.	\$	21,322
					,	Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	<u>\$</u> \$	24,875 479,736
						Estimated Total Floj. Cost	φ	475,730
Project Type:		<u>Existin</u>	g Available	Funding Sources: (Check all tha				
	Buildings		Grant			for Operations & Maintenance	·	7,300
	Parks		Bond M	leasure				
	Fields		Genera	l Fund				
	Playgrounds		Other:					
Instruction:								
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/h	26			_	Max. Points Available	Ratio	ng/Points
Ottreide	t. The Project William					Wax. 1 citto 7 (Valiable	110111	igri onno
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.		<u>. </u>
Safety: C	orrect conditions that	t are safety	and code o	eficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade	e)	10 points		
Health: R	emediate environme	ntal health h	nazard (e.g	lead contamination, asbestos	<u> </u>	10 001110	†	
abatemen			`			5 points	;	
	sure access to perso					5 points		5
Security:	Provide safety and s	ecurity of th	e property	and the users (e.g. site lighting, f	fencing,	-		
gate, etc.)						5 points	<u>.L.</u>	5
SUBTOTA	AL PTS							10
*** **********	AND DD00D444 051	D) ((OED				\$6im		
	AND PROGRAM SEI		r canilcae 1	or an underserved neighborhood	1	Maximum 17 pts.	т —	
-		programs o	I SCIVICES I	or an underserved heighborhood	,	10 points	.l	10
population Project wi	Il improve or expand	programs o	r consisse i	o ot sick worth		6 points		6
				or the city-wide community		1 points		
SUBTOTA		programs	- SCI VICES I	or the city-wide community		, point	┼	16
3081012	AL F13			-	_		Ь.	- 10
COLLABORATIV	E OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.		
			utside pub	ic agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points		· 5
Provide n	ew collaborative prog	rams with n	on-profit or	ganizations.		5 points	_	
SUBTOTA				3	_		 	5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_			,:-
	D MAINTENANCE E					Maximum 20 pts.		
Provide m	ajor repairs/improver	ments to an	existing fa	cility, or		10 points		10
Provide m	inor repairs and/or p	reventative	maintenan	ce to an existing facility		5 points	.[
Improvem	ents are expected to	reduce on-	going main	tenance costs		5 points		
Improvem	ents are expected to	generate in	creased re	venues for the City		5 points	Ţ	•
SUBTOTA	AL PTS							10
PROTECTION O	F EXISTING RESOU	DCES				Maximum 15 pts.		
	cultural/historical/nati		Δ6			10 points		
	nhance cultural/histo					4 points		
	w cultural/historical/n			<u> </u>		1 points	• • • • •	
SUBTOTA		iatural resoc	11003		_	1 points	-	0
3001017							Щ.	
PROJECT FUND				_,		Maximum 10 pts.		
	ct funding available, o					10 points		
Between :	50% to 100% project	fund availal	ole, or			5 points		
Funds ava	ailable up to 50% of p	roject cost,	or			2 points		
No fundin	V					0 points		
SUBTOTA	AL PTS							0

DEPT: Date: 5/2/2007 Prepared by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT/III)				/MN)			
Fina! Rar	nking No.	Project N	ame:	East Oakla	and Sports Center	_Total Points _(Total Points Available - 97)	42
PROJEC	CT SCOPE DESCRIPTION:						
Center	I: New Entry Building with Nadatorium II: Gymnasium Expansion, Outdoor Po			s Center, Park	king, Use of existing Recreation	ESTIMATED PROJECT COS Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management	\$ 14,250,000 \$ 142,500 \$ 1,710,000 \$ 997,500
ļ ļ						Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 285,000 \$ 855,000 \$ 1,425,000 \$ 19,670,000
Project T		Existing A	Available Grant	Funding Sou	urces: (Check all that applies)	Increase (Decrease) in cost per for Operations & Maintenance	
•	□ Parks ■ Fields □ Playgrounds on:		Bond M Genera Other:			(* O&M costs based on 5% of est _cost. Final O&M cost is depende scope)	
	RITERIA: The Project will/has					Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLI <u>C</u>	SAFETY OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>s</u>	Safety: Correct conditions that are safe	ety and code	deficier	icies (e.g. sei	smic upgrade)	10 points	0
	Health: Remediate environmental hea		g. lead	contamination	n, asbestos abatement.etc.)	5 points 5 points	
-	Security: Provide safety and security o		y and the	e users (e.g. :	site lighting, fencing, gate, etc.)	5 points	5
<u>S</u>	SUBTOTAL PTS						10
MAXIM <u>IZ</u>	ZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICES	i				Maximum 17 pts.	
	Project will improve or expand program Project will improve or expand program				neighborhood population	10 points 6 points	
P	roject will improve or expand program				nmunity	1 point	1
<u>s</u>	SUBTOTAL PTS						17
	BORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES	W	1.12		HOD	Maximum 10 pts.	
	Provide new collaborative programs wi Provide new collaborative programs wi				עסטן	5 points 5 points	
	SUBTOTAL PTS						10
	TION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICIE		* '1''	 		Maximum 20 pts.	
	Provide major repairs/improvements to Provide minor repairs and/or preventation				ility	10 points 5 points	
	mprovements are expected to reduce				anty	5 points	
	mprovements are expected to generat SUBTOTAL PTS	e increased	revenue	s for the City		5 points	0
	OBIOTALFIS						
_	CTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES					Maximum 15 pts.	
	Preserve cultural/historical/natural reso mprove/enhance cultural/historical/nat					10 points 4 points	0
	Preate new cultural/historical/natural re		62			4 points	- 0
_	SUBTOTAL PTS					•	0
	CT FUNDING STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
	ull project funding available, or	-11-1-1-				10 points	
	Between 50% to 100% project fund available up to 50% of project co					5 points 2 points	
	lo funding	JUL, VI			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 points 0 points	- 3
	SUBTOTAL PTS						5

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office of F	Parks and Recreation	<u>ı </u>	e: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT))
Final Ranking No	. 10	Project Name:	Glen Daniel King		Total Points	42
T Mich Collining 110			Estates Trails		(Total Points Available - 97)	
	E DESCRIPTION:				7	
Trail improvemen	its and first phase em	vironmental restoration	n		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
					Construction Cost	\$ 1,455,920
					Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 14,559
					Design	\$ 174,710
					Construction Management	\$ 101,914
					Inspection/Permits	\$ 29,118
					Project Management/Admin.	\$ 87,355
					Project Contingency	\$ 101,914
				7	Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,965,490
Project Type:	∎ Trails	Existing Availabl	e Fundina Sources: (Ct	neck all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	er annum
	Buildings	☐ Grant	o i dilanig o dalogo: (o	TO OTT OTT TO OTT OTT OTT OTT OTT OTT O	for Operations & Maintenance	
	Parks		Measure		io. Operations a maintenario	
-	Fields		al Fund			
	Playgrounds	☐ Other:	ar r diid			
Instruction:	Flaygrounds	u omer.			-	

CRITERIA	A: The Project will/h	as			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety: C	correct conditions that	t are safety and code	deficiencies (e.g. seism	nic upgrade)	10 points	
Health: R	lemediate environme	ntal health hazard (e.c	j. lead contamination, a	sbestos		
abatemen					5 points	
	nsure access to perso				5 points	5
Security:	Provide safety and s	ecurity of the property	and the users (e.g. site	e lighting, fencing,		
gate, etc.)		<u></u>			5 points	
SUBTOTA	AL PTS				<u> </u>	5
REAVIRANTE MOD	AND DDOCDAM CE	DVICES			Maximum 17 etc	
	AND PROGRAM SEI		for an underserved nei	abhorhood	Maximum 17 pts.	
population		programs or services	tor all underserved her	gilboillood	10 points	10
Project wi	Il improve or expand	programs or services	to at-risk youth		6 points	6
Project wi	Il improve or expand	programs or services	for the city-wide comm	unity	1 point	1
SUBTOTA						17
	/E OPPORTUNITIES		w-		Maximum 10 pts.	
			olic agencies (e.g. OUS	D)	5 points	
		rams with non-profit o	rganizations.		5 points	
SUBTOTA	AL PTS					5
ODERATION AN	D MAINTENANCE E	ECICIENCY			Maximum 20 pts.	ļ
		ments to an existing fa	cility or		10 points	10
			ice to an existing facility		5 points	
		reduce on-going mair		' _	5 points	
		generate increased re			5 points	
SUBTOTA		generate incleased it	evenues for the Oity		5 points	
3061017	ALPIS					10
PROTECTION O	F EXISTING RESOU	IRCES			Maximum 15 pts.	
	cultural/historical/nati				10 points	
		prical/natural resources	2		4 points	
	w cultural/historical/n		<u> </u>		1 points	
SUBTOTA		iatarar roodar oco			, penns	5
3001017	ALT TO					
PROJECT FUND					Maximum 10 pts.	
	ct funding available, c				10 points	
	50% to 100% project				5 points	
	ailable up to 50% of p	project cost, or			2 points	
No fundin					0 points	
SUBTOTA	AL PTS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0

Item: ______Life Enrichment Committee

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office	of Parks and Recreation	<u>n</u>	Date	: <u>10/27/2006</u> Prepared	by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)
Final Ranking	No9	Project Nan	ne:	Jefferson Square Park	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	47
PROJECT SC	OPE DESCRIPTION:				(Total Formus Available - 37)	
Park improven	nents to expand progra	mming and use.			ESTIMATED PROJECT COS	<u>TS:</u>
* Demolition of	of (e) storage, bldg.				Construction Cost	\$ 1,578,940
* Restroom					Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 15,789
* Provide skat	teboard facility				Design	\$ 189,473
	d enhance existing bas	ketball courts, pat	hways	, etc.	Construction Survey	\$ 110,526
* Provide imp	rovements to existing b	aseball field and f	encing	1	Inspection/Permits	\$ 31,579
· ·	J				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 94,736
ĺ					Project Contingency	\$ 110,526
					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,131,569
Desired Temps		Evinting A.	.iiabia	Funding Courses, (Charle all that an	olio Ingrana (Dagragos) in cost n	
Project Type:	Duildings			Funding Sources; (Check all that ap		
_	Buildings		rant		for Operations & Maintenance	22,700
<u>=</u>	Parks			easure		
	Fields		eneral	Fund		
Instruction	Playgrounds	_ 0	ther:			
Instruction:						
CRITE	RIA: The Project will/h	nas			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFE	TY OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	
				eficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	
		entai health nazar	d (e.g.	lead contamination, asbestos		1
	nent.etc.)				5 points	
Access	s: Insure access to pers	sons with disabilitie	es.		5 points	5
		security of the pro	perty a	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fenci		
gate, e					5 points	
SUBTO	OTAL PTS					10
MAXIMIZE US	E AND PROGRAM SE	RVICES			Maximum 17 pts.	
			ices fo	or an underserved neighborhood	waxiiidiii 17 pts.	T
popula		i programs or serv	ices it	an anderserved heighborhood	10 points	10
		l programs or con	iooo t	of rick youth	6 points	
	will improve or expand			or the city-wide community	1 points	
	OTAL PTS	programs or serv	ices ii	of the city-wide community		17
30610	JIAL F13		-			
COLLABORA	TIVE OPPORTUNITIES	3			Maximum 10 pts	
Provide	e new collaborative pro-	grams with outside	e publi	c agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	5
Provide	e new collaborative pro-	grams with non-pr	ofit org	anizations.	5 points	5
SUBTO	OTAL PTS					10
	**************************************	<u> </u>				
	AND MAINTENANCE E				Maximum 20 pts.	
	e major repairs/improve				10 points	10
	e minor repairs and/or p				5 points	
	ements are expected to				5 points	
Improv	ements are expected to	o generate increas	ed rev	renues for the City	5 points	
SUBTO	OTAL PTS					10
PROTECTION	OF EXISTING RESOL	IRCES			Maximum 15 pts.	
	ve cultural/historical/na				10 points	
	e/enhance cultural/hist		urces		4 points	
	new cultural/historical/		4.000		1 points	
	OTAL PTS	Tiatarar 100001000			, points	0
						<u></u>
	NDING STATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
	oject funding available,				10 points	
Betwee	en 50% to 100% project	t fund available, o	r		5 points	
	available up to 50% of				2 points	
No fun					0 points	
	OTAL PTS	·				0
				· ···		

Item: _____ Life Enrichment Committee June 12, 2007

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office	DEPT: Office of Parks and Recreation		Date: 10/27/2006 F			Prepared by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)		
Final Ranking	No. 9	Project Na	ame:	Josie de la Cruz		Total Points	4	
DBO IECT SC	OPE DESCRIPTION:			Synthetic Turf F	ield	(Total Points Available - 97)		
	ng lawn to synthetic turf	field for multi-n	uroose L	ISE		STIMATED PROJECT COS	TS:	
Convert existi	ig iamii to synthetic turi	neid for main pi	ui pose e	156.		Construction Cost	\$ 463,360	
						Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 4,634	
						Design	\$ 55,603	
						Construction Management	\$ 32,435	
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 9,267	
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 27,802	
						Project Contingency	\$ 32,435	
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 625,536	
Project Type:		Existing A	vailahle	Funding Sources:	(Check all that applie	e Increase (Decrease) in cost p	er annum	
	Buildings		Grant	r ununing Sources.	Coneck an that applie	for Operations & Maintenance		
■	Parks	_	Bond Me	0.001.00		to Operations & Maintenance	3,700	
_								
	Fields		General	ruija				
	Playgrounds		Other:			_		
Instruction:								
CRITE	RIA: The Project will/h	as				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points	
E					·		3	
PUBLIC SAFE	ETY OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.		
							_	
Safety	: Correct conditions that	t are safety and	code de	eficiencies (e.g. se	ismic upgrade)	10 points		
Health	: Remediate environme	ntal health haza	ard (e.g.	lead contamination	n, asbestos			
	nent.etc.)					5 points		
	s: Insure access to perso					5 points		
Securit	ty: Provide safety and s	ecurity of the pr	roperty a	and the users (e.g.	site lighting, fencing,			
gate, e	tc.)					5 points	.[
SUBTO	OTAL PTS							
MAXIMIZE US	SE AND PROGRAM SE	RVICES				Maximum 17 pts.		
Proiec	t will improve or expand	programs or se	rvices fo	or an underserved	neighborhood			
popula		F			•	10 points	10	
	t will improve or expand	programs or se	rvices to	at-risk youth		6 points		
	t will improve or expand				nmunity	1 point		
	OTAL PTS	programo or oc		or the city wide cor	minumy	1 50	1	
	JINET TO						<u> </u>	
COLLABORA	TIVE OPPORTUNITIES	<u>.</u>				Maximum 10 pts.		
	e new collaborative prog		de publi	c agencies (e.g. O	HSD)	5 points		
Provide	e new collaborative prog	rams with non-	profit or	ranizatione		5 points		
	OTAL PTS	rams with horr-	bront oré	garrizations.		o points	10	
30810	JIALFIS							
OPERATION	AND MAINTENANCE E	EFICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.		
			ctina for	sility or		10 points		
Provid	e major repairs/improver	ments to an exi	sung rac	anty, or				
	e minor repairs and/or pr					5 points		
	rements are expected to					5 points		
	rements are expected to	generate incre	ased rev	venues for the City		5 points		
SUBTO	OTAL PTS						20	
	OF EXISTING RESOU					Maximum 15 pts.		
	ve cultural/historical/nate					10 points		
	re/enhance cultural/histo					4 points		
	new cultural/historical/n	iatural resource	S			1 points		
SUBTO	OTAL PTS						(
DDO IECT EU	NDING STATUS					Mavimum 10 nts		
	oject funding available, o					Maximum 10 pts.	 	
				<u> </u>		10 points		
	en 50% to 100% project		Ur	<u>-</u>		5 points		
	available up to 50% of p	hoject cost, or				2 points		
No fun						0 points		
SUBTO	OTAL PTS						(

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office	of Parks and Recreation	Date	: <u>10/27/2006</u> Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	MN)
Final Ranking	No. 2	Project Name:	Leona Lodge Upgrade	Total Points	64
DD0 1507 00	ODE DECODIDATION			(Total Points Available - 97)	•
	OPE DESCRIPTION: de existing Facility.			ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	re.
	itial mold and dry rot issu	100		Construction Cost	\$ 1,054,928
	dy and upgrade	162		Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 10,549
				"	
* ADA upgrad				Design	\$ 126,591
* Removal/re	placement of damaged/o	ild paneling		Construction Management	\$ 73,845
				Inspection/Permits	\$ 21,099
ľ					\$ 63,296
				Project Contingency	\$ 73,845
				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,424,153
		-			
Project Type:	D. ildiaaa		Funding Sources: (Check all that applied		
_	Buildings	☐ Grant		for Operations & Maintenance	1,000
	Parks	□ Bond Me			
	Fields	☐ General	Fund		
	Playgrounds	☐ Other:		_	
Instruction:					
CRITE	RIA: The Project will/ha	as .	<u></u>	Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
	11-11 11				
PUBLIC SAFE	TY OR HEALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety	Correct conditions that	are safety and code do	eficiencies (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	10
			lead contamination, asbestos	- Politica	
	nent.etc.)			5 points	5
Access	s: Insure access to perso	ons with disabilities.		5 points	5
Securi	ty: Provide safety and se	ecurity of the property a	and the users (e.g. site lighting, fencing,		
gate, e	tc.)			5 paints	5
SUBTO	OTAL PTS				25
	SE AND PROGRAM SEF			Maximum 17 pts.	
		programs or services for	or an underserved neighborhood		
popula				10 points	
	t will improve or expand			6 points	
		programs or services for	or the city-wide community	1 point	
SUBTO	OTAL PTS			- N ₂₀₀ - N ₁	7
COLLABORA	TIVE OPPORTUNITIES			Maximum 10 pts.	
	e new collaborative progr		c agencies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	5
	e new collaborative progr			5 points	
	OTAL PTS	rams war non-pront org	garnizations.	o points	10
00010	JIACI 10				10
OPERATION .	AND MAINTENANCE EI	FFICIENCY		Maximum 20 pts.	
	e major repairs/improven		cility, or	10 points	10
	e minor repairs and/or pr			5 points	
	ements are expected to			5 points	5
	ements are expected to			5 points	5
	OTAL PTS		<u> </u>		20
PROTECTION	OF EXISTING RESOU	RCES		Maximum 15 pts.	
	ve cultural/historical/natu			10 points	
Improv	e/enhance cultural/histor	rical/natural resources		4 points	
Create	new cultural/historical/na	atural resources		1 points	
SUBTO	OTAL PTS				0
DDC IECT ET	NDING STATUS	-		\$4d	
	NDING STATUS		····	Maximum 10 pts.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	oject funding available, o			10 points	
	en 50% to 100% project to			5 points	
	available up to 50% of p	roject cost, or		2 points	2
No fun				0 points	_
SUBTO	OTAL PTS				2

DEPT:	Parks & Recreation	Date	e: <u>5/2/2007</u>	Prepared by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	<u> </u>
Final Ranking N	0.	Project Name:	Leveling Playing Fields	Total Points	39
Improve/ upgrad Girl's Softball, ad		are Arroyo McConne	or use by various groups includi		TS: \$ 1,930,000 \$ 19,300
* Grading/draina * Irrigation repail * Installation of r	age repair r		<u>.</u>	Design Construction Management Inspection Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 231,600 \$ 135,100 \$ 38,600 \$ 115,800 \$ 193,000 \$ 2,663,400
Project Type: □ □	Buildings Parks	■ Grant	Funding Sources: (Check all t	hat applies) Increase (Decrease) in cost per for Operations & Maintenance	
Instruction:	Fields Playgrounds	☐ Genera		(* O&M costs based on 5% of es construction cost. Final O&M cos final project scope)	
CRITER	A: The Project will/has			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFET	Y OR HEALTH RISK			Maximum 25 pts	
Safety: 0	Correct conditions that are safe	ety and code deficien	cies (e.g. seísmic upgrade)	10 points	0
Health: I	Remediate environmental hea	Ith hazard (e.g. lead o	contamination, asbest <u>os a</u> bater	ment.etc.) 5 points	
	Insure access to persons with			5 points	0
Security: SUBTOT		f the property and the	users (e.g. site lighting, fencin	g, gate, etc.) 5 points	5 5
MAXIMIZE USE	AND PROGRAM SERVICES	,		Maximum 17 pts.	
Project w	vill improve or expand program	is or services for an u	nderserved neighborhood pop	ulation 10 points	· 10
Project w	vill improve or expand program	is or services to at-ris	k youth	6 points	
	vill improve or expand program	is or services for the	city-wide community	1 point	
SUBTOT	IAL FIS				17
	IVE OPPORTUNITIES			Maximum 10 pts.	
	new collaborative programs wi			5 points	
SUBTOT	new collaborative programs wi	th non-protit organiza	tions.	5 points	5 10
		<u> </u>			
	ND MAINTENANCE EFFICIEI			Maximum 20 pts	,
	major repairs/improvements to minor repairs and/or preventat			10 points 5 points	
	ments are expected to reduce			5 points	
	ments are expected to general			5 points	
SUBTO	TAL PTS				5
	OF EXISTING RESOURCES			Maximum 15 pts.	
	cultural/historical/natural reso			10 points	
	enhance cultural/historical/nat ew cultural/historical/natural re		· 	4 points	
SUBTO		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1 points	0
PROJECT FUN	DING STATUS			Maximum 10 pts.	
	ect funding available, or			Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	<u></u>
	50% to 100% project fund av	ailable, or		5 points	
Funds av	vailable up to 50% of project o			2 points	
No fundi				0 points	0
SUBTO	TAL PTS				2

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office of Parks and Recreation	Date: 10/27/2006	Prepared b	y PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)
Final Ranking No.	Project Name: Lincoln Squ	uare Park	Total Points	49
			(Total Points Available - 97)	
PROJECT SCOPE DESCRIPTION:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 0000	7	
Improvements have been determined by t			ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
generally include:	- better site control and site	enclosure	Construction Cost	\$ 1,440,000
- more and better facilities throughout the	site		Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 14,400
- possible increase in activity areas			Design	\$ 172,800
- miscellaneous site improvements to entr	ances, bus stop, / transit area, Al	lice path area, and	Construction Management	\$ 100,800
existing activity area			Inspection/Permits	\$ 28,800
- mitigation of impacts from surrounding d	evelopment		Project Management/Admin.	\$ 86,400
			Project Contingency	\$ 100,800
			Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,944,000
Project Type:	Evisting Available Funding Sou	rces: (Check all that appli	iε Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	er annum
□ Buildings	☐ Grant	loca, tottook on trial was	for Operations & Maintenance	
	· ·		101 Operations & Maintenance	12,000
				
☐ Fields	☐ General Fund		(* O&M costs based on 5% of est	
☐ Playgrounds	Other:		_ construction cost. Final O&M cos	at is dependent
Instruction:			on final project scope)	
CRITERIA: The Project will/has			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY OR HEALTH RISK		****	Maximum 25 pts.	Τ'
Safety: Correct conditions that are	safety and code deficiencies (e.	g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	0
Health: Remediate environmental	health hazard (e.g. lead contamin	nation, asbestos	5 points	0
abatement.etc.)	***		5 points	
Access: Insure access to persons	with disabilities.	Complete Habitage	5 points	5
Security: Provide safety and secu	nity of the property and the users	(e.g. site lighting,	*	_
fencing, gate, etc.)			5 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS				10
MANUSCRIPT HEE AND DOOD AND CEDVI	0-0		Maximum 17 pts	-
Project will improve or expand pro		d naighborhood	Maximum 17 pts.	
	Jrams or services for all uniderser	rvea neignbornood	40 :- 4-	1
population			10 points	
Project will improve or expand pro-			6 points	
Project will improve or expand pro-	grams or services for the city-wide	e community	1 point	1
SUBTOTAL PTS		-		17
COLLABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES		511051	Maximum 10 pts.	
Provide new collaborative program		.g. OUSD)	5 points	
Provide new collaborative program	is with non-profit organizations.		5 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS				10
ODERATION AND MAINTENANCE EEE	OLENOV		Maximum 20 nta	·
Provide major reneirs (marrayemen			Maximum 20 pts.	10
Provide major repairs/improvemen			10 points	
Provide minor repairs and/or preve			5 points	
Improvements are expected to red			5 points	
Improvements are expected to ger	nerate increased revenues for the	e City	5 points	1
SUBTOTAL PTS				10
PROTECTION OF EVIRTING PERCUIPO			Mavimum 45 nto	
PROTECTION OF EXISTING RESOURCE			Maximum 15 pts.	r 0
Preserve cultural/historical/natural			10 points	
Improve/enhance cultural/historica			4 points	
Create new cultural/historical/natu	al resources		1 points	
SUBTOTAL PTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0
PROJECT FUNDING STATUS			Maximum 10 pts.	
Full project funding available, or			10 points	0
Between 50% to 100% project fund	d available or		5 points	
Funds available up to 50% of project			2 points	
	ct cost, or			
No funding SUBTOTAL PTS			0 points	0
SUBIUIALPIS				

Item: ______ Life Enrichment Committee

DEPT:	Office of P	arks and Recreatio	<u>n</u>	Date: <u>10/27/2006</u> Prepared			ared by	by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)		
Final R	lanking No.	12	Project	Name:	Madison Sq	uare Park		Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	36	
PROJECT SCOPE DESCRIPTION: Long term improvements for community use. Improvement are intended as a placeholder while a community process is conducted and final design recommendations are made. * Improve play area and other uses for children * Address safety through additional lighting, visibility * Expand passive activities such as seating, open lawn, and chess tables and low impact active recreation such as ping pong, tai-chi, etc.								ESTIMATED PROJECT COST Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,087,680 \$ 20,877 \$ 250,522 \$ 146,138 \$ 41,754 \$ 125,261 \$ 146,138 \$ 2,818,370	
Project		Buildings Parks Fields Playgrounds		G Available F Grant Bond Mea General F Other:	asure	ces: (Check all tha	at appl <u>i</u> e	Increase (Decrease) in cost per for Operations & Maintenance	12,400	
	CRITERIA	: The Project will/	has					Max. Points Available	Rating/Points	
PUBLIC	C SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK	<u> </u>					Maximum 25 pts.		
	Safety: Co	orrect conditions the	at are safety a	and code def	ficiencies (e.g	g. seismic upgrade	e)	10 points		
	abatement	t.etc.)						5 points		
	Access: In	sure access to per	sons with disa	bilities.	1.0	7 11 11-1-41		5 points	5	
	Security: Fencing, ga	Provide safety and	security of the	propeπy ar	nd the users	(e.g. site lighting,		5 points	5	
	SUBTOTA							o ponto	10	
		AND PROGRAM SE I improve or expand		convices for	r an underser	ared neighborhood	4	Maximum 17 pts.	· · ·	
	population		1 bioâiama o	SELVICES IOI	all ullupiaci	veu neignbornood	ı	10 points	10	
	Project will	l improve or expand						6 points		
								1 point		
	SUBTOTA	L PTS			-				11	
COLLA	ABORATIV	E OPPORTUNITIE	S					Maximum 10 pts.		
•	Provide ne	w collaborative pro	ograms with ou			g. OUSD)		5 points	5	
		ew collaborative pro	grams with no	n-profit orga	anizations.			5 points		
	SUBTOTA	L PTS							5	
OPERA	ATION AND	D MAINTENANCE I	FFFICIENCY					Maximum 20 pts.		
		ajor repairs/improve			lity, or			10 points		
	Provide mi	inor repairs and/or	preventative n	maintenance	to an existin	g facility		5 points		
		ents are expected t				-		5 points		
	SUBTOTA	ents are expected t	o generate inc	reased reve	anues for the	City		5 points		
	SUBTUTA	LPIS							10	
PROTE	ECTION OF	EXISTING RESO	URCES					Maximum 15 pts.		
		cultural/historical/na						10 points		
		nhance cultural/hist			·			4 points		
	SUBTOTA	w cultural/historical/	natural resour	ces	<u> </u>			1 points		
	SUBILITA	LPIS							. 0	
PROJE	CT FUNDI	ING STATUS						Maximum 10 pts.		
	Full project	t funding available,						10 points		
		50% to 100% projec						5 points		
		illable up to 50% of	project cost,	or				2 points		
	No funding SUBTOTA				·			0 points	0	
	SOBIUM	LFIO							4 U	

DEPT: P	arks & Recreation		Date: 5/2/2007	Prepared b	y PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	·
Final Ranking No	<u> </u>	Project Nam	e: <u>Measure</u>	DD - Lake Merritt Channel	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	46
PROJECT SCOPE D	DESCRIPTION:				(Total Totalis Available - 97)	
	- Oakland Trust for clea	an water and safe p	oarks programs.		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>ΓS:</u>
		ians and bicyclists	by providing conti	inuous paths and reducing	Construction Cost	\$ -
conflicts with vehicles					Pre-Design/Planning	\$ -
	personal watercraft bet	Design	\$ -			
	ty and property by impro		pump control faci.	ines.	Construction Management Inspection	\$ - \$ -
Restore tidal wettar	nds and aquatic habitat	S .			Project Management/Admin.	\$ -
					Project Contingency	\$ -
					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 37,000,000
Project Type:				urces: (Check all that applies)	Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	
	Buildings		ant		for Operations & Maintenance	\$ 1,850,000
	Parks		ond Measure			
	Fields		eneral Fund			
Instruction:	Playgrounds	□ Ot	her:		_ (* O&M costs based on estimated O&M cost is dependent on final p	
CRITERIA: T	he Project will/has				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY OF	R HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	·—
Safety: Corre	ect conditions that are s	safety and code def	ficiencies (e.g. sei	ismic upgrade)	10 points	0
Hoolth: Pom	odiata anvironmental h	ealth hazard (e.g. l	and contaminatio	n, asbestos abatement etc.)	5 points	
	re access to persons w		ead contaminatio	n, aspesios abatement etc.)	5 points	
					F int	
Security: Pro		y of the property an	id the users (e.g.	site lighting, fencing, gate, etc.)	5 points	5
OOBTOTAL						
MAXIMIZE USE AND	D PROGRAM SERVIC	E\$		_ 	Maximum 17 pts.	
Project will in	aprove or expand progr	ams or services for	an underserved	neighborhood population	10 points	
	nprove or expand progr				6 points	
	nprove or expand progr			nmunity	1 point	1
SUBTOTAL F	PTS					1
COLLABORATIVE C		itictaila multis		HCD)	Maximum 10 pts.	
Provide new o	collaborative programs	with outside public	agencies (e.g. O	<u>08D)</u>	5 points	
	collaborative programs	with non-provit orga	anizations.	_ 	5 points	
SUBTOTAL	218					5
OPERATION AND N	MAINTENANCE EFFIC	IENCY			Maximum 20 pts.	
	or repairs/improvements				10 points	10
	or repairs and/or preven			cility	5 points	0
	ts are expected to reduce				5 points	0
Improvement	ts are expected to gene	rate increased reve	enues for the City		5 points	0
SÜBTOTAL F	PTS					10
PROTECTION OF E	XISTING RESOURCE	s			Maximum 15 pts.	
	tural/historical/natural re		-		10 points	10
	ance cultural/historical/r				4 points	
	cultural/historical/natura				1 points	
SUBTOTAL	PTS					15
PROJECT FUNDING	G STATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
	unding available, or		_		10 points	1 0
	% to 100% project fund	available or			5 points	
	ble up to 50% of projec				2 points	
No funding					0 points	
SUBTOTAL F	PTS					5

DEPT:	Parks & Recreation	Da	ate: <u>5/2/2007</u>	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	i
Final R	Ranking No.	Project Name:	Measure DD - La	ke Merritt Park	Total Points	86
* Meas	ECT SCOPE DESCRIPTION: sure DD Bond - Oakland Trust for		-		(Total Points Available - 97) ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>TS:</u>
	ove access for pedestrians and b		<u>\$</u>			
	ore historic buildings, structures,				Pre-Design/Planning	\$ -
	Il interpretive historic and ecologi				Design	<u>\$</u>
	te tidal wetlands and aquatic hab				Construction Management	\$ -
* Impro	ove facilties for youth and adult p	rograms including those se	erving at-risk youth.		Inspection	\$ -
					Project Management/Admin.	\$ -
					Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ - \$130,250,000
Project	t Type: □ Buildings	Existing Availab ■ Grant		Check all that applies)	Increase (Decrease) in cost pe for Operations & Maintenance	
	■ Parks		Measure		701 Cp 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2:	<u> </u>
	□ Fields		ral Fund			
Instruct	□ Playgrounds	□ Other		<u></u>	(* O&M costs based on estimated O&M cost is dependent on final pr	
	CRITERIA: The Project will/ha	ıs			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLI	IC SAFETY OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	· .
	Safety: Correct conditions that	are safety and code deficie	encies (e.g. seismic up	grade)	10 points	10
	Health: Remediate environmen	otal basith hazard (a.a. laac	dentemination achor	ton abatamant ata)	5 points	5
	Access: Insure access to persor		T CONTAINING IN ASSESS	tos abatement.etc.)	5 points	
	Security: Provide safety and se	ecurity of the property and the	he users (e.a. site liah:	ing fencing gate, etc.)	5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL PTS	outly of the property and a	10 00010 (0.8. 0120 1.8	mg, renoring, gate, etc.,		25
MAXIN	MIZE USE AND PROGRAM SER	RVICES	_		Maximum 17 pts.	<u> </u>
140 0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				10
	Project will improve or expand p Project will improve or expand p			hood population	10 points 6 points	
	Project will improve or expand p					
	SUBTOTAL PTS	Jogianis of Services ic	3 Oily mide Community		1 ponts	17
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>
COLLA	ABORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES				Maximum 10 pts.	
	Provide new collaborative progra				5 points	
	Provide new collaborative progr	rams with non-profit organiz	zations		5 points	
	SUBTOTAL PTS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				10
OPER/	ATION AND MAINTENANCE EF				Maximum 20 pts.	
	Provide major repairs/improvem				10 points	
	Provide minor repairs and/or pre				5 points	
	improvements are expected to r				5 points	
	Improvements are expected to g	generate increased revenue	es for the City		5 points	
	SUBTOTAL PTS					15
PROTI	ECTION OF EXISTING RESOUR	RCES			Maximum 15 pts.	!
	Preserve cultural/historical/natu				10 points	10
	Improve/enhance cultural/histori				4 points	
	Create new cultural/historical/na	atural resources			1 points	
	SUBTOTAL PTS					14
PROJE	ECT FUNDING STATUS				Maximum 10 pts.	
	Full project funding available, or	г			10 points	0
	Between 50% to 100% project f				5 points	5
	Funds available up to 50% of pr	roject cost, or			2 points	0
	No funding				0 points	0
	SUBTOTAL PTS	·				5

DEPT:			Date	5/2/2007	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	
Final R	tanking No.	Project	Name:	Measure DD -	Waterfront Trails	Total Points	68
	ECT SCOPE DESCRIPT	TI∩N-				(Total Points Available - 97)	
		Trust for Clean Water and	Safe Park	s Program	- 	SESTIMATED PROJECT COST	rs.
	de new recreational facil	te parks, and piers	Construction Cost	<u>s</u> -			
					s paths and reducing conflicts	Pre-Design/Planning	\$ -
with ve	•	podeodnano ano bioje			*	Design	\$ -
	tidal wetlands and aqua	tic habitats				Construction Management	<u> </u>
	•	inland underserved neight	orhoods ar	nd new waterfron	t open space.	Inspection	\$ - \$ -
	de environmental educa					Project Management/Admin.	\$ -
		velopement opportunities	for new dev	velopments along	the waterfront.	Project Contingency	\$ -
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$106,000,000
D:	Tomas		- Available	Conding Course	s; (Check all that applies)	Jacrosso (Degrasso) in east no	concum
Project						Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	
	☐ Buildings ■ Parks		Grant Bond Me		b. 40, RTP, LVVCF, Bay Trails;	for Operations & Maintenance	\$ 5,300,000
			General				
			Other:	Futto		(* O&M costs based on 5% of est	
lootoro	☐ Playground	s 🗆	Other.			_construction cost. Final O&M cos final project scope)	t is dependent on
Instruc	uon.					iniai project scope)	
	CRITERIA: The Project	t will/has				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLI	C SAFETY OR HEALTH	H RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	ē
					<u> </u>		
	Safety: Correct condition	ons that are safety and coo	de deficienc	ies (e.g. seismic	upgrade)	10 points	0
	Health: Remediate env	rironmental health hazard	(e.g. lead c	ontamination, as	bestos abatement.etc.)	5 points	5
		to persons with disabilities				5 points	5
	Security: Provide safet	y and security of the prope	rty and the	users (e.g. site li	ighting, fencing, gate, etc.)	5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL PTS						15
MAXIN	IIZE USE AND PROGR	AM SERVICES				Maximum 17 pts.	
	Project will improve or e	expand programs or service	es for an ur	nderserved neigh	nborhood population	10 points	10
		expand programs or service				6 points	0
		expand programs or service			nitv	1 point	1
	SUBTOTAL PTS			,			11
	000100000	····		0.0			
COLLA	ABORATIVE OPPORTU					Maximum 10 pts.	
		ve programs with outside)	5 points	5
		ve programs with non-prof	it organizat	ions.		5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL PTS						10
OPER/	ATION AND MAINTENA	ANCE EFFICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.	
· · ·		nprovements to an existing	facility, or			10 points	10
		nd/or preventative mainter	 _			5 points	0
		ected to reduce on-going m				5 points	0
	Improvements are expe	cted to generate increase	d revenues	for the City		5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL PTS					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15
PROTE	Preserve cultural/histori					Maximum 15 pts.	10
		al/historical/natural resour				10 points	
		ai/nistoricai/natural resources	<u>-</u>			4 points	1
	SUBTOTAL PTS	torical/flatural resources		***		i points	15
							13
PROJE	ECT FUNDING STATUS				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Maximum 10 pts.	
	Full project funding ava					10 points	0
		project fund available, or				5 points	, 0
	Funds available up to 5	u% of project cost, or				2 points	2
	No funding	- <u></u> -				0 points	0

DEPT: Offic	e of Parks and Recreation		Date	: <u>10/27/2006</u>	Prepared by	y <u>PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT</u>)	
Final Rankin	g No. 12	Project Nan	ne:	Montclair Park		Total Points		36
				-		(Total Points Available - 97)		
	COPE DESCRIPTION:							
	tiple access points and circ	ulation within th	ie park	k. Provide other impro	ovements and	ESTIMATED PROJECT COS		
	ace to the park.					Construction Cost		1,218,080
	recreation center to school			_		Pre-Design/Planning	\$	12,181
	d. at pedestrian bridge landi		Design	\$	146,170 85,266			
	e path from Mountain Road					Construction Management Inspection/Permits	<u>\$</u>	24,362
	ate between park and school layground for accessibility,		- 004	uaa.		Project Management/Admin.		73,085
improve p	layground for accessibility,	Salety, uraillage	z, anu	use		Project Contingency	<u>\$</u>	85,266
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	<u> </u>	1,644,410
L							•	,,,,,,,,,,
Project Type	<u>ı:</u>	Existing Av	<u>ailable</u>	Funding Sources: (C	Check all that appli	<u>e</u> Increase (Decrease) in cost p	er ann	rum
	Buildings		rant			for Operations & Maintenance		
	Parks	□ B	ond M	easure				
	Fields	□ G	eneral	Fund				
	Playgrounds		ther:			_		
Instruction								
								
CRIT	ERIA: The Project will/has	<u> </u>				Max. Points Available	Ratir	ng/Points
PUBLIC SA	FETY OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.		
. 022.0							T	
	ty: Correct conditions that a					10 points	1	
Heal	th: Remediate environment	tal health hazar	d (e.g.	lead contamination,	asbestos			
	ement.etc.)	···				5 points		
	ss: Insure access to persor				.	5 points		5
	rity: Provide safety and se	curity of the pro	perty a	and the users (e.g. si	ite lighting, fencing			
	, etc.)					5 points		5
SUB	TOTAL PTS						Щ	10
	JSE AND PROGRAM SER		iioon (sinhharha a	Maximum 17 pts.	<u> </u>	
•	ect will improve or expand p	rograms or sen	vices i	or an underserved ne	aignoonlood	10 points		10
	lation ect will improve or expand p	rograme or con	icos t	o at rick youth		10 points 6 points		
	ect will improve or expand p				nunity	1 points		1
	TOTAL PTS	rograms or serv	VICES II	or the city-wide confi	ildinity	ı ponn	—	11
300	IOTALT 13						ᅳ	
COLLABOR	ATIVE OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.		
	ide new collaborative progra	ame with outsid	e nubli	ic agencies (e.g. Old	SD)	5 points		
	ide new collaborative progra				30)	5 points		
	TOTAL PTS	ante with non-pi	OIII OI	gariizations.		э рокка	╆	. 0
	TOTALTTO			······································	······································			
OPERATION	N AND MAINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.		
	ide major repairs/improvem		ing fac	cility, or		10 points	T	10
	ide minor repairs and/or pre				ity	5 points		
Impr	ovements are expected to re	educe on-going	maint	enance costs		5 points		5
Impre	ovements are expected to g	jenerate increa	sed rev	venues for the City		5 points		
SUB	TOTAL PTS							15
	ON OF EXISTING RESOUR					Maximum 15 pts.		
	erve cultural/historical/natur					10 points		
	ove/enhance cultural/histori				····	4 points		
	te new cultural/historical/na	turai resources				1 points		
SUB	TOTAL PTS				· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ь	0
PROJECT F	UNDING STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.		ļ
	project funding available, or	,				10 points		
	een 50% to 100% project fu		r			5 points		
	Is available up to 50% of pro					2 points		
	unding	-,				0 points		
	TOTAL PTS				<u> </u>	_ c pointe	 	0

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: O	ffice of Pa	arks and Recreation		Date:	10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	<u> </u>
Fina! Rar	nking No.	7	Project	Name:	Morcom Rose Gar	den	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	50
		DESCRIPTION:					•	
PENDING							ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
		airs to park and selec		vements			Construction Cost	\$ 1,473,120
1	ainage - e haracter	ngineering study req	luirea				Pre-Design/Planning Design	\$ 14,731 \$ 176,774
		ea at Greater Florent	lina				Construction Management	\$ 176,774 \$ 103,118
		and ADA complianc					Inspection/Permits	\$ 29,462
	on system		Ç				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 88,387
		s for safety					Project Contingency	\$ 103,118
		ater capture					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,988,710
Project T					Funding Sources: (C	heck all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	
]	Buildings		Grant			for Operations & Maintenance	
_		Parks		Bond Me				
		Fields		General	Fund			
Instructio	_	Playgrounds		Other:			-	•
<u>High dono</u>	<u>11.</u>							
С	RITERIA:	The Project will/ha	ıs				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
DI IRI IC	SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
FOBLIO.	OA! LII	SKILALITINGK					Maximum 20 pts.	
<u>s</u>	afety: Co	rrect conditions that	are safety a	and code de	eficiencies (e.g. seisn	nic upgrade)	10 points	10
			ital health h	azard (e.g.	lead contamination,	asbestos	Fusint	
	batement.			L Militar			5 points	
		sure access to perso			ind the users (e.g. sit	a liabtina fancina	5 points	5
	ecunty. rate, etc.)	TOVIDE Salety and Se	scurity of the	e property a	ind the asers (e.g. sa	e iignting, lencing	, 5 points	. 5
	UBTOTAL	PTS					о ролло	20
	02.07				0.1000			
		ND PROGRAM SER			•		Maximum 17 pts.	
		improve or expand r	orograms or	services fo	r an underserved ne	ighborhood		
	opulation						10 points	
		improve or expand r					6 points	
			orograms or	services to	or the city-wide comm	unity	1 point	
	UBTOTAL	_P18						1
COLLAB	ORATIVE	OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.	
					c agencies (e.g. OUS	SD)	5 points	
P	rovide nev	w collaborative progr	ams with no	on-profit org	anizations.	•	5 points	5
	UBTOTAL							5
OBEDAT	ION AND	MAINTENANCE EF	EIGIENOY				Massinas on of	
	·			ovieting fac	ility or		Maximum 20 pts.	ī
		ijor repairs/improvem			e to an existing facilit		10 points 5 points	
		ents are expected to				<u>y</u>	5 points	- 3
		ents are expected to					5 points	
	UBTOTAL		generate in	Cicadea iei	chaco for the Only		о роша	10
		EXISTING RESOUR					Maximum 15 pts.	
		ultural/historical/natu					10 points	
_		hance cultural/histor					4 points	4
		/ cultural/historical/na	aturai resou	rces			1 points	4.4
	ÜBTOTAI							14
PROJEC	T FUNDII	NG STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
F	ull project	funding available, o	r				10 points	
		0% to 100% project f		le, or			5 points	
		lable up to 50% of pi					2 points	
	o funding						0 points	
s	UBTOTAL	_ PTS						Ö

DEPT: Office of P	arks and Recreation	1	Dat	te: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	/MN)
Final Ranking No.	4	Project	t Name:	Moss House		_Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	56
PROJECT SCOPE	E DESCRIPTION:	.,				_	
PROGRAM PEND				•		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
Architectural asse	ssment/rehabilitation	n for accessi	ibility and	program use.		Construction Cost	\$ 1,283,200
						Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 12,832
						Design	\$ 153,984
						Construction Management	\$ 89,824
						Inspection/Permits	\$ 25,664
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ 76,992
						Project Contingency	\$ 89,824
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,732,320
Project Tyme:		Evictin	n Availaht	o Eundina Cources:	(Check all that applic	e Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	ar annum
Project Type:	Buildings		Grant	Fulluling Sources.	Coneck an mar applie	for Operations & Maintenance	
=	Parks			Measure		101 Operations & Mantenance	21,200
	Fields			al Fund			
0			Other:				
Instruction:	Playgrounds	Ļ	Oulei.			-	
Instruction:							
CRITERIA	: The Project will/h	ias				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	,
Sofotic Co	orrect conditions that	t are enfety	abos bos	doficioncias (a.a. se	iemie uparado)	10 points	10
Health: Re	emediate environme	ental health h	nazard (e.c	i. lead contamination	n. asbestos	10 points	10
abatement		man mount.	(8	,, 1000 00	.,	5 points	5
	sure access to perso	ons with disa	abilities.			5 points	
Security: I	Provide safety and s	ecurity of th	e property	and the users (e.g.	site lighting, fencing,		
gate, etc.)	•	00 2 , 2.	a b. ab3	0110 1110 (U-		5 points	5
SUBTOTA							25
	AND PROGRAM SEI					Maximum 17 pts.	
Project will	l improve or expand	programs or	r services	for an underserved	neighborhood		
population						10 points	
	l improve or expand					6 points	
	l improve or expand	programs or	r services	for the city-wide con	nmunity	1 point	
SUBTOTA	LPTS						1
		_					
	E OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.	
	ew collaborative prog				USD)	5 points	
	ew collaborative prog	rams with n	on-profit o	rganizations.		5 points	
SUBTOTA	L PTS						0
ODERATION AND	D MAINTENANCE E	EEICIENCV	,			Maximum 20 pts.	
	ajor repairs/improver			acility or		10 points	10
	inor repairs and/or p				cility	5 points	
	ents are expected to				Juity	5 points	
Improvent	ents are expected to	reduce on-	Joing mail	overvies for the City		5 points	
SUBTOTA		generate in	CICASCO IE	vertues for the City		5 points	15
30B101A	LPIS					<u> </u>	13
PROTECTION OF	F EXISTING RESOU	IRCES				Maximum 15 pts.	•
	cultural/historical/nat		es			10 points	10
	nhance cultural/histo			s		4 points	
	w cultural/historical/n			·		1 points	
SUBTOTA							15
							13
PROJECT FUNDI	NG STATUS					Maximum 10 pts.	
Full projec	t funding available, o	or				10 points	0
	50% to 100% project		ole, or			5 points	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ilable up to 50% of p					2 points	
No funding				_		0 points	
SUBTOTA							0

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office of F	Parks and Recreation	<u> </u>	Date	e: 10/27/2006	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)
Final Ranking No.	8	Project N	lame:	Officer Willie Wilkins	Park	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	49
PROJECT SCOP	E DESCRIPTION:					,	
Major park renova	ation and improveme	nts.				ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>ΓS:</u>
* Play area						Construction Cost	\$ 1,867,329
* Restroom and s	storage					Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 18,673
* Par course, fitne	ess equipment, joggi	ing track cours	e			Design	\$ 224,079
	c and gathering area					Construction Management	\$ 130,713
	with lighting, remova		ı, open a	ireas		Inspection/Permits	\$ 37,347
* Provide park pa		ŭ				Project Management/Admin.	\$ 112,040
* Preserve matur						Project Contingency	\$ 130,713
	5g+					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 2,520,894
Project Type:		Existing	Available	Funding Sources: (Che	ck all that applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	er annum
	Buildings		Grant			for Operations & Maintenance	
	Parks		Bond M	Measure		·	
	Fields		Genera	al Fund			
ä	Playgrounds	_	Other:				
Instruction:	, g	_			.	=	
ODITEDIA	The Best of 1976				_	Mary Dainta Available	DetinetDeinte
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/ha	as				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK					Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety: C	orrect conditions that	t are safety an	d code o	deficiencies (e.g. seismic	upgrade)	10 points	0
		ntal health haz	zard (e.g	. lead contamination, ast	estos		
abatemen						5 points	
	sure access to perso					5 points	5
•	•	ecurity of the p	property	and the users (e.g. site li	ghting, fencing,		_
gate, etc.)					_	5 points	5
SUBTOTA	L PTS						10
MAVIMIZELICE	AND PROGRAM SE	DVICES				Maximum 17 pta	
			envices :	for an underserved neigh	horbood	Maximum 17 pts.	
population	•	programs or s	CIVICES	ior air dilderserved rieign	Domood	10 points	10
	l improve or expand	Drograma as a	000000	to at riple varith		6 points	
				for the city-wide commun	itu	1 point	
SUBTOTA		programs or s	EI VICES	ior the city-wide communi	ity_	i ponit	17
3001017	CT TO				_		1,
	E OPPORTUNITIES			···		Maximum 10 pts.	
				lic agencies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points	
	ew collaborative prog	rams with non	-profit o	rganizations.		5 points	
SUBTOTA	L PTS					****	5
OPERATION ANI	D MAINTENANCE E	FFICIENCY				Maximum 20 pts.	
	ajor repairs/improver		risting fa	cility, or		10 points	• 10
				ce to an existing facility		5 points	
	ents are expected to					5 points	
	ents are expected to					5 points	
SUBTOTA							15
	F EXISTING RESOU cultural/historical/natu					Maximum 15 pts. 10 points	
	nhance cultural/histo					4 points	
	mance cultural/historical/n					4 points 1 points	——
SUBTOTA		atural resourc	es			1 points	0
3081012	LI 10				··· .		
PROJECT FUND						Maximum 10 pts.	
	t funding available, o					10 points	
Between 5	50% to 100% project	fund available				5 points	
	ailable up to 50% of p	project cost, o				2 points	2
No funding						0 points	
SUBTOTA	L PTS						2

DEPT:	Parks & Recreation		Date	5/2/2007	Prepared by	ed by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)		
Final Ranking No	o	Project Nam	1e:	Peralta Hacienda Historical Park - Historic Core		Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	64	
	PE DESCRIPTION:					•		
	an improvements for 'Histori		roject		*	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	<u>ΓS:</u>	
New facilties to s	support historical education					Construction Cost	<u>\$</u> -	
						Pre-Design/Planning	\$ -	
						Design	\$	
						Construction Management	\$ -	
						Inspection	\$ -	
						Project Management/Admin.	\$ -	
						Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ - \$ 5,814,300	
Project Type:		Existing Ava	ailable	Funding Sources: (Check all that	applies)	Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	er annum	
	Buildings		Grant	(Prop. 40, CDBG)		for Operations & Maintenance		
	Parks		ond Me	, , ,			<u> </u>	
_	Fields		Seneral			/* ORM costs based on 5% of est	timated.	
	Playgrounds	-	ther:	reno		(* O&M costs based on 5% of est construction cost. Final O&M cos		
Instruction:	Flaygrounds	ц .	uiçi.	· ·		_construction cost. Final Ostyl cos final project scope)	it is dependent on	
CRITERI	IA: The Project will/has					Max. Points Available	Rating/Points	
PUBLIC SAFET	Y OR HEALTH RISK	,				Maximum 25 pts.	-	
		fabrand anda da	ficions	ice (e.a. aniemia unarado)				
	Correct conditions that are s					10 points		
	Remediate environmental he Insure access to persons wi		lead co	ontamination, asbestos abatement	t.etc.)	5 points 5 points		
			tha	(a a site lighting fanging a				
Security: SUBTOT.		y of the property ar	na the	users (e.g. site lighting, fencing, g	ate, etc.)	5 points	5 10	
						Maximum 47 pto		
	AND PROGRAM SERVICE					Maximum 17 pts.		
	vill improve or expand progra vill improve or expand progra			nderserved neighborhood populati cyouth	ion	10 points 6 points		
	vill improve or expand progra					1 point		
SUBTOT		allis or dorriose .	1 115 0	ty-wide obtaining			17	
COLLABORATI	VE OPPORTUNITIES					Maximum 10 pts.		
	new collaborative programs	with outside public	cagen	cies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points	5	
	new collaborative programs					5 points		
SUBTOT		With non-prom org	ai IIZuu	Olis.		у ролло	10	
OPERATION AN	ND MAINTENANCE EFFIC	HENCY				Maximum 20 pts.		
	major repairs/improvements		ility. or			10 points	10	
	minor repairs and/or prevent					5 points		
	ments are expected to reduce					5 points		
	ments are expected to gener					5 points		
SUBTOT		Tate moreaged (21	511000	ioi the ord		Орожи	10	
	ALFIG							
PROTECTION C	OF EXISTING RESOURCES	.s		_		Maximum 15 pts.		
	cultural/historical/natural re					10 points	10	
	enhance cultural/historical/n				•••	4 points		
	ew cultural/historical/natural					1 points		
SUBTOT	AL PTS						15	
POOJECT ELINI	DINO STATUS					Maximum 10 nto		
PROJECT FUND						Maximum 10 pts.	1 0	
	ect funding available, or	- allebia me				10 points	 	
Between	50% to 100% project fund a	available, or				5 points		
	vailable up to 50% of project	t cost, or				2 points		
No fundir SUBTOT						0 points	0	
SUBIUI	ALPIS						2	

DEPT:	Parks & Recreation	Date:	: 5/2/2007	Prepared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	•
Final Ra	anking No	Project Name:	Raimondi Park		Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	49
Phase Carea, Al	CT SCOPE DESCRIPTION: One: Park improvements for soccer fi DA improvements for building and sit	e access, limited paving	, landscaping and site	work.	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	
1	Two: Perimeter park right-of-way impi	rovements, site work and	d community element	s (turf, picnic area,	Pre-Design/Planning	<u> </u>
	landscape, irrigation)				Design	<u>\$</u> -
Phase 1	Three: New support building for after	school programs and ath	hletic programs		Construction Management	\$ -
					Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin.	\$ - \$ -
					Project Contingency	\$ -
]					Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 12,140,000
Project		Existing Available Grant	Funding Sources: (Ch	eck all that applies)	Increase (Decrease) in cost pe for Operations & Maintenance	
	☐ Buildings ■ Parks	□ Bond Me	2251125		ioi operations a matriteriance	Ψ 007,000
	Fields	■ General			(* O&M costs based on 5% of est	imated
	■ Playgrounds	■ Other:			construction cost. Final O&M cos	
Instructi		_ 05/01/			final project scope)	t is dependent on
· ·	CRITERIA: The Project will/has				Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC	SAFETY OR HEALTH RISK				Maximum 25 pts.	
-	Safety: Correct conditions that are sa	afety and code deficienc	ies (e.g. seismic upgr	ade)	10 points	0
-	Health: Remediate environmental he	ealth hazard (e.g. tead co	ontamination asbesto	s abatement etc.)	5 points	0
	Access: Insure access to persons wit				5 points	5
;	Security: Provide safety and security	of the property and the	users (e.g. site lightin	g, fencing, gate, etc.)	5 points	5
7	SUBTOTAL PTS					10
MAXIMI	IZE USE AND PROGRAM SERVICE	S			Maximum 17 pts.	
	Project will improve or expand progra			ood population	10 points 6 points	10
	Project will improve or expand progra				1 point	1
	SUBTOTAL PTS		ny moo commany		, pont	17
COLLA	BORATIVE OPPORTUNITIES				Maximum 10 pts.	
1	Provide new collaborative programs v	with outside public agend	cies (e.g. OUSD)		5 points	5
1	Provide new collaborative programs	with non-profit organizati	ions.		5 points	5
	SUBTOTAL PTS					10
OPERA	TION AND MAINTENANCE EFFICI	ENCY			Maximum 20 pts.	
	Provide major repairs/improvements				10 points	10
	Provide minor repairs and/or prevent				5 points	. 0
	Improvements are expected to reduc				5 points	0
_	Improvements are expected to gener SUBTOTAL PTS	ate increased revenues	for the City		5 points	0 10
						10
	CTION OF EXISTING RESOURCES				Maximum 15 pts.	
	Preserve cultural/historical/natural re-				10 points	0
	Improve/enhance cultural/historical/n Create new cultural/historical/natural				4 points	0
_	SUBTOTAL PTS	163001063			1 points	- 0
	CT FUNDING STATUS Full project funding available, or				Maximum 10 pts.	
_	Full project funding available, or Between 50% to 100% project fund a	vailable or			10 points 5 points	0
	Funds available up to 50% of project				5 points 2 points	. 2
_	No funding				0 points	0
	SUBTOTAL PTS				- Powite	2

DEPT: Office o	f Parks and Recreation	<u>1</u>	Dat	te: <u>10/27</u>	<u>//2006</u> Prep	ared by	PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	/MN)
Final Ranking N	No5	Projec	t Name:	Raint	oow Recreation Ctr Exp	ansion	Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)	57
PROJECT SCC	PE DESCRIPTION:						(Total Folits Available - 57)	
Expand building * Front entrand * Replace wind * Create a com * ADA upgrade * Create an out	g to accommodate prog ce redesign to be welco dows throughout nouter lab room	oming therings, BE	Q, and ac	cess fror	m the parking lot		ESTIMATED PROJECT COS' Construction Cost Pre-Design/Planning Design Construction Management Inspection/Permits Project Management/Admin. Project Contingency Estimated Total Proj. Cost	TS: \$ 1,066,400 \$ 10,664 \$ 127,968 \$ 74,648 \$ 21,328 \$ 63,984 \$ 74,648 \$ 1,439,640
							,	
Project Type:	Buildings Parks Fields Playgrounds	Existin □ □ □ □	Grant Bond N	e Fundin Measure al Fund	g Sources: (Check all tha	at applie	Increase (Decrease) in cost pour for Operations & Maintenance	
CRITER	RIA: The Project will/h	as				-	Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
	TY OR HEALTH RISK						Maximum 25 pts.	
Safety:	Correct conditions that	t are safety	and code	deficienc	ies (e.g. seismic upgrade	e)	10 points	10
Health:	Remediate environme	ntal health f	azard (e.g	j. lead co	ontamination, asbestos			
abateme	ent.etc.) : Insure access to perso	one with die	hilities				5 points 5 points	+
Security gate, etc	Provide safety and s	ecurity of th	e property	and the	users (e.g. site lighting, f	fencing,		
	TAL PTS							20
				•				
	E AND PROGRAM SEI		r services	for an ur	nderserved neighborhood	1	Maximum 17 pts.	·
populati	on					•	10 points	10
	will improve or expand						6 points	
	will improve or expand TAL PTS	programs o	r services	for the ci	ity-wide community		1 point	
50810	TALPIS				-			17
	IVE OPPORTUNITIES				_	_	Maximum 10 pts.	
	new collaborative prog						5 points	
	new collaborative prog	rams with n	on-profit o	rganizati	ions.		5 points	5
30810	IALPIS							10
	ND MAINTENANCE E				_		Maximum 20 pts.	
	major repairs/improver						10 points	10
	minor repairs and/or prements are expected to						5 points 5 points	
Improve	ements are expected to	generate in	creased re	evenues	for the City	_	5 points	
	TAL PTS	<u> </u>						10
								
	OF EXISTING RESOU e cultural/historical/nate						Maximum 15 pts. 10 points	0
-	e/enhance cultural/histo			s			4 points	
	new cultural/historical/n						1 points	
SUBTO	TAL PTS							0
DDO ICOT EUR	IDINO STATUS						Marian 404.	
	IDING STATUS ject funding available, o	or					Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	
	n 50% to 100% project		ole, or				5 points	
Funds a	available up to 50% of p				-		2 points	0
No fund							0 points	
SUBIO	TAL PTS							0

Park Capital Improvement Project Project Prioritization Evaluation System

DEPT: Office of F	Parks and Recreation	.	Da	ate: <u>10</u>	0/27/2006	Prepared b	y PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT	MN)	
Final Ranking No	. 1	Project	Name:	T:	assafaronga Rec	Center	Total Points		69
· man ramang	· 						(Total Points Available - 97)	<u>!</u>	
	E DESCRIPTION:						7		
	ccommodate program	is and gene	ral site ir	mprov	rements		ESTIMATED PROJECT COST		6 E00
* Enlarge kitchen	ı oor due to water dama	nne					Pre-Design/Planning	\$ 2,32	3.266
	program to accommod		ina neiat	hborh	ood (new housing))	Design		9,192
	room, larger open-spa				(**********************************	,	Construction Management		2,862
	ty and site control for s		h entry m	nodific	ations and office I	location	Inspection/Permits		6,532
* Provide outdoo	r gathering area with c	ontrolled a	ccess to	housi	ng property		Project Management/Admin.		9,596
							Project Contingency		2,862
							_Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 3,14	0,908
Project Type:		Existing	ı Availah	ile Fur	ndina Sources: (C	heck all that appli	e Increase (Decrease) in cost p	er annum	
<u> </u>	Buildings		Grant		10.113 000. 10		for Operations & Maintenance		2,000
	Parks		Bond	Meas	ure		·		
	Fields		Gene	ral Fu	nd				
	Playgrounds		Other	: _	_ _		_		
Instruction:									
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/has						Max. Points Available	Rating/P	oints
				7					
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK						Maximum 25 pts.	-	
Safety: C	orrect conditions that a	are safety s	and code	defici	iencies (e a seisn	nic ungrade)	10 points		10
Health: R	emediate environment	taí health h	azard (e.	g. lea	d contamination,	asbestos	To pointe	 	
abatemen			`				5 points		5
	sure access to persor						5 points		5
•	Provide safety and see	curity of the	propert	y and	the users (e.g. sit	e lighting, fencing			_
gate, etc.) SUBTOTA							5 points	_	5
SUBTUTE	AL PIS	_		-				<u> </u>	25
MAXIMIZE USE	AND PROGRAM SER	VICES					Maximum 17 pts.		ļ
Project wi	il improve or expand p	rograms or	services	s for a	n underserved ne	ighborhood			
population							10 points		10
	Il improve or expand p					16	6 points		6
SUBTOTA	It improve or expand p	rograms or	services	s for tr	ie city-wide comm	lunity	1 point		17
SUBTUTE	AL PIS								
COLLABORATIV	E OPPORTUNITIES						Maximum 10 pts.		
Provide no	ew collaborative progra	ams with o	ıtside pu	ıblic a	gencies (e.g. OUS	SD)	5 points		5
Provide n	ew collaborative progra	ams with no	on-profit	organ	izations.		5 points		5
SUBTOTA	AL PTS								10
OPERATION AN	D MAINTENANCE EF	FICIENCY					Maximum 20 pts.		
	ajor repairs/improvem		existing f	facility	, or	•	10 points		10
	inor repairs and/or pre					У	5 points		
	ents are expected to r						5 points		
	ents are expected to g	generate in	creased i	reveni	ues for the City		5 points		5
SUBTOTA	AL PTS	,							15
PROTECTION OF	F EXISTING RESOUR	CES					Maximum 15 pts.		
	cultural/historical/natur		es				10 points		
Improve/e	nhance cultural/histori	ical/natural	resource	es			4 points		
	w cultural/historical/na	tural resou	rces				1 points		
SUBTOTA	AL PTS								0
PROJECT FUND	ING STATUS						Maximum 10 pts.		
	ot funding available, or						Maximum 10 pts. 10 points		
	50% to 100% project fu		le. or			<u> </u>	5 points		\neg
	ailable up to 50% of pro					 	2 points		2
No fundin							0 points		
SUBTOTA	AL PTS								2

ltem: _____ Life Enrichment Committee

DEPT:		Date	e: <u>5/2/2007</u>	Prepared by PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	
Final Ranking	No	Project Name:	Tot Lot Resurfacing	Total Points	37
DPO IECT SC	OPE DESCRIPTION:			(Total Points Available - 97)	
	replace out-dated tot lot su	rfaces with new rubberized	safety surfaces	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	Γ <u>S:</u>
				Construction Cost	
!				Pre-Design/Planning	\$ -
İ				Design	\$ -
				Construction Management	\$ -
ŀ				Inspection	\$ -
]				Project Management/Admin.	\$ -
				Project Contingency	<u>\$</u> -
				Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1,748,000
Project Type:		Evisting Available	Funding Sources: (Check all tha	at applies) Increase (Decrease) in cost pe	r annum
<u>FTOJECT TYPE.</u>	Buildings	Existing Available ■ Grant	Turiding Octaioes, (Officer air tile	for Operations & Maintenance	
	Parks	☐ Bond M	laacura	ioi operations a Maintenance	Ψ 01,100
	Fields	☐ Genera		/* O 0 1	
	Playgrounds	☐ Other:	i i una	(* O&M costs based on 5% of est	
Instruction:	riaygiounus	U Other.		construction cost. Final O&M cos final project scope)	t is dependent on
COUT	DIA The Desire Available		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	May Caista Available	Dating/Dainta
CRITE	RIA: The Project will/has			Max. Points Available	Rating/Points
PUBLIC SAF	ETY OR HEALTH RISK	<u></u>		Maximum 25 pts.	
C-4-L			-ine (o. o. o.oioonioaevado)	10 mainta	4.0
Sarety	: Correct conditions that are	e safety and code deficient	des (e.g. seismic upgrade)	10 points	10
Health	: Remediate environmental	l health hazard (e.g. lead o	contamination, asbestos abateme	ent.etc.) 5 points	0
	s: Insure access to persons			5 points	5
Coour	hr. Deside entate and con-	site of the property and the	users (e.g. site lighting, fencing,	gate, etc.) 5 points	,
	OTAL PTS	rity of the property and the	users (e.g. site lighting, tenting,	, gare, etc.) 5 points	15
3081	OTALF13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MAXIMIZE U	SE AND PROGRAM SERV	ICES		Maximum 17 pts.	
				-strain	40
			nderserved neighborhood popula		
	t will improve or expand pro			6 points	
	t will improve or expand pro	grams or services for the o	sity-wide community	1 point	
SOBI	OTAL PTS				10
COLLABORA	TIVE OPPORTUNITIES			Maximum 10 pts.	
Provid	e new collaborative progran	ns with outside public ager	ncies (e.g. OUSD)	5 points	0
	e new collaborative program			5 points	Ö
SUBT	OTAL PTS	····			0
	AND MAINTENANCE EFF			Maximum 20 pts.	
	e major repairs/improvemer			10 points	
	e minor repairs and/or preve			5 points	
improv	vements are expected to red	uce on-going maintenanc	e costs	5 points	5
	vements are expected to ger OTAL PTS	nerate increased revenues	for the City	5 points	
SUB1	UIALPIS		<u></u>		10
PROTECTION	N OF EXISTING RESOURCE	ES		Maximum 15 pts.	
Prese	ve cultural/historical/natural	resources		10 points	O
Improv	/e/enhance cultural/historica	I/natural resources		4 points	0
Create	new cultural/historical/natu	ral resources		1 points	0
SUBT	OTAL PTS				0
DDO ICCT C	INDING STATUS			M	
	JNDING STATUS oject funding available, or			Maximum 10 pts. 10 points	0
	en 50% to 100% project fun	d available or		5 points	0
	available up to 50% of project			2 points	2
No fur		Joe vool, VI		2 points 0 points	· 2
	OTAL PTS			o points	
	<u> </u>				

DEPT: Office of P	Parks and Recreation	1	Date	e: 10/27/2006	Prepared b	y PWA/OPR/Consultants (WRT)	
Final Ranking No.	17	Project Na	me:	William Wood	Dog Park	_ Total Points (Total Points Available - 97)		21
	E DESCRIPTION:					(10ta) 1 0mto 11tanabio 01)		
Provide a new dog	g park.					ESTIMATED PROJECT COS	<u>T\$:</u>	
Į.						Construction Cost	\$	969,456
						Pre-Design/Planning	\$	9,695
						Design	\$	116,335
						Construction Management	\$	67,862
ļ						Inspection/Permits	\$	19,389
						Project Management/Admin.	\$	58,167
						Project Contingency	\$	67,862
						Estimated Total Proj. Cost	\$ 1	,308,766
Project Type:		Existina Av	vailable	Funding Source:	s: (Check all that appli	<u>e</u> Increase (Decrease) in cost p	er ann	um
	Buildings		Grant	A T Griding Goding	A COMOUNT OF THE CAPP.	for Operations & Maintenance		
	Parks		-	/leasure		Tor Operations & manner.	<i>'</i> —	11100
•	Fields		Genera					
	Playgrounds		Other:	a Fund				
Instruction:	Playgrounds		Julei.			-		
					<u> </u>	A for the Armena la	77 -Alm	(m-1-4a
CRITERIA	A: The Project will/h	as				Max. Points Available	Ratin	ng/Points
PUBLIC SAFETY	OR HEALTH RISK				<u> </u>	Maximum 25 pts.		
Safety: Cr	orrect conditions that	it are safety and	code ¢	ieficiencies (e.g. f	seismic upgrade)	10 points	5	
Health: Re	emediate environme	ntal health haza	rd (e g	lead contaminati	on, asbestos			
abatement		. 105 41 - 130	 –			5 points	_	
	sure access to perso			111	9 9 1 0 k t	5 points	<u> </u>	5
•		security of the pre	орепу	and the users (e.g	g. site lighting, fencing			<u></u>
gate, etc.)						5 points	;[5
SUBTOTA	L PTS				<u> </u>		Ь	10
MAXIMIZE USE #	AND PROGRAM SEI	RVICES				Maximum 17 pts.		-
Project will	Il improve or expand		vices f	for an underserve	d neighborhood			
population				t at siste youth		10 points		5
	Il improve or expand					6 points		
	Il improve or expand	programs or ser	vices i	for the city-wide co	ommunity	1 point	<u> </u>	1
SUBTOTA	L PTS						<u> </u>	6
COLLABORATIV	'E OPPORTUNITIES	.				Maximum 10 pts.	-	1
	ew collaborative prog		de pubi	lic agencies (e.g.	OUSD)	5 points		
	ew collaborative prog					5 points		
SUBTOTA		name		94		= F 5	1	0.
	D MAINTENANCE E		-	,	 _	Maximum 20 pts.		
	ajor repairs/improver					10 points		
	inor repairs and/or pr				acility	5 points		5
	ents are expected to					5 points		
	ents are expected to	generate increa	ased re	venues for the Ci	ty	5 points	i	_
SUBTOTA	IL PTS			<u> </u>				5
PROTECTION OF	F EXISTING RESOU	IBCEQ				Maximum 15 pts.		
	cultural/historical/nati					Maximum 15 pts.		
	enhance cultural/histo		ources			4 points		
	w cultural/historical/n					1 points		
SUBTOTA							 	. 0
	THE STATUS					\$4		
PROJECT FUNDI						Maximum 10 pts.		
	t funding available, c		_			10 points		
	50% to 100% project		or			5 points		
	ailable up to 50% of p	project cost, or				2 points		
No funding SUBTOTA						0 points	-	
SUBILITA	AL PIS						•	0

Park Prioritization Summary List of Capital Improvement Projects for Prioritization Ranking

*Denotes existing CIP projects added to Park Prioritization process. Projects with strikethrough fonts are projects no longer with funding shortage.

Council District	Project Name	Project Description	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Available	Additional Funding Needed
City- wide	* Leveling Playing Fields	Improve/upgrade seven existing playing fields throughout the city for Girl's Softball program use.	 Prop. 40 Youth Soccer & Rec. Grant Raiders Surcharge Fund 	\$1,164,000	\$ 1,500,000
City- wide	* Tot Lot Re- surfacing	Renovate and replace out-dated tot lot surfaces with new rubberized safety surfaces.	Prop. 12 Per Capita Block Grant	\$148,000	\$ 1,600,000
City- wide	Watershed Preservation/Restora tion/Acquisition	Measure DD Bond – Oakland Trust for Clean Water and Safe Parks program (various creeks & watersheds)	Measure DD	\$10,000,000	\$ 7,700,000
At Large	Carter Middle School	Convert school site to community park and/or sport field.	None	None	\$ 3,005,298
At Large	Chinese Garden	Access, irrigation & landscape improvements.	None	None	\$ 1,289,790

May 2007

Page 1 of 8

Council District	Project Name	Project Description	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Available	Additional Funding Needed
1	Bushrod Park - General Improvements	 Provide park improvements to include elements of: Running track at upper Bushrod Fields Possible dog park within park space at transition between upper and lower field HC accessible path at Shattuck entry Path improvement from Tennis Ct. to Community Center Landscaping, picnic area, tree planting, plaza outside or adjacent to Community Center Coffee kiosk along Shattuck Entry. 	None	None	\$ 2,802,125
1	Bushrod Park - Soccer Field (former Washington Elem. School)	Create a new synthetic-turf soccer field with proper drainage. Joint use site on OUSD property.	None	None	\$ 3,225,150
1	Caldecott Trail to Skyline Blvd.	Improve existing trail from North Oakland Sports Field to Skyline Blvd.	None	None	\$ 1,405,730
2	Clinton Park	Update community master plan and implement improvements.	None	None	\$ 1,825,572
2	Clinton Park Tot Lot	Provide funding to complete additional play equipment.	Prop. 40 RZH Grant Workforce Housing Grant	\$275,000	\$138,000
2	* Lincoln Square Park	Master park plan improvements for new synthetic turf play area, new tot lot, site access, and basketball courts.	None	None	\$ 2,235,600
2	Madison Square Park	Develop conceptual long-term park plan and improvements.	None	None	\$ 2,818,370

May 2007

Page 2 of 8

Council District	Project Name	Project Description	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Available	Additional Funding Needed
2	Morcom Rose Garden	Improve drainage system, repair PWA era rock wall, and enhance site lighting. And formalize community developed plan for implementation. Address security and visibility of the garden.	None	None	\$ 1,988,710
2, 3	* Lake Merritt and Lake Merritt Channel Projects	Measure DD Bond – Oakland Trust for Clean Water and Safe Parks program. (Evaluate the program as a whole) On-going projects: • 7th Street Flood Control Station • 10th Street Bridge • 12th Street Improvement • Children's Fairyland (independent managed by non-profit org.) • Cleveland Cascade • E 18th St. Pier Restoration • Lakeshore Pergola/Colonnade (proj. complete) • LM - Lakeside Park Central Irrigation Control (proj. in construc) • LM El Embarcadero & Lakeshore Ave. Improvements • Municipal Boathouse (Phase 1 proj. in construc.) • Sailboat House • Snow Park • Other Channel/Shoreline Imp. • LM Pathway Improvement	Measure DD	\$ 115,250,000	\$ 52,000,000

May 2007

Page 3 of 8

Council Project Name Project Description District	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Available	Additional Funding Needed
	• Measure DD	\$ 53,000,000	\$ 53,000,000

May 2007

Page 4 of 8

Council District	Project Name	Project Description	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Available	Additional Funding Needed
3	* 25 th Street Mini Park	Park renovation to provide a new tot lot for a small urban park.	None	None	\$ 435,000
3	Durant Park - Urban Mini Park	Park renovation to improve existing play area surface, provide visibility,	None	None	\$ 479,736
3	Jefferson Square Park	Demolition of (e) storage bldg. Conflict in desired park use between community open space vs. skate park interest. OPR scope to combine community desired elements and skateboarder interests. Keep existing ball field, upgrade/replace existing tot lot, continue to have a basketball court, add new restrooms, and provide a skate-park on part of the park property.		None	\$ 2,131,569
3	Moss House	Architectural rehabilitation of existing house for accessibility and program usability at ground floor.	None	None	\$ 1,732,320

May 2007

Page 5 of 8

Council District	Project Name	Project Description	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Available	Additional Funding Needed
3	* Raimondi Park (Project partner FOPR)	Phase One (\$7.64 million) - Park improvements for soccer field, baseball field, field lighting and fencing, putting green, children's play area, ADA improvements for bldg. and site access, and limited paving, landscaping and site work. Phase Two (\$3.5 million) - Perimeter park right-of-way improvements, site work and community elements (turf, picnic area, lighting, landscape, irrigation) Phase Three (\$1 million) New support building for after-school programs and athletic programs.	 Prop. 40 MH Grant CIWMB Recycled Rubber Surf. Friends of Parks & Rec. Grants Measure K Ser. D bond ADA Transition Plan Fund 	\$2,900,000 (City) \$740,000 (FOPR)	\$ 8,500,000
4	Brookdale Park	Council Office's preliminary survey calls for children's play areas, add'l basketball court, picnic and gathering spaces, security lighting and access.	None	None	\$ 2,079,594
4	Dimond Park	Entry way improvements for ADA accessibility.	None	None	\$ 726,840
4	Montclair Park	Provide an accessible path from Mountain Road (Montclair School) to Park area and from Albertsons (Moraga Rd.) to the park area.	None	None	\$ 1,644,410
5	2496 Coolidge Ave (Peralta Hacienda Historical Park)	Renovation of an existing building for partial public access and storage use.	None	None	\$ 762,480

May 2007

Page 6 of 8

Council District	Project Name	Project Description	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Available	Additional Funding Needed
5	Josie De La Cruz Park – Synthetic Turf	Convert existing lawn to synthetic turf field for multi-purpose use.	None	None	\$ 625,536
5	Peralta Hacienda Historical Park	Master park plan improvements for "Historic Core" phase of the project.	• Prop. 40 MH Grant • Comm. Dev. Block Grant (CDBG)	\$ 814,3000	\$ 5,000,000
5	William Wood Park	Provide a new dog park.	None	None	\$ 1,308,766
6	Carter Gilmore Sports Complex (formerly Greenman)	Renovations to site with upgrades to the existing baseball fields including the installation of new drainage, irrigation, and turf.	State Grants, Measure I, Measure K, Redevelopment Funds	\$ 1,562,000 Fully Funded	\$ 800,000
6	City Stables	Provide conceptual plan to maintain equestrian activities along with community park use elements, such as agriculture/gardening area, nature study and educational centers, potential retreat facilities, picnic areas, children's play areas, etc.	Prop. 12 RZH Grant	\$ 400,000	\$ 17,522,869
6	Leona Lodge Upgrade	Update/upgrade existing facility for ADA access and expansion of existing restroom facilities. Architectural remodeling and seismic upgrade.	None	None	\$ 1,424,153
6	Rainbow Recreation Ctr. Expansion	Renovate and expand existing center for program use and ADA accessibility. Upgrade front entrance, replace windows (for energy efficiency) and create a computer lab room.	None	None	\$ 1,439,640

May 2007

Page 7 of 8

Council	Project Name	Project Description	Existing Fund Sources	Current Funding Available	Additional
District 7	* East Oakland Sports Complex	New sports/recreation complex.	Measure DD Measure I Prop. 40 Grant	\$ 16,000,000	Funding Needed \$ 37,000,000 (to be confirmed)
7	Glen Daniel King Estates Trails	Trail improvements and assoc. drainage improvements.	None	None	\$ 1,965,490
7	Officer Willie Wilkins (Elmhurst) Park	Renovation to park for better visibility, user- friendliness, relocate and add elements within the park to meet community needs. Possibly adding par course, fitness equipment, track course, new play equipment and upgrade or replace (e) restrooms.	None	None	\$ 2,520,894
7	Sheffield Village Community Center	Tenant improvements to the existing building to comply with ADA regulations, and to expand and renovate the facility.	ADA funds, Pay-go funds, Stop Waste-Org grant	\$400,000 Fully Funded	\$ 100,000
7	Tassafaronga Rec. Center	Rec. Center upgrades to include enlarging kitchen; replace gym floor due to water damage; expand facility/program to accommodate changing neighborhood need for youth activities, larger open-space rooms; upgrade entry for visibility.	Redevelopment Agency	TBD	\$ 3,140,908

May 2007

Page 8 of 8