

**CITY OF OAKLAND  
MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Rules & Legislation Committee  
**ATTN:** Assistant to the City Manager  
**FROM:** Name : Councilmember Larry Reid  
Phone No : 238-7007

**DATE:** 1/28/04

**SUBJECT:** REQUEST TO SCHEDULE AGENDA ITEM

---

**TITLE:** A RESOLUTION TO SUPPORT REGIONAL MEASURE 2 TRAFFIC CONGESTION RELIEF PLAN ON THE ALAMEDA COUNTY MARCH 2, 2004 BALLOT WITH A 5 MINUTE PRESENTATION.

**SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION:**

A. Committee \_\_\_  
(Please specify Committee. Committees meet 2<sup>nd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> Tuesdays)

City Council                      Redevelopment Agency  
(Council/Agency meets on 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 5<sup>th</sup> Tuesdays)

B. Meeting Date: **2/17/04**

Is there a statutory, regulatory, financial or grant deadline? **NO** Specify:

Is a staff report required/requested? **NO**

What is the fiscal impact on the City/Agency? **NONE**

If the ten-day (Sunshine Ordinance) agenda deadline cannot be met, please indicate reason:

\_\_\_ Item constitutes an "emergency" (Crippling disaster, work stoppage or other activity which severely impacts public health and/or safety)

\_\_\_ It was not reasonably possible to place the proposed item on the two-week agenda AND any of the following exist:

\_\_\_ Item requires immediate action to avoid a substantial adverse impact if action is deferred to a subsequent special or regular meeting;

\_\_\_ Item requires immediate action relating to federal or state legislation;

\_\_\_ Item requires immediate action relating to eligibility for a grant or gift; OR

\_\_\_ Item is ceremonial.

Explain why item could not have been placed on the 10-day agenda:

04-0114

# OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION No. \_\_\_\_\_ C.M.S.

# DRAFT

**A RESOLUTION TO SUPPORT REGIONAL MEASURE 2 TRAFFIC CONGESTION RELIEF PLAN ON THE ALAMEDA COUNTY MARCH 2, 2004 BALLOT.**

**WHEREAS**, regional traffic is expected to increase 250% by 2020; and

**WHEREAS**, commuters are prevented from maximizing public transportation due to inconvenient connections between transit operators; and

**WHEREAS**, those commuters forced to drive alone on our bridges and freeways cause significant traffic congestion and air pollution; and

**WHEREAS**, residential growth into suburban areas without regional transit services creates a greater strain on our freeways; and

**WHEREAS**, additional federal, state and local funding for transit improvements and expansion is getting more and more scarce; and

**WHEREAS**, Regional Measure 2 Traffic Congestion Relief Plan on the Alameda County March 2, 2004 ballot was created to help reduce traffic congestion on Bay Area bridges and their freeway connectors; develop a regional transit system for the Bay Area by investing in mass transit programs that have a demonstrated ability to get people out of their cars; and create seamless connections between BART, commuter rail, ferries, and express buses to make using mass transit convenient and reliable; and

**WHEREAS**, the Regional Measure 2 Traffic Congestion Relief Plan would be funded through an additional \$1 toll on the region's seven state-owned bridges (not including the Golden Gate); and

**WHEREAS**, Regional Measure 2 would fund new terminals, infrastructure and routes to make it more convenient to connect to BART, improve corridors on Interstate-580, and connect BART to the Oakland Airport; and

**WHEREAS**, all new bridge toll revenue generated by Regional Measure 2 may only be used for the benefit of the projects detailed in the Expenditure Plan; and now, therefore, be it

**RESOLVED**, the City of Oakland supports Regional Measure 2 on the March 2, 2004 ballot.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_

**PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:**

AYES- BROOKS, BRUNNER, CHANG, NADEL, QUAN, REID, WAN and PRESIDENT DE LA FUENTE

NOES-

ABSENT-

ABSTENTION-

4

04-0114

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_

CEDA FLOYD  
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council  
of the City of Oakland, California