

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

2017 JAN 19 PM 1: 06

MEMORANDUM

EST TPA 1998

To:

Mayor Libby Schaaf

Council President Larry Reid and Members of the City Council

From:

Townsend Public Affairs, Inc.

Date:

January 18, 2017

Subject: Ongoing update of the 2017-2018 State & Federal Budgets

State Budget

As we reported, on the morning of January 10th the Governor released his proposed budget for the 2017-18 Fiscal Year. The complete budget proposal released by the Administration can be found at http://www.ebudget.ca.gov/. The proposed budget anticipates that the overall state economy, which has been growing steadily for the last several years, will grow at a much slower pace in the upcoming year. According to the Administration's forecast, if corrective action is not taken, the FY 2017-18 budget would have a \$1.6 billion budget deficit, as well as deficits of \$1 to \$2 billion in the following three budgets. Overall, the Governor's January Budget proposes General Fund spending of \$122.5 billion in FY 2017-18, which is \$200 million lower than FY 2016-17.

In order to address the potential budget deficit in FY 2017-18, the Administration is proposing \$3.2 billion in corrective actions. If the Legislature adopts these actions, the budget will contain a \$1.6 billion reserve in FY 2017-18 and will be balanced into the future. The key components of the corrective actions proposed by the Administration include:

- Adjust the spending level of Proposition 98 The Proposition 98 funding level is determined based on the level of State revenue and the Governors' budget proposes funding only the minimum Proposition 98 guarantee. This action, while still resulting in an overall growth in Proposition 98 spending, will result in \$1.7 billion in savings in FY 2017-18.
- Recapture unspent one-time funds The FY 2016-17 budget contained significant levels of one-time spending, and many of these one-time allocations have not yet been spent. The Administration proposes savings of \$900 million by recapturing some of these one-time allocations. The two largest of these allocations is the \$400 million in affordable housing money that was to accompany the Governor's "by-right" housing proposal and the \$300 million that was allocated for modernization of state office buildings in Sacramento.
- Limit spending growth The Governor's budget proposal calls for limits on spending for programs that would otherwise be funded if the General Fund was in a stronger position. The Administration anticipates that it can save \$600 million by limiting spending on



programs. The Governor is proposing to pause rate increases for child care, as well as to phase out the Middle Class Scholarship program by not extending the scholarship to any new students.

In addition to the corrective actions outlined above, the Governor's January Budget proposal contains numerous policy proposals and funding adjustments. A few brief items to highlight include:

• Cap and Trade – The Governor's January Budget proposes spending \$2.2 billion in cap and trade proceeds on various programs. However, the Administration acknowledges that the cap and trade auction proceeds for the past several auctions have been well below forecasted levels. The Administration believes that this, in part, is due to the uncertainty surrounding the future of the cap and trade program. In order to address this issue, the Administration will be putting forth legislation, which will require a 2/3 vote, to extend the cap and trade program beyond its current 2020 sunset date. The Administration has indicated that it will not appropriate the \$2.2 billion in proposed cap and trade spending until the Legislature has acted on the cap and trade extension legislation.

As you'll recall, last year, after consecutive auctions of over \$500 million, the State experienced two auctions of \$10 million and \$8 million. In November, auction proceeds of \$364 million were realized, and the Administration is cautiously optimistic of a continued upward trend.

The Governor is proposing a total of \$2.2 billion next year as part of the cap and trade investment plan. However, in order for the funds to be included in the budget, the Legislature must take action to extend the authorization of the cap and trade program beyond 2020. This is an action requiring a 2/3 vote of the Legislature—something they weren't able to do last year.

The Governor's proposed expenditure plan is summarized below:

2017-18 Cap and Trade Expenditure Plan (Millions of \$)					
Investment Category	Department	Program	Amount		
Continuous Appropriation	High-Speed Rail Authority	High-Speed Rail Project	\$375		
	State Transit Assistance	Low Carbon Transit Operation			
	Transportation Agency	Transit and Intercity Rail Capital	\$150		
	Strategic Growth Council	Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities	\$300		
Transportation Package	Transportation Agency	Transit and Intercity Rail Capital	\$500		
	Caltrans	Active Transportation			
50 Percent Reduction in Petroleum Use	Air Resources Board	Low Carbon Transportation	\$363		
Transformational Climate Communities	e Strategic Growth Council Communities		\$142		



Short-Lived	Air Resources Board	Black Carbon Woodsmoke	
Climate	Cal Recycle	Waste Diversion	\$95
Pollutants	Food and Agriculture	Dairy Digesters	
2010年 外國國 医侧侧线 医二十二十二	CalFIRE	Healthy Forests/Urban Forestry	g in a state of the second
Carbon	Food and Agriculture	Climate Smart Agriculture	\$127.5
Sequestration	Natural Resources Agency	Urban Greening	
Energy Efficient/	Community Services and Development	Energy Efficiency Upgrades/ Weatherization	07 E
Renewable Energy	Food and Agriculture	State Water Efficiency and	\$27.5
		Enhancement Program	
Total			\$2,155

Transportation Infrastructure – As was the case last year, the Governor has included his transportation infrastructure funding proposal in the proposed FY 2017-18 budget. The Governor's proposal, which would generate approximately \$4.2 billion annually, is centered on a new \$65 vehicle registration fee, an increase in the State's gas tax, an increase in the State's diesel gasoline tax, cap and trade funding, and efficiencies within Caltrans. If adopted, the Governor's transportation infrastructure proposal would result in \$1.8 billion in new funding in FY 2017-18, which would then grow to \$4.2 billion in the coming years.

The Governor is proposing substantially the same transportation funding proposal from last year's budget proposal, totaling roughly \$4.2 billion per year, or almost \$43 billion over the next decade. Late this afternoon, the Fix Our Roads Coalition released a statement asking for the proposal to be increased to \$6 billion per year, more in line with what the Legislature is proposing. The proposal includes the following funding sources:

- \$2.1 billion from a Road Improvement Charge of \$65 on all vehicles (including hybrid and electric vehicles)
- \$1.1 billion from resetting the gasoline excise tax at 21.5 cents per gallon and then adjusting annually for inflation
- \$425 million from increasing the diesel excise tax by 11 cents per gallon
- \$500 million from additional cap and trade revenues
- \$100 million from efficiencies at Caltrans
- \$706 million in loan repayments of transportation funds

The table below summarizes how the Governor proposes to use the funding, both in the next fiscal year, as well as annually thereafter:



Governor's Transportation Package (Millions of \$)				
Investment Category	Program	2017-18 Amount	Annualized Amount	
	Active Transportation Program	\$100	\$100	
Local Streets and Roads/Partnership	Local Road Maintenance & Repairs	\$206	\$1,163	
	Local Partnership Grants	\$0	\$250	
Programs	SB 375 Local Planning Grants	\$25	\$25	
	Corridor Mobility Program	\$275	\$275	
Transit	Transit Capital	\$485	\$400	
04-4- 11:-1	Pavement	\$129	\$997	
State Highway	Bridges and Culverts	\$91	\$554	
Repair and Maintenance	Traffic Management Systems	\$12	\$100	
	Maintenance	\$120	\$120	
Trade Corridors	Improved Goods Movement	\$358	\$250	
Total		\$1,801	\$4,234	

- Affordable Housing The Governor's budget proposal does not contain any new specific proposals to address the State's housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing; however, the budget does indicate that the Administration would like to work with the Legislature to address the issue. Additionally, the Governor's budget proposal contains policy principals that the Administration will follow when working with the Legislature on affordable housing legislation. These principals include working to streamline housing construction by reducing local barriers, reducing permit and construction policies that drive up per-unit costs, providing funding incentives for localities that develop and build housing, increasing the enforcement of current state laws that govern affordable housing, and providing that any solution must not have an impact on the General Fund.
- Federal Uncertainties The Governor's Budget acknowledges that there are numerous uncertainties that accompany the change in Administration in Washington DC. These uncertainties could have a significant impact on California and the State budget. For example, the FY 2017-18 budget contains \$800 million for the State's portion of Medi-Cal expansion from the Affordable Care Act. This \$800 million assumes that the federal government will continue to provide a 95% funding level, as was set forth in the ACA. Should Congress act in a way that modifies the funding provisions of the ACA, then California could be responsible for a significantly higher level of funding. The Governor's budget acknowledges these federal uncertainties, but given that the President-Elect has not even been sworn in to office yet, does not include specific provisions to deal with these uncertainties. Instead, Governor Brown has indicated that he will wait until the May Revise to evaluate what actions, if any, have been taken in Washington DC, and how those may impact the State budget.

Now that the Governor has released his proposed budget, the Legislature will begin the task of gathering information and evaluating the proposals contained within the Governor's budget. The Assembly and Senate Budget committees will conduct informational hearings where the



Department of Finance will provide an overview of the proposed budget. Afterwards, the Assembly and Senate Budget Sub-committees will hold a series of hearings over the coming weeks to evaluate specific proposals within the budget. In mid-May the Governor will introduce his May Revise, which will contain updated revenue and expenditure forecasts for the Legislature to evaluate and act on by June 15th.

Federal Budget & Appropriations Overview

- At the federal level, the **budget** is a non-binding, broad outline of spending that is recommended but not required, which is followed by appropriations bills that fund all federal government agencies.
- **Appropriations bills**, which fund the federal government, are traditionally adopted in twelve individual bills pertaining to the various federal departments and agencies. These bills may also advance in the form of a:
 - Continuing Resolution (CR): Extension of federal funding for a set amount of time at the same level as previously negotiated
 - o Omnibus: Full-year funding, all in one bill
 - o Minibus: Full-year funding, but for several departments at a time
- In a typical legislative year, Congress begins crafting their annual funding bills after the president submits his proposal in February, followed by appropriation committee hearings in early spring, appropriation bill mark-ups in late spring, floor debate and passage in summer, conference committee negotiations in early fall and final approval by the House and Senate before the September 30 end of the fiscal year.
- However, for the past several years, Congress has been unable to pass all twelve bills in time, and has relied on a series of CRs or omnibus bills.
- An omnibus (and sometimes minibuses) can be unwieldy and under-scrutinized compared
 to the individual twelve bills, but ultimately, they fund the government in the same way
 individual appropriation bills would.

Federal Budget

Fiscal 2018 Budget Resolutions: Congress plans to consider two budget resolutions that will both be subject to reconciliation rules, which bypass a Senate filibuster. Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-KY) has indicated that the fiscal 2017 budget resolution, which has already passed the House and Senate, will be used to put the Affordable Care Act repeal on a fast track, while the fiscal 2018 budget resolution will likely be used to set up a tax-code overhaul.

The budget blueprints must contain top-line discretionary spending levels for next year's appropriations bills, which means the resolutions will have consequences for the 11 remaining fiscal 2017 spending bills and all 12 fiscal 2018 spending bills.

Affordable Care Act Repeal: Congress has taken the first steps to repeal the Affordable Care Act, also known as Obamacare. Using a procedure known as budget reconciliation, which addresses federal budgetary issues and requires only a simple majority, the Senate passed legislation directing various committees to draft legislation to repeal and replace the Affordable Care Act by



January 27, 2017. The measure narrowly passed the Senate by a vote of 51 to 48, while the House passed the bill largely along party lines in a 227 to 198 vote. Budget resolutions are non-binding and do not have the force of law, and therefore do not require a presidential signature.

Legislation to fully repeal and replace Obamacare will require 60 votes in the Senate, enough to override a likely Democratic filibuster. But with only 52 Senate Republicans, it remains unclear how the majority party intends to win over sufficient Democratic support necessary to advance such legislation. In the face of new polling numbers that show only 18% of Americans support a full repeal of Obamacare, Congressional Republicans continue to struggle to find consensus on an alternative which preserves popular parts of the law while reducing the cost to implement it. Despite the rush to pass the rollback, Republicans say they plan to delay the date for its implementation by several years to give lawmakers time to craft an alternative and to make implementation an issue for the midterm elections.

Incoming President-elect Trump has promised to offer his own proposal, pledging that it will be "much less expensive and much better" and will provide "insurance for everybody." However, details of the plan, as well as how it will pass the Senate, remain murky.

Federal Appropriations

Congress's Fiscal Year 2016 ended on September 30, 2016. Instead of passing a bill to fund the government through the end of Fiscal Year 2017 (September 30, 2017), Congress passed a continuing resolution (CR) that funds all government programs through December 9, 2016 at the same levels as FY2016 funding. On the December 9 deadline, Congress passed a second CR to provide additional funding through April 28, 2017 and avert a government shutdown.

The new Congress has the difficult task of simultaneously finalizing spending plans for the remaining months of FY 2017 as well as full-year funding for FY 2018 over the next few months. The Trump Administration's and Congressional Republicans commitment to repeal and replace the Affordable Care Act will complicate matters, potentially delaying the FY 2018 budget and appropriations process. Additionally, transitioning in new staff at the Office of Budget and Management is expected to take several months, which is likely to further delay President-Elect Trump's FY 2018 budget proposal.

Congress will have to pass appropriations bills (or another CR) by April 28, 2017 to keep the government funded through the end of the federal fiscal year, September 30, 2017. Congress could pass another CR through the end of the fiscal year, but since Republican leadership will have the opportunity to revise program funding amounts to reflect Trump administration priorities, they will want to take advantage of the opportunity as soon as possible and will likely pass funding with adjusted spending levels. Among the possible Trump policy priorities that may emerge in the "must pass" appropriations bill is funding or legislative language aimed at developing a border wall between the United States and Mexico.

Compiling a FY 2017 omnibus or multiple minibuses by April 28 will involve pulling together language from all appropriations bills and attempting a wide-scale negotiation. Top-line amounts will be adjusted based on new negotiations, and to reflect the portion of the fiscal year already funded by the CR. The only exception to this is funding for veterans affairs programs and military construction projects; the appropriations bill governing these programs was the only one passed by both chambers and signed into law last year.

House Rules Package: On the Monday before the 115th Congress convened for the first time, House Republicans voted on a rules package that immediately caused controversy. One of the most controversial provisions is the reinstatement of the Holman rule, which allows Congress to eliminate individual positions or zero out individual salaries via appropriations bills. This has raised

concern that the Trump administration might target federal employees working in sectors it conflicts with, ideologically or politically.

Looking Ahead: President-elect Trump is required to publish his proposed budget in early February, but with the inauguration on January 20 and the administration just getting up to speed, the proposed budget could be delayed. Nevertheless, we can expect Congress to begin negotiating FY18 appropriations levels beginning in February or March.

Jan. 10, 2017 Issue #2

Governor Unveils Proposed FY 2017-18 Budget with \$1.6 Billion Deficit Projected

Proposal Includes \$4.3 Billion for Transportation but No New Funding for Affordable Housing

Volatility and prudence were the words of the day during Gov. Jerry Brown's annual January budget release press conference in Sacramento this morning. Similar to recent years, the Governor repeatedly cautioned about the dangers of overspending and the pending recession that will likely follow the last eight years of economic expansion. His words were stronger this year because after four years of balanced budgets with small budget surpluses, this one projects a \$1.6 billion deficit for the fiscal year that starts July 1. The proposed FY 2017-18 budget he unveiled includes \$122.5 billion General Fund and \$179.5 billion total spending.

State revenues are still growing and projected to grow in FY 2017-18 according to the Governor's budget proposal. However, the trajectory of that growth is declining and the budget lays out some tough choices to rein in spending in the face of the deficit and lower growth.

The proposed budget includes \$3.2 billion in budget solutions. The unappropriated \$400 million in the FY 2016-17 budget — set aside to be exchanged for approval of the by right proposal — is counted as savings. The state will also hold back from transferring \$300 million to modernize state buildings in FY 2017-18. The Proposition 98 constitutional guarantee for K-14 funding will be at its minimum. The Middle Class Scholarship program will not be extended to new students, rate increases for child care will not occur and a number of other spending proposals will not be funded.

California faced a \$27 billion deficit when Governor Brown assumed his third term of office in January 2011. The coming year's deficit is dramatically smaller, however, the Governor is worried that it can quickly grow if it is not immediately addressed. In the midst of the dire warnings, he showed his softer side in calling on the Legislature to "Save some biscuits for a rainy day" in honor of his beloved recently deceased First Dog Sutter Brown. To that end, the Governor stressed the importance of the state's Rainy Day Fund, which is projected to reach \$7.9 billion in FY 2017-18.

Several factors make state budgeting in California challenging. Although the state has the most progressive tax structure in the nation, that in turn results in more volatility because of the reliance on capital gains. Income, sales and corporation taxes comprise the "big three" revenue sources for California.

This year there is more uncertainty resulting from the impacts of unknown changes to be made by the incoming Trump Administration. The Governor's budget did not account for how the new president's policies will impact California, but he did say that his May Revise could be based on very different numbers depending on what happens between now and then. He used this portion of his remarks as another opportunity to remind the Legislature that California must be fiscally prudent and wise this year.

The Governor's proposed budget does reflect several of the League's <u>2017 strategic goals</u>. He reiterated his commitment to transportation funding by including a 10 year, almost \$43 billion transportation infrastructure investment plan. The funding goes to the entire system, state and local and transit. The <u>Fix Our Roads Coalition</u>, of which the League is a member, <u>issued a statement</u> early Tuesday afternoon commending the Governor for his continued engagement in crafting a sustainable transportation funding package while reinforcing the need for at least \$6 billion annually to stop the deterioration of the system.

California's affordable housing crisis and homelessness were both also addressed. Governor Brown spoke about the need to reduce the per unit affordable housing construction cost, currently averaging \$322,000 per unit. However, the League is disappointed that the Governor chose to emphasize the need to streamline the process and adjust requirements at the local level rather than provide much needed funding. The budget contains no new funding for affordable housing, and principles presented by the Governor for any funding negotiations include "No Impact to the General Fund."

On a positive note, the League-supported <u>No Place Like Home</u> program will be funded in FY 2017-18 to construct and rehabilitate permanent supportive housing for California's most chronically homeless mentally ill.

The Governor focused on the Cap-and-Trade program and wants to withhold any additional allocations until after the Legislature stabilizes the fund through a two-thirds vote. Early auctions generated significantly more revenues than those held in May and August of last year, which total produced approximately \$18 million. The last auction in November 2016 produced \$364 million.

Details on these and other budget areas of importance to cities are outlined below.

Transportation Funding

While the regular and special session on transportation funding came to a close last year without resolution, the Governor's new transportation funding proposal provides an increase to \$43 billion over the next 10 years.

Of the \$4.3 billion in new funding for transportation in FY 2017-18, \$1.16 billion goes to local streets and roads for maintenance and rehabilitation. Additionally, the Governor's proposal includes the following annual investments in the coming 10 years:

- \$100 million for the Active Transportation Program.
- \$25 million for sustainable (SB 375) transportation grants.
- \$270 million for Corridor Mobility Improvements on congested commute corridors.
- \$25 million for the freeway service patrol program.
- \$400 million for the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program.
- \$1.8 billion for highway repairs and maintenance on the state highway system.
- \$250 million for the state's major trade corridors.

These investments will be supported through the following revenue sources:

- \$2.1 billion Road Improvement Charge from \$65 fee on all vehicles, including electric and hybrid.
- \$1.1 billion from eliminating the annual adjustments to the gasoline excise tax and resetting the tax to the FY 2013-14 rate of 21.5 cents, adjusted annually for inflation.
- \$425 million from an 11 cent increase to the diesel excise tax, adjusted annually for inflation.
- \$500 million in additional cap and trade proceeds.
- \$100 million in Caltrans efficiencies.
- \$706 million in loan repayments.

The Governor's budget transportation proposal represents a smaller package than existing legislative proposals in AB 1 (Frazier) and SB 1 (Beall), which generate \$6 billion annually upon full implementation. With a commitment from this Legislature and Administration, the benefits of a comprehensive transportation funding proposal will far exceed the costs for Californians. These modest increases will help ease the costs drivers are already paying, an average of \$762 annually, to fix their vehicles due to poor road conditions.

The Governor's budget proposal assumes adoption of the proposal. Local streets and roads will continue to deteriorate without a new funding package.

Housing

The League was very disappointed to see that the Governor did not include a meaningful funding proposal for affordable housing. The Governor took it a step further in his proposal and clearly stated that the General Fund was completely off the table as a possible source of funding to help spur housing production, including affordable housing. Governor Brown also eliminated the \$400 million set aside in the 2016-17 Budget Act for affordable housing in exchange for approval of the by right proposal. The funds have not been appropriated because the Legislature has not acted on his proposal.

Instead of bringing forward a balanced proposal that comprises significant permanent housing funding and appropriate project streamlining, the Governor unveiled a list of housing policy principles that largely focus on "reforms" at the local level. These "reforms" could dramatically limit plan review, public input, impact fees, and tie housing production to unrelated infrastructure funding, such as much needed transportation dollars.

The Governor's Housing Policy Principles are as follows:

- Streamline Housing Construction. Reduce local barriers to limit delays and duplicative reviews, maximize the impact of all public investments, and temper rents through housing supply increases.
- Lower Per-Unit Costs. Reduce permit and construction policies that drive up unit costs.
- Production Incentives. Those jurisdictions that meet or exceed housing goals, including
 affordable housing, should be rewarded with funding and other regulatory benefits. Those
 jurisdictions that do not build enough to increase production should be encouraged by
 tying housing construction to other infrastructure-related investments.
- Accountability and Enforcement. Compliance with existing laws such as the housing element should be strengthened.
- No Impact to the General Fund. No new costs, or cost pressures, can be added to the state's General Fund, if new funding commitments are to be considered. Any permanent source of funding should be connected to these other reforms.

Curiously, given all of the recent debate in the Capitol about what has led to the rise in home prices, the Governor's "reform" principles make no mention of CEQA, prevailing wage, high land costs, market conditions, or a number of other factors that put pressure on housing costs.

Homelessness

The only new funding for housing or homelessness comes from the No Place Like Home Program, which allocates \$262 million for permanent supportive housing for persons who are eligible for services under Prop. 63 (2004) and are homeless, chronically homeless, or at risk of chronic homelessness. Grant guidelines are still being developed by the Department of Housing and Community Development, and grant approvals are not expected until 2018.

Workforce Development

The January Budget Proposal includes:

- \$248 million to expand career technical education courses through the Strong Workforce Program at California community colleges.
- \$500 million for the Adult Education Block Grant Program to support programs that assist adult learners with courses to complete high school diplomas, general education equivalent, and English as a Second Language courses.
- \$68 million for apprenticeship programs that offer a clear pathway to obtain classroom instruction and on-the-job training skills leading to gainful employment.
- A policy statement linking the importance of local libraries to workforce development. The state librarian will work with stakeholders to integrate libraries into the state's workforce strategy.

Cap-and-Trade

Governor Brown proposes legislation authorizing the state Air Resources Board (ARB) to administer Cap-and-Trade auctions beyond 2020. This proposal is meant to address volatility in auction revenues in 2016, which many speculate are the result of legal challenges to the validity

of the program beyond 2020. Governor Brown also announced that any appropriation of Capand-Trade funds is contingent on passage of the proposed legislation to extend the Cap-and-Trade authority.

Should this proposal receive the two-thirds it needs in both the Senate and Assembly, the budget proposes the following \$2.2 billion Cap-and-Trade Expenditure Plan:

Continuous Appropriation (60 percent of annual auction proceeds)

- \$375 million for the High-Speed Rail Project.
- \$75 million for Low Carbon Transit Operations.
- \$150 million for the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program.
- \$300 million for the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program.

One-time Appropriation (40 percent of annual auction proceeds)

- \$500 million to the Transportation Agency for Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program and to Caltrans for Active Transportation (See Transportation Funding section above).
- \$363 million for ARB's Low Carbon Transportation Program to provide incentives for low carbon freight and passenger transportation, including rebates for zero emission cars, vouchers for hybrid trucks and zero-emission trucks and other uses.
- \$142 million for the Strategic Growth Council to administer the Transformational Climate Communities Program as well as to provide technical assistance.
- \$95 million cumulatively to ARB for black carbon woodsmoke, to CalRecycle for waste diversion, and to the Department of Food and Agriculture for dairy digesters.
- \$127.5 million cumulatively to CALFIRE for healthy forests and urban forestry programs, to the Department of Food and Agriculture for Climate Smart Agriculture — Healthy Soils, and to the Natural Resources Agency for urban greening.
- \$27.5 million cumulatively to the Department of Community Services and Development for energy efficiency upgrades and weatherization and to the Department of Food and Agriculture for the State Water Efficiency and Enhancement Program.

Should the Governor's proposal not be approved, programs that receive a continuous appropriation will go forward but with significantly less funding. The programs that received one-time appropriations would not be funded in FY 2017-18.

Beverage Container Recycling Program Reform

In his budget, the Governor highlights the 30-year old Beverage Container Recycling Program as ripe for reform. Depending on the proposed reforms, cities could potentially lose funding that supported recycling programs at the local level. The League will monitor this issue closely as discussions develop.

Environmental Quality

Emergency Drought Response

The Governor's budget proposes an additional \$178.7 million in one-time funding to provide immediate responses to the drought. This budget assumes drought conditions continue, although the administration will continue to monitor drought conditions and make any necessary changes in the May revision to the January budget proposal. Appropriations are proposed in the categories below.

Protecting water supplies and water conservation:

- \$5 million to the Department of Water Resources (DWR) for local assistance to small communities for emergency drinking water.
- \$5.3 million to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) for water rights management.
- \$7 million to the DWR for drought management and response.
- \$2 million to the DWR for the Save Our Water campaign.

Emergency response for fire protection and tree mortality:

- \$91 million to CALFIRE for enhanced fire protection, including continuation of increase firefighter surge capacity, extended fire season, surge helicopter pilots, California Conservation Corps fire suppression crews, increased vehicle maintenance, and exclusive use of large and very large air tankers.
- \$52.7 million to the Office of Emergency Services (OES) for the California Disaster Assistance Act.
- \$4 million to OES for the State Operations Center.

Protecting Fish and Wildlife:

- \$8.2 million to the Department of Fish and Wildlife (DFW) for emergency fish rescues and monitoring.
- \$3.5 million to the DWR for implementation of the Delta Smelt Resiliency Strategy including aquatic week control, adaptive food management and distribution, and wetlands flood and drain operations.

California Water Action Plan

The Governor proposes several funding increases to further the goals of the 2014 California Water Action Plan. The proposed allocations below assume drought conditions in the state continue.

- \$248 million (Prop. 1 funding) to DWR for integrated regional water management projects that are regionally driven multi-benefit projects that help meet long-term water needs.
- \$1 million (Waste Discharge Permit Funds) and five new positions for the SWRCB, in coordination with the Department of Food and Agriculture, to address contamination of groundwater basins from agricultural practices.
- \$1.9 million (Prop. 1 funding) increase to DFW for the Water Investment Storage Program for initial outreach and technical review of the ecosystem benefits of the water storage project proposals submitted to the California Water Commission.
- \$2.3 million increase (Water Rights Fund) for five new positions at the SWRCB and \$1.5 million in contract funds to enforce reporting requirements and protect local groundwater resources beginning in July 1, 2017. The proposal targets high or medium-priority groundwater basins that fail to form local governance structures, as required by the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA).

Department of Fish and Wildlife

For the Fish and Game Preservation Fund to fully support the commercial fishing program, the budget proposes an increase of \$12.3 million from commercial fish landing fees and redirecting \$10.6 million on a one-time basis from the Lifetime License Account.

Department of Parks and Recreation

In recent years, the Department of Parks and Recreation has undertaken reform and innovation efforts, although the administration notes that the Department has long-term structural shortfalls. The budget proposes a one-time increase of \$12.6 million from the State Parks and Recreation Fund and \$4 million from the Environmental License Plate Fund to maintain existing service levels at state parks.

Local Public Safety

The Governor's Budget Proposal includes:

- \$114.9 million for Community Corrections Performance Incentive Grants: Continued from previous years to fund county efforts to reduce the number of felony probationers going to state prison.
- \$11 million for Post-Release Community Supervision: For county probation departments supervising temporary increase in offenders resulting from Prop. 57 and court-ordered population control measures.
- Policy changes to reduce the number of programs supported by State Penalty Fund due to declining revenues in recent years.

- The following programs will no longer be supported by the fund: California Gang Reduction, Intervention and Prevention Program (GRIP) with Board of State and Community Corrections; Internet Crimes Against Children Task Forces, OES; Local Public Prosecutors and Public Defenders Training Program, OES; and, Motorcyclist Safety Program, California Highway Patrol (training, education and outreach activities).
- Funds will continue to be available to the following programs: Driver Training Program; Peace Officer Standards and Training; Standards and Training for Corrections; Victim Witness and Assistance Programs; Restitution Fund; CA Witness Relocation and Protection Program; Traumatic Brain Injury Program; and Fish and Game Prevention Program.
- A repeal of the Drivers License Suspension Program: Eliminates provisions in law providing for suspending a person's drivers license for failure to pay fines/penalties.

Corrections: Proposition 57 Implementation

Prop. 57 (2016) is estimated to reduce average daily adult inmate population by 2,000 in FY 2017-18, and by a total of 9,500 by FY 2020-21. It facilitates removal of all out-of-state inmates in one of the two remaining prison facilities in FY 2017-18. The Governor's proposal promises to provide substance abuse treatment at all state correctional facilities by the end of the year. The proposal anticipates savings of \$22.4 million in FY 2017-18 with a net savings of \$140 million by FY 2020-21.

Specific provisions of the Governor's Budget Proposal include:

Parole Process — Eligibility for Non-Violent Offenders

The budget provides for non-violent second strikers to go before the Board of Parole Hearings, which will evaluate their threat to public safety. An estimated 5,000 inmates will qualify, only eligible after serving 50 percent of the longest possible term for their sentence. In practice, this will affect approximately 500 – 600 inmates. It also increases and standardizes goo-time credit earnings.

Inmates who are not eligible include:

- Violent inmates (defined by Penal Code Sec. 667.5).
- Third Strikers.
- Inmates with sentence enhancements violent acts.
- Inmates with in-custody infractions for drugs/gang-related behavior.

Milestones and Mentors

Milestone credits are good-time credits for specified activities. The goal is to award enhanced milestone credits for earned academic and vocational achievements including accredited high school courses, vocational programs certified by the trades, and an AA or BA Degree.

The Offender Mentor Program (approximately 400 programs throughout the program) will require that inmates go through courses and tests to get credits.

While rehabilitation programs are traditionally open only to non-violent inmates, the Governor proposes to open them to all inmates regardless of committed offense in FY 2017-18. This has been shown to be an effective anti-recidivism tool. The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) has found that inmates are 31.2 percent less likely to re-offend if they complete an in-prison substance abuse disorder treatment program. Under the proposal, substance abuse program will be available at all prisons by the end of the year.

Funding for Inmate Rehabilitation and Re-Entry

The budget includes \$440 million to division or rehabilitative programs, which represents an increase from \$300 million in FY 2012-13. Support for in-custody rehabilitative programming will include: cognitive behavioral therapy, re-entry/transition programs, Long Term Offender Program,

Offender Mentor Certification Program, and self-help programs. Participation will be incentivized by grants of up to one month off prison sentence for completion of 208 program hours.

Division of Juvenile Justice

There is an anticipated increase of 72 wards due to Prop. 57's shift of authority regarding charging minors as adults from district attorneys to judges. The budget includes \$4.9 million to reactivate two Department of Juvenile Justice living units to accommodate this expected population increase. In addition, juvenile prosecutions in adult court expected to decline and average daily inmate population on the adult side expected to decline by 81 in FY 2017-18. Counties are expected to experience related increased costs as probation departments will provide greater assistance in juvenile court proceedings and County probation departments will pay the state \$24,000 per year per ward for certain juvenile commitments.

Other Programs

The Budget Proposal also includes funding for the following programs:

- Because 80 percent of lifers released on parole need or request transitional housing,
 CDCR is developing a 300-bed program for six months of transitional housing.
- Continued funding for Drug and Contraband Interdiction.
- Continued funding for Segregated Housing Unit Conversion at Pelican Bay.
- Funding for 647 beds in community re-entry facilities. This is an increase of 187 beds over current levels.
- Funding for the California Leadership Academy, aimed at reducing recidivism among 18
 25 year old inmates.

Cannabis Regulation

Generally, the Administration has decided on a single regulatory structure for both medical and recreational marijuana. However, it has not yet committed to whether that structure will look more like Prop. 64, or the Medical Marijuana Regulation and Safety Act, which the League supported. Another unknown factor is how the federal government may change enforcement of existing federal law.

For now, the Administration plans to move forward with Prop. 64 implementation and has proposed \$52.2 million in FY 2017-18 for cannabis regulation, processing of licenses, and enforcement. As revenues from the tax included in Prop. 64 will not be collected until 2018, this appropriation is structured as a loan from the General Fund, to be repaid in FY 2018-19.

Specific appropriations in this area include:

- Department of Consumer Affairs (lead agency): \$22.5 million to Bureau of Medical Cannabis Regulation for regulation of transportation, sale, storage and distribution of cannabis.
- Department of Food and Agriculture: \$23.4 million for administrative oversight, promulgation of regulations, issuance of cultivation licenses.
- Department of Public Health: \$1 million for licensing and regulation of manufacturing facilities.
- Board of Equalization: \$5.3 million for educating businesses on new tax requirements and updating information technology systems.
- Department of Health Care Services: \$5 million for public information program specified in Prop. 64.

State Retiree Health and Pension Costs

The budget includes \$5.3 billion dollars (\$2.8 billion General Fund) specifically for state employee contributions. The Department of Finance (DOF) attributes the increased contributions to several factors including a maturing fund and increased life expectancy combined with significantly lower than expected investment returns. These factors, in part, contributed to the recent decision made by the CalPERS board to lower its assumed rate of return (discount rate) from 7.5 percent down to 7 percent effective immediately for the state — with a one year delay for local agencies. The

immediate action will result in a modest contribution increase of \$172 million (\$105 million General Fund) in FY 2017-18 year. Given the phased-in approach adopted by the CalPERS board, the true impacts of a 7 percent rate will not impact the state's budget until the FY 2023-24, when state contributions are projected to reach \$9.7 Billion (\$5.6 billion General Fund). The Governor called the recent move by the Board "another major step [in reducing costs]".

The Governor did not announce any plans to seek a legislative remedy to further tackle the state's rapidly growing unfunded pension and retiree healthcare liability. However, he did not close the door on seeking a legislative approach this year. "This will continue to be a lively topic this year ... we will continue to take advantage of other opportunities as they come up".

Public Utilities Commission (PUC) Reform

The Governor's budget proposal includes a commitment from the Administration to continue to work on some of the PUC reforms that were enacted last year, including a directive for the Administration to work with the PUC to develop a reorganization plan to transfer regulatory oversight over transportation network companies and charter party carrier vehicles (shuttles, limousines, etc.) to departments within the California Transportation Agency.

Census Address Program

The budget includes \$7 million for the Local Update of Census Address Program. The program will provide grants ranging from \$7,500 to \$125,000 to cities and counties to encourage their voluntary participation in efforts to ensure the accuracy of the Census Bureau's Master List of addresses.

Redevelopment Dissolution

The Governor's proposed budget summary reports that since FY 2011-12 and projected through FY 2017-18, redevelopment dissolution will have returned a total of \$8.6 billion in property taxes to K-14 schools. This consequently allows the state to reduce its General Fund expenditures under Prop. 98 education funding requirements by a similar amount.

The cumulative total received by counties, special districts and cities over this same period is projected to be \$6.32 billion. Cities are anticipated to receive \$733 million over FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18. The Administration makes no new proposals in this area for FY 2016-17.

Special Needs Housing

While the Governor's budget does not include additional funding for the Community Based Transitional Housing Program, the Department of Finance reminded local governments on a budget conference call that grant applications are currently open. This program was created in the 2016-17 Budget Act, and seeks to encourage local communities to support housing that provides treatment and reentry programming to individuals who will benefit from those services. To date, zero grant applications have been received. More information and grant applications are available on the <u>DOF's</u> website.

Next Steps

The Department of Finance will begin releasing draft trailer bill language in the next month that will include details of the proposal. The Assembly and Senate Budget committees will also begin hearings to review program-specific details. In May the Governor will release his revised budget proposal for the coming fiscal year that must be passed by June 15 to take effect July 1.