



2818 JUL 12 PM 5: 3 AGENDA REPORT

TO:

Sabrina B. Landreth

City Administrator

FROM: Mark Sawicki

Director, EWD

SUBJECT:

SUPPLEMENTAL - City Real Property

DATE: July 12, 2018

Disposition and Development Strategy and Policy

City Administrator Approval

Date:

RECOMMENDATION

Receive A Report On The Public Lands Policy Process And Analysis To Inform Council Direction To Prepare Legislation To Implement A City Public Lands Policy And/Or Strategy.

REASON FOR SUPPLEMENTAL

In response to requests for the full backup data and analysis for staff's public lands strategy and policy recommendations, staff has posted the related documents and reports on the City's webpage: https://www.oaklandca.gov/projects/public-lands-strategy-1

Staff is additionally providing a table that better quantifies the range of the potential outcomes from the policy proposal submitted by Councilmembers Guillen and Kaplan. Staff had presented one static outcome based on a set of assumptions in order to illustrate a potential result of the policy. However, the actual policy proposed could result in a much wider range of outcomes, as shown in Table 1.

While the staff's proposed Public Lands Strategy (PLS) makes specific designations and recommendations for each parcel, the Councilmembers' proposal does not. As a result, staff has had to interpret the effect of their policy. Staff previously modeled the Councilmembers' proposal as a mix of 100% Below Market Rate (BMR) and inclusionary projects resulting in 70% BMR on average for the 20 sites. However, that is just one outcome of a possible range; this average could be as low as 50% BMR, or as high as 100% BMR.

Another equally possible outcome of the Councilmembers' proposal is a scenario in which 60% of housing units across the 20 sites are affordable, which is the same percentage of affordable units achieved by staff's PLS. Under this outcome, which allows for a more direct comparison to staff's PLS, the Councilmembers' proposal would result in a greater subsidy requirement, less funds for other City purposes, and not only fewer BMR units, but BMR units that are on average less deeply affordable.

> Item: **CED Committee** July 17, 2018

Sabrina B. Landreth, City Administrator

Subject: SUPPLEMENTAL - City Real Property Disposition and Development Strategy and

Policy

Date: July 12, 2018 Page 2

Table 1: Affordable Housing Production Outcomes/Costs for 20 Sites

	Guillen/	Staff	Guillen/	CWN June
	Kaplan	Strategy	Kaplan	Proposal
	(60% BMR)	(60% BMR)	(70% BMR)	(100% BMR)
# Sites Designated for 100% Affordable Housing*	6	14	8	18
# Sites Designated for 15% BMR (80% AMI)*	12	0	10	0
# Sites Designated for Market-Rate Res/Comm.	2	6	2	2
Total Sale Proceeds Generated	\$24M	\$51M	\$24M	\$6M
Net Proceeds to GPF/Redevelopment Funds	\$0	\$26M	\$0	\$3M
Gross AHTF Funds Generated (incl. fees)	\$34M	\$44M	\$34M	\$4M
Total Units Produced	1,077	1,238	1,077	1,080
Market Rate Units	430	492	322	0
Affordable Units	647	746	755	1,080
% Affordable	60%	60%	70%	100%
Commercial/Office Sqft	294,428	1,420,341	294,428	294,428
(Additional City Subsidy Needed)	(\$33M)	(\$32M)	(\$45M)	(\$115M)
Estimated Years to Fund	3-4 years	3-4 years	4-6 years	10-14 years
Total Net City Fund Impact (AHTF + Other)	(\$33M)	(\$6M)	(\$45M)	(\$112M)

^{*}Guillen/Kaplan proposal allows some affordable units to be 100% BMR and others 15% BMR, though does not specify which.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Receive A Report On The Public Lands Policy Process And Analysis To Inform Council Direction To Prepare Legislation To Implement A City Public Lands Policy And/Or Strategy.

For questions regarding this report, please contact Mark Sawicki, Director of Economic & Workforce Development, at 510.238.2992.

Respectfully submitted,

MARK SAWICKI

Director, Economic & Workforce

Development Department

Item: _____ CED Committee

July 17, 2018