OFFICE OF THE OT LOLDRY, Y OF OAKLAND

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Office of the City Administrator

ATTN: P. Lamont Ewell

FROM: Budget Office

2011 APR 21 PM 7:10

DATE: April 26, 2011

RE: Report on FY 2010-11 Third Quarter Revenue and Expenditure Results and Year-End Projections For Four Selected Funds - General Purpose Fund (1010), Landscape & Lighting Assessment District Fund (2310), Equipment Fund (4100) and Facilities Fund (4400); Overtime Analysis for the General Purpose Fund (1010) and All Funds

SUMMARY

This report provides details on the actual collection of revenues and expenditures in the General Purpose Fund (GPF) through the end of the third quarter of the fiscal year (March 31, 201i), and presents year-end revenue and expenditure projections. Additionally, details on the collection of revenues and spending through the third quarter for the Landscape and Lighting Assessment District-LLAD Fund (2310), the Equipment Fund (4100), the Facilities Fund (4400) and overtime spending are provided. Report highlights are presented below.

In the <u>General Purpose Fund (1010)</u>, a shortfall of \$7.9 million is projected by year end. With the passage of Measure BB, staff assumes that minimum Fire staffing and violence prevention costs will be supported with Measure Y funds, which eliminates the subsidy from the General Purpose Fund per resolution no. 82849 C.M.S. Measure Y parking tax collection has been resumed, and staff will include the full year parcel tax assessment roll to the Alameda County Auditor-Controller Agency by August 10, 2011. Furthermore, 63 problem-solving officer positions have been transferred from the GPF to Measure Y as of January 2011.

In the <u>Lighting and Landscape Assessment District (LLAD) Fund (2310)</u>, a surplus of \$0.9 million is anticipated by year-end, decreasing the negative fund balance from \$4.6 million to \$3.8 million. This projected savings is mainly due to estimated under-spending of the personnel services budget and fleet reduction in the Public Works Agency.

In the <u>Equipment Fund (4100)</u>, a surplus of \$1.7 million is anticipated due to higher internal services collection based on actual usage, lower negative interest charges and vacancies in Public Works.

In the <u>Facilities Fund (4400)</u>, a surplus of \$2.2 million is anticipated due to lower negative interest charges and vacancies in Public Works.

GENERAL PURPOSE FUND (1010)

	FY 2010-11 Midcycle Amandad Budgat	Fy 2010-11 Adjuatad Budgat	FY 2010-11 Q3 Yaar- End Foracaat	
Baginning fund Balance	S21.0	\$21.0	\$21.0	
Reserve for PY Carryforwards and Encumbrances	6.3	6.3	8.3	
Available Fund Balance [A]	\$12.7	\$12.7	\$12.7	
Revenue	\$417.7	\$418.3	\$407.1	(11.1)
fexpendituraa	\$419.1	\$421.3	\$423.3	\$2.1
FY Carryforwarda and Encumbrancas	0.0	6.3	8.3	
Adjustad Expendituras	\$419.1	\$412.9	\$415.0	
Eatimated Currant Year 3urplus/(Shortfall)	(1.6)	\$8,3	(7.9)	
Ending Fund Balance (est.) [B]	\$11.2	\$18.0	\$4.8	

LANDSCAPING & LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FUND (2310)

	FY 2010-11 Midoycle Amended Budget	FY 2010-11 Adjusted Budget	FY 2010-11 G3 Yaar- End Forecast	Year-End \$ Over / (Under) v Adj. Budg.
Baginning Fund Balanca	(4.8)	(4.0)	(4.6)	
Reserve for PY Carryforwards and Encumbrances	0.0	D, 1	0.1	
Available Fund Balanca [A]	(4.6)	(4.7)	(4.7)	
Revenue	\$16.4	\$1a.4	\$16.4	\$0.0
Expandituraa	\$1\$.3	S1\$.3	\$17.6	(0.8)
FY Carryforwarde and Encumbrances	0.0	0.1	0.1	
Adjusted Expandituras	\$19.2	\$16.3	\$17.6	
Estimated Currant Year \$urplus/(Stiortfall)	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.9	
Ending Fund Balance (est.) [B]	(4.8)	(4.6)	(3.8)	

EGUIPMENT PUND (4100)*

	FY 2010-11			Year-End \$
	Mideycle	Adjusted	Qs Year-	Over /
·	Amended Budgat	Budgat	End Forecast	(Under) v Adj. Budg.
Oross Beginning Cash Balance	(13.2)	(13.2)	(13.2)	
Reserve for FY Carryforwards and Encumbrances	\$0.0	\$0.6	\$0.6	
Available Cash Balance [A]	(13.2)	(13.6)	(13.8)	
Revenue	\$10.\$	\$18.4	\$18.0	(0.4)
Expandituree	\$15,5	S19 .1	\$16.9	(2.2)
FY Carryforwards and Encumbrances	-	\$0.6	\$0.6	
Adjusted Expendituraa	\$16.0	\$19,5	\$16.3	
Eatimatad Current Year Surplus/(Snortfall)	\$1.0	(0.1)	\$1.7	
Ending Cash Balance (est.) [B]	(12.2)	(13.9)	(12.1)	

FACILITIES FUND (4400)*

	FY 2010-17 Midoycia Amended Budget	FY 2010-11 Adjusted Budget	FY 2010-11 Q3 Year- End Forecast	Over / (Under) v
Orosa Beginning Cash Balance	(29.0)	(29.0)	(29.0)	
Reserve for FY Carryforwards and Encumbrances	\$0.0	\$0.4	\$0.4	
Available Cash Balance [A)	(20.0)	(28.6)	(29.\$)	
Revenue	\$21.a	\$21.e	\$23.9	\$2.4
Expenditurea	\$21.7	\$22.4	\$22.1	(0.2)
Reserve for PY Carryforwards and Encumbrances	\$0.0	S0.4	\$0.4	
Adjuatad Expenditures	\$21.7	\$21.9	\$21.7	
Estimated Current Year \$urplus/(\$hortfail)	(0.2)	(0.4)	\$2.2	
Ending Caah Balance (est.) [B]	(28.2)	(28.9)	(27.3)	

[A] GPF and LLAD undited) fund balances, adjusting for encumbrances and project carryforwards. Equipment and Pacifities audited cash balances.

(B) GPF and LLAD estimated ending (und balance, net of prior year encumbrances and project carryforwards, Boulpmant and Pacilities estimated ending cash balance, assuming full expenditure of prior year carryforwards and

> Item: Finance & Management Committee April 26, 2011

٢

Overtime spending citywide, on an all-funds basis, is projected to reach \$33.7 million by the end of FY 2010-11, exceeding budget by \$10.3 million. Nearly the entire overspending is in the General Purpose Fund and is driven by Fire overtime spending. The Fire Department, while overspending in the overtime category, will save considerably on regular salaries, staying within their overall budget by year-end.

FISCAL IMPACT

The summary table on the previous page and detail presented in *Attachments A-1* through *E-2* reflect third quarter results and year-end projections for the General Purpose Fund and three other key City funds for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011.

DISCUSSION

A. GENERAL PURPOSE FUND

(Attachments A-1 and A-2)

REVENUE HIGHLIGHTS

The FY 2010-11 adjusted revenue budget for the General Purpose Fund is **\$418.3** million. Yearend revenues are projected to reach **\$407.1** million by year-end, which represents under collection of **\$1L2** million. Most GPF revenue categories are projected to be <u>on target</u>. The categories that exhibited variance from the adjusted budget are as follows:

Business License Tax: -\$0.8 million decline Real Estate Transfer Tax: -\$5.0 million decline Fines & Penalties: -\$6.9 million decline Interest Income: -\$0.8 million decline Service Charges: -\$0.9 million decline Miscellaneous: -\$1.5 million decline Fund Transfers: +\$4.7 million growth

Attachment A-1 provides details of revenue collection and projections. Key revenue changes based on the third quarter results are discussed below.

Business License Tax: -\$0.8 million decline

Business License Tax is forecasted to decline by \$0.8 million to \$50.1 million from the third quarter adjusted budget of \$50.8 million. The shortfall is primarily due to greater than anticipated tax refunds, business closures, commercial real estate vacancies, and declines in gross receipts. The forecast includes adjustments for one-time special audits currently being

conducted by the Revenue Division. If the anticipated revenue from special audits is not realized, the shortfall in business license tax revenue may be greater.

Real Estate Transfer Tax: -\$5.0 million decline

Real Estate Transfer Tax is forecasted to decline by \$5.0 million to \$28.5 million from the third quarter adjusted budget of \$33.5 million, due to the timing of the City's receipt of revenue resulting from one large transaction. As noted in the Fourth Quarter FY 2009-10 Revenue and Expenditure Report, a \$5.0 million property transfer tax that had been anticipated for FY 2010-11 was instead received in FY 2009-10. This revenue will be offset with \$5.2 million in deferred pension credits.

Fines & Penalties: -\$6.9 million decline

Fines & Penalties are forecasted to decline by approximately \$6.9 million to \$25.1 million from the third quarter adjusted budget of \$32.0 million. The decline is primarily due to lower parking citation revenue from decreased citation issuance. The forecast includes adjustments for implementation of mitigation measures proposed by the Parking Division to partially offset the citation revenue shortfall. If the offsets from the mitigation measures are not realized, the shortfall in parking citation revenue may be greater. Increased revenue from stricter false alarm enforcement also slightly offsets the overall decline in this revenue category.

Interest Income: -\$0.8 million decline

Interest Income is forecasted to decline by \$0.8 million to \$0.8 million from the third quarter adjusted budget of \$1.6 million. The decline is due to lower than originally anticipated interest rates.

Service Charges: -\$0.9 million decline

Service Charges are forecasted to decline by approximately \$0.9 million to \$47.0 million from the third quarter adjusted budget of \$48.0 million. The decline is primarily due to lower parking meter revenue. The forecast includes adjustments for implementation of mitigation measures proposed by the Parking Division to partially offset the parking meter revenue shortfall. If the offsets from the mitigation measures are not realized, the shortfall in parking meter revenue may be greater. Also included in the forecast are adjustments for unrealized revenue from vacant office space and installation of additional parking meters.

Miscellaneous: -\$1.5 million decline

Miscellaneous revenue is forecasted to decline by approximately \$1.5 million to \$8.0 million from the third quarter adjusted budget of \$9.5 million. The decline is primarily due to unrealized billboard revenue.

Fund Transfers: \$4.7 million growth

Fund Transfers are forecasted to grow by approximately \$4.7 million to \$i7.4 million from the third quarter adjusted budget of \$12.7 million. The growth is primarily due to \$5.2 million in deferred pension credits. Also included in the forecast are unrealized revenue in parking garage automation, employee parking, and the Alta Bates garage.

EXPENDITURE HIGHLIGHTS

As outlined in *Attachment A-2*, the General Purpose Fund (GPF) expenditure projection through June 30, 2011 is expected to be \$423.3 million or \$2.1 million over budget. *Attachment A-2* summarizes agency / department-level spending. Provided below is a discussion of each agencies' / departments' savings or overspending in the GPF at year-end.

Mayor's Office

The Mayor's Office is projected to overspend its existing appropriation of \$1.3 million by \$90,000. The over-expenditure is due to spending from the prior administration in the first six months of the fiscal year. It is also attributed to insufficient leave-taking.

City Council

The City Council Expenditures are expected to reach \$2.7 million compared to the adjusted budget of \$2.5 million for an anticipated over expenditure of \$90,000. The projected overage is due to unrealized vacancy savings and the delayed implementation in FY2010-11 budget reductions.

City Attorney

The Office of the City Attorney is projected to exceed its budget by approximately \$0.4 million. The over-expenditure is due to unbudgeted step and merit increases, acting pay for attorneys, and low levels of paid leave-taking.

City Auditor

The Office of the City Auditor is projected to exceed its budget by approximately \$0.2 million. The over-expenditure is due to budget reductions not implemented. According to a legal opinion from the Office of the Attorney, budget reductions would prevent the City Auditor's Office from carrying out its charter-mandated duties.

Human Resources

The Department of Human Resources Management is projected to exceed its budget by approximately \$0.3 million. The over-expenditure is primarily due to unrealized retirement and vacancy savings, as well as insufficient leave-taking.

Police Services (OPD)

Police Services is projected to exceed its Adjusted Budget by \$3.0 million. The primary drivers of this over expenditure are higher than budgeted expenses in the equipment internal service fund (\$2.0 million) and extraordinary expenses related to Operation Verdict of \$1.0 million. Overtime spending is expected to be within budget appropriation.

Non-Departmental

The Non-Departmental unit is projected to have savings of \$1.95 million. The variance is primarily due to the Coliseum subsidy being lower than budgeted and under-spending in several other line items.

B. OVERTIME

(Attachment B)

Attachment B provides details on Citywide overtime spending through year-end by agency / department, for the General Purpose Fund and all funds. The majority of GPF overtime spending is in public safety departments (Fire Department spending of \$9.3 million and Police Department spending of \$13.3 million). While Fire (OFD) overtime spending is greater than the budgeted amount, its overall personnel costs are anticipated to remain within budget. OFD fills mandatory shifts with overtime instead of hiring additional sworn personnel, thereby creating savings over a fully loaded salary. Police (OPD) spending is anticipated to remain within its budget.

C. LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FUND

(Attachments C-1 and C-2)

The Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District fund (LLAD) was formed in 1989 and subsequently approved by the voters of the City. The LLAD is a "direct benefit" assessment that provides a funding source for the following Public Works Agency programs: Parks, Grounds and Medians, Ball Field Maintenance, Open Space, Custodial Services at Park Enterprise Facilities, Streetlight Outage Repairs, Tree Services, and Free Standing Restrooms at Parks and Ball Fields. The LLAD also supports activities located outside the Public Works Agency such as General Government functions, Community Gardens and Museum Landscape Maintenance.

FUND BALANCE

The LLAD Fund has a beginning negative fund balance of \$4.6 million. If they become available, one-time revenues are recommended to erase the negative balance; without one-time revenues and controlled spending, the negative fund balance will likely grow. If costs continue

to increase, the LLAD fund will require further cuts to services and positions in future years unless an alternative revenue source is identified.

REVENUE HIGHLIGHTS

Revenues are estimated to come in at the adjusted budgeted amount of \$18.4 million.

EXPENDITURE HIGHLIGHTS

Year-end actual expenditures are estimated at \$17.6 million, compared to the adjusted budget of \$18.3 million. Projected under spending is due to lower than anticipated personnel and fleet costs.

D. EQUIPMENT FUND

(Attachments D-1 and D-2)

The Equipment Fund is an Internal Service Fund (ISF). The Equipment Services Division (ESD) of the Public Works Agency (PWA) is responsible for equipment services, including vehicle and equipment acquisition and disposal, maintenance and repair, governmental and environmental compliance, vehicle and equipment specification and modification development repair part acquisition, motor pool services, the purchase and management of fuel for City-owned vehicles and equipment, and specialized services such as vehicle wash and outside vehicle/equipment rental.

CASH BALANCE

Per audited actual, the beginning negative cash balance for FY 2010-11 is anticipated to be \$13.2 million. The fund is currently on a "repayment schedule." Proprietary funds like the iSFs are presented on a cash basis based on transactions in a given period.

REVENUE HIGHLIGHTS

FY 2010-11 revenues for the Equipment Find are budgeted at \$18.4 million; year-end actual revenues are estimated to be \$18.1 million. The variance is primarily due to (1) lower than anticipated negative interest charges, offset by (2) lower than anticipated miscellaneous equipment sales.

EXPENDITURE HIGHLIGHTS

The Equipment Fund adjusted budget is \$19.1 million; year-end spending is estimated to reach roughly \$16.9 million. Anticipated savings are due to personnel vacancies.

E. FACILITIES FUND

(Attachments E-1 and E-2)

The Facilities Fund is also an Internal Service Fund (ISF). The Facilities Services Division provides client agencies "direct tenant services" which include all custodial services, building engineering, security access controls, monitoring air quality, responding to emergencies, and property management and leasing. The Parks and Building Services Division provides routine building maintenance of park buildings, fire stations, day care and senior centers, and other miscellaneous building structures including parking lots. These services include maintenance and repair of all structural, mechanical, electrical, painting, and engineering systems, including routine, emergency and vandalism-related service requests.

CASH BALANCE

Since FY 2002-03, the negative cash balance has increased from \$7.9 million to \$29.0 million due to multiple years of budgetary imbalances. The fund is currently on a "repayment schedule." Proprietary funds like the ISFs are presented on a cash basis based on transactions in a given period.

REVENUE HIGHLIGHTS

Revenues for the Facilities Fund are estimated to reach \$21.6 million, above the budgeted amount of \$23.9 million. The variance of \$2.3 million is primarily due to lower than anticipated negative interest charges.

EXPENDITURE HIGHLIGHTS

As shown in *Attachment E-2*, the Facilities Fund operating expenditures are estimated by yearend at \$22.1 million, below the adjusted budget by \$0.3 million. Anticipated savings are due to personnel vacancies.

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES

There are no direct sustainable opportunities associated with this report.

DISABILITY AND SENIOR ACCESS

There are no direct disability and senior access opportunities associated with this report.

1

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Accept this informational report.

Respectfully submitted,

SABRINA B. LANDRETH Director, Budget Office

Prepared by: Budget Office staff

APPROVED FOR FORWARDING TO THE FINANCE & MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Office of the City Administrator

Attachments:

- A-1: General Purpose Fund Revenues
- A-2: General Purpose Fund Expenditures
- B: Overtime Analysis
- C-1: Landscape and Lighting Assessment District Fund Revenues
- C-2: Landscape and Lighting Assessment District Fund Expenditures
- D-1: Equipment Fund Revenues
- D-2: Equipment Fund Expenditures
- E-1: Facilities Fund Revenues
- *E-2:* Facilities Fund Expenditures

.

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

.

Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011) GENERAL PURPOSE FUND REVENUES (\$ in millions)

Revenue Category	FY 2009-10 Final Budget	FY 2009-10 Q3 Actuals	Percent to Date	FY 2009-10 Audited Year-End Actuals	Adjusted Budget	FY 2010-11 Q3 Actuals	FY 2010-11 Percent to Date	FY 2010-11 Year-End 'Forecast		Explanation of Over / (Under).Collection	Yr-to-Yr Growth YE to YE
PROPERTY TAX	129.84	79.81	61.5%	131.78	125,15	76.19	60.9%	125.15	· 0.00		(5.0%)
SALES TAX	33.44	21.37	63.9%	35.88	36,14	23.15	64.1%	36.14	0.00		0.7%
VEHICLE LICENSE FEE (VLF) - TAX & BACKFILL	1.09	0.47	42.7%	1,25	1,11	0.67	60.1%	1.11	0.00		(11.2%)
BUSINESS LICENSE TAX	52.10	43.78	84.0%	54.14	50.81	44.91	88.4%	50.06	(0.75)	Greater than anticipated tax refunds, business closures, commerical real estate vacancies, and declines in gross reciepts.	(7.5%)
UTILITY CONSUMPTION TAX	50,50	34,95	69.2%	51.11	50.80	35,98	70.8%	50.80	0.00		(0.6%)
REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX	28,49	20.94	73.5%	36.97	33.49	18.82	56.2%	28.49	(5.00)	Reflects \$5 million Shorenstein one time RETT revenue expected for current year, but received in FY 2009-10.	
TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	8.44	5.35	63.5%	8.47	8.64	6.22	72.0%	8.64	0.00		2.0%
PARKING TAX	7.16	4.62	64.6%	7.52	7.52	5.15	68.6%	7.52	0.00		(0.1%)
LICENSES & PERMITS	0.63	0.48	76.4%	0.72	0.69	0.61	88.8%	0.69	0.00		(4.9%)
FINES & PENALTIES	28.17	17.49	62.1%	27.35	31.96	15.69	49.1%	25.11		Lower parking citation revenue. Higher false alarm revenue.	(8.2%)
INTEREST INCOME	1.64	0.09	5.5%	1.10	1.64	_ 0.24	14.8%	0.80	(0.84)	Under collection due to very low interest rates.	(27.3%)
SERVICE CHARGES	46.63	27.22	58.4%	45.03	47.98	26.83	55.9%	47.04	(0.94)	Lower parking meter revenue. Unrealized vacant office space and additional parking meter revenue.	4.5%
GRANTS & SUBSIDIES	2.27	0.53	23.3%	1.95	0,15	0.08	52.5%	0.15	0.00		(92.2%)
MISCELLANEOUS	8.06	0.36	4.4%	7.89	9.52	1.23	12.9%	8.03	(1.49)	Lower billboard revenue.	1.8%
FUND TRANSFERS	27.14	13.09	48.2%	21.86	12.66	8,65	68.3%	17.38	4.73	Reflects \$5.2 million of the \$10.4 million in deferred pension credits, anticipated for FY 2010-11 as a replacement for Shorenstein one- time RETT revenue. Unrealized garage automation, employee parking, and Alta Bates revenue.	(20.5%)
Tôtal Revenue	\$425.59	ँ \$270.5 6	63.6%	\$433.02	\$4 18.26	\$264.43	63.2%	š407.12 💭	(11.15)	化合合剂 建分子成合成 医二体的 化合合	* _ (6.0%)

.

.

.

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

•

Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011) GENERAL PURPOSE FUND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)

Agoney I Dopartmont	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	Y 2010-11	FY,2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	Sector and the sector sector sector sector	distanti di s
	Final Budget	Q3 Actuals	······································	Audited	Adjusted	Q3 Actual	Porcont	Yoar-End	0.2.00.00 10.2.00.00.00.00.000000000.0.00.00.000000	Ynar-End	Explanation of (Oversponding) / Savings	Yr-to-Yr
			Dato	Yoar-End Actuals	Budget (Q3)		To Dato	Forocast	A CONTRACTOR OF THE ACTION OF THE PARTY PARTY.			Growth
		tini da de je koje		Actuals	(43)		ne sur Testuca		Adjustod Budget	as % of Adjustod		YE to YE
MAYOR	1.76	1.51	86.0%	1 76	1.33	1.04	78.0%	1.42	(0.09)	Budnet (3.8%)	Overexpenditure due to insuffient leave	an chaineas
	,		00.070		,	1.04	10.07		(0.00)	(0.077)	taking and unimplemented reducitions by the previous Administration	ŕ
	3.41	2.54	74,3%	3.00	2.56	1.83	71.6%	2.65	(0 09)	(3.5%)	Overexpenditure attributed to unrealized vacancy savings, insufficient leave taking and delayed implementation of actual reductions	(11.63%
CITY ADMINISTRATOR	6.53	4.26	65.3%	5.93	6.32	4,58	72.4%	6.32	0.00	0.0%		6.68
CITY ATTORNEY	3.56	4.62	129.8%	3,71	3 47	4.10	1 18.4%	3.84	(0.38)	(10.9%)	Overage due to unbudgeted merit increases and insufficient leave-taking.	3.72
CITY AUDITOR	1.54	1.01	65.6%	1.31	1.30	1.07	82.4%	1.49	(0.19)	(14.6%)	Overage due to budgeted reductions not implemented.	13.28
CITY CLERK	3.51	1.97	56,3%	2.42	3.91	2.31	59.1%	3.91	0.00	0.0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	61.449
CONTRACTING & PURCHASING	1.88	1.49	79 5%	2.03	1.83	1.49	81.3%	1.83	0.00	0.0%		(9.87%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	7,95	5.84	73.4%	7.90	8.03	6.09	75,9%	8.03	(0.00)	(0 0%)		1.56
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	20.50	14.58	71.1%	20.63	17.83	14,75	82.8%	17.83	0.00	0.0%		(14.43%
HUMAN RESOURCES	3.79	3.13	82.7%	4,25	3.91	3.06	78.4%	4 17	(0.26)	(6.7%)	Overage due to unrealized retirement and vacancy savings and insufficient leave- taking.	(1.72%
POLICE SERVICES	187.44	142.08	75.8%	190.27	174.99	135,75	77.6%	178.03	(3.04)	(1.7%)		(6.44%
FIRE SERVICES	93.10	72.92	74.3%	97.45	98.39	72.77	74.0%	98.39	0.00	0.0%		0.97
MUSEUM	6.28	4.67	74.4%	6.22	6.23	4.91	78.7%	6.23	0.00	0.0%		0.30
LIBRARY SERVICES	9.13	7,25	79.4%	8.93	9.35	6.80	72.7%	9.35	0.00	0.0%		4.79
PARKS & RECREATION	12.84	9.87	76.9%	12.60	12.22	9,96	81.5%	12.22	0.00	0.0%		(2.989
HUMAN SERVICES	6,47	3.58	55.3%	5.40	6.02	3.46	57,6%	6.02	(0.00)	(0.0%)		11.55
PUBLIC WORKS COMM & ECON DEVELOPMENT	3,86 3,28	2.75 1.49	71,4% 45,3%	3.87 2.06	4.10 3.07	3.07 1.36	74.8% 44.2%	4.10 3.02	(0.00) 0.05	(0.0%) 1.8%		6.00 46.76
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	3,28 50,67	34.12	45,3% 67,3%	49.19	55 59	44 02	44.2% 79.2%	3.02 53,64	. 1.95	1.8% 3.5%		4 5 .76 9.03
	00.07	04.1Z	01.070	-0.10	55 53		13.270	. 55,64	. 1.30	5.5 %	smaller savings in several other accounts	3.03
SUBTOTAL	432,50	319,69	73.9%	429.12	42 0. 46	322.42	76,7%	422.50	(2.05)	(0.6%)		(1.54%
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0.81	0 25	30.5%	0.49	0.80	0 .20	25,1%	0.80	-0.01	(0.9%)	CIP will fully expend or carryfonward.	64.33
Total Expanditures	×	\$319.94	73.8%	\$429.61	\$421.25	\$322.62	76 6%	¢423.30	(\$2.05)	10.6%)		(1.479

.

.

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011)

OVERTIME ANALYSIS (In Dollars)

GENERAL PURPOSE FUND

Agency / Department	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10		FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11		FY 2010-11		FY 2010-11		
	Final Budget	Q3 Actuals	Date	Audited Year-End	Adjusted Budget (Q3)	Q3 Ovortime	Percent Exp To Date		/ Under Adjusted		Explanation of Over / (Under)	Yr-to-Yr Growth
				Actuals	(q-)	Actual	Tub is note			Adjusted Budget	the second s	YE to YE
												And the last
MAYOR	8,960	203	2.3%	203	8,960	0	0.0%	0	8,960	100 0%		-100_0%
	0	203	0.0%	203	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%		(100,0%)
CITY AOMINISTRATOR	0	4,649	0.0%	6,325	O	4,316	00%	8,632	(8,632)	0.0%	Overtime spending is associated Comp time earned in the Citizen's Police Review Board division and Equal Access	36,5%
CITY ATTORNEY	(570)	1,029	-180 6%	1,029	(570)	611	-107.1%	755	(1,325)	232 5%		(26,6%)
CITY AUDITOR	0	0	0.0%	0	D	34	0 0%	34	(34)	0.0%		0.0%
CITY CLERK	46,280	17,524	37,9%	23,434	46,280	7,712	167%	19,347	26,933		OT savings in 1010 will offset non-budgeted OT spending in 7780	(17_4%)
CONTRACTING & PURCHASING	0	693	0.0%	730	0	774	0.0%	774	(774)	0 0%	1	6,0%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2,040	20,314	995 8%	34,248	2,040	23,635	1158.6%	31,420	(29,380)	(1440.2%)		(8,3%)
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	98,220	130,109	132.5%	141,078	98,220	39,179	39.9%	94,827	3,393	3 5%	OT savings in 1010 will offset non-budgeted OT spending in non-1010 funds.	(32,8%)
HUMAN RESOURCES	26,390	3,949	14 6%	3,649	26,390	2,763	10.5%	2,763	23,627	89.5%		(28.2%)
POLICE SERVICES	11,714,537	10,137,459	86 5%	13,153,903	16,507,019	10,166,014	65.7%	13,307,019	2,200,000	14 2%		1,2%
FIRE SERVICES	534,198	7,192,160	1346 3%	9,629,352	135,990	6,982,513	5134 6%	9,297,392	(9,161,402)	(6736 9%)	Overspending in overtime offset by savings in	(3,4%)
MUSEUM	19,250	17,397	90 4%	25,311	19,250	9,330	43 7%	16,833	2,417	126%	1	(33,5%)
LIBRARY SERVICES	6,260	3,406	54 4%	3,665	6,260	1,834	29 3%	2,559	3,701	59.1%		(30,2%)
PARKS & RECREATION	0	3,448	0.0%	6,402	0	6,695	00%	9,344	(9,344)	0 0%	OPR is expected to spend slightly over the OT budget, which will be met with underspending in other areas	45.9%
HUMAN SERVICES	0	8,851	0.0%	10,546	0	6,963	0.0%	10,646	* (10,646)	0.0%	OT associated with Senior Center activities.	0.9%
PUBUC WORKS	27,480	77,058	290 4%	106,793	26,930	50,280	186 7%	73,348	(46,418)	(172 4%)	OT associated with maintenance services within the Tree Division	(3 i .3%)
COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEV	390	3,399	1171 6%	3,234	0	3,002	0 0%	4,190	(4,190)	0.0%	CEDA is expected to spend slightly over the budget, which will be met with underspending in other areas	27.59%
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%		0,0%
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0	0	0.0%	, D	0	0	0.0%	0	0	00%		0.0%
TOTAL	\$12,483,335	\$17,621,751	141.2%	\$23,150,358	\$15,876,7 69	\$17,325,701	109.1%	\$22,879,883	(\$7,003,114)	(44,1%)	10. A. CARACTANAS, PROMINIS, AND AND A	-1.2%

.

-

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011)

OVERTIME ANALYSIS (in Dollars)

ALL FUNDS

2,481 5% 57,683 5% 193,751	ar-End (Q2 203 203 8.960 62,449 38,430 5 261 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Overtime Image: Construction of the second secon	Percent, Exp To Date 0 0% 34 2% -209 0% 0 0% 24 9% 51.3% 685 5% 42.3% 12.5% 83 4% 240 2%		/ Under Adjusted	(Under as % of djusted Budget 100 0% 0 0% 350 9% 0 0% 48 7% (274 3%) 0 0% 87 5% 0.0%	Overtime expenditure associated with KTOP and CPRB OT spending due to mandatory attendance by diamis investigators/paralegis to small diamis hearings held at right and required MCLE training desises for certain staff. DCP spending is associated with plinchesing functions:	Yr to-yr Growth YE to YE (100.0%) (100.0%) (38.5%) (72.8%) (72.8%) (72.8%) (33.7%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (41.4%) (44.4%) (45%)
Actuals 3% 203 0% 303 18% 62,449 14% 5 261 0% 0 15% 34,914 15% 34,914 15% 57,683 15% 193,751 11% 5,926 5% 15,395,378	ctuais 203 8,960 203 8,960 303 0 62,449 38,430 5 261 (570 0 0 34,914 46,380 2,481 2,891 57,683 10,711 193,751 100,711 193,751 100,710 5,526 26,391 395,378 17,625,861	Accual 0 0 13,162 0 1,191 34 11,505 1,482 73,412 42,571 3,295 11,183,425	0 0% 0 0% 34 2% -209 0% 0 0% 24 9% 51.3% 685 5% 42.3% 12.5% 83 4%	0 0 38,430 1,430 34 33,140 1,482 40,090 100,710 3,296 17,625,869	6,960 0 (2,000) (34) 23,140 1,408 (29,380) 0 23,095 0	djusted Budged 100 0% 0 0% 350 9% 0 0% 50 0% 48 7% (274 3%) 0 0% 87 5% 0.0%	Overtime expenditure associated with KTOP and CPRB OT spending due to mandatory attendance by diams investigators/parategals to small diams heanings had at right and required MCLE training deases for certain staff. DCP spending is associated with plutchesing functions;	(100.0%) (100.0%) (100.0%) (38.5%) (72.8%) (72.8%) (33.7%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (43.0%) (44.4%)
:3% 203 :0% 303 :8% 62,449 :4% 5261 :5% 34,914 :3% 2,481 :5% 57,683 :5% 193,751 :5% 15,395,378	203 8,960 303 6,000 62,449 338,430 5 261 (570 0 0 0 34,914 46,380 2,481 2,891 57,683 10,711 193,751 100,711 5,926 226,391 395,378 17,625,861	0 13,162 1,191 34 11,505 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,1183,425	0 0% 34 2% -209 0% 24 9% 51.3% 685 5% 42.3% 42.3% 83 4%	4, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 2	6,960 0 (2,000) (34) 23,140 1,408 (29,380) 0 23,095 0	0.0% 0 0% 350 9% 50 0% 48 7% (274 3%) 0 0% 87 5% 0.0%	Overtime expenditure associated with KTOP and CPRB OT spending due to mandatory attendance by dams investigators/paralegals to small dams hearings had at night and required MCLE training classes for certain staff. DCP spending is associated with purchasing functions;	(100.0%) (100.0%) (38.5%) (72.8%) (72.8%) (33.7%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (43.0%) (44.4%)
0% 303 18% 62.449 14% 5.261 0% 0 15% 34.914 2.3% 2.481 15% 57.683 15% 193.751 11% 5.926 5% 15,395.378	303 (0 62,449 38,430 5 261 (570 0 0 0 34,914 46,380 2,481 2,899 57,683 10,710 193,751 100,710 5,926 26,399 395,378 17,625,860	0 13,162 1,191 34 11,505 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,1183,425	0 0% 34 2% -209 0% 24 9% 51.3% 685 5% 42.3% 42.3% 83 4%	0 38,430 1,430 33,140 1,482 40,090 100,710 3,295 17,625,869	0 (2,000) (34) 23,140 1,408 (29,380) 0 23,095 0	0.0% 0 0% 350 9% 50 0% 48 7% (274 3%) 0 0% 87 5% 0.0%	and CPRB OT spending due to mandatory attendance by dams investigators/paralegals to small dams hearings held at right and required MCLE training cleases for certain staff. DCP spending is associated with purchasing functions;	(100.0%) (38.5%) (72.8%) (72.8%) (33.7%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (40.3%) (44.4%)
18% 62,449 14% 5261 0% 0 15% 34,914 15% 57,683 15% 193,751 1% 5,926 5% 15,395,378	62,449 38,430 5 261 (570 34,914 46,380 2,481 2,891 57,683 10,711 193,751 100,710 5,926 26,391	13,162 1) 1,191 34 11,505 0 1,482 0 73,412 0 42,571 0 3,295 11,183,425	34 2% -209 0% 24 9% 5 1.3% 685 5% 42.3% 12.5% 83 4%	38,430 1,430 33,140 1,482 40,090 100,710 3,296	0 (2,000) 23,140 1,408 (29,380) 0 23,095 0	0 0% 350 9% 50 0% 48 7% (274 3%) 87 5% 0.0%	and CPRB OT spending due to mandatory attendance by dams investigators/paralegals to small dams hearings held at right and required MCLE training cleases for certain staff. DCP spending is associated with purchasing functions;	(38.5%) (72.8%) (33.7%) (40.3%) (30.5%) (43.0%) (44.4%)
14% 5261 0% 0 15% 34,914 13% 2,481 5% 57,683 15% 193,751 11% 5,926 55% 15,395,378	5 261 (570 0 0 0 34,914 (46,380 57,683 10,711 193,751 100,711 5,926 26,391 395,378 17,625,861) 1,191 34 11,505 1,482 0 73,412 0 42,571 0 3,295 11,183,425	-209 0% 24 9% 51.3% 685 5% 42.3% 12.5% 83 4%	1,430 34 33,140 1,482 40,090 100,710 3,296 17,625,869	(34) 23,140 1,408 (29,380) 0 23,095 0	350 9% 0 0% 50 0% 48 7% (274 3%) 0 0% 87 5% 0.0%	and CPRB OT spending due to mandatory attendance by dams investigators/paralegals to small dams hearings held at right and required MCLE training cleases for certain staff. DCP spending is associated with purchasing functions;	(72,8%) 0.0% (33,7%) (40,3%) (30,5%) (43,0%) (44,4%)
0% 0 15% 34,914 23% 2,481 15% 57,683 15% 193,751 1% 5,926 5% 15,395,378	0 0 34,914 46,38 2,481 2,89 57,683 10,710 193,751 100,710 5,926 26,39 395,378 17,625,860	34 11,505 1,482 0 73,412 0 42,571 0 3,295 11,183,425	0 0% 24 9% 51.3% 685 5% 42.3% 12.5% 83 4%	34 33,140 1,482 40,090 100,710 3,296 17,625,869	(34) 23,140 1,408 (29,380) 0 23,095 0	00% 500% 487% (2743%) 00% 875% 0.0%	claims investigators/paralegate to small dams hearings hald at right and required MCLE training classes for cetain staff. DCP spending is associated with purchasing functions;	0.0% (33.7%) (40.3%) (30.5%) (43.0%) (44.4%)
5% 34,914 2,3% 2,481 7 5% 57,683 5 5% 193,751 1 1% 5,926 5 5% 15,395,378	34,914 46,38 2,481 2,994 57,683 10,710 183,751 100,711 5,926 26,394 395,378 17,625,866) 11,505) 1,482) 73,412) 42,571) 3,295) 11,163,425	24 9% 51.3% 685 5% 42.3% 12.5% 83 4%	33,140 1,482 40,090 100,710 3,296 17,625,869	23,140 1,408 (29,380) 0 23,095 0	50 0% 48 7% (274 3%) , 0 0% 87 5% 0,0%	DCP spending is associated with purchasing functions;	(33.7%) (40.3%) (30.5%) (43.0%) (44.4%)
2.3% 2.481 5% 57,683 5% 193,751 1% 5,926 5% 15,395,378	2,481 2,891 57,683 10,710 193,751 100,710 5,926 26,390 395,378 17,625,860) 1,482) 73,412) 42,571) 3,295) 11,183,425	51.3% 685.5% 42.3% 12.5% 83.4%	1,482 40,090 100,710 3,296 17,625,869	1,408 (29,360) 0 23,095 0	48 7% (274 3%) , 0 0% 87 5% 0,0%	DCP spending is associated with purchasing functions;	(40.3%) (30.5%) (43.0%) (44.4%)
5% 57,683 5% 193,751 1% 5,926 5% 15,395,378	57,683 10,710 193,751 100,710 5,926 26,390 395,378 17,625,869	73,412 42,571 3,295 11,183,425	685 5% 42.3% 12.5% 83.4%	40,090 100,710 3,296 17,625,869	(29,380) 0 23,095 0	(274 3%) , 0 0% 87 5% 0.0%	functions;	(30.5%) (43.0%) (44.4%)
5% 193,751 1% 5,926 5% 15,395,378	193,751 100,710 5,926 26,390 395,378 17,625,860	42,571 3,295 11,183,425	42,3% 12,5% 83,4%	100,710 3,296 17,625,869	0 23,095 0	0 0% 87 5% 0.0%		(43.0%) (44.4%)
1 1% 5,926 5 5% 15,395,378	5,926 26,390 395,378 17,625,869	3,295 11,183,425	12, 5% 83 4%	3,296 17,625,869	23,095 0	87 5% 0.0%		(44,4%)
5% 15,395,378	395,378 17,625,869	11,183,425	83 4%	17,625,869	0	0.0%		• •
-					-		Overspendung in miertime officiel by soviets in	14.5%
3 1% 13,967,813	967,813 4.299,963	10,329,085	240 2%	13,481,365	(0.161.402)		Overspending in evertime offset by sevings in	
		1			(3,101,402)	(213.1%)	personnal, Fire department is expected to be within GPF appropriation	(3.5%)
5.5% 40,331	40,331 63,121	31,656	50 2%	44,138	18,982	30 1%	The Museum is expected to under spend its overtime budget, spending is associated with custockal and sercurity,	9,4%
1,3% 7 535	7 535 6.26	5,283	84 4%	7.336	(1,078)	(17 3%)	The Library is expected to over spend its overtime budget, which will be met with under spending in other areas. Spending is associated with Branch Libraries.	(2.6%)
0 0% 7,403	7.403	8,811	0.0%	12,297	(12,297)	0.0%	OPR is expected to over spend its overtime budget, which will be met with under spending In other areas	66.1%
.0% 16,819	16,819 30	15,918	5306 1%	13.340	(13,040)	(4346.7%)	activites and accounting functions related to	(19.7%)
1.644,975	644,975 883,08	1,430,112	181,9%	1,700,000	(316,920)	(92 5%)	OT spending primarily due to sewer maintenance related to EPA administrative	3.3%
7 1% 485,818		D 450,207	146 4%	603,313	(295,793)	(95 2%)		29.59
o% o		0 0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%		0.0%
	0	0 0	0.0%	0	D	0.0%		0.0%
0% 0	01 743 \$23 419 91	\$23,601,148	. 100.8% 🏎	\$33,676,269	* (\$10,256,356)	-43,5%	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.5%
1	14% 1. 7 1% 0%	14% 1.644.975 883,084 7 1% 485,818 307.527 0% 0	14% 1.644.975 883,080 1,430,112 7 1% 485,818 307.520 450,207 0% 0 0 0 0% 0 0 0	14% 1.644.975 883,080 1.430,112 181.9% 7 1% 485,818 307.520 450,207 146 4% 0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0% 0 0 0 0.0%	14% 1,644,975 883,080 1,430,112 181.9% 1.700,000 71% 485,818 307,520 450,207 146.4% 603,313 0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0	14% 1,644,975 883,080 1,430,112 181.9% 1,700,000 (316,920) 7 1% 485,818 307,520 450,207 146 4% 603,313 (295,793) 0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0	14% 1,644,975 883,080 1,430,112 181.9% 1,700,000 (316,920) (92.5% 7 1% 485,818 307,520 450,207 146.4% 603,313 (295,793) (96.2% 0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0.0% 0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0.0%	1.4% 1.644,975 583,080 1,430,112 181.9% 1,700,000 (316,920) (92 5%) OT spending primarily due to sever maintenance related to EPA administrative order, street cleaning and tree service administrative order, street cleaning administratite

.

.

.

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011)

LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FUND REVENUES (\$ in millions)

Revenue'Category	1998 - 45 - 45 - 45 - 55 - 55 - 55 - 55 - 5	-Y 2009-10 Q3 Actuals	FY 2009-10 Percent to Date	FY 2009-10 Audited Year-End Actuals	Adjusted	FY 2010-11 Q3 Actuals	FY 2010-11 Percent To Date	Year-End	L. Creating and the second second		Yr-to-Yr Growth YE to YE
	18.21	9.24	50.7%	18.91	18.21	11.19	61.4%	18.21	0.00		(3.7%)
LICENSES & PERMITS	0.01 0.00	0.01 (0.02)	56.0% 0.0%	0.0 3 0.00	0.01 0.00	0.01 (0.02)	96,1% 0.0%	0.01 0.00	0.00 0.00		(55.5%) 0.0%
SERVICE CHARGES OTHER	0.17 0.00	0.03 0.02	19.1% 0.0%	0.13 0.01	0.17 0.00	0.17 0.02	98.8% 0.0%	0.17 0.02	(0.00) 0.02		36.6% 170.5%
Total Revenue	^{~,} [~] . * ∞ \$18.39	\$9. 28	60.4%	\$19:07	\$18.39	\$11.37	. 62.5% _ ,	\$18.42	\$0.02	ي جنه در دو معرف معمد که در مخ کر مگر محمد که حد که محمد که محمد محمد که محمد که محمد که محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد محم	∵ ⁵ ≊(3:4%)

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

1

Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011) LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FUND EXPENDITURES (S in millions)

Agency / Department	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009 10	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11			
	Final Budget	Q3 Actuals	Percent to Date	and the second sec	A 777		 Alter March 1991 	Yoar-End		Year-End (Over) / Under	Explanation of (Overspending)	Growth
				Actuals	the second state of the second second				Under	was % of		YE to YE
		4 - <u>162</u>		••••••					Adjusted	s source systems a	pring 2 years	
CITY ADMINISTRATOR	0.12	0 06	54.0%	0.07	0.02	0.01	23.2%	0.03	0.00	0 00%		(64.55%)
CITY ATTORNEY	0.17	0.08	50,9%	0.17	0.00	0.00	69.7%	0.00	0.00	(19.74%)		(99.87%)
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	0.02	0.00	0.7%	0.16	0.02	0.00	5.2%	0.02	0.00	0.00%		(85.44%)
MUSEUM	0 21	0.11	49,8%	0.24	0.21	0.15	71,0%	0.21	0.00	2.23%		(11.44%)
PARKS A RECREATION	4.17	1,10	26.3%	4.18	4.21	3.24	77.1%	4.32	-0.11	(2.70%)	Project overspending in personnel.	3,38%
PUBLIC WORKS	13,90	6.12	44.1%	12.88	13.87	8,95	64,5%	12.99	0.89	6.39%	Projected savings due to personnel	0.84%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%	vacancies and reduction in fleet.	(100.00%)
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.02	0 00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
Total Expenditures Parts 2000 and 1	\$18.59		40.2%	\$17:71	\$18.34	\$12,35	67.3%	\$17,56	\$0,78	4.24%	BREAKING & REAK TO A CONTRACT	(0,82%)
L												

i,

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011) EQUIPMENT FUND REVENUES (\$ in millions)

Revenue Category	FY 200S-10 Final Budget	FY 2009-10 F Q3 Actuals	Y 2009-10 Percent to Date	have a starter in the	Adjusted Budget	4 (. ຈະການເຫັນເຫັກແ	Year-End	Later of value of very strend bury	Dodenny Carry Alary Control of the second	Yr-to-Yr Growth YE to YE
INTERNAL SERVICE	16.60	8.86	53.3%	17.61	18.65	12.97	73.7%	17.74	(0.92)		0.7%
SERVICE CHARGES	0.16	0.18	114.6%	0.18	0.16	0.01	3.0%	0.01	(0.15)		-96.0%
INTEREST INCOME	(0.96)	(0.04)	3.7%	(0.05)	(1.27)	(0.03)	60.0%	(0.04)	1.24	Lower negative interest charge anticipated due to historically low interest rates.	-29.4%
LICENSES & PERMITS	0.08	0.02	19.9%	0.05	0.08	0.03	55.3%	0.04	(0.04)		-25.6%
MISCELLANEOUS	0.80	0.05	6.7%	0.15	0.80	0.30	208.6%	0.30	(0.50)	Lower than anticipated miscellaneous sales.	108.6%
TotafRevenuc	\$16.68 ₁ **	\$9. 07	54.4%	17,93	\$18,42	\$13,28	75.4%	S 1 8,05	(0.37)		0.6%
										L	

.

.

~

.

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011) EQUIPMENT FUND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)

Aaency / Departmant		Y 2009-10 3 Actuals	FY 2009-10 Percant to Data	FY 2009-10 Audited Yoar-End	Q3 Adjusted	Q3 Actual	FY 2010-11 Percent to Date	FY 2010-11 Year-End Forecast	3895 12 13 1 1 1 1 S	~^	Explanation of (Overspending) /	Yr-t0-Yr Growth
				Actuals	. –				Under Adjusted			YE to YE
FINANCE A MANAGEMENT	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.11	0.02	18.3%	0.11	0.00	0.00%		0.0%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	0.09	0.05	52.6%	0,14	0,00	0,00	50.0%	0.00	0.00	0,00%		(99.91%)
PARKS & RECREATION	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
PUBLIC WORKS	19.74	7.53	38.2%	t9,22	18.97	12.20	64.4%	16.79	2.17	11.46%	Underspending due to personnel	(12.64%)
COMM & ECCN DEVELOPMENT	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0.00	0.00	0.0%	(1.80)	0,00	0,00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0.00	0,00	0.0%	0.25	0.00	0.14	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
Total Expenditures	~ \$19.83 <i></i>	\$ 7.68	38.2%	\$17.81	\$19,08	÷ \$12.37	°∽`64.8%~	~ \$ 16.90	∞ 2,17 °	11.39%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(5.08%)

. . . .

.

. .

•

.

.

.

.

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS

Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011)

FACILITIES FUND REVENUES (\$ in millions)

Revenue Category	FY 2009-10 F	Y 2009-10,- F	Y 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	Y 2010-11	FY 2010-11	Explanation of	
	Final Budget	3 Actuals	Percent	Audited	Adjusted Budget	Q3 Actuals	- Percent	Year-End	Year-End \$	Over / (Under) Collection	Yr-to-Yr
			, to Date	Year-End	(Q3)		to Date	Forecast	Over / (Under)		Growth
				Actuals		tone de la fei			Adj. Bud.		YE to YE
					Comes a grade of	jiya ana 🦾	robiolitica, have				
				1							
INTERNAL SERVICE	23.15	5.89	25.4%	23.39	23.47	17.67	75.3%	23.47	0.00		0.3%
SERVICE CHARGES	0.21	0.05	24.9%	0.11	0.15	0.01	8.0%	0.06	0,09		-42.4%
INTEREST INCOME	(1.56)	(0.07)	4.8%	(0.11)	(2.10)	(0.01)	0.7%	(0.09)	(2.01)	Lower negative interest due to	-17.6%
										historically low interest rates.	
MISCELLANEOUS	0.00	0.09	0.0%	0.09	0.00	0.35	0.0%	0.35	(0.35)		286.2%
GRANTS & SUBSIDIES	0.12	0.00	0.0%	0.12	0.04	0.12	318.4%	0.12	(0.08)		
Total Revénue	\$21.92	\$ 5.95	*-27.2%	\$23.6	[,] \$21.56	\$18.15	77.3%	\$23.92	\$2.36		1.3%
			· · · ·								

.

1

FY 2010-11 REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS Through Third Quarter (July 1 - March 31, 2011) FACILITIES FUND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)

Agency / Department	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11		
	Final Budget	Q3 Actuals	Percent	Pre-Audit	Q3 AdJusted	O3 Actual	Percent	Year-End	Year-End \$	Year-End	Explanation of	Yr-to-Yr
			to Date	Year-End	Budget		to Date	Forecast	(Over) /		(Overspending) / Savings	Growth
	1 7 ph -			Actuals		کا کی میڈس ہے۔ ری جارف	ĝeo di ĝi di la	· · · ``	Under	as % of		YE to YE
	÷ • • • •	가는 가격도	4 9 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		a dan sa ang sa	, ³⁴	و الموجعة الموجعة الم	н 	Adjusted	Adjusted		101 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10
		<u>مند محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد م</u>	4-4		<u> </u>		0.001		Budget_	Budget		0.0%
FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	0.09	0.03	34.5%	0.03	0.00	0.00	50.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(99.63%)
PUBLIC WORKS	22.32	10.07	45.1%	21.16	22.36	15.28	68.3%	22.14	0.21	1.0%		4.67%
POLICE SERVICES	0.00	0.00	1875.6%	0.01	0.00	0.00	50.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(96.90%)
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	0.00	0.00	0.0%	(0.35)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT	0.00	0.00	0.0%	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.0%		(100.00%)
								\$0.00				
Total Exnenditures	\$22,41	\$10.11	45.1%	\$20.86	\$22.36	\$15.29	68.4%	\$22.14	\$0.21	0.96%	•	[•] 51:66%