

### 1 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

Office of the City Auditor Roland E. Smith, CPA City Auditor (510) 238-3378 FAX (510) 238-7640 TDD (510) 839-6451 www.oaklandauditor.com

December 13, 2005

#### INDEPENDENT CITY AUDITOR'S REPORT

HONORABLE IGNACIO DE LA FUENTE, PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

We have audited the accompanying Schedule of Project Costs of MacArthur Park Development Associates, LLC (MPDA) of the City of San Francisco, in the State of California for the period from July 27, 1999 through July 31, 2005, which is listed in the table of contents. This schedule is the responsibility of MPDA and its management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the schedule referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, project costs for the period from July 27, 1999 through July 31, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The City Auditor is elected by the citizens of Oakland to serve as an officer in charge of an independent department auditing the City government activities. The independence of the City Auditor is established by the City Charter.

Item #:		
Community & Economic Development	Comn	nittee
Decembe	er 13,	2005

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 13, 2005, on our consideration of the MPDA's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Prepared by:

Issued by:

Linda M. Crittondon
Deputy City Auditor

Roland E. Smith, CPA, CFS

City Auditor

Fieldwork completion date: December 5, 2005

Issue Date: December 13, 2005

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Report on Internal Controls
Appendix I – Complete Text of Views of Responsible Officials

Schedule of Project Cost with Notes

## OAKLAND CITY AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE AUDIT OF PROJECT COSTS OF THE PALM VILLAS PROJECT BY MACARTHUR PARK DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES, LLC

#### SCHEDULE OF PROJECT COSTS FOR THE PERIOD FROM JULY 27, 1999 THROUGH JULY 31, 2005

#### Site Work

Construction Costs General Conditions & Other Overhead	\$ 4,874,375 227,107 267,606		
Total Costs Site Work		\$	5,369,088
Super Structure			
Construction Cost General Conditions & Other Overhead	\$ 10,569,655 1,764,534 1,351,384		
Total Costs Super Structure			13,685,573
Developer's Costs			
Architecture/Engineering Developer OH Insurance/Fees/Taxes/Legal Permits/Testing Interest Commissions	\$ 540,572 505,661 860,046 1,498,550 569,748 698,723		
Total Developer's Costs			4,673,300
Total Project Costs Before Unallowable Costs		\$	23,727,961
Deduct Unallowable Costs (See Note 3) Total Allowable Project Costs		<u>\$</u>	(14,738) 23,713,223
See City Auditor's Report.  The accompanying notes are an integral part of	of this financial statem	nent.	

Item #:\_\_\_\_\_\_
Community & Economic Development Committee
December 13, 2005

#### NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF PROJECT COSTS

Page 1 of 4 pages

#### **NOTE 1 -- OPERATIONS**

#### Organization

MacArthur Park Development Associates, LLC (MPDA) was formed under the laws of the State of California for the purpose of constructing and providing affordable housing units for persons and families of moderate income through the Palm Villas project. MPDA the developer of the project is a partnership between Em Johnson Interest, Inc. and Baines & Robertson Development, LLC. The General Contractor is Baines & Robertson, Inc. The principals of Baines & Robertson Development, LLC and Baines and Robertson, Inc. are the same individuals.

#### **Background**

The Palm Villas Project (Project), which consists of seventy-eight (78) single-family residential units for persons and families of moderate income, is located between 90th and 94th Avenues, MacArthur Boulevard, and Hillside Street in Oakland, California. The Oakland City Council authorized the initial funding for the Project on July 27, 1999 (Resolution 99-36 C.M.S.), and it was substantially completed in February of 2005. All residences have now been completed and sold.

Funding for the Project was provided by the Oakland Redevelopment Agency (ORA), various banks, private investors and the principals of BRI. The \$8,184,000 portion provided by ORA is included in the project costs as summarized below:

TABLE 1

Description	Amount
Donated land, including demolition costs	\$2,831,000
Loan converted to grant	3,253,000
Loan converted to grant	1,000,000
Grant	1,100,000
Total	\$ <u>8,184,000</u>

See City Auditor's Report.

O5-105

Item #:\_\_\_\_\_
Community & Economic Development Committee
December 13, 2005

#### NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF PROJECT COSTS

Page 2 of 4 pages

#### NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Method of Accounting

The Schedule of Project Costs has been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America using the accrual basis of accounting.

#### <u>Use of Estimates</u>

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires the City to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Overhead Costs Allocation Policy

It is the policy of Baines and Robertson Inc. (BRI) to allocate overhead costs to its various projects based upon a percentage of the total revenues generated by each of the projects during the year. The overhead costs include expenditures that are <u>not</u> directly related to a specific project, and consist of the operating expenses of the offices of BRI, such as: rent, utilities, payroll of the principals and office staff, equipment, and supplies.

#### **NOTE 3--OTHER MATTERS**

#### **Allocation Charges**

BRI included in its overhead allocations, expenditures that should have been charged directly to specific projects. For the year ended December 31, 2000, overhead costs included expenditures totaling \$375,299 that were directly related to a specific project, and should not have been allocated to all projects. Moreover, for the year ended December 31, 2003, overhead costs included expenses totaling \$633,582 that were directly related to the Palm Villas Project, and should not have been allocated to other projects. As a result it appears that the costs charged to the Palm Villas Project may have been understated by \$36,801.

See City Auditor's Report.

Item #:\_\_\_\_\_\_Community & Economic Development Committee
December 13, 2005

#### NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF PROJECT COSTS

Page 3 of 4 pages

TABLE 2

Description	Amount
Direct Palm Villas expenditures	\$633,582
Allocation of expenditures to others	(596,781)
Net understated costs	\$ 36,801

#### Inconsistencies in Classification of Expenditures

Some expenditures, though chargeable to the Palm Villas Project, were classified in the wrong accounts. However, this had no effect on the total costs that were charged to the Project. During the course of testing transactions we noted that *Retaining Wall -- Account 3302* included labor-related expenditures totaling \$1,163,150 that should have been charged to labor accounts. These misclassified amounts comprised 57 percent of the amounts charged to Retaining Wall. Although the misclassification of expenditures may make it difficult to compare results from year to year, the total expenditures for the Project remain the same. Accordingly, no adjustments was made to reclassify these amounts.

TABLE 3

Correct Other Account	Amount
Payroll	\$ 767,712
Northern California Trust	228,955
Laborers' Trust Fund	99,823
State Fund	66,660
Total	\$ <u>1,163,150</u>

See City Auditor's Report.

It	em #:	
Community & Economic	Development Comr	nitte
•	December 13	2004

#### NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF PROJECT COSTS

Page 4 of 4 pages

#### Political Contributions Totaling \$14,738

Political contributions of \$14,738 were charged to the project through the overhead allocation. The Loan Agreement dated November 8, 1999 between the City and MPDA states in Section 87:

"POLITICAL ACTIVITY. None of the funds, materials, property, or services contributed by Lender or Borrower under the Loan Documents shall be used for any partisan political activity or the election or defeat of any candidate for public office."

The overhead allocation for Palm Villas Project is overstated because these charges should have been excluded under the terms of the Loan Agreement and the schedule has been adjusted to reflect this exclusion.

#### Living Wage

The payments to workers on the project were in compliance with the Living Wage Ordinance.

#### Automobiles and Time Share Expenditures

Automobile and time-share expenditures totaling \$110,792 have been allocated to the project costs as follows:

Auto Cost - Principal 1	\$ 55,436
Auto Cost - Principal 2	50,572
Time Share	4,784
Total	\$110.792

No opinion as to the includibility of these costs is expressed in this report.

See City Auditor's Report.

Item #:\_\_\_\_\_\_Community & Economic Development Committee

December 13, 2005



#### I FRANK H. OGAWA PŁAZA • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

Office of the City Auditor Roland E. Smith, CPA City Auditor (510) 238-3378 FAX (510) 238-7640 TDD (510) 839-6451 www.oaklandauditor.com

December 13, 2005

#### INDEPENDENT CITY AUDITOR'S INTERNAL CONTROL REPORT

HONORABLE IGNACIO DE LA FUENTE, PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

We have audited the Schedule of Project Costs of MacArthur Park Development Associates, LLC (MPDA) of the City of San Francisco, in the State of California for the period from July 27,1999 through July 31, 2005 and have issued our report thereon dated December 13, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered internal controls over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Schedule of Project Costs and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Schedule of Project Costs is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and

Item #:
Community & Economic Development Committee
December 13, 2005

material effect on the determination of schedule amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Mayor, management, City Council, and any federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Prepared by:

Linda M. Crittondon Deputy City Auditor Issued by:

Roland E. Smith, CPA, CFS

City Auditor

Fieldwork completion date: December 5, 2005

Issue Date: December 13, 2005

#### APPENDIX I

Complete Text of Views of Responsible Officials

Item	#:	
Community & Economic Dev	velopment Comi	mittee
	December 13	2005

PHASE PROPERTION INC

General Contractor 1110 Franklin Street, Suite One Oakland, CA 94607 Phone 510-238-4666 ~ Fax 510-238-5252 2005 DEC 12 AH 10: 50

December 9, 2005

Roland E. Smith
City Auditor
City of Oakland
One Frank Ogawa Plaza
Oakland, CA 94612

Re: Palm Villas Subsidy Request

Dear Roland.

We appreciated the opportunity to review the City Auditor report of the Palm Villas Housing Development with you yesterday, and generally concur with the report and your comments.

Peter Robertson Vice President

### CITY OF OAKLAND



250 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA, SUITE 5313 - OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612-2034

Community and Economic Development Agency Housing Development

(510) 238-3502 FAX (510) 238-3691 TDD (510) 238-3254

December 12, 2005

Mr. Roland Smith, CPA, CFS
City Auditor
Ms. Linda Crittondon,
Deputy City Auditor II
City Auditor's Office
City Hall

Re: Palm Villas - Review of City Auditor's Audit of Costs of the Palm Villas project.

Sean Rogan, Dan Vanderpriem, and I have all reviewed the latest draft of the Audit of Project Costs of the Palm Villas project, dated December 13, 2005, that was delivered to Dan Vanderpriem's office by Ms. Crittondon on December 9, 2005 at 4:05pm. We have no comments on the draft report.

Sincerely.

MARGE I / GLADMAN

Housing Development Coordinator

	Item #:
Community &	<b>Economic Development Committee</b>
	December 13, 2005